

	STATE OF HAV LAND USE COMM
1	MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION
2	MINUTES
3	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 6 2024
4	
5	The Planning Commission held a meeting on
6	Wednesday, March 6, 2024, 1:30 p.m. In-person and Remote
7	Meeting at Fasi Municipal Building, 6th Floor Conference
8	Room, 650 South King Street, Honolulu, Hawaii. Vice Chair
9	Kamo presided.
10	
11	COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Ryan Kamo, Vice Chair
12	Ken Hayashida, Member
13	Nathniel Kinney, Member
14	Hilarie Alomar, Member [remote]
15	Melissa May, Member [remote]
16	Joy Kimura, Member
17	
18	COMMISSIONERS EXCUSED: Pane Meatoga III, Chair
19	[prior notice given]
20	Kai Nani Kraut, Member
21	[prior notice given]
22	
23	DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL: Rozelle Agag
24	(Advisory to the Commission)
25	

		2
1	COMMISSION STAFF:	Gloria Takara
2		Secretary-Reporter
3	DIT STAFF:	Gregory Cieless, WebEx Management
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDING
2	VICE CHAIR KAMO: Aloha, everyone and welcome
3	fellow Commission members to the March 6, 2024 meeting of
4	the Planning Commission. For our listeners and for the
5	record I am Vice Chair Ryan Kamo. The following members are
6	physically present here in the 6th Floor Conference Room,
7	Fasi Municipal Building. Commissioner Ken Hayashida,
8	Commissioner Nathaniel Kinney, Commissioner Joy Kimura and
9	myself Ryan Kamo. Joining us remotely is Commissioner
10	Hilarie Alomar and hopefully Commissioner Melissa May.
11	She's on. Okay. For those remote Commissioners, could you
12	please confirm that you are alone in your remote location
13	and keep your video and audio recording on. Commissioner
14	Alomar.
15	ALOMAR: Present.
16	VICE CHAIR KAMO: And Commissioner May.
17	Commissioner May, are you alone and can you keep your video
18	and audio recording on.
19	MAY: Sorry, I just got my audio working.
20	This is Commissioner May, I'm here and alone.
21	VICE CHAIR KAMO: Fantastic.
22	Thank you very much.
23	[colloquy between Vice Chair Kamo and deputy corp
24	counsel Agag[
25	Commissioner Alomar, could you also confirm that

1 no one is with you in your remote location.

ALOMAR: Yes, I'm alone and present and can keep my video and sound on.

VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you very much. Also present here today is Planning Commission and DIT staff to manage and support the WebEx audiovisual platform. Also joining us today is the Commission attorney, deputy corporation counsel, Rozelle Agag.

9 COUNSEL AGAG: Good afternoon, Commissioners.
10 Rozelle Agag deputy corporation counsel.

VICE CHAIR KAMO: Couple housekeeping matters. For those present here in the conference room, restrooms are located on the ground floor next to the elevators. At 3:30 p.m. King Street becomes a tow away zone, and we have this conference room until 4:30 p.m.

At this time I'd like to officially open the public hearing and for the record is 1:34 p.m. Just a reminder for us Commissioners, we do need to identify ourselves before we begin speaking or making any motions. This meeting is being audio-visually recorded which will be posted at a later date.

With that said the first item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes. I do not believe we quorum for this agenda item, so we will be deferring this to a later date.

1	Next on the agenda is Item No. 3, public hearing.
2	Public hearing notice has been published in the Honolulu
3	Star-Advertiser on Monday, February 5, 2024. The Ewa State
4	Special Use Permit 2007/SUP-6, Makakilo Quarry, a proposed
5	amendment to the State Special Use Permit, SUP File NO.
6	2007/SUP-6 to extend the life of the Makakilo Quarry
7	resource extraction and processing operations by 15 years to
8	the year 2047 to reshape the area approved for resource
9	extraction and No. 3, expand operation hours of certain
10	activities in the quarry to 24 hours a day, 7 days a week;
11	and No. 4, to operate a ready-mix concrete plant in the
12	quarry pit.
13	Do we have a presentation by DPP and/or its agents
14	STAFF PLANNER KRAINTZ: Ready?
15	VICE CHAIR KAMO: Ready.
16	STAFF PLANNER KRAINTZ: Well, Aloha and good
17	afternoon, Vice Chair Kamo and members of the Planning
18	Commission. If you did already read the conditions but just
19	for basic introduction, my name is Franz Kraintz, I'm
20	currently the chief of the Community Planning Branch within
21	the Planning Division. And today, as you mentioned, we're
22	considering a number of modifications to the conditions of
23	the Makakilo Quarry, extension of its lifespan, the
24	expansion of the area, although there's no net increase,
25	increase to the ability to operate 24 hours a day, 7 days a

week, and then to allow the ready-mix concrete batch plant in the quarry pit. Grace Pacific has been operating on the site in this quarry for over 40 years. So there's a lot of history here, and I don't intend to go all over that. There's enough record that covers all that, and I think you've previously seen and have some of that.

7 The Makakilo plays a vital role in providing 8 materials for the construction industry for buildings, roads, runways, bridges. Not only on this island but 9 10 throughout the state; on all islands actually, and they also 11 recycle the discarded construction materials from the roads, 12 asphalt, concrete. So Grace Pacific is in the business of 13 resource extraction, and they've endeavor to be a good neighbor for all these decades that they've been in 14 15 operation. Especially the fact that residential communities 16 have built around them, and they do this through monitoring reports, the hotline, a number of onsite activities that 17 18 they keep the site in as best condition as possible, and the quick response that they have concerns that are expressed to 19 them by their neighbors. So, they've demonstrated a long 20 21 track record of experience and rapport with the community.

Their application contains an extensive amount of studies to look at the effects and possible adverse impacts that might be caused by these additional operations. Noise, dust, lightening, social economic impacts. They're all

1 provided in the application.

