1		LAND USE COMMISSION STATE OF HAWAI'I
2		
3		Hearing held on February 10, 2021 Commencing at 9:00 a.m.
4	Hel	d via ZOOM by Interactive Conference Technology
5		
6	I.	Call to Order
7	II.	Adoption of Minutes
8	III.	Tentative Meeting Schedule
9	IV.	ACTION A02-737 U of N BENCORP(HAWAII)
10		* Consider University of the Nations, Kona, Inc.'s Motion Requesting the Land Use
11		Commission to: A. Be the Accepting Authority for an
12		Environmental Impact Statement and B. Determine Whether the Proposed Action
13		Warrants the Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement to be
14		Initiated with the Preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement Preparation
15		Notice
16	V.	ACTION CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU IMPORTANT
17		AGRICULTURAL LANDS (IAL) DESIGNATION * To Inform the Commissioners and the Public
18		on the Process and Procedures Required to Review and Render a Decision on a County
19		IAL Submittal pursuant to Part III of Chapter 205 HRS and Subchapter 17 of
20		Chapter 15-15-HAR
21	VI.	RECESS
22		
23		
24		
25	Befor	e: Jean Marie McManus, Hawaii CSR #156

```
1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
      JONATHAN LIKEKE SCHEUER, Chair (Oahu)
      NANCY CABRAL, Vice Chair (Big Island)
 3
      EDMUND ACZON (Oahu)
      GARY OKUDA (Oahu)
 4
      LEE OHIGASHI (Maui)
      ARNOLD WONG (Oahu)
 5
      DAWN CHANG (Oahu)
      DAN GIOVANNI (Kauai)
 6
7
      STAFF:
      LINDA CHOW, ESQ.
8
      Deputy Attorney General
 9
      DANIEL ORODENKER, Executive Officer
      RILEY K. HAKODA, Chief Clerk
10
      SCOTT DERRICKSON, Chief Planner
      NATASHA A. QUINONES, Program Specialist
11
12
      BRIAN YEE, ESQ.
      Office of Planning
13
      RODNEY FUNAKOSHI, Planning Program Administrator
      State of Hawaii
14
      DINA WONG, Department of Planning and Permitting
      RAY YOUNG, Department of Planning and Permitting
15
      City and County of Honolulu
16
      EARL YAMAMOTO, Planner
17
      Department of Agriculture
      State of Hawaii
18
      DIANA MELLON-LACEY, ESQ.
19
      ZENDO KERN, Planning Director
      JEFF DARROW, Deputy Planning Director
20
      MAIJA JACKSON, Planner
      City and County of Hawai'i
21
22
23
24
25
```

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Aloha mai kakou and good morning.

This is the February 10, 2021 Land Use Commission meeting using interactive videoconferencing technology linking participants and other interested individuals of the public via the ZOOM internet conferencing program. We're doing this of course during the pandemic to comply with State and County official operational directives during the COVID-19 pandemic. Members of the public are viewing the meeting via the ZOOM webinar platform.

For all meeting participants, I would like to stress to everyone the importance of speaking slowly, clearly and directly into your microphone.

Before speaking, it helps if you state your name and identify yourself for the record. Also please be aware that all meeting participants are being recorded on the digital record of this ZOOM meeting. Your continued participation is your complied consent to be part of the public record of this event. If you do not wish to be part of the public record, you should exit the meeting now. And if you followed the last 24 hours in cycles, this applies even if your face is a cat.

The ZOOM conferencing technology allows the

parties and each participating Commissioner individual remote access to the meeting proceedings via their digital personal devices.

Also please note, so because of that, due to matters entirely outside our control, occasional disruptions to connectivity may occur for one or more members of the meeting at any given time. If such disruptions occur, please let us know, and be patient as we try to restore the audio/visual signals so we can effectively conduct our business during the pandemic.

For members of the public who may be participating via phone, I will talk during the portions for public testimony, whether people wish to raise your hands, if you wish to raise your hand and you're calling in by phone, use *9 to virtually raise your hand, and then if you press *6, that will signal to the meeting host that you wish to unmute. I will repeat those directions as well.

