

CASE LOMBARDI & PETTIT

A LAW CORPORATION

Daniel H. Case
James M. Cribley
Stacey W.E. Foy
Gregory M. Hansen
Michael L. Lam
Dennis M. Lombardi†

Michael R. Marsh
Ted N. Pettit, Ph.D.
Lauren R. Sharkey
Nancy J. Youngren
John D. Zalewski

PACIFIC GUARDIAN CENTER, MAUKA TOWER
737 BISHOP STREET, SUITE 2600
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813-3283

TELEPHONE: (808) 547-5400
FACSIMILE: (808) 523-1888
E-mail: info@caselombardi.com
<http://www.caselombardi.com>

Esther S. Han
Seth R. Harris
Gregory J. Knoll
Malia S. Lee
Sandy S. Ma

Elsa F.M. McGehee
Alexis M. McGinness
Margaret E. Parks
Camille N. Sirivattha
Mark G. Valencia

Of Counsel
Frederick W. Rohlfing III
Gary L. Wixom

Bruce C. Bigelow (1946-2001)

† A Law Corporation

May 26, 2006

Land Use Commission
Department of Business, Economic
Development & Tourism
State of Hawaii
P.O. Box 2359
Honolulu, Hawaii 96804-2359

Kauai Planning Department
Attention: Mr. Ian Costa, Director
4444 Rice Street, Suite 473
Lihue, Hawaii 96766

Re: Annual Report to the Land Use Commission and
To the County of Kauai Planning Department
LUC Docket No. A00-731
Special Management Area Use Permit SMA (U)-2002-6
Kapalawai Resort, LLC

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to LUC Docket No. A00-73, Condition No. 24 of the Decision and Order of the Land Use Commission, and Condition #8 of the Special Management Area Use Permit SMA (U)-2002-6, Petitioner Kapalawai Resort, LLC hereby submits the Annual Report for the year 2006, describing the (1) general progress of the project to date, and (2), status and progress toward compliance with the conditions imposed.

1. General Progress of the Project

The Decision and Order for the above-entitled docket was approved by the Land Use Commission on April 6, 2001.

Ordinance No. PM-2000-353, Bill No. 1953, designated the project site as "Resort" on the Kauai General Plan by the County Council on Update.

LAND USE COMMISSION
STATE OF HAWAII
2006 MAY 30 P 12:04

Ordinance No. PM-2001-356 (Bill No. 2004), amended the zoning for the property to Resort Residential (RR-1) for 127.93 acres of the site, more or less, and Open District (O) for the remaining 25.77 acres, more or less, approved by the County Council on February 25, 2002.

Subsequent to zoning approval, the following permits were applied for and approved by the Kauai Planning Commission on May 28, 2002.

- (1) Special Management Area Use Permit SMA (U)-2002-6;
- (2) Project Development Use Permit PDU-2002-215; and
- (3) Class IV Zoning Permit Z-IV-2002-20

Since that time, the Petitioner has incorporated additional minor modifications to the site plan which were approved by the Kauai County Planning Commission on April 11, 2006. A grading permit for the project was issued on May 11, 2006.

Financing has been secured for the Project, an updated and extended Option Agreement has been executed with the Landowner on July 28, 2005, and construction drawings are now being completed.

2. Progress in Complying with Conditions Imposed

The Land Use Commission's Decision and Order, requires compliance with 27 conditions.

The Zoning Amendment sets forth a list of 24 conditions of approval.

The SMA, Project Development and Class IV permits established 20 conditions to be complied with.

Many of the above conditions are repetitious, being listed in all three of the approvals above. The Land Use Commission's conditions and the Zoning Amendment conditions have been incorporated as part of the SMA approval, conditions 1 and 2. The repetitive conditions are outlined in the following. The status of other non-repetitive conditions will be addressed separately.

These conditions are each numbered as identified in the Decision and Order and set forth below and followed by a brief status summary.

Condition No. 1:

"1. Petitioner shall provide affordable housing opportunities for residents of the State of Hawai'i to include employees of the proposed resort in accordance with applicable affordable housing requirements of the County of Kauai. The location and distribution of the affordable housing shall be under such terms as may be mutually agreeable between the Petitioner and the County of Kaua'i."

Status:

The County Zoning Amendment Ordinance (Ordinance No. PM-2001-356, Bill No. 2004, Draft 2) specifies that the applicant provide 15 employee housing units at affordable rentals (80% of Kauai Median Household Income). The Project site plan submitted with the application for final permits (Exhibit I of the application), includes 15 employee housing units. Petitioner is proceeding with design and engineering drawings for construction of the 15 employee housing units.

