## MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

TRANSCRIPT

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2024



The Planning Commission held a meeting on Wednesday, November 20, 2024, 1:30 p.m., in-person and remote meeting at Honolulu Hale, Mayor's Conference Room, 3rd Floor, 530 South King Street, Honolulu, Hawaii. Chair Meatoga III presided.

COMMISSIONERS I	PRESENT:	Pane Meatoga III, Chair
		Ryan Kamo, Vice Chair
		Hilarie Alomar, Member
		Kai Nani Kraut, Member
		Joy Kimura, Member
		Jason Woo, Member

COMMISSIONERS EXCUSED:	Nathaniel Kinney, Member
	[prior notice given]
	Melissa May, Member
	[prior notice given]
	Elena Bryant, Member
	[prior notice given]

1	DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL:	Rozelle Agag
2		[Advistory to the Commission]
3		
4	COMMISSION STAFF:	Gloria Takara
5		Secretary-Reporter
6		
7	DIT STAFF:	Gregory Cieless
8		Webex Management
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## PROCEEDING

CHAIR MEATOGA III: [bangs gavel] Aloha everyone and welcome fellow Commission members to the November 20<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Planning Commission. For our listeners and for the record, I'm Chair Pane Meatoga III. The following members are physically present here in Honolulu Hale, Mayor's Conference Room, 3rd Floor, Vice Chair Ryan Kamo and myself Chair Meatoga III.

And joining us remotely we have Commissioner

Kainani Kraut, Commissioner Joy Kimura, and Commissioner

Jason Woo. For those of our Commissioners who are online,

can you please confirm that if anyone else is present with

you at your remote location, or if you are alone or by

yourself?

ALOMAR: Commissioner Alomar, still here, and I am present and alone.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Thank you. We'll go to

Commissioner Kraut. [pause] Sorry Commissioner Kraut we

cannot hear you for some reason. Could you just thumbs up,

I guess?

DIT GREGORY CIELESS: She's not muted, but we can't hear her.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. For some reason--Okay.

Thumbs up, we got it. For some reason we can't hear you,

but you are not muted, so we're not--Okay. We'll take that thumbs up as confirmation. Commissioner Kimura.

KIMURA: I'm present and alone in my workstation.

Thank you.

5 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Thank you. And Commissioner 6 Woo.

WOO: Commissioner Woo present and alone in my office.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Thank you very much. Present here today is the Planning Commission and DIT staff to manage and support the Webex audio-visual platform. Also joining in with us today remotely is the Commission attorney, deputy corp council Rozelle Agag.

COUNSEL AGAG: Hello, good afternoon.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Good afternoon. Couple of housekeeping matters. For those persons here in the Conference Room bathrooms are located on each floor of the building, and we have this Conference Room until 4 p.m.

At this time I'd like to officially open the hearing. For the record it is now 1:35. And just as a reminder for us Commissioners, we need to identify ourselves first before we speak or make any motions. With that being said, the first item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes, the minutes of the September 18, 2024 meeting as previously circulated to be approved by the Commission. Do

- 1 | I have a motion to approve?
- 2 KAMO: Commissioner Kamo makes a motion to approve
- 3 | the September 18, 2024 minutes as previously circulated.
- 4 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Thank you, Commissioner Kamo.
- 5 Do I have a second?
- 6 ALOMAR: Commissioner Alomar, second.
- 7 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Thank you, Commissioner
- 8 | Alomar. Is there any discussion, any objections, any
- 9 reservations? [no response] Hearing and seeing none the
- 10 Chair votes aye. The minutes of the September 18, 2024
- 11 | meeting as previously circulated has been approved.
- Next up, we have the status report. This is the
- 13 4<sup>th</sup> quarter update for information only, no action is
- 14 required by the Commission. This is for the Waianae State
- 15 | Special Use Permit 2008/SUP-2, Waiamanalo Gulch Sanitary
- 16 Landfill.
- 17 All right. For the presenters, for ENV please
- 18 proceed and introduce yourselves.
- 19 DR. BABCOCK: Yes. Thank you. Thank you, Chair
- and Commissioners for being here today, for having us. I'm
- 21 Roger Babcock, Director of the Department of Environmental
- 22 Services for the City, and we do take care of
- 23 wastewater and solid waste including the, including the
- 24 landfill. So pleased to present our 4<sup>th</sup> quarter update to
- 25 | the Commission and that in the Decision and Order requires,

you know, certain, certain things for us to talk about today. So happy to do that.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[PowerPoint presentation by Dr. Babcock]

The presentation is here, and we will send you that file after. So we can go ahead and proceed to the next slide.

