

REMOTE DEPOSITIONS

TRIAL PRESENTATION

LEGAL TRANSCRIPTION

COPYING AND SCANNING

LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS

STATE OF HAWAII LAND USE COMMISSION

Meeting held on May 8, 2024
Commencing at 9:00 a.m.
Held at
Maui Arts & Cultural Center, Haynes Room
1 Cameron Way
Kahului, HI 96732

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ADOPTION OF MINUTES
 April 3-4, 2024
- III. TENTATIVE MEETING SCHEDULE
- IV. A92-686 AMFAC Propety Investment Corporation & Hawaii Housing Finance & Development Corporation, State of Hawaii (Maui) (Puukolii Mauka and Puukolii Triangle)

Status and progress report and action (if necessary) in response to teh recently submitted annual report and supplemental report. Approximately 298.992 Acres at Hanakao'o, Lahaina, Island and County of Maui, State of Hawaii, Tax Map Key No. 4-4-15: parcels 1 through 29, 4-4-15:32, and portion of 4-4-15:33.

- V. Discussion on the Results of the Commission's Performance Evaluation of the Executive Officer
 Pursuant to HRS 92-5(a)(2), the Commission may enter into Executive Session where consideration of matters affecting privacy will be involved.
- VI. ADJOURNMENT



BEFORE:

```
1
                            APPEARANCES
 2
 3
   LUC COMMISSIONERS:
 4
   Dan Giovanni, Chairman
 5
   Lee Ohigashi
   George Atta
 6
   Kuike Kamakea-Ohelo
   Michael Yamane
 8
 9
   Brian Lee
10
   Nancy Carr Smith
11
12
   LUC STAFF:
13
   Daniel Orodenker, Executive Officer
14
   Arnold Wong, Land Use Development Coordinator
15
   Scott Derrickson, Chief Planner
16
   Martina Segura, Staff Planner
17
   Ariana Kwan, Chief Clerk
18
   Julie China, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General
19
20
   PETITIONER-KAANAPALI LAND MANAGEMENT CORP:
21
   Diane Praywell, Esquire, Schneider Tanaka Radovich
    Andrew & Tanaka
22
23
   Jeff Rebugio
24
   Chad Fukunaga
25
```



| 1 | APPEARANCES CONTINUED |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | PETITIONER-HAWAII HOUSING FINANCE & DEVELOPMENT |
| 4 | CORPORATION "HHFDC": |
| 5 | Sandra Ching, Esquire |
| 6 | Stan Fujimoto, Project Manager |
| 7 | Randy Chu, Branch Chief |
| 8 | |
| 9 | COUNTY OF MAUI: |
| 10 | Mimi Desjardins, Esquire, First Deputy Corporation |
| 11 | Kate Blystone, Planning Department, Director |
| 12 | Danny Dias, Planning Department, Head of Planning |
| 13 | Aliki Biniaris, Planning Department, Planner |
| 14 | Robert Schmidt, Department of Environmental Management, |
| 15 | Deputy Director |
| 16 | |
| 17 | OFFICE OF PLANNING AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT "OPSD": |
| 18 | Allison Kato, Esquire, OPSD Deputy Attorney General |
| 19 | Katia Balassiano, OPSD Planning Program Administrator |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |



1 LAND USE COMMISSION

FINAL Meeting held on May 8, 2024

Commencing at 9:00 a.m.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: It's 9:03, and call to order. This is the Land Use Commission meeting of May 8, 2024. Aloha mai kakou. Good morning, everyone.

This is an in-person meeting that's being held at the Maui Arts and Cultural Center, Haynes Room, 1 Cameron Way, Kahului, Hawaii 96732. This meeting is open to the public.

Court reporting transcriptions are being done from this meeting based on a Zoom recording, so that's why we have a Zoom recording even though it's an in-person meeting. For all meeting participants, I would like to stress the importance of speaking slowly, clearly, directly, and loudly into your microphone. Before speaking, please state your name and identify yourself and affiliation for the record.

So even though this is an in-person meeting, please be aware, as I just said, that all meeting participants are being recorded on the digital record of this Zoom meeting, and it will be

posted to YouTube, and it will be used for court reporting purposes. So your continued participation is your implied consent to be part of the public record of this event. If you do not wish to be part of the public record, you should exit the meeting now.

Also, I will share with everyone that we're scheduled to go until mid-afternoon today, but we will be taking breaks, approximately a five-minute break or so every hour, and then we'll take a little bit longer break for lunch.

So my name is Dan Giovanni, and I have the pleasure to serve as the Land Use Commission
Chairman. We currently have eight seated
commissioners, and along with me today are
Commissioner Lee Ohigashi from Maui; Commissioner
Kamakea-Ohelo; Commissioner George Atta;
Commissioner Brian Lee from Oahu; Commissioner
Michael Yamane from Kauai; Commissioner Nancy Carr
Smith from the Island of Hawaii. Commissioner Mel
Kahele is excused from today's meeting, so we have seven commissioners in attendance.

Also in attendance are LUC Executive

Officer Daniel Orodenker; LUC Chief Planner Scott

Derrickson; LUC Staff Planner Martina Segura; LUC



```
Chief Clerk Ariana Kwan; and LUC Attorney General
 2
   Julie China.
 3
             Our first order of business today is the
   adoption of minutes from our meeting of April 3 and
 5
   4, 2024. Ms. Kwan, has there been any written
   testimony submitted on the April 3 and 4, 2024
 7
   minutes?
8
             MS. KWAN:
                       No, Mr. Chair.
 9
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you. Has there
10
   been any members of the public who have signed up to
   testify on adoption of these same minutes?
11
12
             MS. KWAN: No, Mr. Chair.
13
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you.
14
             Commissioners, are there any corrections
15
   or comments on the minutes of April 3 and 4, 2024?
16
   If not, do I have a motion to adopt the minutes?
17
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Mr. Chair, I move
18
   to adopt.
19
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Ohigashi,
20
   was that you?
21
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yes.
22
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Mr. Chair, second.
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: The motion to adopt
23
24
   has been made by Commissioner Ohigashi and seconded
   by Commissioner Yamane. All in favor of adoption of
```



```
the minutes, please indicate by saying, "aye."
 1
 2
             Aye.
 3
              (All said, "Aye.")
 4
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Any opposed?
                                                The
 5
   minutes are adopted. Thank you.
             Our next order of business is our
 6
 7
   tentative meeting schedule going forward. So Mr.
   Orodenker, can you please share with us the
   tentative meeting schedule?
10
             MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
   Well, for the month of May, this is the only meeting
11
12
   being held, but this is the last of the easygoing
   times. In June, we have -- on June 5th, we have a
13
   Zoom meeting, a virtual meeting to have a
14
15
   presentation on the OPSD soil study. On June 19th
   and 20th, we will begin -- be here on Maui for the
16
17
   Central Maui Landfill status report and the A11-792
18
   DHHC status report on the following day, which is
19
   the 20th.
20
             In July we have -- on July 10th we have
   the A&B Properties, Inc. motion on Maui again. And
21
22
   on the 11th we have the Emmanuel Lutheran status
23
   report also on Maui. And then the week of the 22nd,
24
   we are trying to work with the Commissioners to
25
   secure dates for commissioner training.
                                             It will be
```



on Oahu. But anywhere from the 22nd to the 24th is currently what we're looking at. We only intend to do that on two days, but we have to secure quorum and find out what's available.

On August 7th and 8th we have the Waimanalo Gulch Sanitary Landfill matter. We also have on the 21st of August the Waimanalo Gulch adoption of order, assuming that we get that far. And the 22nd as well.

In September, on the 11th, 12th, and 13th, there is the HCPO Conference at the Hapuna Beach Resort on the Big Island. On the 25th and 26th of September we have the Makakilo Quarry matter. And then on October 9th and 10th we have the Mahi Solar matter. And that takes us through October. It's going to be a very busy summer and fall. We appreciate the Commissioners making the time and effort to appear at these meetings. We expect that the calendar going after that will continue to be full. We have a lot of matters percolating. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioners, any questions for Mr. Orodenker on the tentative schedule? Thank you, hearing none.

So our next order of business is a status

and progress report from A92-686 AMFAC Property 1 2 Investment Corporation and Hawaii Housing Finance & 3 Development Corporation, State of Hawaii (Maui) (the Pu'ukoli'i Mauka and Pu'ukoli'I Triangle matter). 4 5 This is a status and progress report, and 6 it's possible that the Commission will take action 7 depending on the status report that's made today. That would be in response to the 2022 annual report 8 and supplemental annual report and the 2020 master 10 plan update. 11 At this point, I'd like the parties to 12 identify themselves for the record. I don't know 13 where we can start here because I don't know who I'm 14 looking at. Let's go right to left. 15 MS. PRAYWELL: Good morning. My name is 16 Diane Praywell. I'm with the law firm of Schneider 17 Tanaka Radovich Andrew Tanaka, which is counsel to 18 Co-Petitioner Kaanapali Land Management Corp. 19 have representatives of Kaanapali Land Management 20 Corp here today, Mr. Jeff Rebugio and Mr. Chad 21 Fukunaga. 22 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you for being 23 here. 24 MS. CHING: Sandra Ching on behalf of 25 Hawaii Housing Finance & Development Corporation,

State of Hawaii. And with me here today is Stan 1 Fujimoto, HHFDC Staff Member as well as Randy Chu, 3 Branch Chief for Development. 4 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you. 5 MS. DESJARDINS: Oh, excuse me. Good 6 morning, Chair. Good morning, Commissioners. Mimi 7 Desjardins, First Deputy Corporation Counsel, here on behalf of the County of Maui. And we have various representatives from the Department of 10 Planning as well as the Department of Environmental 11 Management, and we can introduce those folks if 12 you'd like, or reserve that. 13 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Please do. 14 MS. DESJARDINS: Okay. We have Kate 15 Blystone, our Planning Director. And then we also 16 have with us the Deputy Director of the Department 17 of Environmental Management, Robert Schmidt. He is 18 sitting in the back here. 19 And then, Kate, do you want to introduce the folks from Planning that came with you? 21 MS. BLYSTONE: Aloha, Commissioners. 22 joined today by Danny Dias, the Head of our current 23 Planning Division, and also the Planner for this 24 project, Aliki Biniaris. 25 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Thank you.



Ms. Kato?

MS. KATO: Alison Kato, Deputy Attorney
General for the Office of Planning and Sustainable
development. Also here is Katia Balassiano from
OPSD. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you. Just a -other than the attorneys, when any of you are
speaking or going on the record, I want to first
swear you in, so that's just a formality. If I
forget, please remind me of that. Thank you, all.

So now I'd like to briefly explain the procedures for today. First I will ask if there are any conflicts of interest or disclosures from the Commissioners, and then I will update the record. So let me do that now.

Commissioners, any disclosures to make on this matter? I see none so we'll proceed.

As we go forward, I will then recognize written public testimony that has been submitted in this matter, identifying the person or organizations who have submitted the testimony. Next I will call for members of the public who wish to testify on the status and progress report. That will be or oral testimony before the Commission today.

Members of the public will each have two

minutes to provide their testimony and should stand by after their testimony to respond to any questions the parties or Commissioners may have. When all questions have been completed, the Chair will excuse the witness and call the next witness to enter the witness box.

After the completion of public testimony portion of the proceedings, the Chair would like the Petitioners to provide their status report. The Chair will then call on the parties, HHFDC, OPSD, and the County's Planning Department for comment regarding the status report that has been given by the Petitioner. Petitioners will the be allowed to respond to comments made by the County, HHFDC, and OPSD. Then the Commissioners will ask questions of the parties at the conclusion of each of their presentations.

At the conclusion of the parties'
testimony and the Commissioners' questions, the
public will then be given a second opportunity to
provide public testimony in the same manner that was
set forth previously. Once public testimony
concludes, the Commission will enter deliberations
regarding the status report and decide if any action
is necessary.

```
Again, a reminder, I will be calling for
 1
   short breaks, five minutes every hour and a longer
 2
 3
   one for lunch. Does anybody have any questions
   about the procedures today? Starting with Diane?
 4
 5
             MS. PRAYWELL: Diane Praywell.
 6
   questions.
 7
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you.
             Name?
 8
 9
             MS. CHING: Sandra Ching. No questions.
10
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you.
11
             County?
12
             MS. DESJARDINS: Mimi Desjardins.
                                                 No
13
   questions, Chair.
14
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you.
15
             State?
16
             MS. KATO: Alison Kato. No questions.
17
   Thank you.
18
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you very much.
19
             Okay. I need to read this into the record
   pertaining to conflicts of interest and disclosures.
21
   In accordance with HRS 84-14, Commissioners are not
22
   allowed to take any official action affecting
23
   business in which they or a member of their family
24
   has a financial interest. They must therefore
25
   recuse themselves from this matter if there would be
```



any benefit, monetary or otherwise, to themselves or 1 2 members of their family. 3 Also, in accordance with the legal ethical standards, Commissioners should disclose any relationship, business, social or otherwise that 5 6 they may have with Petitioner or its representatives 7 or any other parties in this proceeding that may give rise to an allegation of bias or impropriety. 8 9 So again, I'm going to ask the Commissioners, having read this, do any of the 10 11 Commissioners have any conflicts of interest or 12 disclosures to make at this time? I'm seeing none. 13 Reference of the record. Please be informed that the relevant records and documents 14 15 pertaining to this matter can be accessed for review on the LUC website. That's 16 17 https://luc.hawaii.gov/a92-686. 18 Public testimony. For members of the 19 public, again, please be reminded that the 20 Commission will not be considering the merits of 21 this petition. Rather, the Commission is interested 22 in learning about the status, the current state of 23 activities related to this docket, including 24 compliance with conditions and will then consider 25 whether any action is necessary.

```
I'll now recognize written public
 1
 2
   testimony submitted in this matter and identify the
 3
   person or organization submitting the testimony.
 4
             Ms. Kwan, has there been any written
 5
   testimony submitted on this matter?
 6
             MS. KWAN:
                        No, Mr. Chair.
 7
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you. And I'm
 8
   going to call for any members of the public to
   provide testimony on this agenda item.
10
             Ms. Kwan, are there any members of the
11
   public present who have signed up to testify?
12
             MS. KWAN:
                        No, Mr. Chair.
13
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Great progress.
14
             Status report.
                             It's now time for the
15
   Petitioner, Kaanapali Land Management Corp. Will
16
   you please provide your status report? And before
17
   you begin, just give us a general idea of how long
18
   you will take.
                            Estimated 30 minutes.
19
             MS. PRAYWELL:
20
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: That would be great.
21
   Please proceed.
22
             MS. PRAYWELL:
                            Thank you. Again, my name
23
   is Diane Praywell, and we thank you for the
24
   opportunity to be here today to provide the status
25
            The three of us will be providing the
   report.
```

```
report. Mr. Fukunaga will start with a brief
 1
   overview of the location of the two portions of the
 3
   petition area. I'll provide a brief summary of the
   Act 15 certification, the development agreement, and
 5
   the affordable housing agreement. And then each of
 6
   Mr. Rebugio and Mr. Fukunaga will provide an update
 7
   on the status of each portion of the petition area.
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Let me swear them in
8
 9
   now if I may. Please state your name and
10
   affiliation.
11
             MR. REBUGIO: Hi. I'm Jeff Rebugio with
12
   the Kaanapali Land Management Corp.
13
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Do you swear the
14
   testimony you're about to give today will be the
15
   truth?
16
             MR. REBUGIO: I do.
17
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI:
                                  Thank you.
18
             MR. FUKUNAGA: And Chad Fukunaga with
19
   Kaanapali Land Management.
20
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Do you swear that your
21
   testimony today will be the truth?
22
             MR. FUKUNAGA:
23
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Great. Thanks.
24
             Diane, you may proceed.
25
             MS. PRAYWELL: Going to Chad.
```



```
CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: I just want to -- it's
 1
 2
   Praylaw (sic) is the correct -- Praywell. Apologize
 3
   for that. Thank you, Ms. Praywell.
 4
             MR. FUKUNAGA: So if you allow me, I'd
   like to share my screen.
 5
 6
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Please do.
 7
             MR. FUKUNAGA: All right. I realize this
   map may be a little difficult to see on the TV, so
   I'll try to zoom in to certain areas when
10
   appropriate.
11
             So I'm sharing an aerial exhibit to point
12
   out the petition areas and certain landmarks. This
13
   aerial image represents the area from Kaanapali to
   the left and Lahaina town to the right. And for
14
15
   reference, Lahaina Civic Center is in the middle.
16
   Also, this underlying aerial was taken circa 2010.
17
             Puukolii Village Mauka is the outlying
18
   area situated towards the top of the area.
19
   area is approximately 240 acres which we sometimes
20
   simply refer to as Mauka or PVM. Puukolii Triangle
21
   is outlined with dash lines. This area is
22
   approximately 58 acres which we sometimes refer to
23
   as Triangle.
24
             In addition to the petition areas, I'd
25
   like to point out the Kaanapali 2020 area, which we
```