 some additional recommendations that these studies have as well to address any impacts that might be associated with them. We've looked at modifying six of the existing conditions that are currently there, and we've added five new ones. And those are contained in the Director's report and as well placed online on the DPP website. The recommended modifications of the existing conditions would be to allow Grace Pacific to have their proposed expansion of hours and the site. However, we do recognize that because this new scope of operations that new conditions are necessary. I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back 	2	Staff in its review of the application also has
them. We've looked at modifying six of the existing conditions that are currently there, and we've added five new ones. And those are contained in the Director's report and as well placed online on the DPP website. The recommended modifications of the existing conditions would be to allow Grace Pacific to have their proposed expansion of hours and the site. However, we do recognize that because this new scope of operations that new conditions are necessary. I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	3	some additional recommendations that these studies have as
 conditions that are currently there, and we've added five new ones. And those are contained in the Director's report and as well placed online on the DPP website. The recommended modifications of the existing conditions would be to allow Grace Pacific to have their proposed expansion of hours and the site. However, we do recognize that because this new scope of operations that new conditions are necessary. I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back 	4	well to address any impacts that might be associated with
 five new ones. And those are contained in the Director's report and as well placed online on the DPP website. The recommended modifications of the existing conditions would be to allow Grace Pacific to have their proposed expansion of hours and the site. However, we do recognize that because this new scope of operations that new conditions are necessary. I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back 	5	them. We've looked at modifying six of the existing
 report and as well placed online on the DPP website. The recommended modifications of the existing conditions would be to allow Grace Pacific to have their proposed expansion of hours and the site. However, we do recognize that because this new scope of operations that new conditions are necessary. I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back 	6	conditions that are currently there, and we've added
website. The recommended modifications of the existing conditions would be to allow Grace Pacific to have their proposed expansion of hours and the site. However, we do recognize that because this new scope of operations that new conditions are necessary. IVI I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	7	five new ones. And those are contained in the Director's
10 conditions would be to allow Grace Pacific to have their 11 proposed expansion of hours and the site. 12 However, we do recognize that because this new scope of 13 operations that new conditions are necessary. 14 I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of 15 the most important ones for us is that this is new 16 operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before 17 this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to 18 provide an update in status as to how the operations are 19 fair. 20 If there is a number or a lot, too many 21 complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the 22 provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if 23 they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed 24 sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	8	report and as well placed online on the DPP
 11 proposed expansion of hours and the site. 12 However, we do recognize that because this new scope of 13 operations that new conditions are necessary. 14 I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of 15 the most important ones for us is that this is new 16 operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before 17 this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to 18 provide an update in status as to how the operations are 19 fair. 20 If there is a number or a lot, too many 21 complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the 22 provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if 23 they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed 24 sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back 	9	website. The recommended modifications of the existing
However, we do recognize that because this new scope of operations that new conditions are necessary. I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	10	conditions would be to allow Grace Pacific to have their
 operations that new conditions are necessary. I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back 	11	proposed expansion of hours and the site.
 I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back 	12	However, we do recognize that because this new scope of
the most important ones for us is that this is new operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	13	operations that new conditions are necessary.
 operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to provide an update in status as to how the operations are fair. If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back 	14	I'll just highlight some of them. I think one of
17 this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to 18 provide an update in status as to how the operations are 19 fair. 20 If there is a number or a lot, too many 21 complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the 22 provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if 23 they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed 24 sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	15	the most important ones for us is that this is new
18 provide an update in status as to how the operations are 19 fair. 20 If there is a number or a lot, too many 21 complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the 22 provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if 23 they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed 24 sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	16	operations, and we feel that the applicant can come before
19 fair. 20 If there is a number or a lot, too many 21 complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the 22 provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if 23 they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed 24 sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	17	this Commission on a quarterly basis in the first year to
If there is a number or a lot, too many complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	18	provide an update in status as to how the operations are
21 complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the 22 provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if 23 they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed 24 sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	19	fair.
provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	20	If there is a number or a lot, too many
23 they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed 24 sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	21	complaints, this Commission can evaluate whether the
24 sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back	22	provisions, the mitigations in place are sufficient, and if
	23	they're not, if they?re not going to be addressed
25 some of the privileges that Grace Pacific has. In addition	24	sufficiently, the Commission has the authority to take back
	25	some of the privileges that Grace Pacific has. In addition

we have talked about adding or requiring an updated grading 1 plan, an upgraded lighting plan, a traffic circulation 2 study, and again this is to--especially for the nighttime 3 activity try to prevent any trucks backing up. If you live 4 in town you know what that is like when the garbage trucks 5 6 come at 5, 6 sometimes earlier then that in the morning. As well as the assurances about the protection of 7 8 archaeological resources that are on the site.

9 And we feel that these added conditions adequatel
10 anticipate the additional operational posture of the
11 Applicant at the quarry.

Now, the Commission may note that you received from counsel of the Applicant that they modify some of the language that we have for Conditions 18, 19 ad 20.
We worked with the Applicant on those conditions, so we are satisfied with the language there, and we support it.

As you know following your decision, your actions today, this petition gets transmitted to the State Land Use Commission for their ultimate decision on the matter.

20 So at this point I will rest and be available for 21 any questions.

VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you for your presentation.
Commissioners, any questions for DPP? [no response]
Hearing none, does the Applicant have a presentation that
they would like to share?

MR. CHIPCHASE: Yes, Chair, and I will start bY 1 introducing myself. I am Cal Chipchase, counsel for the 2 Applicant Grace Pacific LLC and with me at the table is my 3 colleague Jarrett Dempsey. We do have a brief presentation. 4 Two witnesses will speak and a number of witnesses are 5 available if the Commission has questions related to any 6 other subject matter expertise. If it is all right with the 7 Chair and the Commission, I will give a brief introduction 8 9 and call our first witness. 10 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Please proceed. MR. CHIPCHASE: All right. Thank you very much. 11 Chair and Commission as DPP explained in its presentation we 12 13 are seeking a modification of existing permits 2007/SUP-6. 14 That permit allows Grace Pacific to excavate and process rock in the Makakilo Quarry subject to certain conditions 15 16 and that permit is good until the year 2032. The modifications that we seek are to extend it by 15 years to 17 18 2047 to partially reshape the quarry pit to provide access to some higher quality rock. In exchange for that we are 19 expanding the buffer area and that?s DPP was able to explain 20 There?s no net increase in the mining area around the 21 it. 22 area of the quarry, extend the hours of operation only for 23 certain limited activities that are best performed at night and then to confirm that a ready-mixed concrete, that plant 24 25 is a permissible use within the quarry area.

In this application we went through several rounds 1 with the Department. This was a back and fourth, making 2 3 sure we provided all the information that the Department 4 needed in order to accept and process he application. We 5 also gave three presentations to the Neighborhood Board as 6 well as sending in by direct mail or letter to old residents 7 nearby explaining the application and changes that were 8 being sought. We also had an opportunity to address DPP all substantive comments received from other agencies and public 9 10 comments as well.

11 On January 31st of this year the Director issued 12 her report recommending approval of the application subject to conditions as you heard from DPP. We are in agreement 13 14 with nearly all of those conditions, all of the existing conditions. We certainly agree to retain the modifications. 15 16 We had proposed changes to 18, 19, and 20. We worked with 17 DPP on those, and we are in agreement with the language as 18 revised and submitted to the Commission.

19 Today I have two witnesses to present to the 20 Commission. Scott Komatsu, who is the vice president for 21 materials at Grace Pacific. He will provide the Commission 22 with an overview of Grace Pacific, the quarry operations, 23 the proposed changes, and the studies and other evaluations 24 we did to ensure that the changes proposed would not have 25 any adverse impacts.

1 You will also hear Dr. Mara Mulrooney who conducted the archeological inventory survey and performed 2 3 the cultural impact analysis for the project. In addition to them, I have available online the following experts who 4 prepared and submitted reports. They are on file as part of 5 6 our application. For grading I have Albert Rivera. He is with Bowers and Kubota. For traffic I have Andrew 7 8 Scher. He is with Fehr and Peers. For archaeological 9 resources I have Huang-Chi Kuo who is with Ko'olau 10 Ecological Services. Noise available is Dana Dorsch who is with CENSEO AV and Acoustics. For lighting is Dennis Toba 11 with Ronald N.S. Ho and Associates. The dust study is 12 13 Vincent Yanagita. He is with Environmental Risk Analysis 14 LLC. Vibrations and the studies that were done with respect 15 to potential vibration impacts. I have Brent Meins who is 16 the chief engineer with Detecht. And then for Views and Socio-Socio-Economic Impact, I have Jarred Chang who is with 17 18 Bowers and Kubota. If there is any questions that falls within any of those expert areas that cannot be addressed by 19 20 the application and materials on file with the Commission, 21 we will pull them up and we will be happy to address it. 22 Unless the Commission or the Chair, you have any 23 introductory questions. I will call our first witness. VICE CHAIR KAMO: You may do so. 24 MR. CHIPCHASE: All right. Scott would you come 25

1 up and be sworn in. Would you like to Scott to sit over there? 2 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Yes, that is great. 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHIPCHASE: Scott would you introduce yourself and be sworn in. 5 0: 6 A: Yes. My name is Scott Komatsu, and I am the vice 7 president of materials at Grace Pacific. 8 VICE CHAIR KAMO: We do not need to swear in. 9 MR. CHIPCHASE: Very well 10 Q: All right, Scott. Well, I trust you will say the truth or tell the truth anyway. 11 12 A: I will try my best. 13 All right. So Scott what do you do for work? 0: 14 A : I am the vice president of materials. I oversee the 15 asphalt plants, the quarry, Asphalt Hawaii, which is a 16 liquid asphalt terminal, our sales department along with our trucking department. 17 How long have you held that position? 18 Q : About 3-1/2, 4 years now 19 A: 20 0: And how long have you been with Grace Pacific? 21 A: I have been with Grace for 35 years now. 22 0: What other positions have you held with Grace? 23 I had the wonderful opportunity to work in the QC A: testing department, where I tested aggregated hot mix. 24 Ι worked in the sales department. I work at the asphalt 25