My name is Jonathan Likeke Scheuer, and I have the honor and pleasure of serving as the LUC Chair, along with me, Commissioners Aczon, Chang, Okuda and Wong, our LUC Executive Officer Daniel Orodenker, our Chief Planner, Scott Derrickson, our Chief Clerk, Riley Hakoda, Deputy Attorney General

Linda Chow, Program Specialist Natasha Quinoes, and our Court Reporter Jean McManus are all on the Island of Oahu. Commissioner Nancy Cabral is on Hawaii Island, Commissioner Lee Ohigashi is on Maui and Commissioner Dan Giovanni is on Kauai. We currently have eight seated Commissioners of a possible nine.

Our first order of business is the adoption of the January 28, 2021 minutes. Mr. Hakoda, Mr. Derrickson, has anybody submitted written testimony on the adoption of the minutes?

CHIEF CLERK: This is Riley. We don't have any testimony on the minutes.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Is there anybody who's participating in this meeting as a member of the public in the attendees area who wishes to testify? If so, use your "raise hand" function on ZOOM. I don't see anybody calling in, so I'll repeat those directions. Is there anybody who wishes to testify on the adoption of the minutes? Seeing none, Commissioners, are there any comments or questions?

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I move to adopt the minutes of January 28th.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Is there a second to Commissioner Cabral's motion?

COMMISSIONER WONG: Chair, Commissioner 1 2 Wong, I second. 3 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: A motion has been 4 made by Commissioner Cabral and seconded by 5 Commissioner Wong. Any discussion on the motion? 6 If not, Commissioners, all in favor say 7 "aye" and also raise your hand. Are there any Commissioners opposed? 8 9 not, the motion carries. 10 Our next agenda item is the tentative 11 meeting schedule. Mr. Orodenker, please go over that 12 with us. 13 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Tomorrow we will also be here in ZOOM 14 15 meeting to discuss the Important Agricultural Land process. It's an informational session for the 16 17 Commissioners and the Public. February 24th we will be hearing the Barry 18 19 Trust Motion. It's a District Boundary Amendment 20 also by ZOOM. Important Agricultural Land 21 designation from the City and County of Honolulu may 22 begin on that date, time dependent. 23 We will take up the City and County IAL

On March 10th we will begin the Hokua Place

submission on February 25th.

- 1 | dba matter, Kauai matter.
- 2 And we will also be hearing that on
- 3 March 11th.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- We also anticipate those hearings to be held by ZOOM.
- On March 24th we will be Adopting the Order
 for the Barry Trust matter, if necessary, and we will
 also be continuing any business associated with the
 City and County IAL submission as well as on March
 25th.
 - April 14th, we will be hearing Windward Hotel matter as well as the DR, a Maui Declaratory Ruling Motion.
 - On April 15th, we will be hearing a status conference on the Kula Ridge matter. That's also a Maui matter.
 - On April 28th, we will be hearing the Kamalani Motion to extend time.
 - On May 12th, we will be having a hearing on the AES West Oahu Solar matter.
- On May 13th, we will be taking up the Pohakea matter on Maui.
 - We anticipate -- by the way, all these meetings will be by ZOOM until further notice.
- On May 26th we will be continuing the

1 Pohakea Special Permit matter, if necessary.

June 9th we will take up anticipated Kula Ridge Order to Show Cause, and that takes us through the end of the firm calendar and we anticipate further items coming in.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Orodenker.

Commissioners, are there any questions for Dan about our schedule? No, other than that's a lot of work ahead of us. I see Nancy's head nodding. We're going to double your salary, Nancy.

Our next order of business is an action meeting on Docket AO2-737 University of Nations Kona, Inc's Motion Requesting the LUC:

- A) Be Accepting authority for an EIS; and
- B) Determine whether the proposed action warrants the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement Preparation Notice or EISPN.

Let me update the record.

On January 21, 2021, the University of
Nations Kona filed its Motion Requesting the Land Use
Commission to both be:

- A) Be the Accepting Authority for an EIS; and
 - B) Determine whether the Proposed Action

Warrants the Preparation of an EIS to be initiated with the Preparation of an EISPN, as well as a Memorandum in Support of the Motion: Declaration of Derek B. Simon; and Exhibit A.

On January 29th the State Office of
Planning filed its statement of No Opposition to the
University of Nation's Motion to Designate the LUC as
the Approving Authority under HRS Chapter 343 and for
Authority to Prepare Environmental Impact Statement
Preparation Notice.

On February 1st, the County of Hawaii
Planning Department filed County's Response to the
University of the Nation's Motion.