refer to as K2020. This area is owned by KLMC and 1 is approximately 900 acres. The Triangle area is within the K2020 along with the alignment of the feature Lahaina ByPass Highway, which aligns with 5 the top of the K2020 area. 6 I'd also like to point out the proposed 7 West Maui Hospital and Medical and this project. I don't know if you can see my cursor, but it's the area outlined towards the bottom left side. 10 area is owned by others. Kakaalaneo Drive provides 11 access to the petition area from Kaanapali Highway -- I'm sorry, from Honoapiilani Highway. 12 13 And the existing Lahaina Bypass Alignment 14 terminates at Keawe Street in Lahaina, approximately 15 three miles away from the project area. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Can we confirm that 16 17 these photos are -- have been provided to us to be 18 on the record? 19 MR. FUKUNAGA: Yes. This -- I'm showing 20 the exhibit that has been shared with the 21 Department. 22 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Do you know the 23 exhibit number? 24 MR. FUKUNAGA: It was submitted on the 25 29th and marked as received. If you don't have it,

1 we can resend it. 2 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Oh, that's fine. 3 just wanted to confirm it was submitted. 4 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Mr. Chair. 5 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Ohigashi? 6 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Can I just ask one 7 clarification point? 8 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Please. 9 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: There's a school 10 mentioned dedication. Could you identify that area 11 on this -- on our particular map as to where the 12 school dedication will be? Because that will help 13 me. 14 MR. FUKUNAGA: Commissioner Ohigashi, I do 15 show you this proposed school site. Let me zoom in, 16 please. I don't know if you can see on the screen, 17 but where I have my cursor, it's towards the middle 18 of the -- of the screen. It's -- it's, I'd say, on 19 the south makai portion of the Puukolii Mauka site. 20 That lot is 18 acres. Yes. That note is popping 21 up. 22 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you. 23 MS. PRAYWELL: Okay. Act 15 in the 24 development agreement and the affordable housing 25 agreement, in 1993 the state agency then known as

the Housing Finance and Development Corporation, now known as the Hawaii Housing Finance and Development Corporation, certified the petition area under Act 15.

That certification allowed development of affordable housing within the petition area with exemptions from certain county entitlement processes. Pursuant to that Act 15 certification, HHFDC and then owner of the petition area, AMFAC Property Investment Corporation, entered into a development agreement which set forth affordable housing and development requirements for the petition area.

Based on that development agreement, HHFDC and APIC -- that's the shorthand for AMFAC Property Investment Corp -- petitioned the Land Use Commission for a land use district boundary -- or land use district reclassification from ag to urban. This resulted in -- oh, this resulted in the 1993 decision and order.

In 1999 the then owner of the petition area started a master planning effort for the petition area and other lands of the -- of APIC in the area of the petition area, which were adjacent to what we call Triangle. In that master planning

effort, it was determined that it made sense to pursue Mauka separately from the areas south or makai of Lahaina Bypass. It became apparent that the area makai of the bypass would require considerably more entitlements since it involved areas outside the Triangle.

And so efforts were made to reconstitute, so to speak, Mauka as a separate project.

In 2008, pursuant to Act 198, which recognized the infeasibility of the 60 percent affordable housing requirement of Act 15, HHFDC and KMLC agreed to amend the affordable housing requirements as to Mauka. This resulted in the affordable housing agreement for Mauka which stands to date.

Following entry -- following agreement of the affordable housing agreement, HHFDC and KMLC petitioned for an amendment to the 1993 decision and order to reflect the new affordable housing requirements for Mauka and to recognize Mauka as essentially a different project from Triangle and the balance of K2020 lands.

Turning back to Triangle, as part of the plan to reconstitute Triangle as part of the larger K2020 area, KMLC sought decertification from Act 15.

The HHFDC approved that decertification and KLMC and 1 HHFDC are currently in discussions regarding the terms of that decertification. Thank you. 3 4 MR. FUKUNAGA: Chad Fukunaga with KMLC. 5 I'd like to provide an update on Puukolii Village Mauka and describe the tasks that have been 6 7 completed and matters we're focusing on going forward. Again, I'd like to share my screen. 8 9 Access to the petition area is available 10 via Kakaalaneo Drive. This allows access to Mauka -11 - this allows access to Mauka without completion of 12 the Lahaina Bypass Highway. Kakaalaneo Drive was 13 installed by KMLC and is currently a private road. 6,200 feet of sewer line has been 14 15 installed from Honoapiilani Highway to the top of 16 Kakaalaneo Drive. The sewer line was sized with 17 capacity to service Mauka and Triangle. A water 18 line has been installed along Kakaalaneo Drive and 19 sized with capacity to service Triangle. 20 I'd like to switch my exhibit. A large 21 lot subdivision has been approved by HHFDC pursuant 22 to Act 15 certification. A subdivision created 25 23 development parcels including a lot for the school, 24 lot 11, towards the bottom right, and a lot for park 25 purposes, lot 12, in the bottom middle. These two

lots are adjacent to each other.

onsite and offsite master infrastructure plans for roadways, drainage, sewer, electrical utilities, landscaping, and water, including a 1 million gallon reservoir. These plans have been approved by County of Maui agencies and utility providers.

A grading permit application has been submitted for all of Mauka master infrastructure, and we are working with the County of Maui to address the requirements. In connection with that grading permit, we have completed a topographic survey of Mauka. We have obtained an NPDES permit from the State Department of Health. This was issued on June 2020 and we approved recently in April of '24.

We've completed an archeological inventory survey, an archeological monitoring plan, and an archeological preservation plan. These documents were approved by State Historic Preservation Division in February '23.

We completed a drainage report for Mauka, which has been approved by the County. And we have also completed a best management practices plan.

Regarding wastewater capacity, KLMC has sufficient

reserve capacity at the Lahaina Sewage Treatment 1 2 Plant to service the entire Mauka project. 3 We have been working closely with Hawaii Water Service Company on potable water for Mauka. 5 Hawaii Water Service is the PUC potable water 6 provider for the service area that includes Puukolii 7 Village. Unfortunately, the Commission and Water Resource Management, CWRM, designated all of West Maui as surface and ground water management area and has made the timing of services to new projects 10 11 uncertain. CWRM now requires existing and new uses within West Maui to obtain a water use permit. 12 13 It is our understanding that CWRM will process existing use permits first. It is uncertain 14 15 how long this process will take, but it is our 16 further understanding that they will process 17 existing use permits and then any new use permits 18 after. 19 KLMC is continuing its efforts to move 20 Mauka along. We are in discussions with interested 21 third-party housing developers; however, until water 22 use permit is obtained for Mauka, KLMC cannot reasonably estimate timing for when Mauka can be 23 24 completed. Thank you.

DAEGELI (800)528-3335
DEPOSITION & TRIAL NAEGELIUS A. COM

MR. REBUGIO: Good morning, Chair,

25

Commissioners. I will be -- Jeff Rebugio, Kaanapali
Land Management. I'll be speaking about Puukolii

Village Triangle. As mentioned earlier, the

Triangle has been reconstituted into the Kaanapali

2020 project and so I -- it's appropriate then to

speak a little bit about the Kaanapali 2020 project.

It started back in 1999. It was a large undertaking that involved the West Maui community empowering certain community leaders to help stop a land plan that would steer the growth of West Maui and specifically Kaanapali.

The community group of leaders was tapped to attend frequent planning sessions with professional planners. The process took years. But it also overlapped with the County's general plan update at the time. This was seen as a way to ensure the community's planning inputs were recognized and included into the general plan.

Now, it should also be noted that the result of the general plan update, a much more detailed Maui Island plan document was prepared by the County which include -- which includes, defines specific urban growth areas. Eventually, the Maui Island plan recognized our Kaanapali 2020 project and designated the area to be within such urban

district.

This is the area makai or west of the Lahaina Bypass as Mr. Fukunaga pointed out earlier. It's bounded by the Lahaina Bypass to the east, and a gulch way to the north and some fallow fields to the south, depicted on the aerial or the exhibit map up on the screen here.

After the general plan update was adopted circa 2012, Maui County then pursued an update to the various community plans within the county starting with Molokai and Lanai and then eventually West Maui in 2017. This process again took years with an adopted West Maui community plan effective then in 2022. However, the final West Maui community plan was inconsistent with the Kaanapali 2020 land plan, leaving out a significant portion of the urban area, mainly the entire south end, which we approximate to be about 200 acres.

This area under the West Maui County plan is now designated to be within the agriculture and park areas. Because of this discrepancy with the West Maui community plan, Kaanapali Land is regrouping with consulting engineers and planners to preliminarily identify a revised land plan with the prospect of meeting the West Maui community plan or

otherwise identify necessary changes or exceptions to consider that may trigger a community plan 3 amendment. Ultimately, we hope to bring a 4 5 comprehensive urbanization plan for Kaanapali 2020 to the Land Use Commission consistent with the Act 7 15 decertification of the Triangle. In order to do so, we recognize the need for resolution of water and the West Maui community plan matters. Thank 10 you. 11 We're happy to answer any questions. 12 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Thank you. 13 Commissioners, any questions for Petitioner? 14 15 Commissioner Ohigashi? COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I just have a few 16 17 questions. In 2011 the HHFDC decertified, and I 18 think it was your statement that you've been 19 negotiating since that time, 2011 to now. It's been 20 13 years. Have you not made any progress on the 21 determination of what type of low and moderate cost 22 housing would be required? MR. REBUGIO: Jeff Rebugio, Kaanapali 23 24 Land. Yeah, the Triangle piece, again, was -- we 25 envisioned it to be incorporated into what we



imagine the Kaanapali 2020 plan would be and allowed the general plan and the community plan, as well as our community-based planning efforts to determine the requirements. We acknowledge there's going to be the need for affordable housing and it will be addressed.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Well, it seems
like it took 13 years to this point where there
hasn't been reaching an agreement whereas it was
agreed previously on the mauka side that -- that it
would not be covered by Act 15. There were
development agreements placed into effect. My
question to you is: Why haven't during that 13
years developmental agreements been placed into
effect given the fact that both were decertified?

MR. REBUGIO: Yes. This is Jeff Rebugio,

MR. REBUGIO: Yes. This is Jeff Rebugio,
Kaanapali Land. I will say that the various
community planning efforts took priority in
determining what the 2020 area would be and, again,
the Triangle piece being reconstituted into that.
Our focus has been on the Mauka. And we realize
that's a significant amount of housing units to
develop. And yes, our attention was primarily on
Mauka and recognize that the affordable housing
requirements for Kaanapali 2020 will be something to

address.

believe during the fact that you pass Kaanapali
2020, I think, sometime in -- I'm not sure what year
it was, but since that time, what effort have you
made to sit down and have a developmental agreement
for that plan? Because you did all the planning,
and so I'm just asking whatever --

MR. REBUGIO: Yeah. Most of the time after the 2011 was focused on the general plan update and then the West Maui community plan updates. We embrace those and recognize that that is part of the entitlement process, so we've worked, again, with our community and followed the county's process for adoption of these plans.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Is there -- are there plans to use the Triangle for other than residential purposes?

MR. REBUGIO: Allow us to come in with an exhibit here. The land plan was developed, again, through the community based process. I think the short answer to your question, Commissioner, is yes. However, again, we recognize that it has the urbanization designation. The strategy here was to incorporate all of the requirements of that and have

that as part of the 2020 plan. It does look like 1 there are at least projected to have some 3 residential in there. 4 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. And some residential, you indicate. 5 6 MR. REBUGIO: Yes. 7 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Now, of the 1,700 8 originally in the D&O promised by your predecessor and applies to yourself, how much of that is in this 10 particular -- in the Triangle and how much of that 11 is in the Mauka area? MR. REBUGIO: The original designation was 12 13 340 units in the Triangle. COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And will that 340 14 15 units be built in the Triangle? 16 MR. REBUGIO: That's part of the new 17 development. We will --18 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: No. The answer is 19 you're not sure. 20 MR. REBUGIO: We're -- it's to be 21 determined. COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: To be determined. 22 23 I just wanted to know. 24 Assuming that the 3,000 -- 340-some-odd 25 units are not built in the Triangle, how will you

make it up in the rest of the 1,700?

MR. REBUGIO: Yes. I think that's going to be part of the negotiation with HHFDC in revising a development agreement.

with that is we have a D&O where you promise to deliver 1,700 units. And my recollection is -- I just wanted to figure out where the 1,700 units will be, what is -- essentially, what is -- how soon can we expect the 1,700 units and -- those are -- those are my main concerns, so that's why I'm asking these questions. I'm not trying to be tricky or anything.

MR. REBUGIO: Sure. Thank you,

Commissioner Ohigashi. 940 units is set to be

within the Mauka project and that's our focus, so

it's the bulk of what I believe was the 1,700, but

during the Act 198 Re-entitlement that 1,700 was

reduced by 360 units, so we're still focused on the

940 units in Mauka.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. The act -I'm sorry, maybe your lawyer, Praywell -- Ms.

Praywell can respond. That -- 198, did that address
this particular project and reduce the total amount
of units that are required under the D&O?

