

11 JAN 10 P1:48

DEPT OF PLANNING
COUNTY OF MAUI
RECEIVED



BEFORE THE LAND USE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII


LAND USE COMMISSION
STATE OF HAWAII
2011 JAN -7 A 6:54

In The Matter Of The Application Of)	DOCKET NO. SP06-400
)	
WILLIAM HORNE MAN ON BEHALF OF)	DECISION AND ORDER
HAWAIIAN CEMENT)	APPROVING TIME EXTENSION
)	TO SPECIAL USE PERMIT
To Operate And Expand A Quarry And Base)	
Course Operation On Approximately 79.2)	
Acres Of Land Within The State Land Use)	
Agricultural District At Mā`alaea, Maui,)	
Hawai`i, Tax Map Key: 3-6-04: 7)	
_____)	

DECISION AND ORDER APPROVING TIME EXTENSION TO SPECIAL USE PERMIT

This is to certify that this is a true and correct copy of
the document on file in the office of the State Land
Use Commission, Honolulu, Hawai`i.

January 7, 2011 by


Executive Officer



BEFORE THE LAND USE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In The Matter Of The Application Of)	DOCKET NO. SP06-400
)	
WILLIAM HORNEMAN ON BEHALF OF)	DECISION AND ORDER
HAWAIIAN CEMENT)	APPROVING TIME EXTENSION
)	TO SPECIAL USE PERMIT
To Operate And Expand A Quarry And Base)	
Course Operation On Approximately 79.2)	
Acres Of Land Within The State Land Use)	
Agricultural District At Mā`alaea, Maui,)	
Hawai`i, Tax Map Key: 3-6-04: 7)	
)	

DECISION AND ORDER APPROVING TIME EXTENSION TO SPECIAL USE PERMIT

On July 16, 2009, Karlynn Fukuda filed a request on behalf of Hawaiian Cement ("Applicant") for a ten-year time extension to the life of the State Land Use Commission ("LUC") Special Use Permit issued in County Docket No. SUP1 2006/0001 (LUC Docket No. SP06-400) with the County of Maui Department of Planning ("DP"), pursuant to section 205-6, Hawai`i Revised Statutes ("HRS"), and sections 15-15-95 and 15-15-96, Hawai`i Administrative Rules ("HAR").

On January 26, 2010, the County of Maui Planning Commission ("Planning Commission") conducted a hearing on the Applicant's request. There was no public testimony. After due deliberation, the Planning Commission voted to

approve the Applicant's request and to delete Condition Numbers 6 and 7 of the Decision And Order Approving Special Use Permit dated December 4, 2006.

The LUC has jurisdiction over this matter. Section 205-6, HRS, and sections 15-15-95 and 15-15-96, HAR, confer jurisdiction upon the LUC to approve special use permits and amendments thereto for areas greater than 15 acres.

On April 13, 2010, the LUC received copies of the Planning Commission's decision and the record on the request. Upon review of the record, the LUC staff believed that it was incomplete because there was an ambiguity as to the nature of the Planning Commission's action with respect to the disposition of certain conditions previously imposed by the LUC on the Special Use Permit. By letter dated April 15, 2010, the LUC staff notified the DP that it would suspend further processing of the request until such time that the record in this matter was clarified by the County of Maui.

On July 13, 2010, the Planning Commission conducted a hearing to address the concerns of the LUC staff. There was no public testimony. After due deliberation, the Planning Commission clarified that all of the remaining 23 conditions previously imposed by the LUC are included as part of its decision to approve the Applicant's request for a ten-year time extension to the life of the Special Use Permit and to delete Condition Numbers 6 and 7.

On October 20, 2010, the LUC received copies of the Planning Commission's agenda and minutes for its July 13, 2010, hearing.

On December 2, 2010, the LUC met in Honolulu, Hawai'i, to consider the Applicant's request. Karlynn Fukuda and Dave Gomes appeared on behalf of the Applicant. Michael Hopper, Esq., and Paul Fasi appeared on behalf of the DP. Bryan C. Yee, Esq., and Abe Mitsuda were also present on behalf of the State Office of Planning ("OP").

At the meeting, the LUC staff provided a summary of the Applicant's request and recommended that if the LUC were inclined to approve the Applicant's request for a ten-year time extension to the life of the Special Use Permit, Condition Number 6 be amended to read as follows:

6. On each anniversary date of this Decision and Order, the Applicant shall file annual reports to the LUC and the DP in connection with the status of the subject project and the Applicant's progress in complying with the conditions imposed herein. The annual report shall be submitted in a form prescribed by the Executive Officer of the Commission.

The LUC staff further recommended that Condition Number 7 be retained.

Following the presentation by the LUC staff, the Applicant stated that it currently has a 20-year lease for the subject property that expires in 2024, with an option to extend the lease for another 20 years. The Applicant then requested that in addition to its request for a ten-year time extension, the LUC consider the deletion of Condition

Numbers 8, 10, and 16 of the Decision And Order Approving Special Use Permit dated December 4, 2006, as the Applicant represented that these conditions have been met.

The DP stated that it had no objection to amending Condition Number 6 and retaining Condition Number 7 as recommended by the LUC staff nor any objection to the Applicant's request to delete Condition Numbers 8, 10, and 16.

