

April 25, 2021

To: Honolulu Hawaii Land Use Commission / dbedt.luc.web@hawaii.gov admin@dmlhawaii.com

P.O Box 2359 Honolulu, Hawaii 96814-2359

FR: Gerald YH Young Trust/ Diana Young located at 41-655 #A Kumuhau Street, Waimanalo 96795 Owner of TMK# 41018022

RE: AL LAW/Statue 205-47-48-49 Agricultural Land IAL

Dear Hawaii State Government:

I am the owner of this property that has been in our family for 4 generations and this is our home. The property is in a trust so that continued generations will be able to carry on. My husband was born here resident of Hawaii was a school teacher for 32 years. He made sure the next generation will be passed down and I am a widow of 2 years. The first notification of the proposed IAL designation was the letter dated April 12, 2021 from State of Hawaii Land Use Commission advising of the proposed designation for the first meeting/hearing on April 28 and April 29, 2021.

There is confusion within that correspondence as the letter states at the March meeting there will no be considering or determining the legal rights, duties or privileges of specific landowners or issues relating to particular properties. Was there a previous held meeting, if so is the April meeting/hearing the second notification? **There was no formal notification of that March meeting or any previously held meetings and/or hearings, again, prior to the letter dated April 12, 2021.** As proposed designees, should there not be clearly defined qualifying classifications, rights of use, options for the land and general public knowledge that allow the proposed designees to be able to make an informed decision to the use of the land? I do not feel that adequate time or information was provided prior to the letter informing us of the meeting in a way that was accessible to all.

The letter states that the Commission needs to understand what the County has done in connection with its designation as well as deciding if the County has compiled with the legal requirements regarding the recommendation for designation. As the landowner I feel that we should have been communicated with and provided with the legal requirements, this has not been provided to myself or the other proposed landowners. As a matter of fact some of them did not receive the April 12, 2021 letter and were completely unaware and shocked as I asked around to see what this letter was and if anyone knew of the potential change to the IAL status. Lack of communication and knowledge has not prepared us to be able to render a decision of the IAL status. The information I have been able to obtain through other sources is not favorable and I do not find our land/home would benefit. We have a dedicated ten years and have dedication for the next ten years. We have followed all rules/guidelines in this AG program.

I am requesting to be removed from this IAL Zoning on our property, we are doing agricultural already and plan intend to continue.

As proposed designees we should have had our rights communicated clearly, had knowledge of in a language that is understood by all and been informed of the hearings/meetings in a timely manner. I do not believe that 16 days prior is ample notification for a proposed change in the use of my land.

Please be advised that this is my formal notification of my objection to the IAL status as this is my first time being advised of the IAL proposed status, otherwise my objection would have been submitted prior. We do not want to be subject to the use and development limitations imposed by HRS 205-45.5.

Thank you,

Diana Young, Diana Young