MS. PRAYWELL: There's an Act 198

modification of the development agreement. That --1 under that Act 198, the parties came back and came 3 back with the affordable housing agreement which set forth the current affordable housing requirements 5 for Mauka, which is a total of 940 units of which 6 480 are to be affordable. So it's --7 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I'm not -- I'm not 8 concerned about it. I'm just questioning is the D&O required 1,700 units or not. And even if the Act 10 198 gave you the ability to modify the 60 percent 11 requirement of affordable housing, that didn't 12 necessarily reduce the total amount of housing that 13 you promised to develop; is that right? 14 MS. PRAYWELL: I need to confirm, but my 15 understanding is following the reduction in 16 affordable housing units with the affordable housing 17 agreement, the parties did come back for the amended 18 D&O, and I think -- and I would need to confirm --19 that the amended D&O picked up that reduced number. 20 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: 21 MS. PRAYWELL: Of 940 for Mauka. And I 22 think it's 340 for Triangle. 23 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. So that 24 indicates 1,200 units, though. 1,200, 1,300. 25 MS. PRAYWELL: That sounds about right.

```
COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And it -- so
 1
 2
   you're saying that the amended D&O reduced the total
 3
   amount of requirement from 1,700 to --
             MS. PRAYWELL: I believe that's the case.
 4
 5
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay.
 6
             During the break would you be able to
 7
   provide me the site on that particular --
8
             MS. PRAYWELL: Sure, I'll check --
 9
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: -- because I've
10
   been -- the stuff that I've been reading still
   indicates 1,700 units.
11
12
             MS. PRAYWELL: Okay. Happy to do so.
13
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And then the other
14
   questions I have is this Lahaina Bypass, it hasn't
15
   been built, right?
16
             MR. REBUGIO: Yes. Jeff Rebugio,
17
   Kaanapali Land. The Lahaina Bypass, only a portion
18
   of it, the southern --
19
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yeah. I -- but
   the portion applies to this project --
21
             MR. REBUGIO: Correct. It has not been
22
   developed.
23
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And it's a state
24
   highway.
             That -- it's a state highway, right?
25
             MR. REBUGIO: Yes, that's correct.
```



| T | COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So is there any |
|----|--|
| 2 | kind of indication when this Lahaina Bypass will be |
| 3 | built, if you know? If you don't know, that's fine. |
| 4 | MR. REBUGIO: No. We don't know. We have |
| 5 | been pressing and inquiring with the state DOT for a |
| 6 | number of years. There doesn't seem to be much of a |
| 7 | momentum to move that towards the north. We still |
| 8 | have a development agreement with the DOT and have |
| 9 | fulfilled the our portion of that agreement, have |
| 10 | funded what has been built. |
| 11 | There are some commitments remaining for |
| 12 | the northern segment and have tried to use that as |
| 13 | leverage to get the DOT to build it going north and |
| 14 | |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: My question is |
| 16 | focused on this part. I'm going to get it my |
| 17 | question now. |
| 18 | MR. REBUGIO: Yes. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: The question is: |
| 20 | Does the fact that you don't have a bypass affect |
| 21 | the timing and the development of this area? |
| 22 | MR. REBUGIO: In a way, yes. During the - |
| 23 | - |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Just to interrupt |
| 25 | vou. Just one second. The reason why I ask is that |

I noticed in some of the documents with some of the requirements that it's contingent upon -- that certain requirements are contingent upon the completion of the bypass. And that's why I'm asking 5 you these questions about that.

1

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. REBUGIO: That's correct. condition was addressed -- re-addressed in the 2009 D&O, giving some relief to that condition, allowing That was sort of the reason -- one reason we decided to omit the Mauka out of the effort for Kaanapali 2020 since it had some level of entitlements we could move forward with that housing. But still, certainly the traffic -- you know, dealing with traffic, not having the Lahaina Bypass Highway as an access point complicates the development.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Assuming that you have the water. Assuming that you have the necessary agreements, developmental agreements in place at this point in time, will the lack of a bypass affect the development and construction of these homes?

MR. REBUGIO: For Mauka, our traffic report indicates not so much. We are prepared to have the access via Kakaalaneo Road with the



condition of improvements at that intersection. But certainly, the Lahaina Bypass will facilitate the densities that we're talking about for an area of development that's isolated from the Mauka, the developed areas --

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So I'm -- I'm looking at KLM, okay. You guys, okay. And I'm just trying to get an idea. Let's say that the state doesn't build a bypass, okay? And you guys are all ready to go. Will you make a decision not to proceed until the bypass road or will you make a decision to proceed with your development? Because, as I said before, certain requirements seems to hinge on the bypass road being there.

MR. REBUGIO: Yes. We're committed on the Mauka. Certainly, that -- I think we have a clear path to doing it. We believe water is the roadblock at this stage, so technically we can work with the Kakaalaneo Road and a traffic mitigation work that provides that connection now, but --

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I'm just seeking
- I'm just seeking from you guys a commitment that

the bypass road is not going to delay the

development of the Mauka. It may delay the

development of the Triangle, but it's not going to

```
delay development of the Mauka?
 1
 2
             MR. REBUGIO: Given the magnitude of the
 3
   Kaanapali 2020 project, the Lahaina Bypass
   undoubtedly will be needed, necessary for
 5
   connectivity and such.
 6
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I'm -- I'm a bad
 7
   person as a lawyer because I just try to seek simple
   answers. I just want your assurances that the Mauka
   development will not be affected by whether or not
10
   the bypass road is built?
11
             MR. REBUGIO: Yes, that's correct.
12
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. Thank you.
13
             I don't have any more questions for now.
14
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you,
   Commissioner.
1.5
16
             Commissioner Carr Smith, did you have your
17
18
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: I did. I was
19
   just wondering, do we have the amended D&O in our
20
   documents? We do? Okay. Sorry. Thanks.
21
             MR. ORODENKER: Clarification. It is not
22
   linked, but it is on the website.
23
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioners --
24
   Commissioner Yamane.
25
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE:
                                    Thank you.
```



Question for the developers. Speaking of the 940 for Mauka, I think I understood that number correctly, and you mentioning that that's your priority right now. What's a general timeframe and some steps that will get it completed just for my own -- like in general, approximate, if you have anything like that.

MR. REBUGIO: Yes. We are prepared to move forward once the resolution of water is better understood. All of the land development, the main primary infrastructure has been designed. We have near-approved plans both onsite and offsite, so we would certainly start that as soon as water is made available.

COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Okay. And then also in the amended order, it mentions multi-family I think about 300. Is that included also, or is that another phase in the Mauka?

MR. REBUGIO: 940, I believe, represents a combination of multifamily and single family all within the Mauka piece -- parcel. And as mentioned earlier, it includes the school and the park sites, and there's a small little village commercial component at the entrance of the project.

COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Yeah, I see that 600

multifamily -- the other one was commitment to 1 elderly rental halls and -- okay. Thank you. Thank 3 you. 4 No more questions, Mr. Chair. 5 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So just a quick 6 follow-up to Commissioner Yamane's question. This 7 contingency on the water is obviously very problematic, but assuming -- and we can't resolve that today, but assuming it's resolved by the first of 2025, January 2025, what's the schedule look like 10 11 for building houses after that? 12 MR. REBUGIO: We would immediately move 13 into the land development phase, the bringing in the 14 infrastructure to the parcels, the 25 parcels that 15 was outlined in there. We currently have a lot of interest since the fires in Lahaina about more 16 17 housing and feel that we could have building 18 developers on hand immediately behind the 19 infrastructure work. 20 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So when you talk about 21 infrastructure work, you know, my roads, utilities, 22 et cetera? 23 MR. REBUGIO: Yes. 24 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So in general terms, 25 would you be doing all the infrastructure before you



```
commence any housing?
 1
 2
             MR. REBUGIO:
                            There are strategies with an
 3
   incremental phasing. The primary points of
   connection of all the utility and extensions
 5
   originates from the north end, so it's very
 6
   reasonable to assume that development would phase --
 7
   be phased in or incrementally built from the north
   to the south. We haven't made any determination as
   of yet, but that is very likely to be only because
10
   of these points of connections.
11
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So a phased
12
   implementation plan intuitively makes sense. Are
   you developing that plan in black and white as a
13
14
   document?
15
             MR. REBUGIO: Yes.
16
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: When might that be
17
   available?
18
             MR. REBUGIO: It's -- that's the plans
19
   that are used for the permitting, primarily grading
20
   permit at this stage would be able to move us
21
            We've got most of the requisite approvals
22
   from the utilities and other service providers.
23
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Is that mapped out on
24
   a schedule or is it just mapped out in sequence?
25
             MR. REBUGIO:
                            It --
```



```
CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: A calendar.
 1
             MR. REBUGIO: On a calendar? No, no,
 2
 3
   nothing on a calendar, per se. But we -- we feel
   that given the size of the improvements --
 5
   infrastructure improvements, that would likely be --
   and we're talking about a combination of offsite and
 7
   a short segment of the onsite roadways to be about a
8
   year in construction.
 9
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So housing is a couple
10
   years. So you've got to get the water. Then you
   got to do the infrastructure --
11
12
             MR. REBUGIO: That's fair to say, yes.
13
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: -- and then you can do
14
   the first phase of the housing?
15
             MR. REBUGIO: I would fairly estimate it
16
   to be two years from the point that we could get all
17
   of the water resolved.
18
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: That's very helpful.
19
   Thank you.
20
             Commissioners, anything further at this
21
   time? Who's that? Commissioner Ohigashi. I can
22
   take a break right now. It's been an hour. What
23
   did he say?
24
             Okay. It's 10:03. We'll take a seven-
   minute break and be back at 10:10.
```



```
(A recess was taken from 10:03 a.m. to
 1
   10:13 a.m.)
 2
 3
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. It's 10:13, and
   we're back on the record. A couple of follow-up
 5
   items. Before we go any further, we have the
   pleasure today, I want to introduce to you a future
 7
   Commissioner of the LUC, Mr. Bruce U'u from Maui.
8
   If you don't mind standing and waving your hand.
   Commissioner U'u will be joining the Commission
10
   officially on July 1st. We welcome you. And thank
11
   you for being here today to watch us do what we do.
12
   Thank you.
13
             Okay. So Ms. -- there's a follow-up
14
   question that you were going to confirm some
15
   numbers, Ms. Praywell?
16
             Oh, Commissioner Ohigashi --
17
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: No.
                                           I was going
18
   to ask her. She told me outside that she had
19
   confirmed some numbers or --
20
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Yeah. So I'm asking
21
   her for you.
22
             MS. PRAYWELL: Yes.
                                  The amended D&O
23
   recites that the affordable housing agreement, with
24
   respect to Mauka, reduced Mauka total number of
25
   units from 1,300 to 940 and then in the amended D&O
```



```
conditions, it provides that Mauka should be
 1
   developed in accordance with the affordable housing
 3
   agreement which has all those reduced numbers.
 4
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And it's my
 5
   understanding that doesn't affect the Triangle
 6
   portion.
 7
             MS. PRAYWELL: That doesn't affect the
8
   Triangle. So originally, in the original
   development agreement, the Triangle units were
10
   separated from Mauka.
11
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Testing one, two. You
12
   hear an echo?
13
             MS. KWAN: Yeah, there's an echo.
14
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: What do you want to
1.5
   do? You're the boss.
16
             MS. KWAN: Five minutes.
17
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Five-minute break.
18
             (Recess taken from 10:15 a.m. to 10:18
19
   a.m.)
20
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: We're good. We're
21
   back on the record. It is -- the recording is
22
   going. It's 10:18.
23
             So Ms. Praywell, you were -- did you want
24
   to affirm those numbers again without an echo?
25
             MS. PRAYWELL: Diane Praywell. Yeah, the
```



```
affordable housing agreement reduced the housing for
 1
   Mauka from 1,300 to 940. That did not alter
 3
   Triangle.
 4
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So of the 940, how
 5
   many are affordable?
                            480.
 6
             MS. PRAYWELL:
 7
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI:
                                  480.
             MS. PRAYWELL: That's all pursuant to the
 8
 9
   terms of the affordable housing agreement.
10
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. So 460 are not
11
   -- are market?
12
             MS. PRAYWELL: Correct.
13
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So --
14
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Ohigashi.
15
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: That follows up --
16
   I'm going to follow up with that. With regard to
17
   Triangle, then, there's no development or agreement
18
   and I go back to my next -- my last -- my previous
19
   question that I asked, are you considering -- and
20
   I'll modify it. Are you considering reducing the
21
   total amount in the Triangle because it appears that
22
   your plans are -- include non-residential uses
23
   within that Triangle area?
24
             MR. FUKUNAGA:
                            Chad Fukunaga with
25
   Kaanapali Land Management. It is our intent to
```



incorporate the Triangle area into the greater

Kaanapali 2020 master plan. And when you look at

that master plan, we envision having more units than

what would have just been in the Triangle area. We

have not yet determined, you know, the exactly

number of units, but in working with our community

members, we've identified in a large area of that

2020 area to be in single family or multifamily. It

also identified other community uses.

answered it in such a way that is indicative of your future plans in how you consider this area, but I'm -- but right now it's a petition -- part of a petitioned area, and it's part of a condition on a petition. So the question really has to do with that particular parcel and how -- what are your particular plans for that particular parcel under a requirement by the petition, by the -- a condition under the D&O that says that you're going to build about -- what is it, 343, is that what it is?

And are you guys going to meet that condition or do you plan to come here and modify that condition in the future? So it seems as though you're planning right now to reduce the total amount of 343, is that right?

MS. PRAYWELL: Where we are on this is back to the Act 15 decertification from HHFDC. That action approved the decertification. We're still in discussions and will need to work out with HHFDC the terms of that decertification which may include a new or modified version of the current development agreement. Once that's worked out, we would have to come back to the Commission to modify the land use D&O -- Land Use Commission D&O to reflect what's been worked out.

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I want -- I'm hoping that the parties are understanding the sense of urgency in that area and that 13 years to do a development agreement and put into a plan is kind of unacceptable. The 343 units are desperately needed no matter what. The 900 units are desperately needed. So I am -- I'll put it this way, I'm not happy with the fact that we don't have a sense of urgency on the part of the Petitioner in this matter and that I'm hoping that given the grave situation in Lahaina, that being good neighbors and working hard at your development and taking into account community concerns that you've done over the past years will translate into a more sense of urgency, along with, of course, the other players in this

section.

And I'm not only speaking to you, I guess. I was speaking to everybody that there's a sense of urgency, right? If you can put houses in within -- starting building with two years because you don't need that bypass and you can get the water, that's great. And it adds -- if you're going to -- if you're going to say that, well, we cannot meet the 343, well, come up with a -- come with up with an urgent plan or a plan quickly enough to reach those goals within the area, you know.

The other thing I wanted to touch on was that I noticed that the past decision that -- the second -- the 2009 decision appears to formally divide up the responsibilities between the Mauka section and Triangle. And the problem -- the benefit and -- the benefit of that is obvious that it gives the Mauka a chance to push through and we don't -- you don't have -- you can focus in on one area.