	13
1	plants. I manage the asphalt plants, manage the quarries.
2	I got a lot of opportunities to touch a lot of different
3	divisions at Grace Pacific.
4	Q: So, Scott, is it fair to say you have kind of done
5	everything at Grace Pacific?
6	A: Okay. Well, in all your years there and all the
7	different jobs, would you tell us just a little about what
8	Grace Pacific is and does?
9	A: Yeah, sure. Grace Pacific is an asphalt paving company.
10	We are also a material supply company. So we supply
11	construction aggregates and hot mix asphalt. And the hot
12	mix asphalt side we do that statewide.
13	Q: Can you give us just some of the projects that Grace has
14	been involved in?
15	A: Oh, definitely. Big example, last year we had a project
16	called Runway Inc. Left. Thirty-five years we never did
17	that kind of volume in less than a month. It was a hundred
18	thousand hot mix tons where we paved the airport and
19	something like that takes a lot of coordination. It takes
20	a company like ours where we can throw three to four asphalt
21	paving crews on the job.
22	Our quarry, we actually started making rock for
23	that project eight to ten months ahead of time because of
24	how fast we had to turn that material around. And over the
25	

25 years we have done majority of the state highways, freeways

1 and City streets.

	-
2	Q: Thinking about the airport runway project, Scott, would
3	that kind of project been possible to do without the quarry?
4	A: It would be extremely difficult just mainly because the
5	coordination, our ability to not only make the product ahead
6	of time. We also had the ability to design it based
7	onBecause we make the airport project is probably one of
8	the strictest type hot mix specs that they have. So, yeah,
9	definitely the quarry was very beneficial.
10	Q: And, I know Grace has been very involved with the
11	community over the years, just from a big picture, what kind
12	of community efforts is Grace involved in?
13	A: From Kapolei High School we would donate like lighting
14	for graduation or message boards. We have donated materials
15	to the shelters in Waianae when they were making it.
16	One of our big projects that we have been doing
17	since 1997 is what we call Outstanding Keiki.
18	Outstanding Keiki is where, I think there is five or six
19	elementary schools and approximately 20, 5th graders from
20	each school gets chosen as an outstanding keiki where the
21	school, of course, chooses them by leadership abilities, by
22	academics, you know how much community service they do. So
23	we take theses kids, and spend a day, do a lot of
24	activities. We bring in people to teach them stuff too and
25	at the end of the day give them dinner and award in front of

their parents and family. And the other part is if they 1 continue to meet a certain criteria and excel all the way to 2 3 graduation in high school they qualify for the Grace Pacific scholarship. They have opportunity for that. 4 5 Thanks Scott. Tells us about the guarry? 0: 6 A: Yes. The quarry is really important. We do about a 7 million tons a year, and we provide majority of the aggravates from Pearl City all the way to Kaena Point and 8 9 all the way to Waialua. For construction, logistics is 10 really important. Hauling is expensive, moving material 11 around is expensive. It is very difficult. Like one quarry 12 far away the cost just jumps up if you plan to bring it in from somewhere else. 13 14 0: So where is this quarry located, Makakilo Quarry? Yes. Makakilo Quarry is located in Makakilo between H1 15 A: and what we call Puu-Makakilo. 16 Q: So you have talked about the work that Grace Pacific 17 does, but you also talked about being a material supply 18 19 company. Give us that sense whose Grace customers are? 20 A: Yes. Grace customers are the agencies; City, State, Federal, Board of Water Supply and all the way down to the 21 22 little kiso store operators and, of course, residential, 23 landscape aggregates for the yard. 24 Q: And I know you talk about this in reference to that one 25 project, the airport project, but generally speaking why is

1 Makakilo Quarry important?

2	A: It's important, one natural resources ae very limited.
3	Logistics is such a cost and like I mentioned earlier, if
4	we're hauling it in or we have to import aggregates from
5	theMost of it would come from Canada. It literally would
6	triple the cost, and I highly doubt two quarries could
7	manage the volumes of Oahu. So it's definitely important.
8	Q: If we focus down a little bit more in detail in the
9	quarry itself, how many parcels does Grace own near the
10	quarry?
11	A: Three. Parcel 82, 74 and Parcel 4.
12	Q: Help us understand which operations occur in any
13	parcels?
14	A: Oh, definitely. So when you look at the map, Parcel 82
15	is majority of the areas that we process the aggregate, the
16	crushing and screening, while Parcel 74 is where we do
17	majority of the excavating and grading.
18	Q: And what about Parcel 4?
19	A: Parcel 4, when I first started all of our operations
20	were based down there. And then finally later when we moved
21	up to the upper quarry that area is just a buffer landscape
22	zone right now. Nothing is happening down there now.
23	Q: And as part of this application, has Grace proposed new
24	activities on Parcel 4?
25	A: No.

1	Q: Scott, stepping back just for a moment, what are the
2	uses surrounding the quarry?
3	A: Around the quarry is mainly agricultural and residence.
4	Q: Can you briefly explain for us how rock is mined and
5	processed at the quarry?
6	A: Yeah, definitely. We first got to figure out if we want
7	to go what we call "A" rock and "B" rock. So "A" grade rock
8	or "A" grade aggregates are used in hot mix, asphalt or
9	concrete. Little higher level of quality and then our "B"
10	grade aggregates is what we use in what we call site work or
11	earth work, base core, select (inaudible), general fills,
12	you know what you build under the roads and highways.
13	So, then after we select what we want to go for we do
14	drilling and blasting which creates what we call our feed
15	material, and then it goes through the primary. We crush it
16	down to what we call surge size, and then from there we send
17	it either to our "A" finish plant or "B" finish plant.
18	And there you pretty much make your No. 4 refined, 3-course,
19	which is our "A" finished products, and then base core,
20	select 3B fine etc. on the "B" grade side.
21	Q: DPP in its presentation also mentioned recycling
22	efforts, asphalt and concrete. Can you tell us about those?
23	A: Yes. Recycling efforts, one of the great benefit it
24	lowers our carbon footprint definitely. That's a hot topic,
25	and also what it does. One of the biggest reasons why we
1	

1	started it way back was that it diverted hundreds and
2	hundreds of thousands of tons from the landfill. And
3	landfill are tough in Hawaii, right. It's not easy to open
4	new ones. And, of course, finally what we want to do we
5	want to do is extend and stretch out our natural resource as
6	long as we can. Because there's only so much rock that can
7	be mined in Hawaii.
8	Q: At the present time, about how much materials are
9	recycled every year by Grace.
10	A: Yes. We recycle approximately a hundred thousand tons a
11	year.
12	Q: Scott, we're here talking about an amendment to the
13	existing special use permit. Existing special use permit
14	allows Grace to conduct the activities that's it currently
15	doing. Does the Special Use Permit also establish operating
16	hours?
17	A: Yes, it does. Based on the type of activity that we do
18	if it's like bringing in old paving material from our paving
19	jobs, you know there's set hours. If we're crushing and
20	mining or doing drilling and blasting there's certain set
21	hours. It is designated there.
22	Q: In addition regulating the hours, does the existing
23	Special Use Permit have a number of conditions designed to
24	mitigate any impact?
25	A: Yes, they do.

1	Q: Just broad strokes. What kinds of conditions are in
2	place?
3	A: Well, it's listed there in front of us.
4	Broad strokes, you know we have to maintain our natural
5	landscaping. Franz covered it really well when he went over
6	it. But, yeah, definitely things that we maintain today.
7	Q: And is Grace Pacific in compliance with those
8	conditions?
9	A: Yes, we are.
10	Q: So let's focus on the changes that we're looking at
11	today. We're looking at the extension of 15 years,
12	adjusting the shape, modifying the hours and approving the
13	ready mix concrete. Let me focus us on the expiration
14	first. Current permit expires in 2032. We're asking for a
15	15-year extension. Why is Grace asking for a 15-year
16	extension?
17	A: Part of why we're asking for it, like the second one
18	we're asking is the reshaping. And what the reshaping does
19	is it gives us a higher yield of what we call "A" grader,
20	the better aggregate and it gives us a little bit more
21	volume that we can use. So based on the additional volumes
22	and our study that we did in 2018 of what we have left, we
23	feel that 47 years date would match up well.
24	Q: So the extension to 2047 is designed to align with the
25	aggregate that Grace believe it has remained?

