## Exhibit "17"

Written Testimony of Stacy A. Otomo

## WRITTEN TESTIMONY OF STACY A. OTOMO

My name is Stacy A. Otomo. I am a registered professional civil engineer and principal of Otomo Engineering, Inc. on Maui which was founded in 1991.

I obtained a Bachelor of Science degree in civil engineering from the University of Hawaii in 1977, and a Masters of Science degree in civil engineering from the University of Hawaii in 1979. I am a member, and past President and State Director, of the Hawaii Society of Professional Engineers, Maui Chapter, and past member of the County of Maui Urban Design Review Board.

My firm was retained by the Petitioner, Emmanuel Lutheran Church of Maui, to provide engineering and drainage consulting services, including an analysis and preparation of preliminary engineering and drainage reports, for Petitioner's proposed campus for a new church and school ("Project") situated at Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii, Tax Map Key No. (2) 3-5-002:011, consisting of approximately 25.263 acres ("the Petition Area").

Attached as Appendix B and F to Petitioner's Exhibit "6" are true and correct copies of the Preliminary Drainage Report and Preliminary Engineering Report which I prepared for the Project.

With respect to existing infrastructure, there is an existing 12-inch sewer line traversing through the southeastern corner of the Petition Area. This sewer line is part of a system that services the Waikapu area. The system consists of an 8-inch sewerline that begins on Waiko Road and increases in size to a 12-inch sewerline before it reaches Waiale Road.

With respect to water, the Commission on Water Resource Management designated the Iao Aquifer as a Groundwater Management Area on July 21, 2003. While the

domestic water demand for the Project is anticipated to be approximately 42,947 gallons per day ("gpd") as determined by the land area and 29,400 gpd as determined by the total number of anticipated students, the realistic domestic water demand for the Project is 29,400 gpd since Wailuku Agribusiness will be providing the irrigation source for the Project, thereby reducing the Project's domestic water demand. Water storage for the Project will be provided by the existing 3.0 million gallon Iao Tank which is located at the intersection of Main Street-Iao Valley Road-West Alu Road at an elevation of 506 feet.

It is my understanding that the County of Maui, Department of Water Supply ("DWS") has added several sources of water for the County of Maui. Those sources are the expansion of the existing Iao treatment plant and Kupa'a I. Other sources of water may come from the Waikapu South and Maluhia wells. DWS continues to issue water meters in Central Maui to those ready to receive service.

Testimony on September 8, 2006 from the Land Use Commission hearing concerning the Hale Mua Affordable Housing Project (Docket No. 05-755), the transcript of which is attached to my testimony, provides strong optimism that there will be sufficient water to accommodate the demands of the Project. Former DWS director George Tengan testified that DWS continues to work toward developing more sources so water will be available to address normal growth on the island of Maui.

According to Mr. Tengan, within the next several years, there will be an additional water supply of 10 to 11 million gallons per day ("gpd") available in the Central Maui Water System after factoring in the three wells in the Maui Lani area, the Iao water treatment plant treating surface water, Iao tank site well, Waikapu well, three wells being developed by

4817-0301-1585.2

Kehalani Mauka, and the Waihee and Iao Aquifers. Mr. Tengan also testified that the actual amount of water available must take into account that the water demand in Central Maui has been increasing by approximately 500,000 to 700,000 gallons per day per year.

Additionally, the Division of Water Resource Management's Branch Chief of Ground Water Regulation, Roy Hardy, testified at the Hale Mua proceedings on September 21, 2006 that subject to certain infrastructure limitations, an additional 2 million gpd can be withdrawn from the Iao Aquifer. A copy of the transcript of Mr. Hardy's testimony is also attached to my testimony.

The County's existing wastewater system services the Waikapu and Wailuku areas. The Wailuku-Kahului Wastewater Reclamation Facility ("WKWRF") is located on Amala Place near Kanaha Beach in Kahului. The facility has a capacity of 7.9 million gpd, and has the necessary capacity to meet the sewage demands of the Project, which is anticipated to generate a wastewater flow of approximately 10,050 gpd.

Wastewater from the Project will be collected by an onsite gravity sewer system, that will connect to the existing 12-inch sewerline traversing through the southeastern corner of the Petition Area. The existing sewerage system on Lower Main Street was recently upgraded by the installation of a new 15-inch sewerline. This new sewerline, which will be used to convey the Project's wastewater to WKWRF has the capacity to accommodate the wastewater generated by the Project.

Existing overhead electrical, telephone, and cable television lines along

Honoapiilani Highway provide the source of utilities for the Project. Maui Electric Company,

Verizon Hawaii, and Time Warner Oceanic Cable provide electrical, telephone, and cable

4817-0301-1585.2

television services, respectively. Within the Project, all distribution systems will be installed underground.

Based on my professional opinion, the Petition Area is suitable for the proposed development from an infrastructural standpoint.

With respect to existing topography, the Petition Area slopes in a west to east direction from 384 feet above mean sea level at Honoapiilani Highway to 323 feet above mean sea level at the Waiale Road Extension, with an average slope of 6.2%.

As part of the Kehalani Offsite Drainage System project, an open channel was construction within the Petition Area. The open channel traverses diagonally through the northeastern corner of the Petition Area, and resulted in a separation of the Petition Area where approximately 1.5 acres are separated at the northeast corner.

The total present onsite runoff from the Petition Area for a 50 year - 1 hour storm is estimated to be 30.60 cubic feet per second ("cfs"). The estimated post-development runoff from the Petition Area for a 50 year - 1 hour storm is expected to be approximately 45.15cfs, which is an increase of 14.55 cfs over pre-development conditions.

In order to accommodate the increase in post-development runoff, Petitioner will construct grated catch basins to initially collect onsite runoff. This runoff will then be conveyed to onsite retention basins which will be located within the Project's proposed playfields and landscaped areas. Onsite runoff from the makai half of Honoapiilani Highway will be intercepted by the Project's drainage system and conveyed to the onsite retention basins.

Based on the foregoing, it is my professional opinion that the proposed development will not have an adverse effect on the adjoining or downstream properties, and that

the proposed drainage improvements are sufficient to accommodate runoff from the Petition
Area.
DATED: Wailuku, Hawaii, June 22, , 2007.
Respectfully submitted,
Stacy A. Otomo
Stacy A. Othmo

LAND USE COMMISSION STATE OF HAWAI'I **HEARING** VOLUME A05-755 HALE MUA PROPERTIES, II LLC (Maui) TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS The above-entitled matter came on for a public hearing at Maui Prince Hotel Makena Resort, 5400 Makena Alanui, Makena, Maui, Hawaii commencing at 8:30 a.m. on Friday, September 8, 2006 pursuant to Notice. REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, RPR, CSR #130 Certified Shorthand Reporter 