On February 2nd the Commission mailed and emailed the meeting notice and agenda for the February 10th and 11th, 2021 meeting to be held via virtual interactive conference technology to the Parties and to our statewide, Oahu and Hawaii Island mailing lists. The meeting Notice and Agenda were also filed with the Lieutenant Governor's office and posted electronically to the Commission website.

Now, let me go over our procedures for today.

First, I will give the Petitioner the opportunity to comment on the Commission's policy

1 governing reimbursement of hearing expenses.

I will then recognize any written public testimony that has been submitted on this matter identifying the person or organization who submitted the testimony.

I will then call for any individuals who have pre-registered to provide public testimony on the docket. Following that, I will off the opportunity for public testimony to anybody who is attending the meeting.

After the completion of all public testimony, the Petitioner, University of the Nations Kona will make its presentation.

After the Petitioner's presentation, we will receive questions and comments from the Commissioners.

Following that, we will hear from the County with questions and comments from the Commissioners.

Following that, the Office of Planning, and the same.

The Petitioner will be given a chance for any rebuttal, if necessary.

And the Commission will then make final deliberations of the Parties, final questions of the

Parties before beginning deliberations. 1 2 From time to time, about every 50 minutes 3 to an hour, I'll be calling for a break. Are there any questions on our procedures 4 5 for today, starting with the Petitioner, Mr. Simon? 6 MR. SIMON: Good morning, Chair. No 7 questions from the Petitioner. CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Why don't you do your 8 9 appearances here as well. That was omitted from my 10 script. 11 MR. SIMON: Good morning, Chair, 12 Commissioners, Derek Simon appearing on behalf of 13 Petitioner University of the Nations, Kona, Inc. 14 With me appearing via ZOOM is Jeff Overton of G70. 15 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much. 16 Let's now hear from -- questions on our 17 procedures and appearances from the County of Hawaii. Mr. Kern or Mr. Darrow? I cannot see in your 18 19 conference room who's actually there, because you're 20 kind of small on your camera. 21 MR. KERN: Good morning, Mr. Chair. I'm 22 going to step into the conference room. Give me one 23 second. 24 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Mr. Kern.

So if your counsel or you could state who's

12 appearing for the County today, and also if you have 1 2 any questions on our procedures. 3 We are not picking up any audio from your conference room. You don't appear to be unmute, so 4 5 there appears to be another problem. 6 MR. KERN: Mr. Chair, so in the room with 7 our corp counsel, there's an audio issue with the audio that we're working on right now, but we have no 8 9 questions on procedures. We're okay to move forward. 10 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: For the record, Mr. 11 Kern, who is your corp counsel representing you 12 today? 13 MR. KERN: Diana Mellon-Lacey is going to be our Corporation Counsel, and we have Maija Jackson 14 as well as one of our division managers and me, Zendo 15 16 Kern as the Planning Director. 17 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much Office of Planning? 18 19 MR. YEE: Bryan Yee, Deputy Attorney 20 General. With me is Rodney Funakoshi for the Office 21 of Planning. We have no questions about procedures.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much. Mr. Simon, have you reviewed HAR 15-15-45.1 with regard to the reimbursement of hearing expenses; and if so, what is your position?

22

23

24

1 MR. SIMON: Good morning, Chair.

2 University is aware and familiar with the

3 Commission's policies on reimbursements and agree to

4 abide by it.

5 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much,

6 Mr. Simon.

case, Mr. Hakoda?

Now, moving onto public testimony, I had earlier been inform there was no written public testimony, or any individuals pre-registered to provide testimony on this matter. Is that still the

CHIEF CLERK: Yes, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Is there anybody who is an attendee in the attendee portion of this ZOOM meeting who wishes to testify in this matter? Again, use the "raise your hand" function, or if you are calling in by phone, press *9. Seeing none. There is no public testimony on this matter today.

We can go ahead and you can start -actually before you start with your presentation, Mr.
Simon, I want to see if there are any disclosures on
behalf of any of the Commissioners.

I will note that my wife works for the same firm that the consultant, Mr. Overton, works for.

She is not part of this project and is not involved

in any way whatsoever. I believe I can be fair and impartial on this matter, but I wanted to offer the opportunity to any of the parties to raise an objection or concern on this matter. If any of the Parties or my fellow Commissioners raise an objection or concern, I'm more than happy to turn over the gavel for consideration of that to Vice Chair Cabral,

MR. SIMON: No objection from the Petitioner, Mr. Chair.

starting with Mr. Simon.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Hawaii County's counsel, Mr. Kern?