DIT GREGORY CIELESS: Oh, the button clicker.

DR. BABCOCK: Oh, the button clicker. Okay. Let's see if that'll work. It does work. Okay. So then there's--Let's see what happens there. Oh, but there's some things missing, that's kind of weird. I apologize for that. The--So I can just kind of--I'll just tell you what it's supposed to say there. I'm not sure why. There's stuff missing from that one too, so I'm not really sure why that is. Maybe they're in a different controller or something. Somehow in the PDF it must not have gotten transferred correctly. So, I'll go back to the timeline. So this is a timeline that you're familiar with. In August 23, 2024, the City's deadline to site, to name a new site for the landfill was extended to December 31st 2024 by the Land Use Commission. The deadline had been December 31st of 2022. And so we since then -- you just approved the minutes of September 18th.

We presented our 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter update to the Planning Commission. And the tasks that we were working on

at that time were the completion of O'ahu Landfill Siting Study Supplemental Technical Memorandum, and we presented some of that information last time, and so I will update that here in a second.

And then continued evaluation of alternative sites, restrictions and obstacles, location, size, distance and proximity, site characteristics, availability, costs, environmental cultural, and socio-economic, restrictions and obstacles. So now we are to, and I'm sorry it doesn't appear on there to November 20th.

This is our 4<sup>th</sup> quarter update and so the tasks are that we have finalized now our O'ahu Landfill Siting Study Supplemental Technical Memorandum and that has affected a final date of October 15<sup>th</sup> as the final. That report is available on our website, which is Honolulu.gov/opala is where that can be found and along with, you know, everything else associated with the landfill siting studies and evaluations. So what we're still working on is we're continuing our evaluations. We'll be making a decision this quarter and announcing the selection. And as the last milestone there shows December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2024 is the deadline to identify the alternative landfill site that we are pursuing.

So the next slide is a little bit incomplete. And this slide is actually exactly the same as what we presented

last quarter. So there are no new sites, you know, under consideration.

1

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We--Sorry the things that are not shown there are the six sites that were a part of the Landfill Advisory

Committee evaluation that occurred between in 2021 and 2022.

And then the restrictions did show up there. All of those were in the No Pass Zone. So in that upper block there's actually six sites. They're actually just called site, Area 6; Area 7; Area 3, and Area 2.

So the restrictions are unchanged. There are all in the No Pass Zone. Some of them are in a well captured The rest are all in prime agricultural lands. there are some other ones listed on this same table. Couple of the names are missing over there, I apologize for that. The one that's missing--So I'm talking about the darker, kind of darker shaded blue box on the lower, on the left. It says area adjacent to Waiamanalo Gulch Sanitary Landfill, and the restriction there is it's a West side location and it has been eliminated from consideration. The other one that's missing there is, it says area east of Community of Maile or also known as Lualualei military installation, but the restrictions there are the same, are correct, Federal land, West side location and has been eliminated from further consideration. And then there are the other two that are there that we've talked about before. The Waipio

- 1 Peninsula, the City Soccer Complex, as well as the West Loch
- 2 Annex, also known as Iroquois Point. And both of those have
- 3 been eliminated for further considerations. So those were
- 4 | the--three of those were Federal sites that previously had
- 5 been excluded when during the evaluation of the Landfill
- 6 Advisory Committee because they were Federal.
- 7 And since then, since they completed their work in
- 8 June of 2022, we have continued to do--evaluate, you know,
- 9 | we had meetings with military and essentially confirmed that
- 10 | those were a no go.
- 11 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Can I interject?
- DR. BABCOCK: Yes.
- 13 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Just really quick. Board
- 14 members, are you seeing the list of the site names there?
- 15 We can't see it on our end. Are you folks, can you see it
- 16 on there?
- 17 ALOMAR: No.
- 18 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. We took a picture of
- 19 the printed file. Glo is going to send that to you folks so
- 20 | you have that.
- 21 **ALOMAR:** Okay.
- 22 KIMURA: I can see two, two site names. Is that
- 23 | all that's on the list?
- 24 CHAIR MEATOGA III: There's more. Each line on
- 25 | the right has--So Glo is going to send that to you quys.