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	COMMISSIONERS: THOMAS CONTRADES	
3	MICHAEL D. FORMBY  KYONG-SU IM	
4	LISA M. JUDGE (Chairperso	on)
5	STEVEN MONTGOMERY RANSOM PILTZ	
6	REUBEN WONG	
7		
8		
9	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: CHIEF CLERK:	ANTHONY CHING SANDRA MATSUSHIMA
10	STAFF PLANNERS:	MAXWELL ROGERS
11	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL:	DIANE ERICKSON
12	AUDIO TECHNICIAN:	WALTER MENCHING
13	A05-755 HALE MUA PROPERTIES, LLC (Maui)	
14	For the Petitioner:	BLAINE KOBAYASHI, ESQ. STERLING KIM, Manager
15	For the County:	JANE LOVELL, ESQ.
16		Deputy Corporation Counsel MICHAEL FOLEY, Planning Director
17		COLLEEN TSUYAMA, Planning Dept.
18	For the State of Hawai'i:	BRYAN YEE, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General
19		LAURA THIELEN, ABE MITSUDA Office of Planning
20		-
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		
2	I N D E X	
3	DOCKET WITNESSES	PAGE
4		
5	ALICE LEE Direct Examination by Ms. Lovell Cross-Examination by Mr. Yee	13, 56 44
6	GEORGE TENGAN	
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Lovell	57
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kobayashi Cross-Examination by Mr. Yee	66 69
9	MICHAEL MIYAMOTO	
10	Direct Examination by Ms. Lovell	88
11		
12	MICHAEL FOLEY	
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Lovell	112
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kobayashi Cross-Examination by Mr. Yee	121 128
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	exempts the Applicant from certain standards and requirements of
2	that underlying zoning.
3	MS. LOVELL: Thank you. That's much better way of
4	putting it. So with that we have no further questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Thank you very much for coming
6	today.
7	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
8	CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Would the county like to call its
9	next witness?
10	MS. LOVELL: Yes. The county calls George Tengan.
11	GEORGE TENGAN
12	called as a witness at the instance of the County Planning
13	Department, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was
14	examined and testified as follows:
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. LOVELL:
18	Q. Would you please state your name and your business
19	address for the record?
20	A. My name is George Tengan. Business address is 200
21	South High Street, Wailuku 96793.
22	Q. Mr. Tengan, are you a part of county government?
23	A. Yes, I am.
24	Q. What is your position with the county of Maui?
25	A. I currently serve as director for the Water

HOLLY M. HACKETT RPR, CSR Tel: 808-538-6458 Fax: 808-538-0453

Department.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. That's the Maui County Department of Water Supply?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Are you familiar with the Hale Mua project?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. When the Environmental Assessment was done for the Hale Mua project did your Department comment?
  - A. I believe we did.
- Q. Have you brought with you letters from your Department that are included in the Environmental Assessment which is Petitioner's exhibit -- I'm sorry I don't have the number of the exhibit. Blaine?
- A. If you're referring to that letter dated February 15th to Munekiyo and Haraqa, yes we did.
  - O. Was there also a December 20, 2004 letter?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Let's go first to the December 20th, 2004

  letter. And so that the members can follow along I think there

  are individual page numbers on each page of the Environmental

  Assessment document. But it's roughly in the middle and it's in

  the section entitled "agency comments" I believe?

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Ms. Lovell, I think you're referring to the Exhibit 15 the Final EA.

MS. LOVELL: Thank you very much.

Q. It's in Chapter 9 "Letters received during the Draft

HOLLY M. HACKETT RPR, CSR Tel: 808-538-6458 Fax: 808-538-0453 Environmental Assessment and public comment period." Mr. Tengan, do you have the December 20th letter in front of you?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. In the next-to-the-last paragraph your letter states, "Availability of water will be determined at the time of application for water service." You also note that by advising about certain storage requirement your Department is not making a commitment for an adequate supply of water for the project.

Could you explain that a little further?

- A. With regard to the storage requirement?
- Q. No. Your statement that the Department is not making a commitment that there will be an adequate supply of water for the project.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And cannot do so until water service is actually applied for.
- A. Yes. Until about, well, it was until July 21st, 2003 when state Water Commission designated the 'Iao Aquifer and limited the pumping from 'Iao Aquifer by the Department the Department was receiving and accepting reservations for water.

These reservations would essentially assure developers that water would be available when the project was completed. However, because of the restriction on pumping that resulted from the state designating the aquifer, we stopped taking reservations from developers.

What this meant was that we were no longer assuring developers that water would be available when they completed the project. And this was done primarily to put the developers on notice that, yes, you may proceed with your project but we're not going to assure you, assure that the water would be available upon completion.

And so the developers would need to either proceed at their own risk or if they wanted to be assured of water being available upon completion, then they would need to develop their own sources.

- Q. Now, with respect to some other projects some developers have proceeded to develop their own sources, is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. Can you give us some examples of how a developer might be able to do that?
- A. Well, we had two recent situations. One was in the Upcountry system whereby the developer drilled the well and connected to the Department's water system. And with that the Department committed a certain quantity of water to that developer.

We have another agreement going on right now the wells are being developed. And through that agreement upon completion of, successful completion of the wells then the developer would be entitled to so much water from those wells.

othe the proj

- Q. So that's one route that a developer can take. The other route that the developer can take is basically taking on the risk that there may not be water available at the time the project is completed, is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, despite the fact that the risk may exist is the Department of Water Supply doing anything to try to assure that there will be enough water for this and other projects in the future?
- A. Um, well, I wouldn't say assure. But we are working towards developing more sources so more water would be available for what I would call normal growth. This would be currently existing lots, vacant existing lots whereupon if the owner wanted to build a home then water would be available for that home.

And we are also developing source so that we can support whatever projects that the Planning Department and the county council approves. I believe that's our mission. We need to support the growth of the island as approved by both the Planning Commission and the county council.

So, yes, we are working on developing new sources.

But in this case here, in the present case we're working with two developers, the one that I mentioned about doing three wells in the Maui Lani area. And we also have ongoing discussions with A&B to do a water treatment plant treating surface water.

Q. And with respect to the proposed surface water

treatment plant how many million gallons per day are projected assuming that that project goes forward?

A. We're looking at having a plant that can do 6 million gallons a day on an average day basis.

What this means is that to met max daily demand the plant in total would be able to produce 9 million gallons per day.

- Q. Then are there wells in the Maui Lani project that hopefully will produce more water for the Central Maui system?
- A. Yes. The Maui Lani wells are located in the Kahului Aquifer which is out of the 'Iao Aquifer that was designated by the state. I believe Maui Lani has obtained its pump installation permits from the state Water Commission for the three wells that they recently drilled.
- Q. Are there any other new sources that are likely to come on-line in the next few years?
- A. Well, we plan to put on the, develop a well at the 'Iao tank site which is located directly west of the county building towards 'Iao Valley.

We do have a well in the Waikapu area. Both of these wells are in the 'Iao Aquifer. What we plan to do with those wells is to spread the pumping out from the aquifer based upon the current pumping that's allowed by the state Water Commission.

The designation to place when our pumping at 'Iao reached 18 million gallons per day, the 'Iao Aquifer has a

sustainable yield of 20 million gallons per day. So that leaves a balance of 2 million gallons per day.

What we plan to do is after we receive or get approval from the state on our existing pumping, we plan to file for an additional withdrawal from that 2 million gallons per day so that we can pump more from the aquifer.

- Q. So, in other words, of the 2 million gallons per day in the 20 million-gallon sustainable yield of the 'Iao that's where we would be applying to the state Water Commission to use that water?
- A. That's correct. I might add that there is another developer, that Kehalani Mauka. We're finalizing an agreement for them to do three wells. This is also intended to spread the pumping.

We're currently using the Wailuku shaft which is owned by Kehalani Mauka. But the shaft is somewhat of an occupational risk for our employees. And I don't think that Kehalani Mauka wants to keep that also because of the safety hazards. So they're planning to do three wells of which we expect to participate in. And it's all intended to spread the pumping out of the aquifer.

So what this would mean is rather than putting one big straw at the location of the Wailuku shaft we would be putting in, we would be having five smaller straws spread throughout the aquifer so that we can better manage the pumping from the

aquifer.

- Q. These new sources that you've discussed, both the surface water treatment plant that should produce an average of about 6 million gallons a day on an average basis, the Maui Lani wells and some of those other new wells, about what amount of water is likely to be produced from all of these new sources if they come on-line in the next couple of years?
- A. Well, let me backtrack a little. When we submitted our pumping reports to the state, one of the triggers for designation was 18 million gallons per day. So in June of 2003 we reported that we had reached 18 million gallons per day. That was an automatic trigger for designation.

However, it was found out later on that we, upon recalibration of our pump efficiencies and the pumping hours, we found that we overreported about 900,000 gallons per day of pumping.

In other words, if we hadn't or if the calibration was correct at the time the 'Iao Aquifer wouldn't have been designated. But that's past already. The aquifer has been designated and we're dealing with that.