1 A: Yes.

2	Q: So let's talk about the reshaping that you mentioned.
3	And we will put up on the screen Exhibit J to the
4	application, and I'll just have generally describe what
5	Exhibit J shows.
6	A: Yeah. So Exhibit J, exactly what we talked about before
7	that where we want to get roughly 15.6 acres to do our
8	excavating and grading, and we're giving back 15.6 acres to
9	add to like, I guess the buffer zone what we call it. Once
10	again the great news is that majority of the additions makes
11	the distance from the residence slightly further away.
12	Q: We will put on the screen Exhibit G3, and I'll just ask
13	the same question, can you just generally describe what G3
14	shows?
15	A: Yeah. G3 the blue striped areas is the area we're
16	asking for, and the yellow areas is what we're giving back
17	to buffer.
18	Q: And finally Exhibit I. What does Exhibit I depict?
19	A: Exhibit I is our new closure grading plan. It's similar
20	to the plan that we had previously except for it includes
21	the new reshaping that we're talking about.
22	Q: And moving onto to the next item that we're seeking
23	amendment for, the hours of operation. In what way is Grace
24	Pacific proposing to modify the hours of operation. We're
25	asking for 24 hours operation for our asphalt plant, for the

concrete batch plant, for sales, for incoming materials. 1 The main reason we want that is because many of the agencies 2 use it as night work as a way to mitigate traffic, and we 3 have to be able to make hot mix, send it out and provide our 4 5 projects with the materials. 6 So staying on that topic, how does Grace Pacific Q: currently meet that night demand for City and State 7 8 projects? 9 A: Currently, our asphalt plant is Kalaeloa, also known as Campbell Industrial Park, and we have to haul aggregates 10 down there, and that area has our permit. You can go day 11 and night. And definitely one of the challenges with that 12 is, like I said, logistics. You know Makakilo and Campbell 13 seems really close, but that's \$6, \$7 on trucking. Trucking 14 is expensive and the cost savings that eventually our 15 customers would benefit from would come if we put the plant 16 in the quarry, and we would only be able to do that if we're 17 18 able to work day and night. Q: And so consolidating the uses at Makakilo Quarry also 19 20 takes trucks off the road? Yes, definitely. We do about 10,000 loads a year, so 21 A: 22 that's much less wear and tear from trucks delivering 23 aggregates back and forth. Q: As part of the adjustments to the hours of operation, is 24 Grace Pacific seeking any change the hours for blasting, 25

1	drilling, excavating, crushing or recycling?
2	A: Not at all.
3	Q: And on the final change, is Grace Pacific also seeking
4	confirmation that a ready mix concrete patching plant is an
5	allowed use in the quarry?
6	A: Yes.
7	Q: Scott, I want to talk about a little bit about the
8	studies that were done in connection with this project of
9	this application. I have on my list, dust, noise,
10	vibrations, traffic, biological resources, view planes,
11	lighting, cultural resources and practices, archaeological
12	sites and social economic effects. What were the outcomes
13	generally speaking of those studies?
14	A: Those studies, all the outcomes came where what we're
15	asking for in the what we're proposing would not have a
16	negative context to it. It wouldn't adversely affect the
17	residents around us.
18	Q: And, I understand the quarry is located right at the
19	footprint, the base of Puu-Makakilo. Can you tell us how
20	that impacts
21	A: Yes, definitely
22	Q:operations.
23	A: The Puu isSo like I said earlier we're located between
24	the freeway and Puu and majority of the homes is beyond
25	that. You know mauka and some it little bit west. The Puu

itself is thousand feet in the air. Our quarry boundaries 1 compared to the floor what we call the pit is actually. You 2 know have 850, 500. So there's so much of a natural barrier 3 around us that noise, dust, these different things is just 4 not adverse affect to the residentials, plus they're 5 thousand plus feet away from us. 6 Q: Let's focus on dust for a moment. That was performed by 7 Environmental Risk Analysis. How di Environmental Risk 8 Analysis perform its study? 9 10 A: Yeah. They went overboard. What they did for us is they monitored the dust on up like we were running 11 everything at the same time, which we never do. And they 12 also ran their modeling based on the wind blowing directly 13 towards the residents. And, yeah, it was like the worse 14 case, overkill type study and even with all of that the 15 numbers that they came up with or the analysis was way below 16 17 the standard. What does Grace Pacific do to control dust? 18 0: Yes, we do a lot. So we have two 9,000 gallon--Oh, 19 A: right there the two 9,000 gallon water trucks. We have one 20 5,000 gallon water trucks. So based on what we see 21 everyday, we do a minimum of about 150,000 gallons. 22 And what that does it shoots down the roads, it shoots down 23 the stock piles, and on a windier day or days that we have a 24

25 lot of truck traffic and we got to pick up, we can go all

1	the way up to 300,000 gallons. The other thing we do,
2	during manufacturing, during blasting, we have things in
3	place, our spray bars or, you know fine mist tunnels that
4	shoot and can also add water on different drop point or
5	where the materials come out.
6	Q: And, Scott, is all of that water non-potable?
7	A: It's non-potable; we have a well at Grace Pacific; yeah.
8	Q: And did Environmental Risk Analysis recommend any
9	additional practices or conditions?
10	A: They did not
11	Q: Scott, let's touch on noise. CENSEO Av & Acoustics did
12	the noise study. How did they perform the noise study?
13	A: Yeah. They took data on similar to the dust. They took
14	it on our equipment. They ran everything at the same time.
15	Once again going with the worse case scenario. Including
16	we did some off on the side recently like some backup noise,
17	alarm type studies, and we got information from them and the
18	bottom line came out to where ambient noise, whether it be
19	day or night it was louder then what would reach the
20	neighbors. So it would negligible. You wouldn't be able to
21	hear it.
22	Q: Focusing on vibration next. I know the consultant was
23	Detecht. What Detecht do to analyze potential vibrations?
24	A: And I forgot to mention this earlier. So Grace, we
25	really want to be partners with the community. We have dust

1	monitors that we run all year long. The new one we just got
2	does it every minute. We have vibration monitors. We have
3	wind direction monitors. And what Detecht did is they took
4	our last eight years of data and tried it out, and we came
5	to the realization that none of our blast exceeded the line
6	standard.
7	Q: And is that a Federal standard?
8	A: Yes, correct; yeah.
9	Q: Turning to traffic, the consultant was Fehr and Peers.
10	What studies did Fehr and Peers perform. Essentially they
11	took some measurements of traffic outside of our quarry near
12	H1 and some of the off-ramps, and their studies said there
13	was no impact based on the changes we wanted to make to our
14	permit.
15	Q: And if I recall correctly the Fehr and Pear study didn't
16	account for the decrease in trucks?
17	A: Right.
18	Q: The savings essentially of not having to move material
19	out to Campbell, is that right?
20	A: Yes. Essentially in line with the other studies we did,
21	kind of going worse case scenario. By putting the plan in
22	the quarry. We talked about the 10,000 trucks, they didn't
23	even minus that out. It was just based on the new numbers, I
24	guess you could say.
25	Q: And even with that, determined most negligible i impact?