The OP stated that it had a concern about deleting the requirement in Condition Number 8b that no increase in storm water runoff be allowed onto the State highway right-of-way as OP believed that this was a continuing obligation. Upon discussion, the Applicant stated that it had no objection to retaining that part of Condition Number 8b. With respect to Condition Number 10, OP requested the Applicant to clarify whether the State Historic Preservation Division ("SHPD") formally agreed that Site T-9 was not marked for preservation as represented by the Applicant. The Applicant clarified that as part of its compliance report, it included a letter from the SHPD dated April 1, 2008, in which the SHPD did not require the preservation of Site T-9 but recommended the presence of a full-time archaeological monitor for the proposed ground altering activities on the parcel. Upon additional questioning by OP, the Applicant referenced an excerpt from the revised archaeological inventory survey prepared for the expansion area and subsequently accepted by the SHPD, in which Site T-9 was determined following a thorough inspection to be a naturally occurring, unmodified boulder field as there was no evidence of human alteration or uses. Based

on the findings of the survey, Site T-9 was no longer considered an archaeological site, did not warrant archaeological data recovery, and no longer warranted implementation of measures to prevent accidental encroachment.

The DP clarified the requirement that no increase in storm water runoff be allowed onto the State highway-right-of way was also a condition of the County Special Use Permit.

Upon further discussion, the LUC advised the Applicant that its request to delete Condition Numbers 8, 10, and 16 would not be considered by the LUC at this time as it was not part of the Planning Commission's decision in this matter pursuant to section 205-6, HRS, and sections 15-15-95 and 15-15-96, HAR.

Following discussion by the Commissioners, a motion was made and seconded to (i) grant a ten-year time extension to the life of the Special Use Permit by amending Condition Number 1 of the Order Amending Decision And Order Approving Special Use Permit dated December 18, 2006, to read as follows:

1. That the LUC Special Use Permit shall be valid until December 15, 2019, subject to further extensions by the LUC upon a timely request for extension filed at least one-hundred twenty (120) days prior to its expiration. The appropriate Planning Commission shall make a recommendation to the LUC and may require a public hearing on the time extension.

(ii) amend Condition Number 6 of the Decision And Order Approving Special Use Permit dated December 4, 2006, to read as follows:

6. On each anniversary date of this Decision and Order, the Applicant shall file annual reports to the LUC and the DP in connection with the status of the subject project and the Applicant's progress in complying with the conditions imposed herein. The annual report shall be submitted in a form prescribed by the Executive Officer of the Commission.

and (iii) retain Condition Number 7 of the Decision And Order Approving Special Use Permit dated December 4, 2006.

Following deliberation by the Commissioners, a vote was taken on the motion. There being a vote tally of 7 ayes and 2 absent, the motion carried.

ORDER

The LUC, having duly considered the complete record of the Planning Commission's proceedings on the Applicant's request and the oral arguments presented by the parties in this proceeding, and a motion having been made at a meeting conducted on December 2, 2010, in Honolulu, Hawai'i, and the motion having received the affirmative votes required by section 15-15-13, HAR, and there being good cause for the motion,

HEREBY ORDERS that Condition Number 1 of the Order Amending Decision And Order Approving Special Use Permit dated December 18, 2006, be amended as follows:


1. That the LUC Special Use Permit shall be valid until December 15, 2019, subject to further extensions by the LUC upon a timely request for extension filed at least one-hundred twenty (120) days prior to its expiration. The appropriate Planning Commission shall

make a recommendation to the LUC and may require a public hearing on the time extension.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Condition Number 6 of the Decision And Order Approving Special Use Permit dated December 4, 2006, be amended as follows:

6. On each anniversary date of this Decision and Order, the Applicant shall file annual reports to the LUC and the DP in connection with the status of the subject project and the Applicant's progress in complying with the conditions imposed herein. The annual report shall be submitted in a form prescribed by the Executive Officer of the Commission.

All other conditions to the Decision And Order Approving Special Use Permit dated December 4, 2006, are hereby reaffirmed and shall continue in effect.¹




¹ Condition Number 1 was amended pursuant to Order Amending Decision And Order Approving Special Use Permit dated December 18, 2006, and is further amended herein.


Done at Honolulu, Hawai'i, this 6TH day of January, 2011, per motion on December 2, 2010.

LAND USE COMMISSION

APPROVED AS TO FORM

STATE OF HAWAI'I



Deputy Attorney General

By 
VLADIMIR DEVENS
Chairperson and Commissioner

Filed and effective on:

January 7, 2011

Certified by:


ORLANDO DAVIDSON
Executive Officer



BEFORE THE LAND USE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In The Matter Of The Application Of) DOCKET NO. SP06-400
)
WILLIAM HORNEMAN ON BEHALF OF) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
HAWAIIAN CEMENT)
)
To Operate And Expand A Quarry And Base)
Course Operation On Approximately 79.2)
Acres Of Land Within The State Land Use)
Agricultural District At Mā`alaea, Maui,)
Hawai`i, Tax Map Key: 3-6-04: 7)
_____)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that an ORDER DETERMINING TIME EXTENSION TO SPECIAL USE PERMIT was served upon the following by either hand delivery or depositing the same in the U. S. Postal Service by regular or certified mail as noted:

	HAND DELIVERED	REGULAR MAIL	CERTIFIED MAIL
DIRECTOR Office of Planning 235 S. Beretania Street Rm. 600 Honolulu, Hawai`i 96813	X		
BRYAN YEE, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General Hale `Auhau, Third Floor 425 Queen Street Honolulu, Hawai`i 96813		X	

	HAND DELIVERED	REGULAR MAIL	CERTIFIED MAIL
Martin Luna, Esq. Carlsmith Ball, LLP One Main Plaza, Suite 400 2200 Main Street Wailuku, Hawaii 96793-1691			X
William Spence, Director of Planning Department of Planning County of Maui 250 South High Street Wailuku, Hawaii 96793		X	
MICHAEL HOPPER, Esq. Corporation Counsel County of Maui 250 South High Street Wailuku, Hawaii 96793			X

Honolulu, Hawai'i, January 7, 2011.



ORLANDO DAVIDSON

Executive Officer