

From: [Hakoda, Riley K](#)
To: [Quinones, Natasha A](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Important Agricultural Land (IAL)
Date: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 2:02:59 PM

Please check TMK info...mahalo!

-----Original Message-----

From: ROLLAND HARVEST <rjpounder@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 1:40 PM
To: Hakoda, Riley K <riley.k.hakoda@hawaii.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Important Agricultural Land (IAL)

Aloha Riley -

I spoke with you last week regarding my Mom (Carnation Harvest) receiving a letter from the Land Use Commission (LUC) identifying her lot (TMK 66001050) as Important Agricultural Land (IAL). This designation was pursuant to HRS Section 205-47.

You informed me that the designation was identified and provided by the City and County of Honolulu. You also explained that the Department of Planning and Permitting was tasked and provided the information on behalf of the City. I explained that I will contact the City as the listing of the Oahu TMKs does not include my mother's aforementioned lot. As I expected, I have not had a clear path to information from DPP. I have spoken to several departments of DPP with the I will "research and get back to you" response.

I have gone to the LUC website and examined all of the information regarding the IAL proposals. Appendix H on your website has a list of all affected TMKs on Oahu affected by this proposal. I also explained to you that my Mom's Lot is not listed on this document or any other on your website. So my question to you, if the TMKs listed were provided by the City and there are no other lists to reference, then the natural conclusion is her lot is not designated as IAL.

Then what prompted the State Land Use Commission to generate a letter to my Mom proclaiming this erroneous information? The error appears to be generated by the LUC. Please validate and verify, in writing, that the TMK 66001050 should not be included as IAL designation. The City is exonerated as all the information regarding the listing of TMKs does not include TMK 66001050.

Please contact me at 808-479-8015 as necessary.

Thank you - Rolland Harvest

From: [DBEDT LUC](#)
To: [Quinones, Natasha A](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] IAL law/statute 205
Date: Wednesday, April 21, 2021 10:51:32 AM

Take in as public testimony for C&C HNL IAL please...

From: John Foti <john@kaulanacorp.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2021 1:07 PM
To: DBEDT LUC <dbedt.luc.web@hawaii.gov>
Cc: repbranco@capitol.hawaii.gov; Rep. Lisa Marten <repmarten@capitol.hawaii.gov>; Representative Lauren Matsumoto <repmatsumoto@capitol.hawaii.gov>; Representative Sean Quinlan <repquinlan@capitol.hawaii.gov>; senlee@capitol.hawaii.gov; Senator Gil Riviere <senriviere@capitol.hawaii.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] IAL law/statute 205

Dear Hawaii State Government

Please stop your planned IAL program. Farming is difficult enough without the additional restrictions and regulations. As well meaning as you may think this program is, it will have adverse (unintended) consequences that will have the opposite effect of your intentions. It will make farming MORE difficult and less feasible, resulting in LESS agricultural land being actually farmed.

Most farmers were completely unaware of this law and it's effect on farm lands. It is completely unfair to add these land use restrictions without adequate consultation and input of landowners and users.

I have a legitimate kalo farm located on affected land right now and therefore have first hand knowledge. Government functionaries have no business in our business. I can load you with specifics if you like.

Please listen and repeal this statute. Thank you for listening.

John Foti
808 754 3312



Virus-free. www.avg.com

This letter is to the land use commission .I was informed today by mail April 14 that there was a meeting that I needed to attend last month March 24 and 25th because my property is one of the parcels proposed for a IAL designation and that I should attend this meeting. I received this notice today in the mail April 14, 2021 clearly not being informed of meeting and time to attend.

I just bought this property for the very reason that it was not agricultural land. I feel that I payed top dollar for that very reason. I paid \$522,500 for well under 1 acre with a 80-year-old Quonset hut on it I f I wanted to buy agriculture land and be a farmer I could have bought at the very same time that 7 acres with a dwelling on it for \$20,000 less.

My point is if my land is re-designated it would drop my property value by half or more and Would force me too sell or be a farmer.

With this Hawaii revised statues section 205 – 47 is there room for compensation due to the fact of D valuing the property By half or more? I would like you to consider the hours and tens of thousands of dollars that I have invested in this property landscaping and upgrading the dwelling only to hear the state wants to

steal my property right out from underneath Would be unconscionable.

I Michael Lenz protest this with all my being I bought this property in good faith under the terms and conditions of Hawaii state law.

And invested all that I have in this property. If this land commission approves this I will be paying a mortgage of a \$522500 for a property Worth far less than half of the mortgage.

This property has NOT been Agricultural land in over 80 years

The Lot size and the fact there's no restrictions such as AG land or association dues or condominium fees is the very reason I invested in this property

this was going to be my retirement house. Keep all this in mind when making your decision on how unfair and destructive it would be to rezone my property.

Michael Lenz
cell # 808 783-2111

LAND USE COMMISSION
STATE OF HAWAII
LAND USE COMMISSION
STATE OF HAWAII
2001 APR 20 A 10:29