1 A: Negligible impact; yeah.

2	Q: Turning to ecological resources, Ko'olau Ecological
3	Services performed that study. What did Ko'olau do?
4	A: Yeah, they surveyed our land. They went out and they
5	looked for evasive species or plants or endangered
6	speciesSorry, no evasive species, endangered species or
7	plants or any habitats that they think someone might go in
8	there and essentially they couldn't find anything.
9	Q: Even though they didn't find any protective species, I
10	understand Ko'olau Ecological proposed some additional
11	conditions. Will Grace Pacific abide by those conditions?
12	A: Yes, we will.
13	Q: I want to talk for a moment about view planes. And, I
14	understand that Bowers and Kubata did view plane study
15	looking at the presence of the quarry today, evaluating when
16	there will be any additional viewplane impact, and looking
17	at the naturalization at the end of the project. Can you
18	tell us a little bit about that study?
19	A: Yes. If you see the two photos, the bottom photo is the
20	existing conditions as you would see it today, and the top
21	photo is what it would be after we did our re-naturalization
22	and our grading plan in the future. So what they did is
23	they chose a bunch of significant viewpoint from Crock
24	Center, you know from all different places, and then they
25	took pictures and see that based on the grading plan we got,

1	what it would like the simulated look like in the future.
2	Q: And was it a determination that the proposed amendments
3	would not have any additional impacts on view plane?
4	A: Yes.
5	Q: I understand and DPP mentioned it that there's a new
6	condition regarding a naturalization plan. Is Grace Pacific
7	agreeable to that condition?
8	A: Yes.
9	Q: Touching briefly on lighting, Ronald N.S. Ho Associates
10	did a lighting study. What was the result of that study?
11	A: Very similar to all the other studies where our
12	operations on the floor and with all of our big walls around
13	us it wouldn't have much an impact on anyone.
14	Q: Despite the absence of an impact, I understand that
15	certain conditions for lighting were recommended. Will
16	Grace Pacific abide by those conditions?
17	A: Yes.
18	Q: Finally, Scott, at least for your portion here, I know
19	that socioeconomic impact study was prepared also by Bowers
20	and Kubota, what was the result of that study?
21	A: The results of that study was that they felt there would
22	be an economic gain through our new proposal, and that there
23	would be no adverse socioeconomic impact.
24	MR. CHIPCHASE: All right. Chair, Commission if
25	it's all right with you, I'll ask Dr. Mulrooney to take the

1 stand virtually so to speak. We will briefly talk about 2 archaeology, and we will conclude with Scott. 3 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Before we do that, Commissioners, do we have any questions for the testifier? [no response] 4 Hearing none. 5 6 MR. CHIPCHASE: Thank you, Chair. Dr. Mulrooney, 7 are you available? MS. MULROONEY: I am. Good afternoon. 8 9 **QUESTIONING BY MR. CHIPCHASE:** Q: Would you mind introducing yourself and just explaining 10 11 for us what you do for work? 12 Sure. My name is Mara Mulrooney. I'm a senior A: archaeologist at Pacific Legacy Incorporated. 13 Q: And briefly summerizing for us your educational and work 14 15 experience. 16 A : I have Ph.D in anthropology for the University of Auckland in New Zealand, and my specialization is 17 18 archaeology in the Pacific region including, of course, of Hawaii. 19 I've been working as an archaeologist here for about two 20 decades. 21 Q: And, I understand Mr. Mulrooney for this project, for 22 23 Grace Pacific you prepared a Cultural Impact Assessment Iiterature Review and field inspection and an archaeological 2.4 25 inventory survey. Did I capture those?

1	A: Yes, that's correct.
2	Q: If I could start with the Cultural Impact Assessment
3	A: A Cultural Impact Assessment is an analysis that's done
4	to evaluate potential impacts to traditional culture
5	practices that would result from a proposed project.
6	Q: And as part of that did you perform what's known as a Ka
7	Paakai Analysis?
8	A: We did.
9	Q: And just in general terms could you explain for us what
10	that analysis includes?
11	A: Yes, sure. So what we did for this project was actually
12	to develop an addendum to the 2008 CIA prepared and that
13	included a Ka Paakai Analysis which really seeks to identify
14	cultural, historical and natural resources and assess the
15	extent to which those resource might be impacted by a
16	project.
17	So what it includes is a lot archival research, of
18	course, that includes looking at previous archaeological and
19	cultural studies in the area and conducting interviews with
20	knowledgable individuals who are from that area.
21	Q: And, I understand as part of that you resulted a review
22	the 2008 Cultural Impact Assessment. What were the findings
23	in that 2008 assessment?
24	A: That's correct. So both through that assessment and the
25	current addendum we learned that there a lot of significant

moolelo about the area, of course. Pu'u Makakilo features 1 2 prominently in Makakilo sort of the watching eyes, places 3 like Kaoli Gulch which used to have a fresh water spring, those sorts of features, prominently in moolelo. But we 4 also learned that the areas been subject to a lot of 5 development so that's beginning really with ranching in the 6 late 1800s followed by plantation agricultural. Of course 7 sugarcane in this particular area a lot of associated 8 diversional of water resources. The Pu'u later on was used 9 10 for military training, that was during WWII and the area 11 was, of course, transformed into what it looks today 12 thereafter including a lot of residential areas and the 13 quarry being built almost 50 years ago. There was also a 14 planned golf course. So, if you look at the map that's o 15 the PowerPoint currently, that's the area that's kind of 16 bright green. So, that area was very heavily disturbed 17 during the 1990s as construction began for that planned golf course which was subsequently foreclosed on. 18 Q: And doctor turning from the cultural review to the 19 archaeological review I know they overlap to a great extent, 20 but I know you conducted an archaeological inventory survey, 21 and I was hoping you could describe your findings as part of 22 23 that study? Yeah, sure. So for the AIS we completed a 100% 24 A:

25 pedestrian survey of the proposed expansion area. Within

that study we documented five features and these were all 1 2 features of a previously identified historic property which is SHIP 50801201975. What those features included were 3 walled terraces like the one you see here that were likely 4 associated with agricultural activities or water diversion 5 during the post-contact era. What we're looking here is 6 7 some remnant features. They're not complete and that's not surprising given the previous disturbance of the area. 8 Q: And so focusing on your conclusions with respect to 9 10 Cultural Impact and impact to historic resources, what did 11 you ultimately determine and recommend? A: So ultimately both of these studies determined that 12 there are no anticipated impacts to resources and 13 traditional and customary native Hawaiian rights within the 14 15 expansion area. 16 0: Thank you, doctor. Chair, I have no further questions at this time, and open it up to the Commission. 17 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you. Any questions for 18 the testifier or for the Applicant? 19 20 HAYASHIDA: Commissioner Hayashida. Currently, 21 there's no ready-mix plants. So is that an expansion of a new business or --22 23 MR. CHIPCHASE: That's probably a question for me, doctor, not for you. So, I'll take that, Commissioner. 24 25 There is currently a ready-mix concrete plant in operation.

When we through the existing permit, we believe it's 1 2 authorized. DPP takes a different view, that it's not authorized. We decided not to have an argument over that, 3 which will include confirmation that it's authorized as part 4 of this permit application. 5 HAYASHIDA: So, it's Grace's concrete plant? 6 MR. CHIPCHASE: Grace leases it. 7 HAYASHIDA: Existing, not expanding? 8 MR. CHIPCHASE: Yes. 9 HAYASHIDA: So future neighbors that come in at 10 11 the university level, are they still farther away from any 12 dust and noise impacts? I'm happy to bring Scott back up, 13 MR. CHIPCHASE: but, yes our belief is that any future neighbors that come 14 15 in will continue to be located efficient distance. They will not be impacted by the operations as existing or as 16 17 proposed in the amendment. HAYASHIDA: Fire. Any kind of wildfire studies or 18 mitigation or anything like that? 19 MR. CHIPCHASE: The only issue with respect to 20 fires, there are ongoing discussions with the City about 21 access during the event of a fire, and we have committed to 22 the City in writing that we're open to continuing those 23 discussions. I think it's a fair way to put it, Franz; 24 continuing those discussions to see if we can reach 25

agreement on how to provide access in the event of an 1 2 emergency. And Scott is telling me in the background that we 3 4 have fire breakrails as well. HAYASHIDA: Thank you. That's all my questions. 5 MR. CHIPCHASE: You're very welcomed. 6 VICE CHAIR KAMO: For our Commissioners joining 7 8 remotely, do you have any questions for the applicant? ALOMAR: No, I don't, I'm good. This is 9 Commissioner Alomar. 10 MAY: No questions for me. Commissioner May. 11 12 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you. 13 MR. CHIPCHASE: If I may then, Chair, I'll just bring Scott briefly back up to talk about the proposed 14 amended conditions and the community outreach, and then I'll 15 conclude. 16 17 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Great. FURTHER QUESTIONING BY MR. CHIPCHASE: 18 19 Q: Welcome back, Scott. Briefly summarize for us the community outreach that Grace Pacific did in connection with 20 this application? 21 22 DIT GREG CIELESS: I'm sorry, sir, could you uncover your microphone. 23 MR. CHIPCHASE: Oh, I'm sorry, I did not see that. 24 25 How's that?