1 It's kind of important that you guys have this. Glo is going to send it out. We took a picture of it and sent it 3 over so that you guys have it. DR. BABCOCK: And, I think we're gonna have that 4 with the other, the other slides here too. 5 6 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Probably so. We'll go on and--8 ALOMAR: Do you know if Glo will be sending it over? 9 10 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Yes. She's sending it right 11 now. 12 ALOMAR: Okay. Perfect. 13 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. You can continue. 14 DIT GREGORY CIELESS: Chair, we can scan the paper document, and if we could take a few minutes. 15 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Can we do that? That'll be 16 actually--Okay. We're going to take a recess for 5 minutes 17 just so that we can get this squared away. 18 DR. BABOCK: Apologies for the technical 19 20 difficulties. [Chair Meatoga III called for a recess and reconvened] 21 22 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. All right. We're going to reconvene. Did you guys want to start again or just 23

continue on from where he left off?

KRAUT: I'm good with--

24

DIT GREGORY CIELESS: I'm sorry, I have to advance for you, so just tell me next slide.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay.

DR. BABCOCK: Okay. So go ahead and go to the next one. This was the timeline, and I kind of read through that basically the tasks and the milestones there. And so we are at the November 20, and so we're just about finishing up with this, with this process. Okay. So next slide was the one that took a while to read all of the things there. Again, these are sites that—There's no new sites on here, the same as what we've reported on and this, this slide is actually unchanged from our September 18<sup>th</sup> meeting. And so no real updates there.

The next slide is other sites under consideration. So this is really kind of a summary of that Supplemental Technical Memorandum which has lots of pages in it, but basically this, this tells you the sites that become available if the restrictions in Act 73 are reduced or lessened or eliminated. And again this slide is unchanged from the last time. So it's just kind of a review, a reminder. So what we did is we, again, we looked at eliminating the conservation restriction and then reducing the buffer distance from 1/2 mile, which is what's in Act 73 to 1/4 quarter mile. And so the four sites at the top listed in the top of this table are new areas that become

available if those restrictions are reduced as stated. 1 2 there's Waimbnalo Country Farms, area near University of Hawaii West O'ahu, Pali Golf Course, and part of the South 3 Quarry of the HC&D Quarry 5

over on the Kailua side.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then if you eliminate the buffer completely, there's another six sites that become available which are listed, are listed there. The other side of the quarry and the other sites listed there. Now, so those, those are the sites that we are still, those two pages are the sites that we are still either still considering or some of them have been eliminated. So that's where we're at in terms of the sites.

So the next slide shows the list of obstacles affecting the ability to choose a site. So, again, this has not changed. These list of obstacles are the same, but it is one of the things that's in the Decision and Order that we need to, that we need to report on. What are our obstacles? And so there are Federal regulations, there's Act 73 and other state regulations. There's the Federal lands, which has turned out, you know, that we we've been told now.

And then there's developed and already, already developed or approved for development lands, and then of course there's the No Pass Zone. So these are a list of our obstacles.

So the next slide or almost last slide, next slide is a--Again, we need to report on our investigations of alternative technologies for landfill. So this list hasn't changed except for the bottom one. There's a little more information. So those basic technologies are all the same, and we do continue to look at those, and that will not change, will not stop even after we have another landfill because we still need--We'll be looking at technology development, and we are in--We have meetings regularly with folks that want to sell us new technology and bring forth proposals, and we actively continue to evaluate those things.

The bottom one does say shipping of waste off-island. We did, kind of recently, I think since the last meeting we did initiate an RFI for folks who would be interested in providing this service to indicate their interests and to give us some technical idea about the technical attributes of that of trying to offer that service. So this is not an RFP or an RFB which would be to request bids or cost proposals. It is more of an interest request for interest. And it is still open until December 2<sup>nd</sup>, and so we will see if we get anything interesting. So we would be looking at this not necessarily to--So we would not have any landfill because as we've stated before, we

need to have a landfill for operation of pretty much to get a permit of any technology. You need to have a place to put residuals, but also there are times when your primary volume reduction technology is not operating, and or when we would have perhaps things that could not be treated in those processes, but also if there's a natural disaster, and we have a large amounts of debris that we wouldn't be able to process there. So we did need to have landfill. But if we are able to cost effectively do some shipping of either MSW or ash or other non, perhaps non-burnable things, we are interested in that. So we have--We've sort of taken the step.

So it is something that's new to report today.

And I did add--Next slide. I did add one other slide and that is sort of some of the improvements of things that we're doing now. And we have reported on some--May be a couple of these things, but not all of them, and it's just to kind of highlight our efforts at waste diversion and processing and all things that are, you know, really kind of new and very or very recent. So food waste and manufactured combustible materials would be included in curbside recycling.