But, anyway, at the time of the designation we had about 800,000 gallons of water that we could still issue meters on. Then you add on the 900,000 gallons of water that was reported as being overpumped, that brings the total to 1.7 million gallons per day.

We recently upgraded our water treatment plant at the 'Iao tank site. This has allowed us to produce about 700,000 gallons a day. So you take .8, .9, that's 1.7, you add another 700,000 at the 'Iao water treatment plant, that comes up to 2.4. Since designation we've been pumping about 500,000 gallons a day more out of the Waihe'e Aquifer. So that adds on another 500,000 gallons. So we're talking about, at this point in time, 2.9 million gallons.

I expect that the Maui Lani wells will be on line within the next couple of years. That's going to be able to produce about 2 million gallons a day. So now we're at 4.9 million gallons per day.

But with the water treatment plant coming on line that should be, should take a little longer than the Maui Lani wells. That's going to give us 6 million gallons per day. So we're talking about an additional supply of about 10 million to nearly 11 million gallons per day since designation.

And our experience has been that the demand has been increasing by about, I would say, 500 to 700,000 gallons per day per year. You can do some math and determine how much water would be available, let's say, three, four years from now.

Q. Thank you. Then finally with respect to the February 15th, 2005 letter in which you commented or your Department commented, do you have that letter in front of you, Mr. Tengan?

A. Yes, I co.

- Q. If you look at the third paragraph of the first page of the letter it starts out, the paragraph starts out, "The Applicant estimates total water demand for this project at about 415,000 gallons per day."
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. The last sentence of that paragraph states that "Applicant has indicated that a private source will be provided for non-potable use on the 19 agricultural lots."

Was it your understanding that the 19 large lots in this project would be agricultural lots?

- A. I can only go by what's stated in there. We used the term 19 agricultural lots. But my understanding was that one home could be built on each lot and that if water is available that we would provide water from the public water system for that use.
- Q. Then non-potable water would be used for the agricultural portion, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

MS. LOVELL: I have no further questions but I'm sure other parties have questions. So hang on.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Petitioner, do you have questions for this witness?

1	MR. KOBAYASHI: Just a few.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. KOBAYASHI:
4	Q. Good morning, Mr. Tengan. Did your Department do a
5	March 24, 2005 letter with respect to this project? Do you have
6	that available?
7	A. No, I don't.
8	MR. KOBAYASHI: With the Commission's allowance I'd
9	like Mr. Tengan to have an opportunity to review a March 24th,
10	2005 letter from his Department.
11	CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Is that somewhere in our record
12	here?
13	MR. KOBAYASHI: No. I actually, I just had it in my
14	briefcase that I noticed it. I'd like to maybe copies but I
15	think it's a letter that I think should be brought into the
16	proceeding because it does state that it actually replaces his
17	letter of December 20, 2004 which was what Ms. Lovell was
18	questioning him on.
19	CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: I think, Ms. Lovell would you like
20	to look at that letter before your witness
21	MS. LOVELL: That would probably be a good idea.
22	CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Let's take a five-minute break.
23	(Recess was held. 11:05)
24	CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: (11:20 Gavel) Where we left off

Mr. Kobayashi had asked a question to our witness regarding a

25

March 24th, 2005 letter. The parties all have a copy of that now 1 I believe. Are there any objections to that question? 2 MR. YEE: No objection. I don't remember the question 3 4 but --5 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: I'm sorry. Let me restate, rephrase that. Mr. Kobayashi, are you planning to admit this 6 into evidence? 7 MR. KOBAYASHI: Yes. We would label that as 8 9 Exhibit 40. 10 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Do any of the parties have an objection to Petitioner entering this in as Exhibit 40? 11 MS. LOVELL: No objections. 12 13 MR. YEE: No objections. CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Commissioners? Seeing none, this 14 letter March 24, 2005 is admitted as Petitioner's Exhibit 40. 15 16 Mr. Kobayashi, you can resume your questioning. MR. KOBAYASHI: Thank you. 17 18 Ο. Mr. Tengan, during the break you've had an opportunity 19 to review Exhibit 40 which is a letter from your Department dated March 24th, 2005? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. You would agree with me that as stated in the first paragraph of this letter this March 25th, 2005 letter replaces 23 the letter of December 20, 2004 which Ms. Lovell was asking you 24

25

questions about, correct?

-	
Α.	Yes

- Q. In this March 24th, 2005 letter, Mr. Tengan, isn't it true that as far as the amount of dwellings on the 19 large lots of this project there's a reference in your letter that there will be one main dwelling and one accessory dwelling to be constructed on these lotes?
  - A. That's what the letter states, yes.
- Q. The other question I had related to Ms. Lovell's questioning you about other February 15th, 2005 letter. In that letter she asked you about the reference to the 19 lots. There was an indication in the February 15th, 2005 letter that those lots would be quote "agricultural lots." Do you remember that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You would agree with me that your March 24, 2005 letter there's no reference in that letter as far as the 19 lots being agricultural?
  - A. That's correct.
- MR. KOBAYASHI: Thank you. That's all the questions I have.
- MS. LOVELL: Could I do just one follow up?

  CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Can you wait until you redirect?

22 State?

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. YEE:

Q. The 10.9, I'm sorry, you remember you went through a

HOLLY M. HACKETT RPR, CSR Tel: 808-538-6458 Fax: 808-538-0453 series of numbers and I added it up to 10.9 million gallons a day of additional water that may be available in the future.

A. Yes.

- Q. Is all of that from either surface water or the 'Iao Aquifer?
  - A. It's a combination of surface water and 'Iao Aquifer.
  - Q. My understanding --
  - A. I'll take that back. Yeah, it's a combination, yes.
- Q. The water management area as I understand it is the 'Iao Aquifer alone, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you just not discuss or is there not going to be other developments outside the 'Iao Aquifer, development of new sources and additional water?
- A. Yes. As I mentioned the Maui Lani wells they're situated in the Kahului Aquifer.
- Q. So put it differently is 10.9 mgd for the entire island is that total sum of the additional water or is that just devoted to a particular use?
- A. That's just for the Central Maui system which extends from Waihe'e out to Paia and up to Makena also.
- Q. So the purpose, well what was, so when you added those numbers up the purpose was to sort of describe an amount of water that might be available for a particular geographic area?
  - A. That's correct. For what we referred to as the

Central Maui system.

Q. Okay. Then you talked about developing certain wells for the purposes of spreading the pumpage. Could you just sort of explain what you mean? You talked about five little straws. I didn't quite understand. What does that do for you or how does that help?

A. Yes. Currently at the Wailuku shaft we pump about 5 million gallons a day out of that one particular point there. The 'Iao Aquifer extends from Waihe'e Stream going north to south of the, of I mean, yeah, north of the Waikapu Stream going all the way north to the southern side of the Waihe'e Stream.

So right now we're taking about 5 million gallons a day out of that one point at Wailuku shaft. What happens is that when you draw a lot of water from one point there is a tendency for the chlorides or what's referred to as the mid-level point to rise with that pumping.

So when you spread that pumping out, instead of taking 5 million let's say you take 2 million at that point, another 2 million somewhere's else, another million gallons somewheres else there is less of a tendency for that chloride level to rise. That's basically it.

Q. So by spreading the pumping out you can then, you know, correct me if I'm wrong but by spreading the pumping out you can then draw up to the sustainable yield without impacting the particular resource?

A. No. It's just a method of managing the aquifer whereby you would reduce the risk of damaging the aquifer by pumping from several points rather than drawing a lot from one point.

As an example, if you were to pump 20 million gallons from that one point there I'm sure the chloride levels would rise very quickly where you would damage the aguifer.

- Q. In your calculation of 10.9 million gallons per day did you include -- was there included somewhere in there the 2 million gallons difference between the 18 and 20, to the 18 currently pumped and 20 of the sustainable yield?
- A. That's correct. At the time we reported or at the time of designation we had reported pumping 18 million gallons out of the 'Iao Aquifer. The state Water Commission prior to that had set pumping at 18 million gallons a day as an automatic trigger for designation.