MR. KERN: Mr. Chair, I apologize, we couldn't hear anything in the other room. We're trying to reboot. I'm really sorry.

Wife, my spouse works for the same planning firm that the consultant to the University of Nations Kona works for. She has no direct involvement or indirect involvement in the matter being consulted, however, I'm giving all the parties an opportunity to raise concerns or object to my continued participation in these proceedings.

For the record, it might be best if your counsel answered for you. But I suppose you could

```
1
     also bind the County.
2
               MR. KERN: We have no objections on this.
 3
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much.
4
      If you talk it over late and you have an objection,
5
     you can disclose that.
                MR. KERN: We will certainly let you know.
 6
7
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: I have other stuff to
     do.
8
9
                MR. KERN: Apologize for the technical
10
     difficulties, we'll get it straightened out.
11
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: And Nancy is
     urging -- okay.
12
13
                Office of Planning? Mr. Yee.
14
               MR. YEE: We have no objection. Thank you.
15
               CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much.
               Are there any other declarations -- not
16
17
     declarations, are there any other notices from the
     Commission? I've lost myself here.
18
19
                VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Disclosures.
20
                CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you,
21
     disclosures? Thank you, Ma'am Vice Chair.
22
                Seeing none, Mr. Simon, do you wish to
23
     proceed with your presentation?
24
               MR. SIMON: I do.
25
                Good morning, Chair, once again, and
```

Commissioners.

Thank you very much for gathering today to consider the motion. Thank you very much to staff for helping us coordinate both the pre-file and review of the motion as well as meeting on the agenda today. The University is very grateful for those efforts.

Just to kind of run down where we last left off on this docket was the July 23rd hearing held virtually, I guess theoretically in Hilo.

At that time the University left with its commitment to proceed diligently with beginning the Chapter 343 compliance process for this project, you know, based on the new project description described in the Motion to Amend that the University filed last March.

The University met very shortly thereafter with Executive Officer Mr. Derrickson to plan sort of what the contents of this current filing should be, and appropriate courses of action.

We reached out to staff in October to coordinate a filing and hearing date. After that we circulated a pre-file in our early draft of the motion which we believe is pretty straight forward for the Commission to consider that the motion

essentially asks two things:

That the Commission agree that the appropriate agency to be the accepting authority to process the EIS for this project; and

That the Commission authorizes the

University to go directly to the preparation of an

Environmental Impact Statement through a prep notice
as the appropriate course of action for this project.

As you may recall back in July at the hearing, we informed the Commission that the infrastructure memorandum that we filed in support of the Motion to Amend indicated that there would need to be a new sewerline installed below Kuakini Highway which would obviously trigger Chapter 343 under the use of State or County land.

So that's why we're hear today. This motion is primarily procedural, and sort of requesting the Commission's permission to formally begin the Chapter 343 process through the publication of the Prep Notice and the scoping meetings and that consultation process that begins.

We're appreciative of the support we received from the County, and the nice comments

County had on the motion as well as No Opposition from Office Of planning. I don't think there is a

- time warp for us to add beyond what is in our papers
 that we filed, but we're certainly available, both
- 3 myself and Overton, to answer any questions that the
- 4 Commissioners or other Parties may have.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much, 6 Mr. Simon.
- I want to quickly check now that Hawaii

 County is back up. Can we do an audio check? Can we

 hear you?
- MS. MELLON-LACEY: Can you hear me? This
 is Diana Mellon-Lacey.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Yes, Diana, we can hear you.
- MS. MELLON-LACEY: Mr. Kern and Ms. Jackson are in the conference with me.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you for that.
- Following Mr. Simon's presentation, we have questions for the Petitioner from the Commissioners.

 Commissioners, are there any questions for Mr. Simon?

I'm looking around, and I'm seeing none. We can move

21 on to the presentation from Hawaii County.