DIT GREG CIELESS: That's good. 1 2 O: All right. Let me reask. Scott, welcome back. Can you just briefly summerize for us the community 3 outreach. 4 A: Yeah, definitely. You know, during this process Grace 5 Pacific went out to the Neighborhood Board three times. One 6 of the things that felt was really important is that they 7 knew what we wanted to do before we submitted it to the DPP. 8 So brought them out, you know, we talked to them about what 9 we wanted to do and hopefully look for any kind of questions 10 and anything that we could answer or any concerns, in which 11 they had some. So we went back two times after that, and it 12 It was where we had a lot of support. went really well. 13 Q: And, Scott, today, if the community has a concern, feels 14 15 there's an impact, an issue with the operations, how do they get in touch with Grace Pacific? 16 A: Yes. The easiest way to get in touch with Grace Pacific 17 is to go to our website. We have one specifically for the 18 quarry, a hotline, and we also have a special email that you 19 an send out. So what they do is they call up. They would 20 address--You know even if they leave a message. Everything 21 is documented. As soon as we get it, we contact that 22 person. We try to investigate what's the problem, and 23 address it right away. Also everyone of these are 24 documented, and we do put that on our annual review with DPP 25

1	and let them know what kind of issues we have.
2	Q: And will Grace continue that hotline in that
3	responsiveness?
4	A: Yes.
5	Q: Scott, I'm not going to put them on the screen, I just
6	need you to confirm for me that the proposed conditions 18,
7	19, and 20 in the Director's report are the only conditions
8	that Grace seeks to modify?
9	MR. CHIPCHASE: Thank you, Scott. Chair, I have
10	no further questions.
11	VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you. Commissioners, any
12	questions for the testifier?
13	HAYASHIDA: Commissioner Hayashida. If this
14	extension was not provided, what would happen to our
15	aggregate source or where would the source be in the future?
16	MR. KOMATSU: I think I mentioned a little bit
17	about it before. SOme of it, whatever that kind of overflow
18	that the other two quarries can have, they would pick up
19	some of it. Of course, it's going to be more expensive.
20	And right now only our quarry and Kapaa's quarry is able to
21	make hot mix. Because mainly Hawaiian cement quarry has
22	higher absorption. It's a little above specification.
23	Perfect for concrete; nothing wrong with their rock. The
24	next option like I said would be importing. We have
25	experienced importing and right now it's probably tripple

the price essentially as far as cost, triple the cost. 1 HAYAHIDA: So no future plans to find another 2 3 quarry in the future or planning another quarry? MR. KUMATSU: Not for Grace. New quarries on Oahu 4 5 you're talking about, right? HAYASHIDA: Thank you. 6 7 VICE CHAIR KAMO: For our Commissioners online, any questions for the testifier? 8 COUNSEL AGAG: Looks like they're shaking their 9 10 heads. KIMURA: This is Commissioner Kimura. I would like 11 ask a question, please? I wanted to ask. I know you did 12 community outreach. And from the information that was 13 provided to us through your application, can you just give 14 us information what is--Is it more support or opposition? 15 16 What is the percentage that you found from the neighborhood boards and the people in the community? 17 MR. KOMATSU: And they can probably attest. 18 It felt really positive. Like I said we went three times. 19 The main thing that we had to do was they had questions 20 likes, what do we do for vibrations? How do we know how 21 far, and dust, and that's why we spent so much time and 22 money to make sure it's not just us saying it, it's outside 23 consultants and on top of that we had a lot of support, 24 25 people about kind of similar to what Franz said. We've been

doing this for a long time. We've always been in great 1 2 partners with the community and people came up and essentially said that during the community meetings. As far 3 4 as percentage, I don't think there was like "no, we can't do 5 this." I don't remember much of that, but it was definitely--They had questions and something similar like 6 7 this I was able to answer what we do and how we do the 8 mitigated. 9 KIMURA: Thank you. 10 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Commissioners, any further questions? [no response] 11 12 MR. CHIPCHASE: That concludes. Well, let me ask, is there any Commissioners that has any questions for any 13 other substantive expert? If not, I will them go, and I'll 14 close. 15 16 VICE CHAIR KAMO: At this point, we've asked the 17 questions, so you can let them go. 18 MR. CHIPCHASE: Very good, Chair. 19 VICE CHAIR KAMO: You'll be here for future questions if they arise? 20 21 MR. CHIPCHASE: We'll certainly be here, and if we 22 need to bring somebody back, we can. Chair, Commissioners, in closing the modifications 23 that we're seeking are simply to extend the life through 24 25 supply aggregate. You've heard how important that

it is and how necessary it is for public projects and 1 private projects. Reshaping the pit in a way that is a net 2 3 zero impact. So we expand it but we also decrease it where otherwise available to us and turn it into buffers. 4 The 5 24-hour operations for limited plants to service night time needs principally is going to be for public projects, reduce 6 7 cost, reduce cost to taxpayers, reduce cost to government. 8 And finally to confirm as Commissioner Hayashida mentioned 9 that a concrete batch plant is an acceptable use within the 10 quarry.

The report conclude there's no additional impact 11 12 from proposed amendments and mitigations we have in place are appropriate, where additional mitigations were proposed 13 14 merely for extra levels of protection or security. We've 15 agreed to all of them. We've agreed to all the conditions 16 that DPP has proposed. In addition to that, we're able to work out the modifications to 18, 19, and 20, and so we're 17 in complete alignment on all of those. 18

So we believe, Commissioners, that we established that we meet the standards to amend this SUP, both the LUC standard and the policies adopted by this Commission and its rules and respectfully ask for your approval. Thank you.

23 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you for your presentation.
24 Any last questions from the Commissioners for the Applicant?
25 [no response] At this time, we're going to start public

1	testimony. Telephone participants can press *6 to unmute
2	and remute themselves. Please mute your devices except when
3	to testify. We ask for your patience as there may be a
4	delay. We ask all testifiers to state your full name, spell
5	both her first and last name and then start your testimony.
6	If you have already submitted written testimony, please do
7	not read or repeat it, instead summerize or you may add
8	anything new. Each speaker may not have anyone read their
9	statement, and will be limited to a 1-minute public
10	testimony. Let's begin.
11	First, we will take public testifiers present here
12	in the Auditorium and next the registered remote testifiers
13	online. Again, please say and spell your first and last
14	name. Thank you. We will start with the registered
15	testifiers here in the Auditorium. On the list we have
16	Christopher Pang.
17	Christopher, before you start your testimony, if
18	you could spell and state your first and last name for the
19	record?
20	MR. PANG: Okay. My name is Christopher Pang.
21	-C-H-R-I-S-T-P-E-R, Pang, "P" as in Paul, -A-N-G. I'm an
22	attorney for an adjacent landowner, Makakilo Bluffs LLC.
23	We're the neighbor to the quarry immediately to the west,
24	and we oppose the application for amendment to the Special
25	Use Permit because it adversely affects the value of our

1 property and the ability to develop the land.