The 18 million gallons represents 90 percent of the aquifer's sustainable yield which is 20 million gallons a day.

- Q. But the difference, the 2 million-gallon difference is not sort of over and above what might be available. That's already included within your 10.9 calculation?
  - A. No, it's not.
- Q. It's not. So is there 10.9 plus an additional 2 that might be available?
  - A. Possibly.

1

4

5

6 7

8 9

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Do you know whether or not the water in the Spreckels Ditch would be available for the Hale Mua project? I'm sorry. Do you know?

Well, I don't know that it would be available Α. specifically for that project because we have several sources within, that supplies the Central Maui system. Each source by, you know, might enter the system at different points. The Hale Mua project is located mauka and north of the intended or the planned treatment plant.

I can foresee the water going into our Waiale tank which is located close to the cemetery, cemeteries in Wailuku located above Maui Lani. The correctional center is located close by.

I guess in answer to your question, no. The water from the treatment plant would probably not be going to the Hale Mua project. But what would happen would be the treatment plant would be servicing those areas where the demand in these areas would be reduced from the existing sources so that water could go to the Hale Mua project. Just a matter of shifting the water around.

- It would be your preference that irrigation on the 19 Ο. large lots come from non -- well, does not come from potable groundwater supplies, correct?
  - Α. That's correct.
  - Would the same be true for landscaping on the 19 large 0.

lots?

A. I would say yes. Depends on the extent of landscaping, you know. It one case we did do an agreement with a developer in an agricultural subdivision whereby the owners could only landscape 10,000 square feet out of their total acreage which might have been about 2 acres or so.

So, yes, in answer to your question we would prefer that even landscaping be done with non-potable water.

- Q. Do you know whether it is possible as a practical matter to use, to use something other than potable groundwater in order to irrigate or to supply the water for irrigation or landscaping of the 19 large lots?
- A. There might be non-potable surface water that's flowing through the ditches right now. I don't know what kind of arrangements the developer can make with those. But as far as we're concerned any agricultural -- and I'm not talking about zoning now, I'm talking about usage -- any agricultural usage could be provided from the existing Wailuku Water Company irrigation system.
- Q. Are you familiar with the proposal to have storage tanks built for each individual lot --
  - A. -- no, I'm not.
  - Q. I'm sorry, of the 19 large lots.
  - A. No, I'm not.
    - MR. YEE: I have no further questions. Thank you.

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 1.2

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

22

21

23 24

25

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Commissioners, any questions for this witness? Commissioner Wong.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Mr. Tengan, is there any reason that you would like to present to the Commission why this petition should be denied solely on the basis of lack of water?

THE WITNESS: No. That's not my call to make. As we stated in our letters, you know, water may be available now and if the developer wants to proceed with his project and take that risk that's not my call to make.

COMMISSIONER WONG: Is there any indication that it is impossible for water to be available for the development of this proposed project?

> THE WITNESS: No.

COMMISSIONER WONG: I have no further questions, Madam Chairman.

> Commissioner Piltz. CHAIRPERSON JUDGE:

COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Yes. George, you stated that you would possibly increase the pumpage to 2 million gallons a day. During the designation period you were down at 18 million and at Mokuhau plant they removed one of the pumps. With the increased pumpage now would you reinstall that pump?

THE WITNESS: Probably not. Because, as I was stating earlier, when you draw too much water from one point you might bring up the chloride levels. For some reason, originally we had three wells there. And as you mentioned one is out of service at

this point in time. For some reason that one well got salty. So the Department discontinued use of that well. So we don't intend to put that well back in service.

COMMISSIONER PILTZ: So the preferred method of pumping would be to have five new wells by Kehalani Mauka, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Three new wells by Kehalani Mauka and two by the Maui Water Department. As far as the three wells for Kehalani we'll probably be participating in the cost and in the development of those wells also.

COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Okay. And that storage tank there off of the road goes up to Wailuku Heights, is that part of the project right now?

THE WITNESS: That's part of the Kehalani required improvements.

COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Also in regards to this particular project they called for building of a 500,000 gallon tank. Is that part of this project or are they going to use something else?

THE WITNESS: The developer would be required to put in that total of 500,000 gallons of storage. That's basically for fire protection.

COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Okay. All right. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Commissioner Formby.

COMMISSIONER FORMBY: Mr. Tengan, I wanted to refer to

HOLLY M. HACKETT RPR, CSR Tel: 808-538-6458 Fax: 808-538-0453 a letter that was written to you dated December 2, 2004 Munekiyo and Haraga, Inc.. In that letter it says in Paragraph 1 "In discussions with your Department, Hale Mua Properties LLC has agreed to provide 1 million in funds to support water system improvements as your Department sees fit."

Can you shed any light on where those discussions are, if any, or if you've come up with a need and expressed that to the Petitioner?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, but I don't have that letter in front of me. We don't have any ongoing discussions with regard to that particular subject. We haven't reached any kind of agreement with that particular item there.

COMMISSIONER FORMBY: Okay. Let's see. I guess hoping that we learn from our mistakes and that's not an admission that we make then, at least the staff doesn't, this potable versus non-potable water seems to be an issue in this docket as well as it was on Lanai specifically with reference to the 19 large lots.

So who would be the best department in the county to define potable versus non-potable for the purposes of this docket?

THE WITNESS: I guess if you're looking at the county it will probably be the Water Department.

COMMISSIONER FORMBY: Do you have a working definition that could be applied to this petition if we are trying to define

just for legal purposes potable versus non-potable? 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. Potable water would be water that 2 meets all the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act as 3 enacted by or as was adopted by the EPA and the State Department 4 5 of Health Drinking Water Branch. COMMISSIONER FORMBY: Okay. Then when you say "all 6 the requirements" just to clarify you're talking about primary 7 and secondary? 8 I don't know what you're referring to as THE WITNESS: 9 primary and secondary. 10 COMMISSIONER FORMBY: My understanding is that EPA 11 requirements have a primary as well as a secondary. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER FORMBY: So when you say "meets all the 14 requirements" --15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER FORMBY: -- are you referring to both 17 primary and secondary as established by EPA? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER FORMBY: Then I also had a question 20 about, just 'cause I'm curious, at what point does your 21 Department provide the Petitioner with a green light on water 22 supply? 23

> HOLLY M. HACKETT RPR, CSR Tel: 808-538-6458 Fax: 808-538-0453

24

25

water meter applications.

THE WITNESS: At the point when it comes in with its

COMMISSIONER FORMBY: Okay. Finally, with respect to the 19 large lots, the Petition Area, is there sufficient rainfall there for the individual owners of those lots to set up a catchment system if they wanted to for irrigation purposes?

THE WITNESS: That's not for me to determine. The Petitioner in my mind would have to do his own studies. And if that's going to be a point that the Commission is going to look at in approving the project then that's something that the Petitioner has to work out with the Commission.

COMMISSIONER FORMBY: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Commissioner Im.

COMMISSIONER IM: Thank you. Thanks for your testimony. Going back to the 500,000-gallon tank. Is it your understanding that tank would be located within the property or outside of the property?

THE WITNESS: Well, one tank at least has to be out of the property in order to be situated high enough to provide adequate water pressure on the project at the project.

COMMISSIONER IM: So at least one has to be, you said.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER IM: Okay. Now, are you still requiring two tanks?

THE WITNESS: We probably would because of the elevation differences from the top end of the project to the

bottom end.

COMMISSIONER IM: Maybe I heard it wrong. But I thought Mr. Reddington said that there would be, that would be the parties agreed to one tank.

THE WITNESS: That's possible.

COMMISSIONER IM: So the discussion is ongoing. It's just you haven't made the decision yet. So you may need to revise this letter again if there's only one tank that's going to be required. 'Cause this letter dated March 24th still refers to two tanks.