The problem is that too many times developers have sold off portions like the Triangle. And that we're set with a situation where each party's pointing the fingers at the other, whereas the D&O specifically requires your -- the Petitioner

to be responsible irrespective if he sell it off the 1 buyers. So that is my concern. 3 And I just hope that in the future that we're able to -- that that doesn't happen and that 5 every -- all the parties are aware of that problem 6 and aware of their responsibilities under the D&O, 7 okay? No further questions. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you. 10 Ms. Praywell, earlier in the remarks there was a mention about coming back before the LUC. And 11 12 now you just clarified that pending an agreement on 13 the Triangle, you -- that would be one reason you 14 would come back for the LUC, to get a modification 15 of that -- an amendment to D&O. Is there any other 16 reason that you can foresee that you might come back 17 before the LUC? 18 MS. PRAYWELL: Not concrete reason enough 19 right now. That would be the most relevant reason 20 at this time. 21 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: When you mentioned 22 earlier an anticipation to come back, it would be 23 for that purpose? 24 MS. PRAYWELL: Correct.

DAEGELI (800)528-3335
DEPOSITION & TRIAL NAEGELIUSA.COM

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay.

25

MS. PRAYWELL: 1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: As Commissioner 3 Ohigashi mentioned, we're here to help in any way we can to get this moving forward. So having a heads-5 up about what's coming is also helpful to us. 6 MS. PRAYWELL: Understood. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Anything more 8 from the Petitioner at this time? You'll get another chance to talk after you hear everybody 10 else. 11 MS. PRAYWELL: Nothing further. Thank 12 you. 13 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Thank you. Commissioners, any questions? Okay. 14 15 Let's move on to HHFDC. 16 MS. CHING: Sandra Ching for HHFDC. We 17 don't have anything to add to the Petitioner's presentation. We do want to clarify that as part of 18 19 the decertification of the Triangle that was done by 20 HHFDC, that that decertification stated that HHFDC 21 is requiring 340 affordable units. So that number 22 is still, as far as HHFDC is concerned, a 23 requirement as part of the decertification. 24 Any change to that would, of course, need 25 to be brough to the Commission as well as be through



```
a tri-party agreement with HHFDC, KLMC, and the
 1
   County. That's pursuant to Act 198. So we just
 3
   wanted to make that clarification, that we have been
   -- the plan has been working with the Petitioner.
 5
   There's feasibility issues that they've spoken about
 6
   and has tried to cooperate them in trying to
 7
   envision their Kaanapali 2020.
             My client, if you -- we're available for
8
 9
   questions, and Stan Fujimoto might have more clarity
10
   as to specific steps or things that occurred.
   knows this project from the inception. Thank you.
11
12
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. So the
13
   negotiation or agreement that you're undertaking now
14
   on the Triangle to get it resolved how -- whether or
15
   not you need to adjust the numbers, the one you're
16
   referring to. Who's involved in that negotiation?
17
             MR. REBUGIO: Jeff Rebugio. We are.
18
   am.
19
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: And who are you
20
   negotiating with?
21
             MR. REBUGIO: Primarily our point of
22
   contact has been with Stan.
23
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So it's with HHFDC?
24
             MR. REBUGIO:
                           HHFDC.
25
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So it's just a two-
```



party agreement or is the county involved? 1 2 MR. REBUGIO: Well, the amended D&O 3 introduced the County's housing department as part of the agreement that evolved to what we have with 5 the Mauka piece. We imagined that would be this --6 we'd have the same blueprint for an agreement. 7 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So have discussions with the County started yet? 8 9 MR. REBUGIO: We have in past years 10 brought that to their attention. Nothing recent 11 that was -- no recent discussions on it recently, 12 but it has been brought to their attention in the 13 past. 14 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. So to pick up 15 with Commissioner Ohigashi's suggestion for 16 increasing priority and urgency, if you anticipate 17 an agreement that will require three-party 18 agreement, then discussions of it be going as soon 19 as possible with all three parties, in my view. 20 Would you agree with that? 21 MR. REBUGIO: I'm sorry, Chair. Can you 22 repeat he question? 23 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: If a -- specific to 24 the Triangle and if a new agreement has to be made 25 which involves yourself and HHFDC and the County

before you can come back to us and ask for an 1 amendment, in the spirit of urgency shouldn't those 3 discussions involving all three of those be scheduled and going forward? 4 5 MR. REBUGIO: Yes, I would agree. 6 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. We would 7 encourage that. Okay. I'll leave it at that. Commissioners, any questions for HHFDC? 8 9 Commissioner Carr Smith? 10 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Can you folks 11 confirm, so is HHFDC involved in the Mauka parcel 12 for those 480 affordable housing units? 13 MS. PRAYWELL: That's correct because they are a party to the affordable housing agreement, 14 15 which stands with respect to Mauka. 16 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Okay. And it 17 seems as though you folks are saying that the Mauka 18 area is what you've been focusing on, correct? 19 MS. PRAYWELL: That's correct. 20 **COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:** So the urgency 21 that the Commission is asking about, can you speak 22 specifically to the Mauka area? That's a good amount of affordable housing, especially 23 24 percentagewise of the total. I don't understand the 25 timing there other than what we spoke about in

```
infrastructure.
 1
 2
             MR. REBUGIO:
                            That's the project we spoke
 3
   to regarding a rough timeframe of about two years
   upon the resolution of water. We have approved
 5
   offsite and onsite infrastructure plans that we
 6
   would immediately pull the trigger upon for
 7
   development, and that would bring about the building
   community for the housing. We believe that could
 8
   run concurrently with the further small lot
10
   subdivisions or multifamily developments that would
11
   immediately be behind the infrastructure work.
12
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Okay. So it's
13
   solely the water.
14
             MR. REBUGIO:
                           Yes.
15
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Thank you.
16
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Ohigashi.
17
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: HHFDC, what is
18
   this road in the Mauka section, specifically?
19
             MS. PRAYWELL: Can I have my --
20
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: I'm going to have to
   swear him in.
21
22
             Please state your name and affiliation.
23
             MR. FUJIMOTO: Stan Fujimoto, HHFDC,
24
   Project Manager. HHFDC approved the modification.
25
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Wait, wait.
                                               I've got
```



```
to swear you in.
 1
 2
             MR. FUJIMOTO:
                            Oh, sorry.
 3
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Please state your name
 4
   nice and loud into the microphone.
 5
             MR. FUJIMOTO: Stan Fujimoto, HHFDC.
 6
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Do you swear the
 7
   testimony you're about to give will be the truth?
             MR. FUJIMOTO:
 8
                            Yes.
 9
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Please proceed.
10
             MR. FUJIMOTO: HHFDC approved the
11
   modification of the Act 15 approval and re-executed
   the affordable housing agreement, so our role is
12
13
   basically complete. In the affordable housing
14
   agreement, the County's responsible for enforcing
15
   the affordable requirements because the affordable
16
   housing agreement basically complied with the
17
   County's affordable requirements at that time.
18
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Did the Petitioner
19
   receive any benefit, state benefit from the
20
   agreements?
21
             MR. FUJIMOTO: You mean, other than the
22
   approval? No.
23
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Is HHFDC, are they
24
   in a position to provide funding or sources of
25
   funding for the project?
```



1 MR. FUJIMOTO: Possibly. We respond to 2 applications. Most of our programs are competitive 3 so they would have to comply with our program requirements. 4 5 **COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:** If you are just 6 limited to writing an agreement, why are you a 7 partner in this matter? 8 MR. FUJIMOTO: Because we were requested. Under Act 15, I think we were the only agency that 10 had the authority under Act 15. And the original 11 agreement was approval under 15. 12 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And what are the 13 status of the Triangle negotiations? The counsel 14 for Petitioner answered, so I'm asking you now. 15 MR. FUJIMOTO: Our board approved in 2011 16 decertification of Act 15 for the Triangle in 17 compliance with Act 198. Act 198 requires three-18 party agreement between a developer, the County, and 19 HHFDC. You know, approval, we did specify our 20 desire for maintaining the 240 units, affordable 21 units. In our mind, we're not married to the 22 existing location. We're flexible where it goes. We're concerned mainly about trying to maintain the 23 24 240 units. 25 And in --in accordance with the prior



```
affordable housing agreement, KLMC basically
 1
   negotiated the deal between themselves and the
 3
   County first before they came to us with request to
   modify the previous approval. So we were kind of
 5
   expecting the same approach because we just wanted
 6
   to respond to --
 7
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: When -- when was
   the last time that you were involved in a
8
   negotiation? Was it by letter or in person?
10
             MR. FUJIMOTO: Not until recently.
11
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: What does recently
12
   mean?
13
             MR. FUJIMOTO: Yes. Oh, 2024.
14
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And you received a
   letter or a call or what?
15
             MR. FUJIMOTO: It's just discussions in
16
17
   response to this inquiry.
18
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. So that was
   -- prior to that time, 2024, what was -- was there
19
20
   any other negotiation being conducted?
21
             MR. FUJIMOTO: No, not -- not that I'm
22
   aware of.
23
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. So there's
24
   been 13 years of non-negotiations in this matter.
25
             MR. FUJIMOTO:
                            Yes.
```



COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Is that right? 1 2 MR. FUJIMOTO: Yes. 3 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. No further 4 questions. CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Any further questions 5 6 by the Commissioners? Okay. Thank you. 7 We'll turn to the County. MS. DESJARDINS: 8 Thank you, Chair. Desjardins, Deputy Corporation Counsel. Thank you for scheduling this item. 10 11 learned more about this development today than I was able to find in our records, unfortunately. I did 12 13 inquire with the Department of Housing and Human Concerns about what history of this project exists. 14 15 There was very little, I'll be frank. 16 I did locate, however, the affordable 17 housing agreement for the Puukolii Mauka portion 18 that was executed in 2008, which does include the 19 County of Maui as a party. I don't have any 20 amendment to this. I don't see any indication that 21 we've been involved in any negotiations regarding 22 the Triangle. I will definitely reach out to counsel for the developer and to try to get any 23 24 documentations that we can, but we are supportive, 25 obviously, of any efforts to try to do this;

```
however, we just don't have a lot of -- I can't find
 1
 2
   a lot of evidence.
 3
             However, I did ask the Department of
   Environmental Management if they have any comments.
 5
   They don't at this time, but I know the Department
 6
   of Planning does have some comments to make, so I'm
 7
   going to pass it over to the Director at this time.
   Thank you.
 8
 9
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Director, would you
10
   please state your name and position and I'll swear
   you in.
11
12
             MS. BLYSTONE:
                            My name is Kate Blystone.
13
   I'm the Director of the Planning Department.
14
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Ms. Blystone, do you
15
   swear the testimony today will be the truth?
16
             MS. BLYSTONE:
                             I do.
17
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Please proceed.
18
             MS. BLYSTONE: I just have a couple points
19
   of clarification, really one point of clarification
20
   on KLMCs testimony today. As they mentioned, the
21
   community plan for West Maui was adopted in January
22
   2022, and that process took roughly five years if my
23
   math is correct. That was the first plan that we
24
   did under the new 2.80B Maui County Code, which made
25
   the whole process quite a bit more robust, so were
```

learning a lot along the way. We've moved more quickly lately.

What I wanted to mention is that through that process we learned that the community is very supportive of growth in this area in Puukolii Mauka and the Puukolii Triangle, the area under discussion today, so I know that KLMC mentioned that there was some disappointment in the outcome of that process. That was not in relation to the area that we're under discussion today. So Puukolii Mauka and Puukolii Triangle were assigned the designation small town center.

Small town center includes -- it's in the new community plan designations are not meant to regulate uses as much as they are to regulate form and character of a place. So small town center is what you would imagine a small town center to feel like. Lower profile buildings, all the amenities that a community would need.

So that said, you know, residential, commercial, public, quasi-public uses, public uses of all kinds are appropriate for this designation.

So I just wanted to add that to your thought process today and I and my team are available for any questions that you have.

```
CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioners,
 1
   questions?
 2
 3
             Commissioner Ohigashi.
 4
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:
                                      Yeah.
                                             What's the
 5
   difference between Kaanapali 2020 and the actual
 6
   general and community plan?
             MS. BLYSTONE: I'm sorry, can you say that
 7
 8
   again?
 9
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:
                                      They mentioned
10
   Kaanapali 2020 and the general and community plan.
   What's the difference?
11
12
             MS. BLYSTONE: So Kate Blystone responding
13
   to your question. Thank you for that, Commissioner.
   Kaanapali 2020 is a master plan of a project.
14
15
   general plan and the community plan -- the general
16
   plan is the long-range planning document and the
17
   community plan falls within that suite of long-range
18
   planning documents, which also includes the --
19
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:
20
             MS. BLYSTONE: -- plan.
21
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And it's your
22
   testimony today that the project area that we're
23
   talking about, which is the Triangle and the Mauka
24
   section, fall -- are consistent with the community
25
   and general plan.
```



MS. BLYSTONE: Correct. So the south --1 2 the -- sorry, the West Maui Community Plan adopted 3 in January of '22 designated these two areas small town center. 4 5 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So what we're 6 talking about -- when they -- the Petitioner talks 7 about a change in plans would be their own internal plans, isn't that right? 8 9 MS. BLYSTONE: Kate Blystone. I cannot 10 speak to what they're saying there, but -- if there was a change in community plan designations as a 11 result of this new community plan update. 12 13 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: It's a lawyer's 14 trick to make a statement without asking a question. 15 MS. BLYSTONE: Oh, thank you, sir. 16 **COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:** I just -- one 17 further question. This area falls within the 18 Governor's emergency proclamation, is that right? 19 MS. DESJARDINS: Mimi Desjardins, 20 Corporation Counsel. Well, the entire -- yeah, the 21 entire island falls within the Governor's emergency 22 proclamation. 23 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: As such, there may 24 be availability of using that emergency plan to 25 speed up the Mauka and the Triangle sections, isn't

that right? 1 MS. DESJARDINS: Mimi Desjardins. Yes, we 2 3 have looked at and identified areas in West Maui where that might be appropriate, but certainly 5 everything is on the table, Commissioner Ohigashi, 6 in terms of speeding up housing on the West Side. 7 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. Has any 8 discussions been brought to the attention of the Petitioner, HHFDC, the Office of Planning, 10 Governor's Office, to try and see what can we do to 11 fast track the water development as well as to fast track this project to assist in helping the people 12 13 of West Maui get homes? Has there been anything that you know of from your point of view? 14 15 MS. DESJARDINS: Thank you. Mimi 16 Desjardins. No, Commissioner Ohigashi, I am not 17 aware of any discussions specifically about the 18 water for this area or for this particular 19 development. 20 **COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:** And -- okay. 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you, 23 Commissioner. So make some editorial comments here. 24



When we look through the Land Use Commission files

25

going back 30, 40 years, unfortunately we find projects such as this where D&Os were approved years and decades ago and promises or representations were made that houses would be built, and there's no shovel in the ground.

I don't know the exact number but people - we're talking about 50,000 affordable houses
around the state, but certainly Maui, in the wake of
the tragic fire, is in desperate need. What we're
trying to do in hearings like we're having today is
to identify projects such as this that might need
some type of acceleration, that might have an
obstacle that nobody even is working on, and we
could bring the resources together of the right
people, County, HHFDC, the Petitioner, to work on
the issues so that these projects can be brought
forward. That's what we're trying to help you all
do.