In particular there's extensive physical and 2 temporal expansion of operations at the quarry including 3 making 24/7 traffic near our property at night. And, of 4 course, we think the ready-mix concrete plant is currently 5 not permitted, and we note there will be two hot mix asphalt 6 plants, and blasting, increased traffic, dust and noise will 7 especially affect our property, not near the residential 8 area that's right to the west of the quarry. And the water 9 used for dust mitigation, they placed a aquafur which we 10 applied to use for our well, but we didn't get permission to 11 do so. 12

And also in terms of socioeconomic impact, the study assumes that there's no further development, but actually the expansion of quarry operations really decreases the development possibility the land next to the quarry.

And, lastly, we believe that the further expansion
of the quarry will require a district boundary amendment.
Thank you.

VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you, Mr. Pang.
Commissioners, any questions for the testifier?
KINNEY: Commissioner Kinney. So was the quarry
there first or was your development there first?
MR. PANG: The quarry was there first. We
purchased the land later. That is true.

1 I see. Thank you. KINNEY: 2 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Remote Commissioners, do you 3 have questions for the testifier? [no response] No further questions. Thank you. 4 5 MR. PANG: Thank you. VICE CHAIR KAMO: Do we have any other testifiers 6 in the Auditorium who wish to testify? If we could have 7 Michael come to the stand? 8 9 Michael, if you could state and spell your name for the record? 10 11 MR. PATE: Yes. Michael A. Pate, M-I-C-H-A-E-L, 12 Anthony, P-A-P-E. 13 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you. MR. PAPE: Thank you, guys for the time today, and 14 15 thank you guys so eloquently presenting your case. Couple 16 of things that we fully understand that we have a great relationship with these quys. They've helped us in the 17 past, and we're not here so much in opposition as we are to 18 have everybody understand our position in all of this. 19 20 It doesn't show up in a lot of the pictures, but we're directly adjacent to the property. So, some of my 21 questions especially for my friend, is a lot of these 22 23 studies, and they actually benefit us. As the DPP is well aware as the City Council we put forth different proposals 24 25 to satisfy the AG need here in the island.

We put proposals to satisfy some of the urban issues with affordable housing, so we're no stranger to asking favors of Grace Pacific in a cordial manner, and they've always been there. Again understanding that, what I'm proposing is what does this do to us? I mean, you guys are absolutely an 800-lb gorilla in the room and we're 85 acres next to you. I mean, we're directly adjacent.

8 VICE CHAIR KAMO: If I could ask you to 9 summerize. One minute.

10 MR. PATE: This dramatically, and I quote Franz yesterday who was kind enough to take our call, it's a major 11 12 detrement to the value of our property. I'm almost certain we can't develop in the format that we would like to based 13 14 on the studies they have that really I don't think include the boundary. We're right in their property. That little 15 area that looks like Italy, that dip into the mountainside. 16 That's probably our prime real estate. So, really I can 17 appreciate they've put in, but it's kind of all left out how 18 it effects us both if you're talking socioeconimics it ruins 19 20 any potential that we may have for development.

21 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you for your testimony.22 Commissioners, any questions?

23 HAYASHIDA: Commissioner Hayashida, what is
24 your--You have land that you can develop.

25

MR. PATE: Yeah. And the DPP is very familiar with

us as well, and we have a good relationship with them, and 1 they've been quiding us in what direction as the Governor 2 and the Mayor support. I see Dudley up there, and I know 3 he's going to have something to say. 4 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you for your testimony. 5 We also registered in the Auditirium [sic]. Heidi. And 6 Heidi if we could have you spell and state your name for the 7 8 record? MR. HO: Sure. My name is Heidi Ho, -H-E-I-D-I, 9 Η-Ο. 10 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you very much. 11 MS. HO: I'm also speaking on behalf of the 12 property right adjacent to the quarry for the 85 acres, and 13 it's a little bit of a David and Gollith thing. I know the 14 quarry is there. The economy needs the aggregates to 15 16 further--the things that go on and the building construction, roads, rails, everything like that. So, it's 17 almost a given. I would assume that they can continue the 18 quarry until they get the rest of their aggregate. I don't 19 know if the aggregate is going to be completed exumed in 15 20 21 years. But I think the problem is directly impacting the neighborhood whether we keep the property in ag or develop 22 it into residential which would be allowed, because it's in 23 the Ewa Development future plan as residential. 24 Would be the 24/7 commercial operation, the hot 25

batch asphalt and the concrete plant. If you were to living in a house right next would you want all that going on? It's all residential. When you're looking up from Kapolei, the second city or H-1, you can see this giant hole in the mountain. I mean, you know back in 2007 when the initial SUP was to be expired they were supposed to mitigate it with green and make it into a park. So, 2032--

8 VICE CHAIR KAMO: If I can ask you to summerize9 your testimony.

MS. HO: Yes. The purchase of the land came after the quarry. But that was in view that it was going to be a beautiful green park. This property was supposed to be something for residential ag use. Now, the value of it may be, it would be impacted by having a very extended and these very commercial, very industrial uses right next to houses, right next to ag.

VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you for your testimony.
Commissioners, any questions for the testifier? Do we have
any other testifiers in the Auditorium [sic] who wish to
testify? [no response] Anyone else in the Auditorium?
[no respones] Next, we will move on to our remote
testifiers. Do we have a Dr. Dudley online who wish to
testify?

24 MR. DUDLEY: Yes. Can you hear me?
25 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Yes, we can. Dr. Dudley if you

spell and state your name for the record? 1 DR. DUDLEY: Yes, okay. I'm Dr. Keoni Dudley. 2 That's spelled Keoni, -K-I-O-N-I, Dudley -D-U-D-L-E-Y. 3 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Please, go ahead. 4 DR. DUDLEY: Okay. I've been a homeowner in 5 Makakilo since 1990. I am the vice chair of the Makakilo 6 Board. I am also the president of the Friends of Makakilo 7 and organizations that currently has 300 members. We have 8 been in existence since 2005 watching out for problems in 9 10 our area. I really support the neighborhood board's 11 resolution asking that some kind of art piece, Hawaiian art 12 piece be put on that back wall. We in the west side have 13 been a dumping ground for things that nobody wants in their 14 area for decades. We have the City dump, we have another 15 16 construction dump, we have the industrial park. We've got all the above ground power lines and the quarry. And the 17 quarry is an eyesore that destroys the beauty of our area 18 for our people and our tourists. So the neighborhood board 19 ask that we have as a condition of approval a requirement 20 that they put some kind of art piece, Hawaiian art piece on 21 the wall. This would be something like Mount Rushmoore may 22 be or of things like that. 23

24VICE CHAIR KAMO: If I could ask you to summerize.25DR. DUDLEY: I just want to say that the people

from the quarry have come to the board. We let them know 1 that we wanted to talk about this when they came and there 2 was recent time they had nothing to say, and we really feel 3 that this terrible eyesore needs to be changed, and we want 4 it as a requirement of approval. Thank you very much. 5 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you for your testimony. 6 Commissioners, any questions for the testifier? [no 7 Thank you, Dr. Dudley. That is all of the 8 response] registered testifiers online. Do we have any other remote 9 testifiers who wish to provide testimony? 10 MR. PARIS: Hello, Chair. This Anthony Makana 11 Paris, the Chair of the Neighborhood Board. 12 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Hi, Anthony. Could you spell 13 your and state your name for the record? 14

MR. PARIS: Anthony Makana Paris, A-N-T-H-O-N-Y,
Makana, -M-A-K-A-N-A, Paris, -P-A-R-I-S.

17 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Hi, Anthony, please proceed with18 your testimony.

MR. PARIS: Aloha, Commissioners, on behalf of the Makakilo-Kapolei Neighborhood Board, we do actually wish to comment on this extension proposal.