THE WITNESS: That comment was based upon the, I don't know whether excuse me, (to counsel) was the final plan submitted? Anyway, depending on the elevation deferences on property or on the project that would be the determining factor as to whether one tank is needed or not.

As far as the total requirement of 500,000 gallons that doesn't change regardless of where the tank is located.

COMMISSIONER IM: Right. If there's only one tank would that be within the property or outside the property?

THE WITNESS: I would think it would have to be outside of the property.

COMMISSIONER IM: Is that something that you would need to know that the Petitioner will have either fee interest or potential lease so that the tank doesn't go away?

THE WITNESS: That tank would have to be dedicated to

the county with fee simple interest.

COMMISSIONER IM: Okay. Then I'm referring to your March 24th, 2005 letter again. Second sentence says that "The total storage is based on 1,000 gallons per lot for the 466 residential lots."

That's fine. But are you saying, then, well, I'm not sure what you're saying here. But I just want to make sure that the parties have clear understanding so that it reflects what the parties agree to. That is, you could read this letter to say that the potable water would not be provided to the 19 lots. It only applies to 466 residential lots; that if the Petitioner potentially then if the Petitioner provides potable water to 19 lots it may be in violation of this letter.

I don't think that was what was intended. But you might want to take a look at that.

THE WITNESS: The intent of that particular sentence is to state that in a non-potable use of water would be provided for through a private source. Irrigating crops is really a non-potable use. You don't need potable water to irrigate crops. Feeding cattle you don't need potable water in the sense that it's potable and safe for human consumption.

When we use the term "potable water" we're talking about for human consumption.

COMMISSIONER IM: Just for the benefit of the Petitioner and in the future if there's any doubt I just wanted

to make sure it is understood that the potable water will be not only used on the 466 residential lots but also on the 19 lots.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

MR. KOBAYASHI: Just to clarify that. The 19 lots is included within the 466 lots. You seem to reference 466 plus 19. But the 19 is within the 466.

COMMISSIONER IM: Okay. It says 466 residential lots and 19 lots. That's actually then it will be substantially different.

Then you also mentioned that second-to-the-last sentence in the first paragraph, "An agreement stating these conditions and running with the land will be required for approval of the reduced storage capacity."

Can you explain what you mean by that and what kind of terms will be necessary and what kind of terms will be in that agreement?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I actually, well, I can't remember what this particular sentence refers to. But it looks like, um, we have reduced the storage requirements and that we need to develop an agreement with the Petitioner on these requirements here.

As I read the sentence here it probably refers to the restriction on the building on those 19 lots whereby the Petitioner or the owners of those lots would be able to build only the main structure and one second dwelling.

Q.	And	have	you w	vorked	with	the	Petitioner	on	this	
agreement	since	the	March	1 24th,	2005	let	ter?			

A. I haven't. I can't recall working on it personally. However, staff is probably working with the Petitioner if any kind of agreement is needed.

COMMISSIONER IM: Okay. So you have no personal knowledge of it being done, but you think --

THE WITNESS: I can't recall at this point.

COMMISSIONER IM: In the next-to-the-last sentence it says, "A copy of your agreement with a private water source provider is also required." I'm imagining, I'm interpreting that to mean the non-potable water source.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER IM: Now, would that non-potable water source agreement -- let me step back a little bit.

When you say non-potable water must be used on the 19 lots what do you mean by that?

THE WITNESS: When we say non-potable water will be used on those 19 lots we anticipate providing those lots with potable for domestic use. The domestic use would be used probably all within the house.

If there is any water requirements for other uses such as cultivation, irrigation, raising animals, those uses would be required or the requirement would be placed on the owners of the lot and the developer to provide non-potable water.

COMMISSIONER IM: All right. So I guess those kinds of requirements would be in the agreement that we talked about with the Petitioner, between Petitioner and the Department of Water Supply, those kinds of specific --

THE WITNESS: Correct. Those agreements would probably be, in fact, yeah, those agreements would be required to be recorded with the Bureau so any subsequent buyer of the properties would be placed on notice as to the restriction of the use of water.

COMMISSIONER IM: Yeah. And then I guess even as to the remaining residential lots besides the 19 lots there would be some kind of agreement as to how much water they could use for each lot so that they understand that they can't go over a certain amount or they shouldn't expect to go over a certain amount.

THE WITNESS: Well, we don't, we don't restrict usage to a certain gallonage. This thousand gallons per lot is just basically used as a standard in determining what the storage tank size should be.

COMMISSIONER IM: Hmm-hmm. Okay. When will you require the agreement relating or the, I guess, the dedication of the 500,000-gallon storage tank? Is that something that you will require before the water meter's given or is that something the Petitioner can do after?

Because I think, you know, it's something, it's one

thing to develop it and use the water. But it may take a little more time to dedicate it. I think it may be you might want to clarify that point also in the agreement with the Petitioner so that it's clearly understood.

1.2

I mean it would be better to dedicate it before the issuance of the water meter obviously. But, you know....

Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: George, I just have one question. It's sort of follow-up to Commissioner Im. We've heard testimony that the Department of Water Supply perhaps will be providing the water on these 19 large lots for domestic use and that the Petitioner will be required to provide the non-potable water for the other use extra use I guess you could call it.

And it was represented by the Petitioner's engineer yesterday that they would be constructing a system and bringing a, I guess, a hookup to each of the 19 large lots.

And then it was the Petitioner's engineer's testimony that it would then become a personal decision of each of those owners whether they decide to hook up the non-potable water or the potable water.

That was sort of disconcerting to me because my understanding they're not supposed to hook up to the potable water.

Is there any way that there's going to be a requirement for you folks to, that they have to hook up to that

non-potable water for exterior use? And is there any way the Department can enforce that?

I guess that goes back to Commissioner Im's question about is there a gallonage indicator like if they're using so many gallons you know that that's beyond their domestic use?

It's just one of things that's disconcerting to me because if there's no requirement for them to hook up to that from, I don't know if it's the developer or the Department of Water Supply, if somebody is now using, watering their 18 acres with potable water how do we prevent that. Or do you have a policy in your Department that can require and actually ensure that they hook up to the exterior and not have it be a personal choice?

THE WITNESS: Well, if you go to the March 24th, 2005 letter in the first paragraph about the middle it states, "Storage capacity required is also based on your representation that a private water source will be provided for non-potable use on the 19 lots which total 136 acres. And that only one main dwelling and one accessory dwelling will be constructed on each of these lots.

"An agreement stating these conditions and running with the land will be required for approval of the reduced storage capacity."

So the agreement would also include that a private water source will be provided by the developer for non-potable

use of water. And this is the agreement that I'm talking about or I mentioned that would be recorded with the Bureau so that owners of those properties would know that they are restricted from using potable water for non-potable purposes.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: I quess that's what I'm asking not only will it say there will be non-potable water provided but it is prohibited from using the potable water for those uses.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Because it was sort of left

THE WITNESS: We have something similar with the Maui or Wailuku Country Estates where they have a dual water system providing ag water or agricultural use non-potable water through one system and domestic use through another system.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: That's all I have. County, any

I think given the time and the fact that I have another witness waiting patiently I would be willing to excuse Mr. Tengan at this point.

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: We're going to go to a lunch break now and come back at 1.

MS. LOVELL: Okay.

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: We will be coming back at 1:00.

(Recess was held.)

CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: (Gavel 1:15.) We can go back on

	ő	

COPY

1	
2	
3	LAND USE COMMISSION
4	STATE OF HAWAI'I
5	HEARING VOLUME
6	A05-755 HALE MUA PROPERTIES, ) I LLC (Maui) )
7	)
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	
12	
13	The above-entitled matter came on for a public hearing at
14	Maui Prince Hotel Makena Resort, 5400 Makena Alanui, Makena,
15	Maui, Hawaii commencing at 10:10 a.m. on Friday, September
16	21, 2006 pursuant to Notice.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130 RPR
24	Certified Shorthand Reporter
25	

Holly M. Hackett, CSR RPR Ph. 538-6458 Fx. 538-0453

1	APPEARANCES:					
2	COMMISSIONERS: THOMAS CONTRADES					
3	MICHAEL D. FORMBY KYONG-SU IM					
4	LISA M. JUDGE (Chairperson DUANE KANUHA	on)				
5	RANSOM PILTZ NICHOLAS TEVES					
6						
7						
8	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: CHIEF CLERK:	ANTHONY CHING SANDRA MATSUSHIMA				
9	STAFF PLANNERS:	MAXWELL ROGERS				
10	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL:	DIANE ERICKSON				
11	AUDIO TECHNICIAN:	WALTER MENCHING				
12	A05-755 HALE MUA PROPERTIES, LLC (Maui)					
13	For the Petitioner:	BLAINE KOBAYASHI, ESQ. STERLING KIM, Manager				
14 15	For the County:	JESSIE SOUKI, ESQ. Deputy Corporation Counsel COLLEEN TSUYAMA, Planning Dept.				
16	For the State of Hawai'i:	BRYAN YEE, ESO.				
17		Deputy Attorney General LAURA THIELEN, ABE MITSUDA Office of Planning				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	INDEX	
2	PUBLIC WITNESSES	
3	DOUG MacCLURE	10
4	DOCKET WITNESSES	PAGE
5	SANFORD BEPPU	
6 7	Direct Examination by Mr. Yee Cross-Examination by Mr. Souki Redirect Examination by Mr. Yee	20 28 47
8	ROY HARDY	
9 10	Direct Examination by Mr. Yee Cross-Examination by Mr. Souki	49 58
11	LAURA THIELEN	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Yee Cross-Examination by Mr. Souki	67 84
13	Closs-Examination by Mr. Souki	04
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 executive session. Is there a second?
- 2 COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Second.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Seconded by Commissioner Contrades.
- 4 All those in favor say aye.
- 5 VOICE VOTE: Aye.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Those opposed? We'll go into
- 7 executive session. Thank you.
- 8 (Executive session held 1:46)
- 9 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: (Gavel 2:30.) We'll go back on the
- 10 record. We'll let the state proceed with their case.
- MR. YEE: At this time we call Roy Hardy.
- 12 ROY HARDY
- 13 called as a witness at the instance of the state Office of
- 14 Planning, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was
- 15 examined and testified as follows:
- 16 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: If you could just state your name
- 17 and address for the record, please.
- 18 THE WITNESS: My name is Roy Hardy. Is that my home
- 19 address or work?
- 20 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Business address.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Business address 1151 Punchbowl Street
- 22 Honolulu, 96813 with the Commission on Water Resource
- 23 Management Room 227.
- 24 EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. YEE:

- 1 Q. Would you state your position and place of employment?
- 2 A. Again, it's the Commission on Water Resource
- 3 Management. And my position there is branch chief of the
- 4 groundwater regulation.
- 5 Q. How long have you been employed in that position?
- 6 A. Fifteen years.
- 7 MR. YEE: We have submitted Mr. Hardy's resume, I
- 8 believe, and we would ask that Mr. Hardy be designated as an
- 9 expert in the field of water management.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Does the Petitioner have any
- 11 objections?
- MR. KOBAYASHI: No objection.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: County?
- 14 MR. SOUKI: No objection.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Commissioners? Then Mr. Hardy is
- 16 qualified as an expert in this hearing.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Yee) Mr. Hardy, has the Water Commission
- 19 designated 'Iao Aquifer as a water management area?
- 20 A. Yes, as a groundwater management area.
- Q. What does that mean?
- 22 A. "Groundwater management area" basically means that you
- 23 need to obtain a water use permit from the commission.
- 24 Basically what that does is match the available amount that
- 25 the groundwater in this case in 'Iao against the, what I call

- 1 the end of the pipe, and insures the uses are reasonable and
- 2 beneficial in the public interest, so forth, without going
- 3 over the sustainable yield what the aquifer can yield. So
- 4 basically that's it.
- 5 Q. And why was the 'Iao Aquifer designated as a
- 6 groundwater management area?
- 7 A. Basically because the pumpage had exceeded
- 8 18 million gallons per day. The sustainable yield in the area
- 9 is 20. But actually the 'Iao Aquifer has been a subject of
- 10 designation actually even predating the Commission on Water
- 11 Resource Management back in the days of the Board of Land and
- 12 Natural Resources used to have groundwater control areas. So
- 13 this is way back.
- But over the course of several petitions the
- 15 Commission decided to set up a milestone or a trigger of
- 16 18 million gallons per day based on a 12-month moving
- 17 average.
- In July of 2003 the county went over that limit so
- 19 then, therefore, it was designated.
- Q. So when it is designated would it be fair to say that
- 21 the Water Commission takes a much closer look at the status of
- 22 that particular aquifer?
- 23 A. That and the uses therein.
- Q. What is the current status of the 'Iao Aquifer?
- A. Well, from the aquifer's point of view everything is

- 1 okay. And by that I guess I have to qualify that by a number
- 2 of things.
- First, again, the sustainable yield in the area is
- 4 20 million gallons per day. The recent pumpage, total pumpage
- 5 in that area is on the order of 18 million gallons per day.
- 6 But in addition to that we have deep monitor wells. We
- 7 have two actually, the 'Iao and the Waiehu. We monitor those,
- 8 those deep monitor wells. They go through the entire depth of
- 9 the freshwater lens, the basal lens that area.
- We go out and monitor that. Basically we don't see any
- 11 problems from the aquifer's point of view right now based on
- 12 the data that we're seeing from these deep monitor wells and
- 13 the fact that the pumpage is just under sustainable yield.
- 14 Q. What is the current pumpage as you understand it?
- 15 A. It's roughly 18 million gallons per day.
- 16 Q. With respect to the amount of additional water that can
- 17 be withdrawn from the 'Iao Aquifer at this time, how much
- 18 water would you estimate is available to be withdrawn?
- 19 A. Two million gallons per day.
- Q. My understanding is there was some information that
- 21 recent pumpage is higher, is that correct?
- 22 A. Yeah, it is. And the reason it's higher there's
- 23 enough -- when I say 18 million gallons per day that is the
- 24 basal portion of pumpage.
- In the aquifer there is basically three types of

- 1 aquifers that you run into in this area. There's high level,
- 2 call it dike-confined hydrology, basal and there's also
- 3 caprock. So you have three major types of aquifers in this
- 4 area.
- 5 The one we're watching is the one that provides
- 6 drinking quality water and it doesn't affect surface water.
- 7 That's the basal source.
- 8 The total pumpage from the sources in that part of the
- 9 aguifer is 18 million gallons per day. They do have some
- 10 tunnel sources in some wells that are up in the higher level
- 11 which actually, they're taking more or they affect surface
- 12 water more.
- Those we don't count against the sustainable yield
- 14 because they affect the streams more which is a little bit
- 15 unrelated.
- 16 Q. Do you know or do you have an opinion as to what the
- 17 operational yield is currently with the current
- 18 infrastructure?
- 19 A. The safe yield, yeah?
- 20 O. Yes.
- 21 A. The operational we call it the safe yield. That's from
- 22 the infrastructure's point of view. They actually do have
- 23 some problems right now at 18 with the Moku Hau well fields
- 24 and the Waiehu Heights wells. Those wells are drilled very
- 25 deep. They go very close to the saltwater interface, if you