So that's the green carts that all residents have and by Ordinance that's supposed to be operational on July  $1^{\rm st}$  of next year. However, it requires a construction

project which has been delayed in getting its permits, and as well as a Department of Health operating permit, but we anticipate that will all--a contract has been awarded, you know, to do that work. And so we will be following through with that and just so you know our waste characterization studies show that about 25%, up to 25% of the gray cart waste, which is what goes to H-POWER is considered food waste, which could be recycled into compost. So that is our intention and we are moving forward with that, which will be good for the whole program.

Then the H-POWER ash recycling. I think we've talked about that before. We have a name for that process facility called Honolulu Advance Resource Recovery from Ash or HARRA. That is in the permitting process for getting permits to construct that facility, but it will take some time. We still need to get permits, then we gotta finish the design and then do the construction, so it's probably three years before it's functional, but that will recycle an estimated 70% of the ash, so it will greatly reduce the or extend the life of the next landfill. Just so you know the sizing of the next landfill that we've been trying to site does not include that Ash. It's for our current ash disposal rates, plus all of the C and D waste. So 20 years of that quantity is how the new landfill is sized.

We also doing rechargeable batteries. We have an

- 1 RFB out for that, and it is closing or actually closed. And
- 2 then we have our collection of electronic waste, E-waste,
- 3 RFB also. So we'll be awarding those contracts.
- 4 Rechargeable batteries, that's the lithium ion batteries,
- 5 and they cause us a lot of headaches. At H-POWER we get
- 6 daily updates, and we just had our 30th or 31st fire at
- 7 H-POWER from lithium ion batteries this year. So it's
- 8 almost, it's almost every week that there's a fire. And
- 9 | they don't always cause shutdowns. Most of them don't, but
- 10 | they do cause problems. So we're trying to get those out of
- 11 | the way stream, as well as all the E-waste.
- 12 Currently E-waste, according to our guidelines
- 13 goes in the gray cart, and it gets burned. So, that will
- 14 | all be recycled, and it will be shipped, it will be shipped
- 15 off-island for recovery and stuff like that. So that's very
- 16 significant, we're very excited about that. And then we
- 17 also have the collection of mixed waste paper from city
- 18 agencies and that's also an RFB, so we'll be awarding that.
- 19 | So that will remove that material to be recycled from the
- 20 waye stream. Okay. So, that's actually my last slide.
- The next slide, if you could go to it, it's just a
- 22 mahalo and request for any questions that you have for us.
- 23 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. Thank you, Director.
- 24 Board members, we're gonna move into public testimony first,
- and then after that we'll have Q and A from the

Commissioners. Okay. At this time we'll go into public 1 testimony. Telephone participants can press \*6 to unmute 2 3 and unmute themselves. Please mute your device except when to testify, and we ask for your patience as there may be a 5 delay. We ask that you state your full name, spell both 6 your first and last names that then start your testimony. If you have already submitted written testimony, please do 8 not repeat or read it, instead summarize and add anything 9 new that you may have to add.

Each speaker may not have anyone read their statement and will be limited to 3 minutes. Let's begin.

First, we will take the public testifiers present here in the Conference Room and next the registered remote testifiers. Do we have anyone in the Conference Room that would like to testify? [no response] Do we have any remote online testifiers that would like to testify? [no response] Do you see anyone on there, Greg?

DIG GREGG CIELESS: No raised hands.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: No raised hands. Okay.

DIT GREGORY CIELESS: I don't see anybody

21 unmuting.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. Commissioners, looks like we do not have anyone online or in person. Do I have a motion to close the public testimony of this public hearing?

KAMO: Commissioner Kamo makes a motion to close

- 1 the public testimony portion of this hearing.
- 2 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Thank you, Commissioner Kamo.
- 3 Do I have a second?
- 4 KIMURA: Commissioner Kimura, I'll second.
- 5 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner
- 6 Kimura. Is there any discussions, objections, reservations?
- 7 [no response] Hearing and seeing none, the Chair votes aye,
- 8 and the public portion of the public hearing is now closed.
- 9 With that done, Commissioners, we are open for questions to
- 10 Director Babcock? Who would like to start off?
- 11 KAMO: Chairman, Commissioner Kamo. Thank you for
- 12 | the presentation and walking us through the complexities of
- 13 trying to select a new site. Just from our quarterly
- 14 reporting, it doesn't look like there's made much progress
- 15 towards the identification of a new site by our deadline
- 16 December 31<sup>st</sup>. Is that fair to say?
- DR. BABCOCK: Yeah. So, I don't need to say my
- 18 | name again, right? Roger Babcock, Director, Department of
- 19 Environmental Services. Happy to answer that question,
- 20 Commissioner. So, no, we have made progress, and we are in
- 21 the process of sort of finalizing our--essentially getting
- 22 ready to make our announcement, and so we're not in a
- 23 position to announce that. I'm not in a position to
- 24 announce that today, but that is coming up shortly. The
- 25 | quarter is ending and the deadline is approaching, and so we