20

MS. MELLON-LACEY: This is Diana

Mellon-Lacey. I think our position is reflected in

our filing of February 1st, and we have no questions

for Mr. Simon at this time. Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: To clarify our 2 procedures, and perhaps this was due to audio. At 3 this point in our proceedings, other than if you had 4 anything to add to your written filings, you would do 5 that, and then I will open it up to questions from 6 the Commissioners for you. 7 MS. MELLON-LACEY: We have nothing to add to our filing. Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners, are 10 there questions for Hawaii County? Seeing none. 11 Mr. Yee. 12 It's nice to see you in a suit again, Mr. 13 Yee. 14 MR. YEE: Thank you. It's been awhile. 15 The Office of Planning has no objections. It's a fairly procedural motion, and basic limits, basic 16 17 request. Happy to answer any questions. 18 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners, any 19 questions for the Office of Planning? 20 Well, you all are making this too easy. I 21 will offer the opportunity for Mr. Simon to do any 22 redirect, but that hardly seems necessary at this 23 point. 24 Do you have any redirect or any final 25 comments?

1 MR. SIMON: No redirect, just thanks again 2 to the County and Office of planning for the support. 3 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioners, any questions for any of the Parties? Seeing none. 4 5 Commissioners, I will entertain a motion 6 that the LUC grant or deny the University of Nations 7 Kona's Motion requesting the LUC to be the: A) The Accepting Authority for an 8 9 Environmental Impact Statement; and 10 B) Determine that the proposed action 11 warrants the preparation of an EIS to be initiated by the preparation of an EIS Preparation Notice 12 13 Commissioners, what is your pleasure? Commissioner Cabral. 14 15 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: So moved, exactly what you just said so eloquently put, Mr. Chairman. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner. 18 19 Is there a second to Commissioner Cabral's 20 Motion? Commissioner Okuda. 21 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: This is Gary Okuda. 22 second the Motion. 23 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: A Motion has been 24 made by Commissioner Cabral and seconded by 25 Commissioner Okuda. Does the movant or seconder wish

to speak to the motion; or does any Commissioner wish 1 2 to discuss the motion before us? 3 Commissioner Wong. COMMISSIONER WONG: I would like to make a 4 5 friendly amendment, if possible. This is to allow, 6 authorize our Executive Director to send a letter to 7 OEQC on behalf of the Commission on this issue. CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: So there is a 8 9 friendly amendment to the Motion to allow the 10 Executive Officer to send notice to the Office of 11 Environmental Quality Control or OEQC regarding this 12 matter. Does the movant agree? 13 VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Yes, I do. 14 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Seconder agree? 15 COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: That's the motion 17 before us then. Any further discussion? Seeing 18 none. 19 Mr. Orodenker, a motion has been made by 20 Commissioner Cabral, seconded by Commissioner Okuda 21 and accepted the friendly amendment. 22 Would you please poll the Commission? 23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER: The motion is to grant

the Petitioner's Motion for the Land Use Commission

to be the Accepting Authority and to move directly to

24

1	an EIS as well as to authorize the Executive Officer
2	to file an appropriate letter with the Office of
3	OEQC.
4	Commissioner Cabral?
5	VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Yes.
6	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Okuda?
7	COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Yes.
8	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Wong?
9	COMMISSIONER WONG: Yes.
10	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Ohigashi?
11	COMMISSIONER OHIGASHI: Yes.
12	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Giovanni?
13	COMMISSIONER GIOVANNI: Aye.
14	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Commissioner Chang?
15	COMMISSIONER CHANG: Yes.
16	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Chair Scheuer?
17	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Aye.
18	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
19	the Motion passes unanimously with 8 votes.
20	CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you very much
21	to the parties for the extremely efficient
22	presentations on this matter.
23	Commissioner Aczon.
24	COMMISSIONER ACZON: Aye.
25	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: I'm sorry.

1 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: I guess too 2 efficient, Mr. Orodenker.

I apologize, Mr. Aczon. I did not track that.

Is there any other -- Mr. Orodenker, what's the vote tally?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: It's eight affirmative votes, Mr. Chair. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Cabral.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: I didn't want it to be part of the motion and action, because it's not specific to this Petition, but we do this a lot. It seems that procedurally we almost always become the Accepting Authority for, and it would seem that all of these people come together and all of this effort and sometimes they all fly in, and all of this.

Seems like in our procedures there should be some more efficient method to which we could become the Accepting Authority For the Environmental Impact Statements and that without having to call the entire party together -- and I don't know that -- I'm just thinking that I like efficiency being a capitalist like I am and stuff.

So if it's just something we could maybe think about how or procedure -- and I don't know if,

for example, the legislature has to go make the decision. That's above my pay grade.