So I appreciate your comments that kind of speaks to the importance of a hearing like this, which is it's educational. It brings everybody up to speed, what -- where we stand and what we need to do, so thank you for that. But we really encourage you to move this to the front burners of the stove if you can.

Commissioners, anything more for the 1 2 County? 3 Thank you very much. State? Ms. Kato? 4 5 Alison Kato for OPSD. MS. KATO: 6 respect to the Puukolii Village project, which is 7 the Mauka area at the top, Petitioner appears to be moving the project forward subject to certain hurdles like the water issue, which seems like it's 10 the main issue holding things up, given that 11 Petitioner has already spent a lot of money and gotten various documents and permits. 12 13 OPSD understands that further changes will be needed to update various aspects of this project, 14 15 but the project will provide housing in a location 16 where it's appropriate and very much needed, 17 especially after the wildfires. 18 With respect to Puukolii Triangle, OPSD 19 understands that the portion -- that portion will be 20 included in the remaining K2020 development plan and 21 that further changes will be required at a later 22 time. It sounds like the future intended use will 23 be similar to what was represented in their original 24 and amended D&O, and, again, it is suitable and a 25 needed use for that area.

Regarding the conditions, OPSD met twice with HHFDC and HHFDC is in attendance today to represent its interests. OPSD also requested comments twice from DOT, DOH, DOE, and HI-EMA on the status of relevant conditions in this matter. DOT responded that they had no comments on the DOT-related conditions. DOE and HI-EMA did not provide a response.

The Petitioner has already worked closely with DOT on many improvements, so OPSD interprets their no comments as satisfaction with the working relationship to date. As for DOE and HI-EMA, we assume their interest in the project will increase when the likelihood of people moving into the area is closer to being realized.

DOH provided comments on the DOH-related conditions. They had no comments on Condition No. 12 regarding the Lahaina Wastewater Treatment Plant. For Condition No. 15 regarding drainage and erosion control plan. Submittal of the drainage and erosion control plan is no longer required and applicants must instead prepare a stormwater pollution prevention plan.

DOH confirmed that they processed KLMCs

NPDS permit, coverage renewal request for a Puukolii

Village, which does not include Puukolii Triangle.

For Condition 19 regarding erosion and dust control,
erosion control requirements are incorporated into
the NPDES permit that KLMC received. For Condition
No. 20, air quality monitoring, DOH confirmed that
does not have an air quality monitoring program
specific to the West Maui area.

And last for Condition No. 21, DOH just noted that the Integrated Solid Waste Management Act isn't applicable to KLMC. To summarize, for DOH some conditions are no longer relevant and some have been satisfied.

Regarding the water issue, OPSD also consulted with CWRM regarding the water use approval issue for Puukolii Mauka, Puukolii Village. As a result of the designation of the Lahaina Aquifer Section, areas of ground and surface water management area, CWRM is currently in the process of reviewing many existing water use permit applications in a prioritized manner, and they have their own priority system.

And they do not anticipate beginning decision making on those applications until late 2024 at the earliest because there's a whole process that they have to go through. And it appears that

```
CWRM has not yet approved a permit for the entire
 1
   projected buildout of Puukolii Mauka, so Petitioner
 3
   may need to file an additional or a new water use
   permit to secure water for the full protected
 5
   buildout of Puukolii Mauka.
 6
             That is -- that's all our comments for
 7
   now. I'm available for questions. Thank you.
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you.
 8
 9
             Commissioners?
10
             Commissioner Ohigashi.
11
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yeah. I -- do you
   have anything in writing from the CWR -- what is it,
12
13
   the water resources committee -- commission?
             MS. KATO: You mean like formal written
14
1.5
   comments?
16
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yeah.
17
             MS. KATO: No. But OPSD consulted with
18
   them.
19
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Would they be able
   to provide us in writing the status of the permits
20
21
   and whether or not the permits have been adequately
22
   filed or -- for their review? Would that be
23
   available to OPSD?
24
             MS. KATO: We can ask them, but by
   permits, the status of --
```



COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: 1 Yeah ---- specific one here. 2 MS. KATO: 3 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Earlier you said that they had applied for a permit and that it's 5 going to take -- it's not going to be taken up, but they might have to apply for a fuller permit because 7 it's more places -- that's what I got from your testimony when you talked, more -- it's a bigger project involved. And so my question to you is: 10 Can we get the status or can you get the status from 11 them in writing as to what specifically is holding 12 this up. 13 We can ask them for it. MS. KATO: 14 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And when you get -15 - when you get it, would you supplement the record 16 in this case, at least, so that we have something in 17 writing on file? Because I'm not -- I'm not 18 questioning your truthfulness or not, but it would 19 be good to have something in writing from them to 20 state what exactly is the problem and how long it 21 may take and what steps do they need to go forward 22 with it so that at least the record in this case is 23 more complete so we know that that is their 24 requirements. Is that all right with you? 25 Yes. We can request that and MS. KATO:

we can submit to the Commission anything that we 1 receive in writing from CWRM. And I suspect part of 3 it may be them explaining this process that they're going through right now. 5 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yes. And do you 6 agree about the reading that the Petitioner has 7 given about what the -- what applies to the D&O that there's -- it's 900-some-odd houses reduce and 480 and 140 -- reduce it to 140 on the Mauka and about 10 343 still exists on the -- what is it called? The -- -- the 44 housing component? 11 12 MS. KATO: Is your question about the 13 current number of affordable housing units --14 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yeah, I just want 15 you to confirm that this what the second part of --16 that second D&O, the amended D&O says. 17 MS. KATO: I believe that is accurate what 18 the current amended D&O says, that there is 940 19 currently required for Mauka. 20 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: In the last area 21 I'm going to ask you this. What can OPSD do to 22 increase or to go forward with trying to get a 23 priority on this particular project with the -- with 24 the Water Resources Commission so that affordable

housing can be built in Lahaina area as soon as

25

```
possible?
 1
 2
             MS. KATO:
                       Sorry. Can my client speak?
 3
   Katia Balassiano.
 4
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Let's swear you
 5
   in again.
             Name
 6
             MS. BALASSIANO: Katia -- excuse me.
 7
   Katia Balassiano, OPSD, Land Use Division.
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Do you swear your
8
 9
   testimony today will be the truth?
10
             MS. BALASSIANO: Yes, I do.
11
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Proceed.
12
             MS. BALASSIANO: Yes. Good morning. OPSD
13
   meets on a weekly basis every Friday morning with
14
   members of the Governor's Disaster Recovery Team
15
   along with several other state agencies. And the
   Governor's Office identifies -- works with the
16
17
   County and FEMA and others to identify priority
18
   projects in Maui to respond to the wildfire
19
   disaster.
20
             And through those negotiations, the
21
   Governor's Office brings to the state agencies the
22
   various projects that are the priority projects and
23
   asks a very similar question to the state agencies,
   what's the status and what can be done to expedite
24
25
   these particular projects.
```

```
1
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So are you aware
   of any -- of this particular project being --
 2
 3
             MS. BALASSIANO: This project is currently
   not on that list of priority projects.
 4
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And could you
 5
 6
   explain to me why not?
 7
             MS. BALASSIANO: No, I cannot.
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay.
 8
                                             Has this
   project gained a radar of the Governor?
10
             MS. BALASSIANO: It is on the radar of the
11
   Governor. And I believe that this project has been
   examined to be on that priority list, but it is
12
13
   currently not. And I don't know what the behind-
14
   the-scenes negotiations involve with the particular
15
   landowners --
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Will we ever know?
16
             MS. BALASSIANO: I don't know if I'll
17
18
   know.
19
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: All right.
20
   Because I see 900-some-odd homes within two years
21
   being started as being something -- because the way
22
   I envision Lahaina -- and I may be wrong -- but
23
   there's going to be immediate needs. And then
24
   there's going to be interim needs. And then there's
25
   going to be long-term needs. And the interim and
```

long-term needs seems to have some kind of place 1 with this project. And if the only -- if we limit 3 ourselves to one area, we may not be able to -- we may delay the long-term needs and the interim needs 5 for future development. 6 So I -- my question --7 MS. BALASSIANO: I understand --COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: -- my concern and 8 comment is that I hope that you're going to take a 10 holistic approach to this rather than only 11 concentrate on the immediate approach. 12 MS. BALASSIANO: The fact of the status 13 meeting and this project has been brought to that 14 group's attention by myself, so they are very much 15 aware of this meeting and the entitlements that this 16 project already has. But there are other projects 17 that have risen in level of priority at this point in time, and I cannot tell you how or why that is. 18 19 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: 20 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioners, anybody 21 else? 22 I have a couple. Even though the Mauka project we heard today will proceed even if the 24 bypass is delayed, it would be really helpful if

Did they

that bypass got some priority from DOT.

25

comment at all about the status of their plans to build a bypass?

MS. KATO: They had no comments. We asked them twice.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So as a follow-up as we go forward in this process because I'm sure we'll revisit this process, but let's be specific in asking them about that bypass road and their timing and plans for that.

And then following up on Commissioner

Ohigashi's interest, it just seems that we've got -we're prepared to do something really important and
valuable for the community in the Mauka project and
it's all hung up because of this water issue. And
my sense is that they've made a generalized
priority, as we heard earlier today, to prioritize
all of the existing applications or existing water
uses before they would consider something new at
all.

That seems to me to be pretty myopic in the grand scheme of things, and I would really encourage this Friday morning meeting to consider opportunities to make an exception to get a little more priority for consideration of the water application for a new project such as this. Because

```
it just seems so important for the community in this
 1
   part of the island. So I want to encourage you to
   make that case. And if there's anything this
   Commission can do to help you make that case, let us
 5
   know.
 6
             MS. KATO: Thank you. We can raise that
 7
   again with them, but we don't make CWRM's decisions
8
   for them.
 9
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: I understand. But
10
   they're aware that this meeting is happening, I
11
   hope.
12
             MS. KATO: They are aware, yes.
13
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. And that --
14
             MS. KATO: We've explained it.
15
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: -- all -- everything's
16
   pointing to them, being what's holding this up. Is
17
   that a fair representation? We're hearing from the
18
   Petitioner that that's the plug in the wall right
19
   there, so anything you can do to help, that would be
20
   greatly appreciated.
21
             MS. KATO: Thank you.
22
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Commissioners,
23
   anything further for the State? Okay.
24
             So Petitioner, you've heard from the
25
   HHFDC.
           You're heard from the County. You're heard
```

```
from the State. Do you have any further remarks,
 1
   comments, or corrections that you want to put on the
 3
   record?
 4
             MR. REBUGIO: Jeff Rebugio, Kaanapali
 5
   Land. No, Chair.
 6
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Is that for all three
 7
   of you? Okay. Thank you.
             I'm going to Commissioners for final
 8
 9
   questions and comments for any of the parties.
10
             Commissioner Lee?
11
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you, Chair.
             For the Petitioner -- and before I start
12
13
   this question, I quess I wanted to bring up that one
   of the things under consideration is that we give
14
15
   CWRM and OPSD, but mainly CWRM, veto power over
16
   whether they can even come to us, so that's
17
   something that has been considered for
18
   administrative rules for this organization.
19
   think ourselves, we need to reconsider that.
20
   CWRM is going to be the obstacle, why are we
21
   deferring to them without any examination?
22
             So for the Petitioner, what kind of
23
   carrying costs do you have for this project?
24
             MR. REBUGIO: Jeff Rebugio. It's hard to
25
   answer that question because of the time this
```

```
project has lingered, but obviously that's something
   we try to reduce as much as possible. There are
 3
   still land management of the area. You could
   perhaps, you know, point to the cost to it. As well
 5
   as power consulting consultants on -- that are
 6
   hired. I can't put a number on that but certainly
 7
   something to consider.
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Do you have financing
8
 9
   costs that you're continuing to pay?
10
             MR. REBUGIO: No.
11
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. So you have no
   reason to delay this, right?
12
13
             MR. REBUGIO: No reason.
14
             COMMISSIONER LEE: But at some point you
15
   could walk away from this project.
16
             MR. REBUGIO: It would be a hard decision
17
   given the time and investment and effort that has
18
   transpired over the years.
19
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay. Thank you.
20
             And Chair, I wanted to ask one question of
21
   HHFDC.
22
             Okay. So you mentioned that they might
23 l
   come back to you for whatever, financing, whatever,
24
   you know, credits, whatever. I know it's still
25
   rough right now, but in broad strokes if they came
```

to you with their proposal, how competitive would 1 they be under your current qualified allocation 3 plan? 4 MR. FUJIMOTO: Under -- under our existing 5 programs, as I -- I'm not involved in the tax credit programs, but I don't know that we have, but we 7 might be considering giving a preference to projects 8 in Lahaina or for the areas impacted by the wildfires. But we would need to follow our rules 10 appointing -- and trying to make -- we need to 11 follow our rules and I don't know offhand whether we 12 do have any -- we can give them any preference, them 13 or anybody else in their situation, advantages over other applicants. 14 15 COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you. 16 Thank you, Chair. 17 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you. 18 Commissioners? 19 I have one point of confusion in my mind, 20 and it has to do with the Triangle and the 21 negotiations that are going on to settle the scope 22 of that project and whether or not you'll be able to 23 sustain the No. 342 or not. My confusion is I 24 thought that the Petitioner said they're talking to 25 HHFDC and eventually would talk to the County. And

```
then from HHFDC I got the impression nobody's
 1
   talking to them at all and that they're waiting for
 3
   the Petitioner and the County to come to agreement.
 4
             So can you clarify to me in your
 5
   understanding how this process works? Who's
 6
   involved and who's on first?
 7
             MR. REBUGIO: Thank you, Chair.
                                               Jeff
   Rebugio.
 8
 9
             The long drawn process of trying to define
10
   Kaanapali 2020 given the different layers of
   entitlements at the County level, as well as really
11
   embracing the community process by inviting the
12
13
   leaders of the community to have a say in the -- in
14
   the land plan. The need will eventually be defined
15
   through that process. We're perhaps not there yet.
16
             I also want to clarify that there is, at
17
   least for me, the process of bringing the County
18
   housing agency to this, perhaps that was an
19
   assumption on my part given what was done with the
20
   Mauka piece. It makes sense to me. It has the
21
   same, you know, parties under the County's
22
   affordable housing, workforce housing project where
23
   I believe the authority there is the County housing
24
   agency.
25
             There was a new administration installed
```

last year and we at least reached out to the leadership at the department. I believe that department is also being bifurcated, separating housing and human concerns from -- I'm sorry, separating human concerns from housing. And it's something that we certainly need to stay on and get an agreement with.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So my confusion is a little bit -- is along those lines, but basically what I heard on the Mauka piece was that you came to an agreement with the County and then asked HHFDC to agree to it. Is that the same process that you're going to deal with the County first and then ask them to reach -- they're on record saying they want to retain 342 if possible, but they don't seem to be party to the negotiation.