will be making an announcement. The Mayor will be doing a press conference, and we will be making an announcement soon in less than a month. Yeah. So, we have made progress. We are just about there.

KAMO: Great.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. Questions from the Commissioners?

KRAUT: Commissioner Kraut. In hearing, again, I think I echo Commissioner Kamo's comments on how difficult this whole engineering solution is from what's presented and what is in the supplemental report. It seems all sites under current--currently under consideration would require an amendment to Act 73? Is that a fair characterization?

The remaining viable sites would require that Legislative change?

DR. BABCOCK: So, yeah, I'll go ahead and answer that. So as shown in the tables there are the potential sites under consideration. There's two tables, right. The second one is the sites under consideration that require Legislative action with respect to Act 73. And then there are—There is the other table of sites that are listed and those do not say, do not all say that they've been eliminated from consideration. So, those sites are still under consideration, the ones that do not say otherwise.

KRAUT: Yeah. I'm actually looking at the

technical memo. May be Glo sent the presentation. I
haven't looked at my email. So, I'm--Do you have that with
Jour I'm in the summary table 2-

DR. BABCOCK: Okay.

KRAUT: And it looks like the first step that has applied the Act 73 restrictions and all of those have been eliminated. So in that table, I'm not seeing anything currently under consideration that doesn't require a modification to Act 73.

DR. BABCOCK: Yeah, yes-

KRAUT: I could absolutely be seeing it wrong also.

DR. BABCOCK: No, no, absolutely. So the technical that technical memorandum, you'll have to look somewhere in the text or in the table of footnotes. It says that it's only looking--So the task of that memo was to look at anything that's outside of the No Pass Zone. So everything in that memo looks at stuff that's outside the No Pass Zone. Whereas the things--and so that's how you get that table and all those steps, but the table in our report shows also the six original sites that the Landfill Advisory Committee evaluated, which are in the No Pass Zone.

KRAUT: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. Commissioner Alomar, I see you're unmuted. Did you have a questions? Oh, okay,

- 1 got it. All right. Commissioners open for more questions?
- 2 [no response] Okay. This is Chair Meatoga, I do have a
- 3 question.
- DR. BABCOCK: Yes.
- 5 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Have you guys submitted your
- 6 extension?
- 7 COUNSEL HU: I'm sorry, what?
- 8 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Have you submitted an
- 9 extension? If your deadline is December 31st, are you guys
- 10 submitting an extension?
- DR. BABCOCK: So, no, because I believe the
- 12 Special Use, the SUP goes through the end of 2028. So
- 13 | that's our existing Special Use Permit.
- 14 COUNSEL HU: Which extension to the, which
- 15 deadline are you referring to?
- CHAIR MEATOGA III: I'm sorry, the December 31st
- 17 deadline to identify.
- DR. BABCOCK: Oh, to identify--
- 19 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Did you need to submit an
- 20 extension for that if you don't--You're gonna make an
- 21 announcement within less than a month, is that correct?
- DR. BABCOCK: That is correct. We're not--We will
- 23 not be seeking an extension.
- 24 CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. So there's no extension
- 25 | filed for that part?

DR. BABCOCK: That's right. There is nothing filed. Thank you for clarifying.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay.

DR. BABCOCK: Yeah. No, no, I get it.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. In the list of alternatives that you had down there, you kind of breezed over, you know, that we're looking at it. From that list, is there anything in particular that you guys are more, I guess, researched in than others on that list? Is there something that you guys are looking more seriously than others in the list of alternative?

DR. BABCOCK: Okay. Yeah. I'll go ahead and answer. So yeah, the, we've actually done extensive--I would say what we would call, we would call site layouts for all the sites that have not been removed from further consideration.

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay.

DR. BABCOCK: So we've done quite a bit of site evaluation for all of them and looking at ownership of the sites and etc. So site characteristics and looking at what, if there would be any, anything that would prevent these places being viable sites. With respect to the original six, there was really a lot of work done during that process. Site layouts, view plain things, looking at details of soil characteristics and all that kind of stuff.