Just an idea that maybe the staff, in their spare time, could take a look at. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Commissioner Cabral, for those comments.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER: Thank you, Commissioner Cabral. Staff will look into whether or not we can make an amendment to our rules to do that.

MS. CHOW: In the alternative, you could consider a delegation to the Executive Director or to the Chair to approve being the Accepting Authority, but to require that the EIS come back to the full Commission.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, Ms. Chow.

Commissioner Okuda followed by Commissioner

Cabral.

COMMISSIONER OKUDA: Chair, if I could be the naysayer here. It is true that it appears that routine stuff could be done more efficiently, but I think for public transparency, this process works well. There's always a possibility that individuals or organizations might believe that the process is rigged. In other words, the people who are asked to be the Accepting Authority were asked to be the

Accepting Authority because they would not give impartial review of the Final EIS.

So, you know, I just think there are reasons why, even though this seems like a very routine mundane type of motion, having it out in the open so that the public and citizens can object if they think that some other agency or entity should be the accepting authority, I think it helps with the process. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Commissioner Cabral.

VICE CHAIR CABRAL: Thank you, fellow

Commissioner Okuda for that perspective, and I

clearly want to make sure we're very open and upfront

to the public and all parties involved, and I would

love it if we could come up between the AG's Office

and our Executive Director, to come up with a way

that we might be able to do both things and keep it

open. Because, again, the final decision would come

back to the Commission.

Maybe there's just a way to have it all be satisfied. Just like see, again, efficiency was my intent without compromising the righteousness of the process. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you,
Commissioner Cabral.

Commissioner Aczon followed by Commissioner 1 2 Chang. 3 VICE CHAIR ACZON: I just want to say that 4 I agree with Commissioner Okuda on this one. 5 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, 6 Commissioner Aczon. 7 Commissioner Chang. COMMISSIONER CHANG: Thank you. And I 8 9 appreciate Commissioner Cabral's desire to be 10 efficient, but I have found that in many instances, 11 this one is, in my view, an aberration where we had 12 no discussion, but in many of our cases where the 13 issue comes up about the EIS, there is discussion, 14 presentation. There's guidance from the 15 Commissioners as to what we want to see in the EIS. So I do think that there is benefits to having 16 17 discussion. And I'm not too sure how best to address 18 19 Commissioner Cabral's issue, but I think that there 20 is benefits to having a public process for these 21 motions. Thank you. 22 CHAIRPERSON SCHEUER: Thank you, 23 Commissioner Chang. Are there further comments on

I will note, I guess just for this

this matter from the Commissioners?

2.4

discussion, that sometimes there is concern in the community over -- to echo Commissioner Okuda -- sometimes there is a series of possible choices of who might be the Accepting Authority for a docket.

There is some flexibility there and some inclination perhaps to become the Accepting Authority, so I think it serves the public's interest to provide the opportunity for them to express any concerns over our taking up a motion to be the Accepting Authority in a particular matter.

But I do, despite perhaps not being as fervent a capitalist as Commissioner Cabral, I do appreciate efficiency as well, which I will note we have lost now in this proceeding thanks to Commissioner Cabral's question.

I think we have nothing more for the Petitioner. Thank you very much.

We're ready to move onto our next agenda item, which is the City and County of Honolulu Important Agricultural Lands designation matter, however, given that the matter on the agenda is scheduled for both today and tomorrow, and I believe this discussion is actually better held over one day rather than split over two days, my suggestion would be to recess until tomorrow so we can take up the IAL

presentation by staff. Are there any objections from the Commissioners to this move? Seeing none, we will recess until 9:00 A.M. tomorrow morning. Mahalo. (The proceedings recessed at 9:33 a.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE STATE OF HAWAII)
2) SS. COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
3	,
4	I, JEAN MARIE McMANUS, do hereby certify:
5	That on February 10, 2021, at 9:00 a.m.,
6	the proceedings contained herein was taken down by me
7	in machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing
9	represents, to the best of my ability, a true and
10	correct copy of the proceedings had in the foregoing
11	matter.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel for
13	any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested
14	in the outcome of the cause named in this caption.
15	Dated this 10th day of February, 2021, in
16	Honolulu, Hawaii.
17	
18	
19	/s/ Jean Marie McManus
20	JEAN MARIE McMANUS, CSR #156
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	