MR. REBUGIO: Yes. Again, bringing the County housing agency into this, I believe was the result of the Act 198. I believe at that time there was a lot of effort and these two have home rule, have the County -- have them be at the table and have a say into the proposed development. This would be how we would handle the Triangle piece as well.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. I don't have



```
anything further at this time.
 1
             So now it's time once again -- oh, did I
 2
 3
   have somebody? Where?
 4
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Over here.
 5
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Oh. Sorry, Nancy.
 6
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: That's all
 7
   right.
 8
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Carr
 9
   Smith, I didn't see you. Please.
10
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: That's okay.
11
   Thank you.
             Petitioner, how long have you been working
12
13
   on this project?
             MR. FUJIMOTO: Well, perhaps a total of 17
14
15
   years.
16
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: 17 years? Okay.
17
   And how much money have you spent on the project?
18
             MR. FUJIMOTO: I can only provide an
19
   estimate, and it's millions of dollars into it.
20
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Okay. Well, the
21
   Commission is the one that brought this to the
22
   table, right? I'm just curious, I wanted to get a
23
   sense of prior to being called to provide a status
24
   report, what would you say was your level of
25
   engagement in this project specifically?
```



MR. FUJIMOTO: It has been a top priority even before the August fires. There was already a housing crisis. There's been a lot of people inquiring. And this perhaps points back to the process of CWRMs actions in the past years. The designation was made, I believe, in 2022, but leading up to that there were a number of hearings held with the -- with what I believe an intent to set end stream flow standards for West Maui.

All of that culminated with the decision

All of that culminated with the decision to do the designation, to designate a water management area. And that process in itself puts pause into whether you need to move forward with design development for particularly the water system. And so I'd say we've constantly been active in that -- in that realm. We -- we're at the mercy of CWRMs decision and how they would move forward with water.

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: And when did you apply for that permit?

MR. FUJIMOTO: So to clarify, Hawaii Water Service is a private utility, PC regulated utility company that has an established service area that's equivalent to most of our lands, the Kaanapali 2020, including the Mauka area. So our request for water

service would go through that company.

They in turn have submitted the -- like everyone who draws and uses water submits the existing water use permit applications to CWRM, I believe CWRM gave every user one year to submit your existing water use permit application. That is the permit I believe they're trying to process now. And we heard that there has been no permits issued based on those applications.

establishing existing water use permits first before they would take up -- before they would take on any new water use permits, so through Hawaii Water Service Company, we've been constantly in discussions with them. We talked about source location, a well location and understand their capacity to serve. We have a good sense of that, so there hasn't been -- we formally haven't filed, but there is a request for service and they simply cannot provide a will serve letter at this time because of the situation with -- understanding what they're allowed to do beyond what has already been established as existing use.

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: That's helpful.

25 Thank you.



MR. FUJIMOTO: 1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Anything 3 further? Final round of public testimony. Once 4 5 again, are there any members of the public who wish 6 to provide new and additional testimony on the 7 status and progress of A92-686, Ms. Kwan? MS. KWAN: Seeing none, Chair. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Thank you. Further discussion. Commissioners, this 10 is a status report. We're not required to take any 11 action at this time. And if no action is taken, 12 13 it's the requirement of continued annual status 14 reports will remain in effect, and I believe the 15 next status report is due in approximately nine to 16 ten months from today. 17 However, if the Commission feels that its 18 concerns have not been addressed, based on the 19 comments and responses provided by the Petitioner, 20 the Chair will entertain a motion that either the 21 Petitioner's status report has not sufficiently 22 addressed the Commission's concerns and that further 23 meetings or status updates are necessary to provide 24 the opportunity to do so at dates to be determined 25 by the LUC Staff.

Or if there's reason to believe that the Petitioner will not adhere to conditions set forth in the decision and orders, it may request that Staff move forward with a formal order for show cause proceedings.

Commissioners, are there any questions on

Commissioners, are there any questions on how we move forward? Hearing none, it's time for deliberations.

The Chair will entertain a motion regarding how the Commission should proceed on this matter. So Commissioners, what's your pleasure?

Commissioner Ohigashi?

preface this by saying that I agree with corporation counsel's statement that this has been educational. And that it permitted us to understand what are the obstacles and items that are necessary to make this project go forward, which is much needed in Lahaina.

So I think -- and I'm not going to be here. It will be up to Mr. U'u to carry the torch, but I think that a status report, it says here, should be scheduled about the same time as status report occurs from the Petitioner. And that way, the Commission's at least kept up to date as to what has been going on and what is the progress that's

```
been made and whether there's changes.
 1
 2
             If there's an agreement reached between
 3
   the three parties regarding the Triangle, I would
   hope that at that time the parties would be able to
 5
   submit a proposed or come in for modification of the
   D&O to reflect any changes that are necessary. But
 7
   I think that everybody -- I think that a status
   report -- a status conference is necessary in the
   future to keep everybody's feet to the fire and try
10
   and figure this thing out. And who knows? Perhaps
11
   the Governor's new appointments carry much more
12
   weight than us at this point.
13
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Ohigashi
14
15
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I make that
16
   motion.
17
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: -- was there a motion
18
   in there somewhere?
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yes. The motion
19
   was to at the time of the status -- the finding of
20
21
   the status report -- I'm not sure when it's due.
22
   When is this due?
23
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: It's nine or ten
24
   months from now.
25
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yeah.
                                             Time of the
```



```
next status report is due, that a status conference
 1
   be held to update everybody what's going on. And I
 3
   hope that the Office of Planning and County of Maui
   and HHFDC at least file things in writing so -- but
 5
   I won't be here, so I won't read them.
 6
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So could I ask you to,
 7
   in your mind, address the adequacy of today's status
 8
   report?
 9
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I think that they
10
   explained it well enough to be adequate. After
11
   being pressed on timetables and things like that, I
12
   think that the Petitioner expressed what obstacles
13
   are in their way to try to complete it, to get a
14
   proper timetable in this regard.
15
             I am disappointed, though, that we're
16
   blaming Kaanapali 2020. As the Planning Director
17
   indicated, it conforms with our -- the existing --
18
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So let me see if I can
   articulate your motion.
19
20
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I find that it is
   -- it is sufficient.
21
22
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So we have a position
23
   that the status report today was sufficiently
24
   adequate?
25
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:
                                      Yes.
```

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Ohigashi, 1 2 I'm going to attempt to summarize your position in 3 the form of a motion. So the status report today provided by the Petitioners is sufficient and 5 adequate and no specific action is required in terms of show cause or anything of that effect. But there 7 is a -- the motion does include a specific request that the Petitioner come back for a follow-up status report at a time that's consistent and in the same 10 timeframe as your next annual report, which is 11 approximately nine, ten months from now, specific 12 dates to be worked out with the LUC Staff. 13 How was that? Was that good? Okay. 14 Do I have a second? Do I have a second to that motion? 15 16 Commissioner Carr Smith seconds the 17 motion. Commissioner Atta? 18 19 **COMMISSIONER ATTA:** Yeah. The -- this -my -- I see all this kind of talk from all of the 21 agencies as an action. I'm wondering that I don't 22 know if we have to use a time factor because I don't 23 know how -- whether time factor like we had or 24 something, but it depends on the -- on the -- I 25 guess -- what I'm trying to say is that the

```
project's time table follows different kind of
 1
   things, depending on what the issues are, and it
 3
   depends on what kind of issues are involved so that
   they should be able to request a status update so
 5
   that they can, you know -- they can ask for the LUC
 6
   to set up a time and not leave it for -- for us to
 7
   say six months, eight months, like that, but leave
   it up to the situation.
 8
 9
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So I'm going to
10
   interpret that to be a suggestion for a friendly
11
   amendment to the motion and that the amendment would
   be that a follow-up status conference will be
12
13
   scheduled either coincident with the submittal of
14
   the annual report or earlier if it is of a
15
   significance to the Petitioner based on the progress
16
   or lack thereof that they're making.
17
             COMMISSIONER ATTA: Right.
18
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Correct?
             COMMISSIONER ATTA: Right.
19
20
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So movant, are you
21
   acceptable to this friendly amendment to your
22
   motion?
23
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Sure.
24
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Ms. Carr Smith --
25
   Commissioner Carr Smith, are you amenable to the
```



```
amendment?
 1
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Yes.
 2
 3
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Let me restate
   the motion as amended. Though the status report
 5
   today was sufficient, no need for a -- to call for a
 6
   show cause proceeding, we encourage the parties to
 7
   move forward expeditiously and we are asking that
   you provide a follow-up status report to this
   Commission on or about the time that you submit your
10
   annual report, progress report, or earlier if there
11
   is significant issues that materialize in the
12
   meantime. Understood?
13
             Okay. Commissioner Ohigashi, did you want
14
   to speak to your motion further, or are you good?
15
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:
16
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Carr
17
   Smith, do you want to speak to it?
18
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: No. I'm fine.
19
   Thank you.
20
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Anybody else?
21
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Chair, I have a
22
   comment.
23
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Lee.
24
             COMMISSIONER LEE: You know, it's quite
   possible that nine months from now nothing has
```



happened, so I'm not sure we should just have a 1 status report just for the heck of having a status 3 report conference. Could there be something where, you know, if the board agrees, let the Executive 5 Officer decide whether or not one would be 6 appropriate? 7 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: I'm going to go back to your premise, Commissioner Lee. If nothing's 8 happened in nine months, I want to know about it. 10 So I think it is appropriate that they inform us of 11 that. COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, I think they can 12 13 do that in writing without having to go through this 14 expense of this whole -- you know, whatever the 15 board decides, but you know, this is not without cost to do this, and so if the Executive Officer 16 17 gets the written status report from the Petitioner 18 that nothing has happened, I don't think we need to 19 be here to hear again that nothing has happened 20 because CWRM hasn't done anything, you know. 21 Now, if the Executive Officer feels -- I 22 quess I want him to have some discretion so that 23 it's not a mandatory thing but --24

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Fair enough.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Thank you.

25



```
1
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So I'm going to
   interpret that to be another friendly amendment.
   And the amendment is a modification of the amendment
   that was put forth by Commissioner Atta, so it --
   we're asking you to come back for a status report on
 5
   or about the date of your annual report, sooner if
 7
   there is significant events you want to share and
   later if there's nothing to report.
 8
 9
             Is that okay, Commissioner --
10
             COMMISSIONER LEE: I think we mentioned
   that the --
11
12
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: -- Ohigashi?
13
             COMMISSIONER LEE: -- Executive Officer
   would be the one to have the discretion to --
15
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: The Staff will work it
   out. Yeah.
16
17
             Commissioner Ohigashi, you okay with that?
18
   Okay.
19
             Commissioner Carr Smith, you okay with
20
   that? Okay.
21
             So Mr. Orodenker, will you --
22
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Mr. Chair.
23
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Who is that?
24
             Commissioner Yamane?
25
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: So I agree with the
```



Chair that if there's nothing going on in nine 1 months, I would want to be here sitting to find out 3 why. I agree with the Corp counsel that it's been enlightening to learn, and I think, yeah, it cost money for the State, but if we can get the housing 5 going because of these meetings, then I'm all for 7 it, so I kind of disagree with not meeting because there's nothing to report. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Staff will take that 10 under consideration as well. 11 So I wanted to make just a clarifying It sounds to me like, of course, the water 12 13 issue is a big issue. We'll be looking for an 14 update on that. We also want an update from 15 Department of Transportation and the status of the 16 bypass road. And then status of negotiations 17 between the three parties regarding the Triangle. 18 Those are the three top of my list. I'm sure there's others. 19 20 Commissioner -- I mean, Mr. Orodenker, 21 please take a roll call vote. 22 MR. ORODENKER: I was just going to defer 23 to what you said. 24 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: It's in the record. 25 MR. ORODENKER: Yeah, it's in the record.

```
The motion is for -- that the status
 1
   report today has been sufficient but a status
   hearing may be scheduled when the next status report
   has been filed or earlier significant activity
 5
   occurs. The Executive Officer is authorized to
   determine whether or not a status report -- a status
 7
   conference or hearing is required at that time.
 8
             Commissioner Ohigashi?
 9
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Aye.
10
             MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Carr Smith?
11
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Aye.
12
             MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Atta?
13
             COMMISSIONER ATTA: Aye.
             MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Kahele is
14
1.5
   absent.
             Commissioner Kamakea-Ohelo?
16
17
             COMMISSIONER KAMAKEA-OHELO:
18
             MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Lee?
19
             COMMISSIONER LEE: Aye.
20
             MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Yamane?
21
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Aye.
22
             MR. ORODENKER: Chair Giovanni?
23
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Aye.
24
             MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
  motion passes unanimously.
```



CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Yeah. 1 Final comment. 2 I just think that what I'm hearing from everybody is 3 that this has been worthwhile enterprise today. I'm glad that it was. Hopefully, the Petitioner finds 5 it helpful, the County finds it helpful and puts 6 some priority on it, and the State can do the same. 7 So thank you, call. 8 I'm going to take a --9 MR. ORODENKER: Mr. Chair, before we 10 recess, I would like to offer to the Petitioners our 11 assistance. Arnold Wong is here to assist with issues such as the Commission of Water Resource 12 13 Management and the Department of Transportation, so 14 please call on him so that he can assist you. 15 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Yeah. What that kind 16 of means is if you run into an obstacle, big or 17 small, bring it to our attention and we might be 18 able to help, and the contact person is Mr. Wong. 19 Okay. I'm about to recess. I just want 20 to make sure there's nothing more on this agenda 21 That concludes this agenda item. We will 22 take a lunch break now. It is 11:32. We'll return 23 at 12:05. Thank you. 24 (A lunch recess was taken from 11:32 a.m. 25 to 12:09 p.m.)



CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: (Inaudible.) We would 1 -- each Commissioner would fill out on their own 3 rating the performance in different categories and offering comments if they wanted. Secondly, we ask 5 for a copy of the employment contract for Executive Officer to be distributed to everyone, all 7 Commissioners. We ask for, thirdly, a copy of the relevant budget for the Land Use Commission, state budget to be issued everyone. And then we ask for 10 the Executive Officer to do a self-evaluation on the 11 same from that we're all looking at and distribute 12 that to all of us. And then once we had all that, 1.3 we were to send -- each of us to send it to Lee --14 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Also had a 15 contract. 16 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: I said contract. It's 17 the second thing. Were you not listening? Probably 18 not. Yeah, so the four things were blank form, 19 contract, budget, and self-evaluation. Right? 20 to get that back to Lee in time for him to compile it and send it back. 21 22 In the meantime, I had received specific quidance from Dan Morris, the Assistant Attorney 23 24 General, on the process. And in contrast to how it 25 was done in previous years, previous years we went

into Executive Session and did our deliberations and made motions and decisions and recommendations on how to proceed.

What he has recommended as guidance this time is that we bifurcate that process and that if I have a motion to go into Executive Session I can accept that motion and that would be for sharing of information and discussion purposes among the Commissioners and sharing of the compilations and sharing of comments and any Q and A about that. And we can do that all under sunshine with the presence of the Attorney General in our Executive Session.

However, once we complete those discussions, which was kind of the fact-finding part of it, if we went to go into a decision making in terms of -- the decisions would be a specific recommendation on performance quantitatively and a recommendation, if we so choose, relative to a salary adjustment or, thirdly, any recommendation we choose to make optionally relative to his contract, we would do that in public session. So the process this year will be bifurcated accordingly.