Condition No. 2:

"2. Petitioner shall design and construct drainage improvements requirements as a result of the development of the Property to the satisfaction of the Department of Health and the Commission on Water Resource Management of the State Department of Land and Natural Resources."

Status:

This condition will be complied with.

Condition No. 3:

"3. Petitioner shall conduct proper and regular maintenance of the existing streambeds and the proposed grass-lined channel which is essential to ensure maximum flood protection for the project as may be required by the County Department of Public Works. In addition, Petitioner shall institute Best Management Practices in the design of the drainage system to reduce the potential for sediments and other pollutants to reach the ocean and on-site streams as may be required by the County of Kaua'i and/or the State of Hawai'i."

Status:

This condition will be complied with.

Condition No. 4:

“4. Petitioner shall locate all building construction mauka of the Hurricane Iniki inundation line and shall mitigate flood hazards as shown on Petitioner’s Exhibit No. 32 to the satisfaction of appropriate Federal, State and County agencies.”

Status:

Exhibit I of the application submitted to the County of Kauai Planning Department identifies the Hurricane Iniki Inundation Line. All proposed structures are mauka of this line. Construction drawings will deal with specific flood hazard requirements (County and Federal) for each affected structure, during the preparation of construction plans for building permits.

Condition No. 5:

“5. Petitioner shall provide adequate water source facilities and improvements to accommodate the proposed Project. Water source facilities and improvements shall be coordinated and approved by the Commission on Water Resource Management of the State Department of Land and Natural Resources.”

Status:

Approval from the Commission on Water Resource Management will be required to construct the potable well that will service the Kapalawai Resort. Petitioner’s engineers have begun preliminary design studies for water source facilities and will be submitting their findings as part of the application for the appropriate permits. On April 26, 2006, the project received approval of its application for well construction/pump installation to drill the test wells for the development.

Condition 6:

“6. Petitioner shall provide adequate wastewater treatment, transmission and disposal facilities as determined by the State Department of Health.”

Status:

DOH will have oversight for construction plans pertaining to the design of the on-site wastewater treatment plant and other facilities. These plans will be prepared as part of the submittal of construction drawings for building permits. Petitioner’s engineers have begun schematic design and engineering for the wastewater treatment plant and other facilities. Zoning Amendment Condition 3g and SMA condition #14 relates to compliance with Department of Health requirements.

Condition No. 7:

"7. Petitioner shall fund and construct adequate civil defense measures as determined by the State of Hawai'i Department of Defense, Office of Civil Defense."

Status:

Design and location of required civil defense facilities will be coordinated with the State Department of Defense prior to submittal of building permits.

Condition No. 8:

"8. To ensure that the proposed land uses will not adversely impact endangered species and environmentally sensitive areas such as wetlands, ponds, or streams, the Petitioner shall consult with the appropriate Federal and State agencies whenever, in the course of developing the proposed project, it reasonably appears that an endangered species or sensitive area may be affected by a particular development activity."

Status:

Appropriate consultations will occur when necessary with the primary responsible agencies, these being the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and DLNR Division of Forestry and Wildlife. SMA Conditions #12 and #13 are related, in that it refers to shielded lights to protect Newell's shearwaters, Hawaiian monk seals and green sea turtles. The site, landscaping and exterior building electrical lighting plans will be made subject to review by the Planning Department prior to building permit approval.

Condition No. 9:

"9. Should any previously unidentified human burials, archaeological or historic sites such as artifacts, marine shell concentrations, charcoal deposits, stone platforms, pavings or walls be found, Petitioner shall stop work in the immediate vicinity and the State Historic Preservation Division of the Department of Land and Natural Resources (SHPD) shall be notified immediately. The significance of these finds shall then be determined and approved by the SHPD. Subsequent work shall proceed upon an archaeological clearance from the SHPD when it finds that mitigative measures have been implemented to their satisfaction."

Status:

Development contractors will be made aware of requirements prior to commencement of construction activities. The on-site archaeological monitoring plan was approved by SHPD on March 24, 2006. Should any unidentified human burials,

archaeological or historical sites, artifacts, or data be found work shall be stopped and SHPD be notified immediately. SMA condition #15 similarly refers to the discovery of historic/cultural remains, requiring a work stoppage also.

Zoning Amendment Condition #3(c)(i) and 3(c)(ii) requires a preservation plan that was submitted to SHPD in February 2006. Reviews comments and/or an approval is anticipated on or before June 1, 2006.