- 1 will.
- 2 So you could say that you know at 18, 19 they're
- 3 already experiencing some infrastructure localized problems at
- 4 those sites. We don't have a number for that operational or
- 5 safe yield, although the USGS is doing a study in conjunction
- 6 with the county doing numerical model. They're coming at a
- 7 million dollars to assess this operational sustainable yield.
- 8 The commission has also, and this was just yesterday,
- 9 had also got into a contract with the university to try get a
- 10 better handle on some constraints imposed upon the sustainable
- 11 yield, the natural sustainable yield of the aquifer by the
- 12 infrastructure.
- 13 The number, and this is just preliminary, that came out
- 14 yesterday, was 19 million gallons per day. Again that's just
- 15 preliminary. I'm just tossing that out as just some numbers
- 16 for reference right now.
- 17 Q. I think you explained it. But why don't you explicitly
- 18 explain again. I'll use, you prefer the term safe yield?
- 19 A. That's what we call them internally, yeah.
- Q. Let me use that term. Could you explain the difference
- 21 between the safe yield and the sustainable yield?
- 22 A. Okay. Again, the sustainable yield, the way the
- 23 commission has defined it is the natural yield that the
- 24 aquifer, if you were to optimize your infrastructure, would
- 25 produce. That's the 20 million gallons per day. That's

- 1 always going to be constrained by infrastructure.
- 2 When you put a well in -- I guess the easiest way to
- 3 think about it is, if you had a, say you had a glass of water.
- 4 And your well, you stick it in. If you're only going in just
- 5 barely scratching the surface and you start sucking the water
- 6 out eventually it will go down then you're sucking air.
- Well, there's a lot more storage there but because of
- 8 the limitation of that straw that would be the operational or
- 9 safe yield according to how you set that straw.
- 10 So what you want to try and do is optimize the system
- 11 so that you can get near the sustainable yield, the aquifer's
- 12 sustainable yield. So that's the difference. Your operation
- 13 safe yield will always be less than your natural sustainable
- 14 yield of the aquifer.
- 15 Q. Are there other aguifers, that is aguifers other than
- 16 the 'Iao Aquifer serving the Central Maui service system?
- 17 A. Yes. Waihe'e which is just north of 'Iao. They do
- 18 have some other wells that are in the isthmus, and the Waikapu
- 19 area. I guess until recently we're thinking that the
- 20 Hamakuapoko out in Paiea area would also be servicing that
- 21 system.
- Q. Could you go to what's been marked as OP Exhibit 2A
- 23 which is the first map, I guess, closest to you and note, if
- 24 you can, to your best estimate, of where those aquifers are?
- 25 A. Sure.

- 1 O. And take the mic.
- 2 A. All right. The 'Iao Aquifer is actually bounded here,
- 3 approximately up here by the Waihe'e Stream, let's see, down
- 4 through the harbor here all the way to about the Waikapu
- 5 Stream.
- 6 So below here this is the Waikapu Aquifer system.
- 7 Above is your Waihe'e system. In the middle here is the 'Iao.
- 8 Here from the, roughly from the harbor to here and east you
- 9 have this is basically the Kahalu'u Aquifer which is a caprock
- 10 aquifer. So those are the boundaries.
- 11 Q. Does the water management area include those other
- 12 aquifers?
- 13 A. No. Just the 'Iao, this center portion.
- Q. So you don't require the same requirements, you don't
- impose the same requirements on those other aquifers?
- 16 A. Correct. They don't need water use permits when
- 17 they're pulling water from those aquifers.
- 18 Q. I think you can probably sit down actually. So if I
- 19 understand your testimony you're saying with the current
- 20 information you don't believe the 'Iao Aquifer is at risk at
- 21 this time, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Are any of the other aquifers close or anticipated to
- 24 be possibly listed as a designated groundwater management
- 25 area?

- 1 A. Yeah. The Waihe'e the northern portion is also or was
- 2 also in consideration for designation. However, the
- 3 commission back in 2003 I believe there were similar triggers
- 4 associated with designating that aquifer. They're currently
- 5 pumping about half the sustainable yield.
- 6 The commission actually took away all the triggers.
- 7 It's not designated. It's not designated and there aren't any
- 8 triggers in place right now but there was a time when there
- 9 were, yeah.
- 10 Q. Do you recall what the sustainable yield of the Waihe'e
- 11 Aquifer is?
- 12 A. Eight million gallons a day.
- 13 Q. They're pumping roughly about five mgd.
- 14 A. Between four and a half and five.
- 15 Q. Let me -- I'm sorry. I'm going to ask the question
- 16 again. So currently it's your opinion that the 'Iao Aquifer
- is not at risk under current pumping levels, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And that there might be as much as 2 million gallons of
- 20 additional water that could be available that could be subject
- 21 to certain infrastructure limitations?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And that any additional growth then I assume would have
- 24 to come from alternative sources such as conservation source
- 25 water or sources outside the 'Iao Aquifer?

- 1 A. Correct. Although some of the alternatives could be
- 2 within the 'Iao area.
- 3 Q. What would be an example of those?
- 4 A. The Wailuku Water Company which is the ditch system in
- 5 the area, used to be Wailuku Ag.
- 6 Q. So surface water would be one example.
- 7 A. Surface water would be an alternative within the area.
- 8 Q. Conservation would be another possibility?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 MR. YEE: I have no further questions, thank you.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Petitioner, do you have questions
- 12 for Mr. Hardy?
- MR. KOBAYASHI: We have no questions.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Does the county have any questions?
- MR. SOUKI: Just a few, thank you.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. SOUKI:
- 18 Q. Are there any other projects in the area that have
- 19 applied or will apply for permits that might affect the Hale
- 20 Mua project's application?
- 21 A. There are no other, right now the commission is in the
- 22 process of establishing or finalizing the establishment of
- 23 existing uses. Those are the uses that were at the time of
- 24 designation back in 2003.
- There's one more source that the commission is trying

- 1 to finalize. Actually it went into a contested case. There's
- 2 a D&O out of it. It's Shaft 33. Part of the decision in
- 3 there or at least the proposed D&O is for the Kehalani Mauka
- 4 project. There's a substantial portion in there that's
- 5 actually a little bit beyond the existing use.
- 6 So in essence maybe that is the only potential project
- 7 that's, I guess, in competition with future. But other than
- 8 that there's been no applications that have come in to the
- 9 commission for new uses.
- 10 However, recently the Department of Water Supply did
- 11 come in with a petition to reserve the remainder of the water
- 12 to the 20 million gallons per day.
- In that petition they haven't identified any projects.
- 14 Right now we're even debating whether that's something that
- 15 the commission can actually accept. Can't tell you if there's
- 16 projects that are directly impacting this project, Hale Mua.
- 17 Q. So the 18 million gallons is being pumped out now?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And 20 million is the sustainable?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. If the county wants to reserve 2 million how would that
- 22 affect Hale Mua's application for water?
- 23 A. Well, it could be a part of that cushion that could be
- 24 in there. It may not be. We don't know. The petition is
- 25 simply, "We want to reserve the remainder."

- 1 We would prefer that the county come in with a water
- 2 use permit application. Of course there is specified
- 3 procedures and processing as well as they need to identify the
- 4 projects and justify the amounts: How many homes? What are
- 5 your standards? Do they have appropriate zoning? That kind
- 6 of thing.
- 7 This petition that's come in they're, in the Water Code
- 8 there is, all the Water Code states is that commission may
- 9 reserve water for whatever purposes. There is no petition
- 10 process identified.
- 11 So I guess the county has come in to try the easy way
- of reserving water for a municipality which is a public trust
- 13 use, domestic water. But they haven't identified any projects
- 14 therein.
- Q. As far as, you're aware that the county council just
- 16 voted to restrict the use of the Hamakuapoko Well. Would that
- 17 have any affect on this 'Iao Aquifer getting water in this
- 18 region?
- 19 A. Well, it certainly reduces a significant portion of
- 20 alternative water that could relieve pressure on 'Iao.
- 21 Whether or not that results in more water coming out from 'Iao
- 22 I quess we'll see.
- The other alternatives out there are very big as well,
- 24 the Wailuku water the ditch system which the mayor is trying
- 25 to condemn. That source is 30 million gallons a day.