So the only option that would -- there is an option to that, and that's option at the Executive Officer's choice, and that would be

```
whether or not he'd like us to do the entire process
 1
 2
   in a public forum.
 3
             So let me ask you, Mr. Orodenker, would
   you like us to proceed on a bifurcated as I
 5
   described or do you want to see the whole thing
 6
   played out in front of you publicly?
 7
             MR. ORODENKER:
                             Thank you, Chair. I'm
 8
   comfortable with the bifurcated process.
 9
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Then that's the
10
   way we'll proceed.
11
             So do I have a motion to go into Executive
12
   Session for purposes of discussions on the
13
   performance of the Executive Officer?
14
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So moved.
15
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So moved by
16
   Commissioner Ohigashi. Okay.
17
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE:
                                    Second.
18
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Seconded by
19
   Commissioner Yamane.
20
             All I favor, say, "aye."
21
              (All said: "Aye.")
22
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Any opposed?
23
   Okay. So we'll go in Executive Session.
24
              (Commission in Executive Session from
25
   12:14 p.m. to 1:32 p.m.)
```



CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. We'll go back in session, public session. It's 1:32.

So we've had a bifurcated process on the performance evaluation. We've -- we're proceeding with a bifurcated process on your performance evaluation which is different than how it was done in previous years. So let me explain again exactly how we're going to proceed.

So based on the discussions that we had in Executive Session, we're going to share with you the numerical compilation of the performance evaluation, which is the same forum than Mr. Orodenker, that you did your self-evaluation on. We'll give you those scores and what they mean.

Secondly, we're going to throw it open to the Commissioners that may want to make specific comments, either positive, neutral, or negative, opportunities for improvement, whatever. We also want to let you know that several Commissioners, if not all, may want to speak with you individually and privately about their relationship and your performance from their perspective, and I know that you would invite that.

We've concluded with the Assistant
Attorney General's -- or is it Deputy or what --

```
Deputy Attorney General's advice that for us in
1
   public session to take any position or motion --
 3
   make any motion on salary, we need to more
   explicitly agendize that. So that's going to be
 5
   agendized and deferred to the next meeting. Okay?
 6
             So did I get that right, everybody?
 7
   think so.
             So I'm going to verbally give you these
8
 9
   numbers. You can write them down if you want.
10
   may still have in your files a blank form. So there
   are eight categories and then an overall would be
11
12
   the ninth. And then for each category, the ratings
13
   are 1 through 5, with 1 being doesn't meet, 3 being
   meets expectations, and 5 means exceeds the
14
15
   expectations. So 3 or higher is meets or exceeds.
16
             So in the first category, Job Knowledge,
17
   you got an overall rating of 4.125. In the second
18
   category, which is Planning and Organization, you
19
   got 3.51. The third category of Communication,
20
   3.51. Leadership/Teamwork, 4.0. Decision-making
21
   and Judgment, 3.51. Professionalism, 3.625.
22
   Commitment to the Commission, 3.75. Planning,
23
   Organizing and Setting Priorities, 3.65. For an
24
   overall rating of 3.71.
25
             Now, that's relative to meets expectation,
```

which would be a 3.0, so the 3.71 is higher. 1 these are just numerical averages of every 3 Commissioner who participated, and Commissioner Okuda did not participate, so we had eight 5 Commissioners participating. 6 So now I want to throw it open to -- do 7 you have any questions on what I've done so far? 8 Okay. 9 Commissioner --10 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Ask for a recap of what happened in Executive Session so that --11 12 MS. CHINA: Yes, you do. 13 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Yeah. Well, if you 14 give me time, I will. You want to do it? 15 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Thank you. No, 16 no, no. 17 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Why don't you do it? 18 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I don't have the 19 notes. I lost them. 20 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So what we did in the 21 Executive Session was we went through -- there were 22 a few of the categories in which there was a pretty 23 wide range from high to low among the eight 24 Commissioners who voted, so we discussed that, why 25 high, why low. And there were a few of those.

And let me give you a perfect example of 1 That was Commitment to the Commission. 2 couple of us gave you a 5.0, recognizing that you're -- and the interpretation was your personal 5 commitment to the success of the business of this Commission. But there were others that rated you 7 with 3.0 or even a 2.0. And a lot of that was because of the small words under here, not the commitment to but the paragraph under it which 10 doesn't comport, in my mind, anymore to the top. 11 And that says, "Demonstrates full 12 understanding of the responsibility within the 13 context of the Commission, effectively implements all laws, policies, and procedures, and supports the 14 15 work of the Commission, including legislative 16 representation. And you know that was a hot button 17 issue at our last meeting, right? 18 So again, that's an example of the 19 discussions that we had. And I think -- can I call 20 upon you, Brian, if you don't mind, to give an 21 example of what we would be looking for, what you 22 would be looking for? And I think we had a 23 consensus. We're talking about the small words. 24 COMMISSIONER LEE: There's not a --Yeah. 25 the headline and the details don't exactly match, so

that's a little bit -- maybe that's something that can be corrected in the future. But you know, it's kind of like in law, the summary doesn't cover, you know, so anyway.

I guess one example is the -- and we brought this up before -- is the legislative representation. I was hoping there's be better communication on the legislative aspects of what affects the Commission, what types of legislation we should pay attention to and brought to our attention. We might be able to provide some input that might be helpful, so that -- that's an example.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So I think that one of the areas which we feel that -- I'm going to expand upon what Brian was saying, at least my interpretation of our discussion.

And it's kind of a result of what we've been going through the last six months with all of these status conferences. We're learning that there are numerous decisions and orders that the Commission has made over the last 30 years, many of which there's been little or deficient action. And they hit us one by one when you agendize them.

And I don't have -- I don't think any of us have a feel for how many of these there are and

what is the -- and I think that's maybe something that you and Arnold Wong are reviewing. But we want to know, right? So we'd like to be informed of almost on a -- almost by -- a number by case by case basis, are you getting -- are there missing progress reports? Are they deficient? You know, if there's been action or no action in the last X number of years, that type of thing, because in addition to, you know, staff scheduling a conference like we had today, we may reach out and say, we want you to bring in these guys or those guys.

That type of thing, right, Brian?

forgot to be more comprehensive. But yeah, if we're given better information of which projects make up those 50,000 unit short that aren't being produced, we might be able to provide some input. And the only tools we have are to call them in, you know, and perhaps threaten order to show cause, and we shouldn't be seeing people five years that they didn't give a report and then only now we find out about it.

You know, if we have a better reporting on who's delinquent, you know, we may have a better picture on how to -- and which guys, you know, we

should apply more pressure to. And you know, with Arnold here, I'm sure that will be a lot more easily done, but that's the information that we don't know that we don't know, so that's something I'd like to see.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you for your comments, Commissioner Lee. And believe me, it's a frustration of ours. We have started -- we started down this road of trying to find out what's going on with a lot of these, for lack of a better word, dead petitions about three or four years ago that took me two years to get a position out of the legislature.

And we're hoping that this will allow us to collate all this information. And as soon as we get it, we'll share it with the Commissioners.

And with regard to your comments on the legislature, most certainly -- I mean, it -- it's been a tough thing for me to figure out how much information to bring to the Commission with regard to the legislature because there have been Commissions in the past who just didn't want to know. You know, they didn't want anything to do with it.

But understanding now that this current Commission would like as much information as

possible with regard to the legislature, I'll be more than happy to bring everything to the Commission as often as possible.

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So I want to share with you one other thing for which we achieved a consensus, and it stemmed from concerns we have as we go into the new year, which is July 1. We are going to have a very lean and young tenured Commission. We've got three people who have never served on it. We've got two more that have nominally a year. And believe it or not, I'm the most senior guy with about three and a half years. We don't have the experience.

And secondly, we're going to be facing a lot more complicated petitions than what we've dealt with in the last year. We're going to see, hopefully, some special use permits. We're going to see maybe an EIS. We're going to see motions to amend and maybe even a DBA. We're going to have to rely on the Staff who has that far more experience than what we have in dealing with these matters. And you guys live it 30 days a month. We live it three to four.

So it's a symbiotic relationship between 25 the Commissioners and the Staff. I want you to know that we recognize it, and we're going to lean on you guys. But I think if there's anything we're asking for is for you to -- I'm going to use a word we didn't actually say, but I'm going to say overly communicate. Overcommunicate. Don't try to figure out what we need to know. Tell us more than we need to know because we're so young as a Commission.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Chair. And Staff has actually talked about this. We recognize that we don't have a lot of Commissioners with a long-term serving on the Commission.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: We don't have any.

MR. ORODENKER: I'm being kind. And so what we're attempting to do is revise the way we do our Staff reports to contain a lot more information. I would -- one of the reasons that I communicate with all of the Commissioners before the hearings is to give them the opportunity to ask questions. And I appreciate questions. And I will do what I can to answer them as best I can.

One of the -- in Staff's defense because it's not just me. One of the things that happens very often also we don't get everything until the last possible minute. And so then we're hustling and trying to get everything done to get ready for

the hearing. But I mean, we have the ability to put more extensive factual information into our Staff reports.

I don't know if it would benefit the

Commission for us to get the Staff reports out

earlier. I mean, is that something that might help

because then it will give Commissioners the

opportunity more time to fill in the blanks. We can

do that. OPSD will complain about that because

we'll have to get their information a week earlier,

but we can do that if that would help.

The amount of information that we have on file for any given docket is significant. And so one of the things we try to avoid is having to put the Commissioners in a position of reading everything going back 20, 30 years. But on the other hand, you know, I can understand the Commission's desire to know more.

With regard to procedure, maybe we can make those part of the Staff reports, you know, what the procedure is with regard to the hearings and what we expect. One of the things that we're very careful about is not providing a Staff report that takes the Commissioners down a road towards a decision that is not theirs. We're very careful

about that because the Commission is the one that makes the decisions. We're just here to provide you with whatever you need. So we will take that into consideration to see if we can work something out.

And I would welcome any input from any of the Commissioners at any point in time as to how we

COMMISSIONER LEE: Chair, if I could make a comment?

can better disseminate information.

I think your -- I agree with the Chair.

We'd be in big trouble if the Staff was not here,

you know. If there was a brand new staff, we'd be

lost, you know, so we definitely appreciate that the

Staff has a lot of experience. And I agree, the

thing to do is to overcommunicate.

I noticed that for this meeting there was a lot more communication than in previous meetings, so we're moving in the right direction. One suggestion would be if anything new is posted, of course, earlier the better, you know, depending on your partners that you have to deal with, but any time anything new is posted, if you could let us know.

Because sometimes the minutes are posted and I think, okay, I've read the minute. But if I

didn't check again, I wouldn't know that there was 1 an amended minutes, you know? So if something new 3 is posted, in the old days they would just give -mail us a copy or send us an email of the actual thing, which is cumbersome, but at least let us know 5 that we've posted something new whenever that 7 happens. That would be helpful. Thank you, Commissioner 8 MR. ORODENKER: 9 That is very easily done, and we will make 10 sure that we do that. 11 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Let me ask a question 12 to Commissioners. It just popped in my head. 13 think you guys know that I read from a script at every one of our hearings almost word for word, the 14 15 script that's prepared by Ariana and Martina and Scott and the Staff. 16 17 You probably review it as well, Dan. Would it be helpful for you guys to have a 18 19 copy of that so you know what's involved or is it 20 good enough just the way we do it? That's easy to 21 They just send it out by email to me the day 22 before the meeting. So if you want it, let me know 23 or let them know. No problem with that. 24 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: I have a question

25

from --

1 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Lee.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Dan, can you tell us -- the eel -- can you tell us generally how you guys go about writing up Staff reports? And just generally assigning them out and how you guys go about writing D&Os.

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: It's all by AI now. No, just kidding.

It's actually -- I mean, it sounds like it's a simple process but it's not. When we do a staff report, one of the -- first of all, different -- and we're missing a planner right now, and we're trying to fill it desperately. But you know, we would parcel out -- I parcel out the different petitions, motions, whatever to various Staff so -- to handle.

Scott does the most complex ones because he's our Planner V and he's been around the longest. Martina does a lot of them, too. And then what they do is first they go back to the file and they look at everything that's on file to see if there's any relevance to what's currently happening.

An example of that, coming up will be
Waimanalo Gulch. There's a huge file on Waimanalo
Gulch, but the motion to extend is only relevant

from a certain point in time forward. So once they determine what is relevant in the historical file, they'll put that into a Staff report. And that's why you see on the Staff reports, there's often a long history of the case if it's relevant.

Then what happens is that they do a synopsis of the various filings, the Petitioner's filings, the OPSD's filings, and the County's filings. As I said, some of the difficulty with that is that OPSD doesn't file until a couple of days before the hearing, but you know, we try and get as much information in there as we can.

With regard to the issues that are presented, those come from the filings. And the issues that are raised by the petitions themselves, by the motions or whatever, in conjunction with Chapter 205. And the Planners will then -- if they -- they'll look at Chapter 205 to sort of figure out what sections of Chapter 205 are implicated so the Commissioners know what the parameters are and what they need to do to render a decision.

The final portion of the Staff report

notices concerns that Staff has, and those are

things that we don't know the answer to but we

should or we should get out of the Petitioner or the

County or the State. Those are done -- well, from a 1 practical standpoint, what happens is the Planner 3 who is assigned to that particular petition does a first draft, and then we do peer review. The other 5 Planners look at it to make sure that they don't see 6 anything that was missed. And then I review it from 7 the standpoint of if I was a Commissioner and I didn't know anything about this case, is this sufficient. And once that's completed, we finalize 10 it and send it out.

If it's -- there's a lot of legal issues involved, we'll run it by the AG first. And that's how Staff reports -- it's an arduous process on complicated cases because it means we have to pull apart the historical file.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I commend Staff because it does take a lot of time and a lot of effort to get to there. The differences are when we have something like we had today, which is a status report, where what's really happening is that Staff is communicating with everybody, OPSD, the County, and the Petitioners to find out, you know, hey, you know, are we missing something here. Do we need to call you in or do you have a quick and dirty answer for this. And then the Staff, of course, look a little bit different.