Condition No. 10:

“10. Petitioner shall follow the State Department of Land and Natural Resources, State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) recommendations for mitigation of impacts resulting from the development and for archaeological data recovery and preservation. An archaeological data recovery plan (scope of work) must be approved by the SHPD. That plan must then be successfully executed (to be verified in writing by SHPD) prior to any grading, clearing, grubbing or other land alteration in these areas. A preservation plan must also be approved by SHPD. This plan, or minimally its interim protection plan phase, must be successfully executed (to be verified in writing by SHPD) prior to any grading, clearing, grubbing or other land alteration in these areas.”

Status:

See Condition No. 9 above.

Condition No. 11:

“11. Petitioner shall implement efficient soil erosion and dust control measures during and after the development process to the satisfaction of the State Department of Health.”

Status:

This condition will be complied with.

Condition No. 12:

“12. Petitioner shall participate in the pro rata funding and construction of local and regional transportation improvements and programs necessitated by the proposed development in designs and schedules accepted and determined by the State Department of Transportation (DOT). Agreement between the Petitioner and the DOT as to the level of funding and participation shall be obtained prior to the Petitioner acquiring County zoning or prior to building permits if county zoning is not required.”

Status:

Petitioner has met with and coordinated these requirements with State DOT Highways Division. Petitioner has completed design and engineering drawings for highway improvements as of this date. It is expected that these plans will be submitted to DOT on or before June 1, 2006.

Condition No. 13:

"13. Petitioner, where feasible, shall use indigenous and water conserving plants and turf and incorporate the same into common area landscape planting."

Status:

The Petitioner has addressed this condition by preparing a conceptual landscape plan for the Project, which is included in the application submitted for final zoning permits as Exhibit J. Many native species are listed on the proposed landscape palette, as well as other species already found on the property that currently survive without irrigation.

Zoning Amendment Condition #3(o) requires preparation of a landscape plan to be approved by the Planning Commission and required an inventory of all major plants, of which has been completed. SMA Condition #7 refers to the Conceptual Landscape Plan approved by the Planning Commission. Any refinements or modifications to this plan will be submitted to the Planning Director for review and approval.

The Landscaping Plan is in its draft stage and will be completed on or before September 30, 2006. Both landscaping plan and site plan will be submitted to the Planning Director for final review and approval.

Condition No. 14:

"14. Petitioner shall notify all prospective buyers of property of the potential odor, noise and dust pollution resulting from surrounding agricultural uses."

Status:

Petitioner is aware of this requirement and prospective buyers will be notified of the potential odor, noise and dust pollution from surrounding agricultural uses.

Condition No. 15:

“15. Building setback lines shall be established during the Zoning Amendment and SMA Permit approval process to ensure proper siting of the proposed buildings and structures to mitigate any adverse visual and/or environmental impacts.”

Status:

The Kauai County Zoning Amendment Ordinance (Ordinance No. PM-2001-356, Bill No. 2004, Draft 2) has established specific setback and transition areas designed to mitigate visual and other impacts. Petitioner is following these requirements, such as the setback requirements from the fishpond, shoreline, and archaeological rock platform.

Condition No. 16:

“16. Petitioner shall provide public pedestrian access to the shoreline and shall develop the public restroom facilities, vehicular access to Kaumuali'i Highway and shower and parking facilities substantially as represented by the Petitioner and as shown on Petitioner's Exhibit 53. The public facilities shall be built and maintained by Petitioner, with twenty-four hour security. The duration and term of the public access to the shoreline and to the public facilities shall be coterminous with the term of Petitioner's Lease with the landowner.”

Status:

Public facilities presented to the Land Use Commission as part of Petitioner's Exhibit 53 are included in the project site plan (Exhibit I of the application submitted for final zoning permits). A similar requirement has been established by Zoning Amendment Condition 3(j)). The SMA Condition #4 is also related to this condition in that it requires the establishment of public access easement documents. Petitioner is following these requirements.

Condition No. 17:

“17. Petitioner shall dedicate a public easement to the State of Hawai'i for 99 years adequate and reasonable with respect to beach and surfing ingress and egress and shall develop public restroom and shower facilities, vehicular access to Kaumuali'i Highway and public parking facilities as described by the Petitioner and as shown on Petitioner's exhibit 53. The public facilities shall be built and maintained by Petitioner, with twenty-four security. It is the LUC's intent that the duration and term of the public access to the shoreline and to the public facilities shall be coterminous with the term of the Petitioner's Lease with the landowner. The facility shall be developed in consultation with the appropriate state and county agencies and the surfing community.”