At the February meeting of the Makakilo Neighborhood Board, we promigated a resolution entitled "Urging the Beautification of the Makakilo Quarry with the board approved recommendation of the quarry permitted interaction

group as a requirement for extending the life of the quarry 1 by 15 years and other state special use permit 2007/SUP-6 2 3 Makakilo amendments, followed the technical (inaudible). 4 In short, what we're asking is to formalize the process by which the community through the neighborhood board is 5 involved with the end-of-life planning of the quarry. 6 7 As stated by other community members, is what current planning (inaudible) could possibly be a park. As what has 8 9 been said by Friends of Makakilo, currently carvings like Mount Rushmoore on top of the side of the cliff. 10 What we would like to do is use the City process a permitted 11 interaction group to meet with Grace Pacific and with the 12 13 current and previous landowners (inaudible) Inc. and 14 Alexander and Baldwin by which two discuss and try to build concensus on what end-of-life for 15 16 beautification of this project. Because we have Mount 17 Rushmoore on one side, and on the other side we have the best like outdoor arena for amphitheater for entertainment 18 on the west side that we absolutely need. So we are not 19 20 asking anything in specific. As an official position of the board, we are saying that we should formalize the process 21 22 because we are only advisory. We are asking that the 23 process be indicated as a resolution states. Yearly, annual check-ins, consultations, appropriate studies and report 24 25 backs. The things that the community through the

1 neighborhood board has formalized that we want to make sure Pu'u Makakilo which is given much to this island is 2 3 respected. Because it's a sacred space, and I beg to differ to the --4 5 VICE CHAIR KAMO: If I could ask you to summerize. 6 MR. PARIS: The architectural statement that said 7 that cultural practices and archaeology is he same, but they're not. Cultural practices is living and it's 8 happening now. We do not want a landfill. We want to 9 10 actually give back to the pu'u that has given much to our island. 11 Mahalo. 12 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you for your testimony. 13 Commissioners, any questions for the testifier? [no 14 response] Thank you for your testimony. Is there any other 15 testifier online who wish to provide testimony. 16 DIT GREG CIELESS: Kiran Polk? VICE CHAIR KAMO: Curin, if you could spell and 17 18 state your name for the record? 19 MS. POLK: Yes. Aloha, I'm Kurin Polk. That's 20 K-I-R-A-N, last name Polk, P-O-L-K, and I'm executive director of the Kapolei Chamber of Commerce. We did submit 21 written testimony, and so I will just highlight a few items 22 23 and add couple of small things as well. Grace Pacific has 24 been a part of our community for over 50 years. They have 25 been responsible stewards of their land and they've given to

our community immensely. It has been shared before. 1 There's over 450 employees, their headquarters right here in 2 Kapolei and just the economic impact on that is great. 3 But I also want to speak to the economic impact that would 4 happen the amendment is not approved. I think it was 5 mentioned the cost of construction would go up three times, 6 and I think we're in a space right now where the cost of 7 living here in Hawaii, and the cost of construction in our 8 affordable housing crisis is front and center. So, I just 9 want to really lay that in front of the Commission, and you 10 know we are definitely in a space in west Oahu where we are 11 still growing the second city, and it's a critical time and 12 very important issue before us today. So we can make sure 13 that we can move forward. Thank you. 14 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you for your testimony. 15 Commissioners, do we have any questions for the testifier? 16 Thank you. Any other testifiers online who [no response] 17

18 would like to provide testimony? [no response]

DIT GREG CIELESS: I don't see anybody.
VICE CHAIR KAMO: Nobody else. Going once, going
twice, anyone else online who would like to provide
testimony? [no response] Any other testifiers in the room
who would like to provide oral testimony?
MR. COOK: My name is Greg Cook, G-R-E-G, C-O-O-K.

25 I just wanted to point out something that you need to take

into consideration. I'm partial owner of the Makakiko 1 Bluffs 85 acres. Understand the needs for gravel and all 2 the issues that we have here in Hawaii for needing a quarry. 3 We put in a plan and the building department knows this to 4 do an aq project, because we understood the quarry over here 5 was going to impact residential. We had about 35 to 40 6 acres of orchard and it was all layed out. We were shut 7 down because one reason was the water problem. He made a 8 mention that he's using 150,000 gallons a day. We were told 9 we could only use 71,000 gallons a day because it's potable 10 water. We submitted an application to put in a well and it 11 was in limbo and basically said, "No. It comes from the 12 same source as the potable water." So, we were denied. So, 13 here the way I'm seeing it as is that we are basically 14 saying you don't need ag in Hawaii, you don't knee 15 affordable housing, but you do need gravel concrete. So, 16 the way I see this is where are the priorities here in the 17 state? So, I just wanted to leave you folks with that 18 thought. 19

VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you for your testimony.
Commissioners, any questions for the testifier? [no
response] Thank you. Any other testifiers in-person or
remotely who would like to provide testimony?
[no response] Commissioners, any further questions for the
testifiers? [no response] Hearing none, do I have a motion

to close the public testimony portion of this public 1 hearing? 2 HAYASHIDA: Motion to close public hearing. 3 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Commissioner Hayashida makes a 4 motion to close public testimony. Do I have a second? 5 KINNEY: Second. 6 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Commissioner Kinney seconds he 7 motion. Any discussion, objections, reservations? [no 8 response] Hearing none, Chair votes aye and the public 9 testimony portion of this public hearing is now closed 10 I'll ask the Commissioners at this point in time, 11 we have the option to enter into executive session. Do we 12 need an executive session to discuss any legal matters? 13 KIMURA: I'd like to go into executive session. 14 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Okay. Do I have a motion to 15 enter into executive session. 16 KIMURA: I'll make a motion. Commissioner Kimura, 17 I'll make a motion. 18 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Thank you. Do I have a second 19 to enter into executive session? 20 KINNEY: Second. 21 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Any discussion, objections or 22 reservations? [no response] Hearing none, Chair votes aye, 23 and we are now in executive session. 24 [EXECUTIVE SESSION] 25

VICE CHAIR KAMO: Okay. Welcome back everyone. 1 Thank you for your time. Before we move on voting on the 2 agenda item, do any Commissioners have any last questions 3 for the Applicants or DPP? [no response] All right. 4 Hearing none, do I have a motion with regards to agena Item 5 No. 3, to approve, to deny or to approve with conditions 6 and/or restrictions, Special Use Permit SUP application to 7 modify 2007/SUP-6 expansion of operations at Makakilo Upper 8 Ouarry 92-1130 Uwanani Street, Kapolei, Oahu. 9 HAYASHIDA: Commissioner Hayashida, motion to 10 approve proposed amendment to State Special Use Permit SUP, 11 File No. 2007/SUP-6, with the conditions in the Cades Shutte 12 letter to the Honolulu Planning Commission, March 1st, 2024 13 as shown on Conditions 18, 19, and 20. 14 15 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Commissioner Hayashida makes a Do I have a second? 16 motion. KINNEY: Second. 17 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Commissioner Kinney seconds. Is 18, there any discussion with regards to the motion? [no 19 response] Hearing none, are we ready to vote on this 20 motion? Great. Do I have any discussion, objections, 21 [no responses] Hearing none, Chair votes aye 22 reservations? and the motion passes. 23 So DPP if you can prepare the Decision and Order 24 based on that motion including Cades Shutte recommended 25

1 added Conditions 18, 19, and 20. 2 MR. KRAINZ: Do you prefer we do it versus counsel 3 for the applicant? 4 MR. CHIPCHASE: We're happy to do it. 5 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Okay. If counsel can--Thank you very much. Next on the agenda is adjournment. Do I have a 6 motion to adjourn Planning Commission meeting March 6, 2024. 7 8 KINNEY: Motion. VICE CHAIR KAMO: Commissioner Kinney makes a 9 motion. Do I have a second? 10 11 KIMURA: Second. 12 VICE CHAIR KAMO: Commissioner Kimura seconds. 13 Any discussion, objections, reservations? [no response] 14 Hearing none, Chair votes aye. The Planning Commission meeting March 6th, 2024 is adjourned. It is now 3:01 p.m. 15 16 17 I certify that the foregoing is a true and 18 correct transcript of the proceedings, prepared to the best of my ability of the 19 20 meeting held on Wednesday, March 6, 21 2024. 22 23 Gloria Takara 24 Secretary-Reporter 25 Planning Commission