Does that answer your question? I don't 1 know if I --2 3 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: -- the second part 4 about D&Os and how those are prepared, generally. 5 MR. ORODENKER: I'm sorry. Okay. When 6 D&Os are prepared, we -- okay. We haven't had any 7 DBAs in a while, but usually when there's a DBA, we ask the parties to give us proposed Decisions and Orders. And those become the backbone of the final 10 decision and order. Staff uses those to cite back 11 to the record and then massages them so that they end up looking like what the Commission decided. 12 13 With -- up until recently with motions and 14 status reports and things like that, we're creating 15 them from scratch. I mean, the Staff is actually creating them from scratch. That can be a long 16 17 process because often the AG has to weigh in on 18 that, and -- not often but always the AG has to 19 weigh in on that. 20 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: So when you're 21 crafting the D&O, you also have advice from the 22 Attorney General as to whether or not this meets the 23 necessary factual requirement necessary to support a 24 conclusion of law or a finding of fact or things

like that. So you have the AG to review everything,

25

```
is that right?
 1
 2
             MR. ORODENKER: Yes. Although the AG
 3
   gives a lot of deference to the findings of fact
   made by the Commission, and they're really -- Julie
 5
   can answer this better than I can -- they're really
 6
   looking at it for legal sufficiency.
 7
             MS. CHINA: Really, ultimately, the
   decision is that of the Commission, what you want
   in, what you want out, you know. You want to add
10
   stuff in, it's your call. What we do is we review
   it to make sure that it complies with the statute
11
   and the administrative rules and that it's -- and
12
13
   you know, it would pass muster, you know, if
14
   appealed.
15
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. Just to be
16
   clear, then the D&O comes to -- the proposed D&O
17
   comes to the Commission for its final vote on it, is
18
   that right?
19
             MR. ORODENKER:
                             That's correct.
20
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And then that's
21
   how the process works.
22
             MR. ORODENKER:
23
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Okay. I just
24
   wanted to know.
25
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: I think there's maybe
```



one other step, or maybe you said it and I didn't 1 get it, but you get a first draft and people looking 3 at it, but don't you have Commissioners that look at it as well? 5 MR. ORODENKER: If a particular 6 Commissioner takes an interest in that D&O, we will 7 -- usually at their request, we'll give it to the Commissioners for review. There's a twist to that, though, because if the Commissioner actually drafts 10 the D&O, then the process changes. Our rules would 11 require a completely different process for adoption. 12 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Explain that. MR. ORODENKER: I believe -- I don't have 13 14 -- it's never happened, so I don't have the section 15 in front of me, but maybe Scott can help me out a 16 little bit here. If a Commissioner drafts a D&O, 17 doesn't it have to go to the Commission first? And 18 then the decision gets made or something like that? 19

MR. DERRICKSON: Yeah. It's part of
Chapter 91, I believe, that if one of the
Commissioners -- one or more Commissioners are
involved in drafting proposed decision and order,
then all the parties have to be served of that
proposed decision and order, and there has to be
allowance for comments on that. Then a final

20

21

22

23

24

25

decision and order based on that would have to be 1 brought to the Commission. You know, redrafted, 3 brought to the Commission for approval. 4 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: So I think I'm looking 5 for a half step. That was a full step. So if you have a draft D&O and it is complicated, has a lot of 7 different parts to it, but if there's a -- let's say one condition that is of particular interest to one 8 Commissioner and you know that because that 10 Commissioner in the actual hearing drove that condition. Does that -- does that -- how does that 11 12 process work when you want that Commissioner to look 13 at that one condition? 14 MR. ORODENKER: Well, what happens -- and 15 I know of circumstances, and that is a regular 16 occurrence, but what we will do is we'll run it by 17 that Commissioner and say: Is this what you meant? 18 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Yeah, right. That's a 19 very important step, I think. 20 MR. ORODENKER: Yeah. 21 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: And at the -- when 22 you adopt a D&O, I've seen modifications made by the 23 Commissioners at that stage of the --24 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Yeah. 25 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: -- up to that



order and decision. So I'm just wanting -- I know it's a complicated matter, but I just wanted to be sure that everybody understands that everybody's a part of the D&O. The final decisions are made by the Commission, and that input even up to the adoption of it can be made by motion, of course, if it's sustained or not at that time. Is that right?

MR. ORODENKER: Yeah. We have had situations where the recommended changes by the Commissioners have been such that we've had to say, okay, we'll go back and redraft and then we'll have another meeting for adoption, and that happens.

COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Chair, okay, so I have some experience with that, and that seems like a much better method than letting a Commissioner draft it. You know, to have the Commissioner propose a modification or an amendment, but the -- but the first draft I think should come from the Staff based on their recommendations and their expertise and their knowledge, and then, you know, of course, it's up to the Commissioners to amend that or not, but I think that's what Staff is there for is to rely on them and --

And there's one more wrinkle to the whole

packet thing. A bill passed this session effective 1 already, HB1598, which says that the board packets need to be made -- published on the website two days before the meeting and that public testimony should 5 be distributed even up to right before the meeting 6 starts. So I just wanted to call that to your 7 attention if you didn't know about that already. 8 MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Commissioner. We do know about that already and we have been doing 10 that. 11 COMMISSIONER LEE: Oh, so that means our 12 Check Point site might be moot, then. Invite all --13 it would almost be the public version. 14 MR. ORODENKER: Well, we maintain the 15 Check Point, first of all, for convenience because 16 then you don't have to search through the website to 17 see a lot of that stuff. But second of all, because 18 it's not uncommon for us to get advice from the AGs 19 that is privileged. And so that's one of the 20 reasons that we maintain it. 21 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Commissioner Carr 22 Smith? 23 **COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH:** Yeah. It seems 24 like the testimony is now in an easier spot to find,

yeah? Is that -- or is that coincidence?

25

past cases it was hard to find it. It wasn't with our documents in Check Point.

MS. KWAN: I mean, in Check Point it's on the docket page and we just link the docket page and there's a tab for public comments. That website template has been active for two years, three years, the template. The template itself is relatively new, but yeah, it's always been like that since we switched over to this new template --

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Yeah, yeah.

11 MS. KWAN: -- with "Public Comment" tab 12 right there.

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. I think there was a recent example where it was on admin rules, and the admin rules weren't in the agenda section. It was in the admin rules section, so if you didn't look in the -- if you just looked in your what's coming up for the agenda, you would have missed it, so that -- that may have been one of the things you had noticed.

COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: Well, even in the amended -- the amended decision and order today that we didn't really have access to. I'm not being critical. I'm just pointing it out as a thing to

remember. 1 What's that? 2 3 MS. KWAN: It wasn't on the docket page because it wasn't an active filing for today's 5 meeting. It was an old historical document, so it 6 was located on the historical page. So that's how 7 the website is broken up, what's the current status report page and what has been filed for that status report. And then if you go to the main page for that docket, it will have the historical years, 10 11 historical D&Os, historical documents, but yeah, you're supposed to treat the meeting by what's filed 12 13 for that meeting for your decision. Right? 14 **COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:** Maybe you should do a tutorial for all new Commissioners on how to 15 16 use the page. 17 MR. ORODENKER: We can do that at our --18 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Well, we've got a 19 training coming up. 20 Dan, do you have any questions for us? Or 21 suggestions? 22 MR. ORODENKER: No. I -- other than the 23 fact that I -- it helps if I know what information 24 that you're looking for, for a particular docket or 25 agenda. The more that you communicate with me, the

```
easier I can -- more responsive that I can be.
 1
   mean, I try and stay in touch with the
 3
   Commissioners, but I also have to recognize that
   this is just a volunteer part-time gig, so --
 4
 5
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Yeah. And you've got
 6
   to recognize -- and I'm quoting a Commissioner here,
 7
   we don't know what we don't know. So we can't ask
   for what we don't know.
 8
 9
             MR. ORODENKER: Yeah, I understand that.
10
   That's why I, like I say, try to call the
11
   Commissioners before every hearing and at least give
12
   them what I see as the pertinent issues and things
13
   like that. And like I keep saying, I really
14
   encourage you to ask questions when I call.
15
   usually try and get the Staff reports out on Friday,
16
   first of all, because we have to by law now. But
17
   second of all, because it gives the Commissioners
18
   the opportunity over the weekend to read them, and
19
   then I usually make calls on Monday and Tuesday
20
   before the hearings to see if there's any questions.
21
             I will take your suggestions to heart,
22
   though, about sending the Commissioners notice
   whenever something comes in and it's posted for
23
24
   their review.
25
             COMMISSIONER LEE:
                                 Yeah.
                                        I'd just like to
```

add that, in general, I found the Staff reports 1 really good. I mean, the information is all there. More times than not it's because we didn't read it because I hear sometimes the questions that are 5 asked is that some people may or may not have actually read the report because it's been asked and 7 answered in there. I'm not accusing anybody. you know, it happens. That's why if we are notified that something new has been posted, it's very 10 helpful. 11 MR. ORODENKER: I appreciate that, and we -- Staff will take that to heart. 12 COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: In fact, I think 13 that the Staff reports are so good that they sort of 14 15 like require some questions to be asked. 16 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Okay. Let me ask the 17 Commissioners, anything else you want to put on the 18 record today directly to -- or anything I missed? 19 Nancy? 20 COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: I didn't know 21 whether we were going to have any conversation about 22 the budget or the contract since we asked for those 23 things? 24 CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Well, I think that on 25 the budget, you're -- it's -- it was FYI and you got

the opportunity to ask Dan any questions you want about it. I don't think it's -- I didn't anticipate a general discussion on that.

But for the salary and whether or not you want to address any contract terms, that will be agendized for the next meeting.

MR. ORODENKER: If the budget -- it
literally took me years, and not in this position
but in a prior position, to understand state
budgets, and they don't make sense, to be honest
with you. You know, when I was running Molokai
Ranch, if I would have budgeted like that in front
of Singapore, they would have fired me on the spot.

But just for your edification, each one of these hearings when we travel costs us between 6 and \$8,000. If we don't -- and the way the budget is set up it assumes that we're going to be travelling every two weeks. So -- and we don't, so there is some surplus there. We also have a couple of vacancies right now, so there's surplus there.

On a year-to-year basis it's always difficult. And the money allocated, for instance, for a Planner V is assuming that that Planner V is at the top of the scale. But when we hire a new one, we may hire somebody at a lot less. These

little things that don't show up in the budget -because I mean, we don't even do a projected cash
flow, so I -- when I asked our accounting staff for
projected cash flow, they lock up.

The past couple of years we've had significant leftover funds that we've used to buy things like this and stuff like that. So the budget is not an iron-clad thing. I just would forewarn you about that. And it -- money gets moved around all over the place all the time.

We have categories in that budget that are totally obsolete, but if I take them out, then I have to do a month's worth of paperwork to explain why and why I want to reallocate the money to another section, so it's easier for me to just lead them in and then spread the money around, yeah. So

CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: George?

COMMISSIONER ATTA: Yeah. You know, one discussion that we had that, you know, that I think it's not the evaluation, but the question comes up what is the role of the LUC in this -- I guess what you call in this kind of a State government, you know. And especially if the question is, you know, what kind of leadership role should we play, because

the -- our tools are for implementation of very limited -- limited, and so -- but yet, at the same time, we do have a sense of leadership that the state agencies -- about the things that are coming into the future.

And so I was just wondering if it's something that the Staff and the Commission would spend some time to think about the role of the Land Use Commission from here on out. I think that would be useful in both expecting but especially, you know, you and the Commission, who's playing what kind of role. And I think we -- we should be a leadership. That's just my personal feeling, but you know, I think we should.

And if we have to call the different agencies and call them up, like I noticed today, you know, OP and HHFDC, they didn't -- the head people of those agencies and not before us, and so you know, we can't really ask them. Maybe we ask them, what -- where do they get the direction, and they have -- if it's not them, they have to go to higher up in their agency. And so that says something about what they're -- what they're looking at LUC's position. So I think it's worth looking at.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Commissioner.

This is probably what I spend most of my time 1 worrying about. And when I say that I don't mean 3 that Staff wants to drive Commissioners in one policy direction over another. That's not our role. 5 But this -- the role of this Commission has changed many times over the years in terms of, you know, 7 driving policy. What Staff does and what we try and do --8 and that's reflected in some of the rule amendments, 10 and, by the way, we're completely changing that rule 11 amendment proposal and it will look completely different when it comes back in front of you -- is 12 13 to be in front of a lot of these issues. I mean, 14 once sustainability became a big deal back five or 15 six years ago, we amended our rules to make that 16 part of the process. 17 OPSD is supposed to give us policy 18 quidance. When -- under different administrations, 19 OPSD took that role a lot more seriously. Towards 20 the end of the last administration, towards this 21 administration -- let me back up. 22 The Land Use Commission is a tremendous 23 tool for the State. I mean, you can -- you know, 24 land and power in Hawaii, right? It can really 25 impact how the State looks what its economic

activity is, even, and all the rest of that stuff. 1 But the Governor has to recognize that. 3 governors do. Some governors don't. I think we're in a situation right now 4 5 where there's a disconnect, a little bit of a disconnect. We understand what the Governor's 7 policy is, which is to promote housing. We're very cognizant of that. But we don't -- OPSD is not giving us any information with regard to some of the Governor's other initiatives which are food 10 11 sustainability and renewable energy. 12 We had a manager's meeting the other day 13 at Department of Business and Economic Development where it was decided that DBEDT itself, since we 14 15 didn't get any -- we were trying to get the 16 legislature to give us, you know, some money and 17 some guidance on this. DBEDT itself is going to be 18 undertaking a study with regard to how all those 19 things interplay with each other. 20 This is this -- you know, sort of like 21 what's the direction that we're getting, for lack of 22 a better word. It makes it hard for the 23 Commissioners to understand what they're supposed to 24 be doing and to recognize that. 25 We're planning on having a general



```
discussion about this at our training session.
 1
   a lot of that is not so much so that we can tell the
 3
   Commissioners, you know, what their role is, but to
   find out from the Commissioners what they want their
   role to be. I mean, that's -- you know, like I
 5
   said, we're here to support the Commission. If this
 7
   Commission decides it wants to be in the forefront
   of sustainable energy, we'll do that, you know?
 8
 9
             But I think that my message here is that
10
   this Commission can make -- in this situation given
   the current administration, this Commission within
11
   certain boundaries can make of it what it wants.
12
13
   But we do have the potential to be a leadership
14
   organization.
15
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: I think that's a good
   note to end on. Ulnless somebody wants to make a
16
17
   speech, anybody have a motion to adjourn?
18
             COMMISSIONER YAMANE: Move to adjourn, Mr.
19
   Chair.
20
             COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI:
                                      Second.
21
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: I thought we had
22
   addressed that for you, Nancy, did we not?
23
             COMMISSIONER CARR SMITH: (Inaudible.)
24
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI:
                                 No.
                                       My position is
25
   that it's a unique science that's understood by few
```

```
and the best way to do a deeper dive on the budget
 2
   is to get one on one with Dan.
 3
             MR. ORODENKER: I would be more than
 4
   happy.
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Not to go into a
 5
 6
   public session about it.
 7
             MR. ORODENKER: In actuality, having a
   public session on our budget would probably make the
   Governor and B&F --
10
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Very nervous --
11
             MR. ORODENKER:
                              Yeah.
12
             COMMISSIONER LEE: You can discuss that in
13
   Executive Session next time.
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: I have a motion and a
14
15
   second to adjourn. All in favor?
16
              (All said, "Aye.")
17
             CHAIRMAN GIOVANNI: Opposed?
18
             We're adjourned at 2:19.
19
             (The HAWAII LUC meeting adjourned at 2:19
   p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I, Jodi Dean, do hereby certify that the proceeding named herein was professionally transcribed on the date set forth in the certificate herein; that I transcribed all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the foregoing matter; and that the foregoing transcript pages constitute a full, true, and correct record of such testimony adduced and oral proceeding had and of the whole thereof. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 24th day of May, 2024. Jodi Dean