Status:

Easement dedication per SMA condition #4 mentioned above, shall occur prior to submittal of building permits. The term of the easement shall be coterminous with the term of the Petitioner's Lease with the landowner.

Condition No. 18:

"18. Petitioner shall provide public pedestrian access from the Project's proposed parking facility to the rock platform substantially as represented by the Petitioner and as shown on Petitioner's Exhibit 55. No structure or buildings shall be constructed closer than fifty (50) feet from the rock platform. The duration and term of the public access shall be coterminous with the term of Petitioner's Lease with the landowner."

Status:

Kauai County has recognized the rock platform and its buffer zone, by creating a Special Treatment-Cultural District (O/ST-C) around it as part of the Zoning Amendment Ordinance (Ordinance No. PM-2001-356, Bill No. 2004, Draft 2).

Condition No. 19:

"19. Relying on its earlier decision in Public Access Shoreline Hawaii v. Hawaii County Planning Commission, 79 Haw. 425, 903 P.2d 1246 (1995), the Supreme Court in Ka Pa'akai o Ka'aina v. Land Use Commission, 94 Haw. 31, 46, 7 P3d 1068, 1083 (2000), declared that "to the extent feasible when granting a petition for reclassification of district boundaries," this Commission must "protect the reasonable exercise of customarily and traditionally exercised rights of native Hawaiians." To satisfy that obligation, "[s]pecific considerations regarding the extent of customary and traditional practices and the impairment and feasible protection of those uses must first be made before a petition for a land use boundary change is granted." Id., 94 Haw. At 52, 7 P.3d at 1089. The Commission's findings of fact and conclusions of law in land use boundary change proceedings must therefore specify "(1) the identity and scope of 'valued cultural, historical, or natural resources' in the petition area, including the extent to which traditional and customary native Hawaiian rights are exercised in the petition area; (2) the extent to which those resources – including traditional and customary native Hawaiian rights will be affected or impaired by the proposed action; and (3) the feasible action, if any, to be taken by the LUC to reasonably protect native Hawaiian rights if they are found to exist." Id."

Status:

Petitioner acknowledges the meaning the intent of Condition No. 19 and will accommodate such rights if it can be demonstrated that it traditionally existed.

Condition No. 20:

"20. There is some evidence of native Hawaiians' entry onto the property to gather and otherwise use it to exercise traditional and customary Hawaiian rights prior to the 1800s, particularly with respect to the fishpond. Native Hawaiian maka'ainana activity appears to have occurred only with the permission of the parcel's ali'i owners, after acquisition of the Property by Victoria Kamamalu. Accordingly and consistent with Petitioner's expressed willingness and commitment to restore, maintain, and operate the Kapalawai Fishpond as a Hawaiian fishpond, the Kapalawai Fishpond shall be restored, maintained, and operated in the manner consistent with traditional and customary Hawaiian practices as provided in P.A.S.H., supra., which the Commission finds to exist in the pond. Petitioner shall utilize recognized ancient Hawaiians [sic] pond experts such as Dr. Kikuchi and Professor Marion Kelly from the University of Hawaii, for guidance and assistance in the effort.

(a) Restoration shall proceed pursuant to a mitigation plan the Petitioner prepares and submits to the SHPD for review and approval prior to commencement of any restoration activity, and in accordance with the traditional and customary method described by Dr. Kikuchi, see Finding of Fact 109. Restoration shall be done deliberately and with sensitivity to the preservation of the fishpond and any marine and bird life at the site. Because large heavy-equipment could adversely affect the extant pond walls if the full weight of the vehicle is brought to bear on the wall, Petitioner shall make every effort to avoid using such equipment in the pond's restoration. The pohaku on which the fishpond's legendary mo'o wahine is said to have sat will be retrieved from the debris, and it shall be re-placed and preserved in the place at its legendary location before restoration work begins. The public shall have access to the Kapalawai Fishpond to observe its restoration by traditional and cultural native Hawaiian means.