So that, you know, that was all done as part of that 1 process. And actually those sites had been well known even, 2 even in previous evaluations going back to the 2012 and 2017 3 evaluations. So those sites have been around for a long 4 5 time. But for the new sites, we did a fair amount of due diligence also looking at ownership, site characteristics, 6 7 slopes, soils, you know, those kind of things and whether there would be, whether there's groundwater wells and those kind of things and doing some preliminary site layouts. So 9 10 I would say that we have done quite an extensive analysis for all the sites on the list. 11

CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. Any other questions from Commissioners? [no response]. Okay. That concludes my side of things. Okay. Let's see, if we have no further questions, going once, going twice.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

KIMURA: Actually this is Commissioner Kimura, may
I ask a question? This is regarding the potential sites
under consideration. I know Commissioner Kraut had brought
up some concerns and my question is, if these potential
sites are still under consideration, will you be introducing
any Legislation to amend Act 73 so that these sites would be
viable?

DR. BABCOCK: Yeah. So that will be necessary in order to make those sites truly viable and to be implemented. So the requirement is to identify a site that

```
we are planning to procure and develop, but no matter what
1
    site we choose, there will be multiple steps that have to
2
    happen between when we identify it and when it can actually
3
           Some sites as you mentioned will require Legislative
    action, other sites -- Well, all sites would require
    environmental impact statement, land procurement, perhaps
6
    condemnation, etc. So there's, hopefully that answers your
7
    question, but basically, yes, you are correct. There are
8
     some of the sites that are still under consideration which
9
    do require Legislative action, which we will do as
10
    necessary. That's part of the continuation, the next steps.
11
               CHAIR MEATOGA III: Okay. Thank you. I do have a
12
     follow-up question then.
13
               DR. BABCOCK: Yeah.
14
               CHAIR MEATOGA III: Sorry. Just to refresh my
15
     memory, your Special Use Permit is up in--
16
17
               DR. BABCOCK: It is 2028, and I believe for the
     landfill closure. Yeah. It's 2028, I believe it is
18
     December 31st, but--
19
               COUNSEL HU: No, it's not -- I think it's March 2nd.
20
               DR. BABCOCK: Okay. Sorry, It's March 2nd. Yeah.
21
               CHAIR MEATOGA III: So, you would have to pass
22
23
     Legislation if you were to use one of these Act?
               DR. BABCOCK: I'm sorry what was Act 73.
24
```

CHAIR MEATOGA III: You would have to pass that

- Legislative session next year 2025 in order to try and get something up and running within four years?
- DR. BABCOCK: Yes. The timeline is, the timeline is a challenge no matter what site we name for a bunch of reasons, and that is one of them, but there are various, a bunch of other issues that we have to deal with environmental impact. There's a lot of public outreach and a lot of other work to do in terms of site development, procurement site development in order to get them, get that operational.
  - CHAIR MEATOGA III: So in the meantime, if, you know, trying to get it up and running in four years, if that deadline does not happen, I mean you don't hit that deadline and get something up and running, then you guys will come in for an extension?

2.1

- DR. BABCOCK: That is correct. We would, we would have to do that if we're not able to meet that, that deadline, end of the permit.
- CHAIR MEATOGA III: Yep. Thank you. Any additional questions, Commissioners? [no response] Going once, going twice. All right, sold.
- Okay. With that said, do we have any announcements from Commissioners or from DPP? [no response]
  Okay. Thank you very much. We are, do I have a motion to adjourn the Planning Commission November 20, 2024 meeting.

1	KAMO: Commissioner Kamo makes a motion to
2	adjourn.
3	CHAIR MEATOGA III: Do I have a second?
4	ALOMAR: Commissioner Alomar, I'll second the
5	motion.
6	CHAIR MEATOGA III: Thank you. Any discussions,
7	objections or reservations? [no response] Hearing and
8	seeing none, Chair votes aye. The Planning Commission for
9	November 20, 2024 meeting is adjourned. It is now 2:12.
10	Thank you, everyone.
11	[the meeting was adjourned at approximately 2:12 p.m.]
12	
13	I certify that the foregoing is a
14	true and correct transcript of the
15	proceedings, prepared to the best
16	of my ability of the meeting
17	held on Wednesday, November 20,
18	2024.
19	
20	At my My
21	Gloria Takara
22	Secretary-Reporter
23	
24	Jecember 4, 2024
25	Date