- 1 Substantial. So hard to say without knowing what the status
- 2 is of those other alternatives.
- 3 Q. I think you already made this point, but, again to your
- 4 knowledge taking all this into consideration there shouldn't
- 5 be any problem with Hale Mua getting water for its project in
- 6 that area?
- 7 A. That's really maybe more of a question for the county
- 8 to answer I suppose. But given what we see and what we know
- 9 there's still 2 million gallons per day available from 'Iao.
- 10 And this project taken by itself it would appear that it's
- 11 okay.
- MR. SOUKI: Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Commissioners? Commissioner Piltz.
- 14 COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Up until a few years ago the
- 15 county of Maui had complete control of the 'Iao Aguifer. And
- 16 when it was designated your operations took over, correct.
- 17 THE WITNESS: You could say that.
- 18 COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Do you ever see that being
- 19 reversed that the county would then regain control of the
- 20 water usage and have it whatever they call it de-whatever?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Undesignated? That possibility always
- 22 exists. It could come from anyone in the public requesting
- 23 the site to designate. They can petition to undesignate. But
- 24 from the commission's point of view I don't think the
- 25 commission would initiate that.

- 1 COMMISSIONER PILTZ: Okay. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Commissioner Im.
- 3 COMMISSIONER IM: You know when the last hearing
- 4 Hawaiian Home Lands Chairperson came, I guess executive
- 5 director, I thought they were going to build some houses
- 6 immediately adjacent to this project within the next couple
- 7 years. Wasn't that the representation that that's why they're
- 8 going to jointly use the waterline and sewer line and all
- 9 that?
- 10 MR. YEE: I'd be happy to -- I'm not testifying -- I'd
- 11 be happy to explain that later as to exactly where the DHHL
- 12 Phase IV of the Waiehu Kou development is.
- 13 COMMISSIONER IM: It doesn't use the same water source?
- MR. YEE: It is in the Central Maui service system.
- 15 I'm not sure I understand the question. I'd be happy to
- 16 explain it except I don't understand the question.
- 17 COMMISSIONER IM: Basically there's 2 million left.
- 18 This project, I don't know how much it's going to use if the
- 19 school goes in there. But it seems like there is enough.
- But you said that you don't know of any other projects
- 21 around that, around this Petition Area's property. And my
- 22 understanding was there will be other projects.
- 23 And then the question is let's just assume that this
- 24 project is going to use a million gallons. I don't know
- 25 whatever the gallonage is. Then you got 1 million left. Then

- 1 if DHHL is going to use what they need, 1.5, where is the
- 2 water coming from? I thought there was a plan by DHHL to
- 3 develop some substantial numbers of houses. Am I missing
- 4 something here?
- 5 MR. YEE: If I could respond perhaps outside of the
- 6 testimony. I believe what we will be arguing to you is that
- 7 certainly there is enough water for this project. You are
- 8 correct noting there are other projects and DHHL is simply one
- 9 of they that are in the Central Maui region.
- The Central Maui region is served by a multiple
- 11 aguifers of which the 'Iao Aguifer is one, important one but
- 12 just one.
- 13 Ultimately the decision of who, which project is going
- 14 to get the water, I believe is going to be an issue for the
- 15 county to decide. Because they're the ones who are going to
- 16 have to award the water meters.
- 17 Whether the water is available or not the Water
- 18 Commission only looks at not the decision of which one gets
- 19 the water meter but just whether or not it's an efficient use.
- 20 So he had been speaking, you can ask him questions
- 21 about the water permits. But I believe the water permits
- themselves do not look at "We prefer this development over
- 23 another development."
- It looks at whether this particular water use for
- 25 this -- whether the use of water for this particular purpose

- 1 is an efficient one.
- 2 So in other words you don't give excessive water for
- 3 any particular use. Does that help you?
- 4 COMMISSIONER IM: I think that does help. I just, I
- 5 was, I quess, a little bit alarmed that he didn't know that
- 6 there was other projects that were coming up in the immediate
- 7 area that will use water obviously.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Well, I may. We are aware of other
- 9 projects. It's just that we don't know, it's a timing thing,
- 10 exactly when they're coming on on line. And there are other
- 11 alternatives. That's why with the remainder just looking at
- 12 this project alone isolated and with how much is remaining.
- 13 If it were today the county came in and asked the
- 14 commission for a water use permit, said, "We'd like to supply
- 15 Hale Mua" get a water use permit goes through the commission,
- there will be enough water to provide for this particular...
- 17 COMMISSIONER IM: Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Mr. Hardy, just a couple questions.
- 19 I'm not familiar with the water use permit process. And I'm a
- 20 bit confused about who typically submits these requests? Is
- 21 it the individual project or the county water departments?
- THE WITNESS: It could be private developer on their
- own or the county, private individuals, anyone can actually
- 24 submit an application.
- But basically you have to identify your source of water

- in this case because it's groundwater or well and what you're
- 2 going to be using it for and identifying where you're going to
- 3 use it for, what purpose.
- 4 You need to have appropriate land use approvals. And
- 5 we go down to the zoning level. You have zoning for your
- 6 project. Then you have authorized, proven that you have the
- 7 appropriate land use approvals for it.
- 8 We also look at other things like interference with
- 9 other wells. How is it going to affect the aquifer. We won't
- 10 allow it go to over 20 million gallons per day.
- We also look at other things, how it's going to affect
- 12 DHHL. We also look at the Water Use and Development Plan.
- 13 That's something that's still being updated by the county.
- 14 That's another thing we look at.
- Of course it's a very open and public process. Other
- 16 issues can come in the public hearings from the review from
- 17 the county, the mayor, the county council, Department of Water
- 18 Supply and other issues may come up as well. So that's kind
- 19 of the process in a nutshell.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: So any landowner there's located in
- 21 the 'Iao Aquifer if they wanted to drill a well to tap into
- 22 the groundwater they would have to come to the Commission on
- 23 Water Resources and submit a water use permit --
- 24 THE WITNESS: True.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: -- to use that water.

- 1 THE WITNESS: True. With the exception of individual
- 2 landowners drilling a well just for their own domestic
- 3 purposes. They're going to have a private well just for their
- 4 home to drink, they are exempted from coming in for a water
- 5 use permit.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Okay. Then if I understand your
- 7 testimony the 'Iao Aquifer has the capacity to pump out
- 8 20 million gallons a day. But even if the county's petition
- 9 to reserve the extra 2 million gallons a day was approved by
- 10 the commission they wouldn't be able to pump those extra
- 11 2 million gallons because they are constrained by the existing
- 12 infrastructure, is that correct?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Correct. That's what we're seeing. I
- 14 think they see it as well with some of the chloride and
- 15 reactions to their localized infrastructure.
- 16 They are planning to drill other wells. They want to
- 17 replace Shaft 33 in this one source and spread it out amongst
- 18 three additional sources, which is a good thing. They haven't
- 19 gone forward with those plans yet. They have to make some
- 20 modifications and updates to their infrastructure to realize
- 21 the full 20.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Is Shaft 33 the Wailuku Shaft?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, that's the same one.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: I think that's -- any redirect by
- 25 the state?

- 1 MR. YEE: No, no redirect.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Thank you very much for your
- 3 testimony. We appreciate your coming here today.
- 4 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON JUDGE: Would the state like to call its
- 6 next witness?
- 7 MR. YEE: Our final witness will be Laura Thielen.
- 8 LAURA THIELEN
- 9 called as a witness at the instance of state Office of
- 10 Planning, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was
- 11 examined and testified as follows:
- 12 EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. YEE:
- 14 O. Ms. Thielen, would you please give us your current
- 15 position?
- 16 A. I'm the director of the state Office of Planning.
- 17 Q. Did you have a hand in either drafting state's exhibit
- or OP's Exhibit No. 1 and 1A the testimony and amended
- 19 testimony of the Office of Planning?
- 20 A. I did.
- Q. Does it set forth the position of the Office of
- 22 Planning?
- 23 A. It does.
- Q. Would you please summarize that position.
- 25 A. There is a number of points I'd like to touch on to