(b) Petitioner shall establish a management plan for the maintenance and operation of the fishpond which is consistent with traditional and customary historic Hawaiian practices. It shall include provisions for sharing fish harvested from the fishpond, for educating the fishpond's caretakers and Petitioner's staff about Hawaiian fishponds and the Kapalawai Fishpond in particular, and for training fishpond caretakers in the traditional and customary Hawaiian practices for the maintenance and operation of the fishpond. The management plan

shall also include provisions for a fishpond management entity composed of a representative from the Petitioner and a representative from the West Kaua'i Hawaiian community to be selected by the Hawaiian community. This entity shall manage all aspects of the pond's use and utilization as a natural resource including harvesting, water use, and visitor access. This entity shall be responsible for the resolution of any dispute which may arise as to the management and operation of the Kapalawai Fishpond in accordance with traditional and customary Hawaiian practices. The selection of the management committee shall take place no later than six months after the approval of the SMA Permit. In addition, the plan shall include a process for the two members of the management entity to select a third member to overcome any stalemate. It is the intent of the Commission that as far as possible, Petitioner select individuals living in the West Kaua'i community who are familiar with the area and have a love for the 'aina to maintain and operate the Kapalawai fishpond. The management plan shall remain in effect for the duration of the original term of the Petitioner's lease with the landowner. The Hawaiian gathering rights on the pond shall not be affected by the expiration or termination of the lease. It shall be a further condition of the Commission that Petitioner shall report back to the Commission within one year from the approval of the SMA permit on the understanding that the Commission reserves the power and authority to amend the condition herein to better operate the management committee.

(c) Further, no Project building or structure shall be constructed closer than one hundred (100) feet from the fishpond. Every effort shall also be made to prevent the contamination of the fishpond during the construction and operation of the Project due to water and waste runoff, the operation of pumps or other machinery in the area, and human access and habitation."

Status:

Initial consultation with Dr. Kikuchi concluded that the current condition of the fishpond could not be improved without the assistance of mechanical equipment. In Dr. Kikuchi's letter report following a site inspection in November 2001, he endorsed the use of an excavator within the pond, based on an immediate need for gross vegetation clearance, economic considerations, and the need for speed in completing the job. SHPD, the County of Kauai Planning Department, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers approved this approach to the first step of pond clearance. At this date, no activities have commenced within the pond.

As clearing of the fishpond proceeds, the pohaku will be located, if it is still present, and preserved in place.

The management entity was established in November 26, 2002, and consists of Lewis P. Geysler and Aletha Kaohi. All of the details of the management plan (including those details specified in LUC Condition #20b) will be implemented in accordance with the SMA Permit. This includes a 100-foot setback from the fishpond, which has been adopted as part of the zoning requirements established by the County Zoning Amendment Ordinance (Zoning District Open/Special Treatment-Cultural).

SMA Condition #3b requires the development of a mitigation plan for the fishpond that will address all of the issues mentioned above. This plan was submitted in February 2006 as part of the Preservation Plan for the project. The Preservation Plan is currently under review by SHPD.

Condition No. 21:

"21. Petitioner acknowledges and agrees that it has no vested interest or right to develop the Petition Area, as reclassified, until Petitioner has substantially complied with the representations it made to the Commission. Petitioner waives any claims for liability against the State arising from any reversion of the Petition Area."

Status:

Petitioner acknowledges the meaning and intent of Condition No. 21.

Condition No. 22:

"22. Petitioner shall develop the Petition Area in substantial compliance with the representations made by the Petitioner to the Commission, including, but not limited to the representation that the Project shall be limited to a 250 visitor unit density with existing and accessory uses as proposed in its Petition. Failure to do so for any reason, including economic feasibility, may result in the imposition of fines as provided by law, removal of improvements by Petitioner at Petitioner's own expense, reversion of the Petition area to its former condition by Petitioner at Petitioner's own expense, reversion of the Petition Area to its former classification, or a change to a more appropriate classification, or any other legal remedies."

Status:

The Petitioner has consistently maintained a desire to adhere to a 250 visitor unit limit. This restriction is now part of the record of approval as established by Zoning Amendment Ordinance, Condition 3(h)(i), which also reflects the 250 unit maximum density.

Condition No. 23:

“23. Petitioner must give notice to the Commission of any intent to sell, lease, assign, place in trust, or otherwise voluntarily alter the ownership interest in the Property, prior to the development of the Property. The decision herein, including the conditions imposed on Petitioner, shall be binding on Petitioner’s successors and assigns according to law.”

Status:

A revised and extended Option Agreement between Landlord and Kapalawai Resort, LLC was entered into on July 28, 2005.

Condition No. 24:

“24. Petitioner shall timely provide, without any prior notice, annual reports to the Commission, the Office of Planning, and the County of Kaua’i Planning Department, in connection with the status of the subject Project and Petitioner’s progress in complying with the conditions imposed herein. The annual report shall be submitted in a form prescribed by the Executive Officer of the Commission.”

Status:

The first 2002 annual report was submitted to the LUC in compliance with this condition on April 6, 2002. A second annual report was submitted to the LUC on April 30, 2003. A third annual report was submitted to the LUC on July 29, 2004. The fourth annual report was submitted to the LUC on January 18, 2006. This letter constitutes the fifth annual report submitted to the LUC in compliance with this condition.

Condition No. 25:

“25. The Commission may fully or partially release the conditions provided herein, as to all or any portion of the Property upon timely motion and upon the provision of adequate assurance of satisfaction of these conditions by Petitioner.”

Status:

To date, there has been no need for full or partial release from any of the conditions included as part of the April 6, 2001 Decision and Order.

Condition No. 26:

“26. Within seven days of the issuance of the Commission’s Decision and Order for the subject reclassification, Petitioner shall (a) record with the Bureau of Conveyances, a statement that the Property is subject to conditions imposed herein by the Land Use Commission in the reclassification of the Property, and (b) shall file a copy of such recorded statement with the Commission.”

Status:

Petitioner recorded a statement with the Bureau of Conveyances that the property is subject to conditions imposed by the Commission on April 17, 2001 as Doc. No. 2001-054811.

Condition No. 27:

“27. Petitioner shall record conditions imposed herein by the Commission with the Bureau of Conveyances pursuant to Section 15-15-92, Hawaii Administrative Rules.”

Status:

Petitioner recorded the conditions imposed by the Commission with the Bureau of Conveyances pursuant to Section 15-15-92, Hawaii Administrative Rules, on June 14, 2001, as Doc. No. 2001-089516.

ZONING AMENDMENT CONDITIONS

Additional conditions established at time of zoning amendment approval that were not listed as part of the LUC conditions are summarized below with a brief status provided.

Condition No. 3(b):

Applicant shall provide appropriate documentation pertaining to historic preservation philosophy and methodology . . ., including the preservation of the carriage house and green house. SHPD and KHPRC shall be given the opportunity to provide further comments as more detailed historic preservation information and plans become available.”

Status:

A preservation plan for the historic buildings on the property was submitted to SHPD in February 2006 as required by the foregoing condition.

Condition No. 3(c)(i):

Applicant shall prepare a preservation plan for the five historic sties to be preserved. The plan must include buffer zones, interim protection measures and long range preservation measures. Before land alteration can occur, minimum buffer zones and interim protection measures need to be approved and the SHPD must verify in writing that this plan has been successfully executed.

Status:

See 3(b) above.

SMA CONDITIONS

Most of the SMA conditions have been addressed by the LUC and Zoning Amendment conditions described above. The following provides the status for those not covered by other conditions above.

Condition #3e:

The Applicant shall implement the guidelines and recommendations set forth in the Rural Historic Landscape Plan and incorporate them into the overall development scheme of the project. Implementation measures for the guidelines shall be addressed and incorporated into the timelines, milestones and priorities component set forth in Condition #5 of this approval.

Status:

These requirements are being presently incorporated into the ongoing design plans.

Condition #6:

The Applicant shall develop project timelines and milestones and identify development priorities. The timelines, milestones and priorities shall be developed in consultation with the Planning Department and other relevant agencies and organizations, and be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Director, prior to submittal of applications for building permits. Public, environmental and cultural amenities, and project mitigation measures shall be developed concurrently with construction and opening of resort facilities, and the timeliness and milestones shall include these amenities and measures.

Status:

The timelines, milestones and priorities are being developed by Petitioner's development team within the framework that ground will be broken in 2006 and the Project will be completed in 2008.

Condition #17:

An Environmental Impact Assessment Fee of \$1000.00 per unit for each of the 250 cottage units for a total of \$250,000.00 shall be paid prior to building permit approval.

Status:

The fee required by this condition will be paid to the Planning Department upon submittal of building permit applications.

Condition #18:


The Applicant shall pay a Park Dedication Fee pursuant to the Park Dedication Ordinance. An appraisal report and price list shall be provided to the Planning Department to forward to the Real Property Division to help calculate the fee.

Status:

Similar to the above condition, the Park Dedication Fee will be paid at the time of building permit application.

The foregoing constitutes Petitioner's fourth annual status report. Should you have any questions or desire any additional information or clarification with respect to the matters discussed above, please feel free to contact the undersigned as Attorney for the Petitioner, at (808) 547-5400.

Very truly yours,



Dennis M. Lombardi

cc: State Office of Planning
Kapalawai Resort, LLC