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CHAIRMAN CHOCK: (gavel) I'd like to call
the meeting to order. This is a meeting of the State
Land Use Commission. Our first item of business is
the adoption of the minutes. Is there a motion to
adopt?

COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: So moved.
COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Second.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Moved and seconded. Any
discussion? Any objections to the minutes? If not
the minutes have been approved. I'd like to ask our
executive officer to briefly cover our tentative
meeting schedule.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

July 11th and 12th our next scheduled meeting remains
open. We have nothing on the agenda for those 2 days.

July 25th and 26th we are back here on Maui
for Maui R&T at the Makena Beach and Golf Resort for a
site visit and commencement of hearing.

On August 8th and 9th continued hearing for
Maui R&T. On August 22nd and 23rd Halekua Development
Corporation on O'ahu mostly to bifurcate and amend.

September 5th and 6th CMBY Investment, LLC
on Maui until 2:00 p.m. Then at 2:00 p.m. we will be
having our first public hearing on the Governor's
recently-approved Administrative Rules. We also have
Maui R&T continued hearing oral argument on the following day.

September 19th and 20th is actually the HCPO conference on the Big Island. Sheraton Bay Kona. We will also be having a meeting in regard to our public rules at that time.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much, Dan. This is a continued hearing and action on All-794 oral argument and deliberation State Department of Education, Kihei High School, to amend the Agricultural Land Use District Boundary into the Urban Land Use District for approximately 77.2 acres of land. Will the parties please identify themselves for the record.

MR. YUEN: Good morning, Mr. Chair. William Yuen on behalf of the State of Hawai'i Department of Education. With me is Robert Purdie of the Department of Education.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Good morning.

MR. GIROUX: Good morning. James Giroux, deputy corporation counsel with the Department of Planning. And with me is William Spence, Director.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Good morning.

MR. SOUKI: Good morning, Chair and Commissioners. Jesse Souki for the Office of...
Planning, state of Hawai'i. And with me is Rodney Funakoshi.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Good morning, Jesse. Congratulations on recently becoming a dad. Why do you look so well-rested? (laughter)

MR. SOUKI: Ask my wife.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: I'm not. I'm not well rested. Anyway, let me briefly update the record in this docket. On June 13, 2013 the Commission began the hearings. June 14 the Commission concluded the evidentiary portion of the proceedings.

On June 19 the Commission received Petitioner's Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order after it had met with OP and County and mailed the agenda for the June 27-28 meeting to the parties and the statewide and Maui mailing lists.

On June 25th and 26th the Commission received Petitioner's Stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order and OP and County Statements of No Objection to the Stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order.

Let me briefly describe our procedure for today. First I will call for those individuals...
desiring to provide public testimony on this matter to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in. A 3-minute time limit on testimony will be enforced.

After completion of the public testimony, oral argument presentations will begin starting with the Petitioner. Chair will allow each party no more than 15 minutes to present oral argument in support of its Proposed Decision and Order and/or its exceptions to those proposed by other parties. Petitioner may reserve a portion of this time for rebuttal.

At the conclusion of oral argument and after questions from the Commissioners and the answers that follow, the Commission will conduct formal deliberation on this matter. Chair will also note for the parties and the public that from time to time I'll be calling for short breaks. Are there any individuals wishing to provide public testimony at this time? Please come forward.

Good morning, Ma'am. Would you please state your name and address for the record, please?

THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Lucienne de Naie. I reside at 320 Door of Faith Road in Haiku, Maui.
LUCIENNE De NAIE

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Proceed. Three minutes.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. I come today as an individual. We all support the Kihei High School being built. Just like to bring to your attention 2 matters that may or may not have been brought up before.

One is that since this is a high school it's very important that it have connectivity to other areas where the high school students potentially would be coming from. One of those areas you will be hearing in July, that is the reconfigured RD, research a development parcel immediately to the south of the high school.

Right now there's no means to connect students with that except to go on Pi'ilani Highway. This just really doesn't seem smart planning, good planing, safe planning in any way.

So just, you know, as this body makes its final deliberations that fact should be known.

The matter is that the Archaeological Inventory Survey for this property, once again, was
done in a manner where it was not very careful.

Walking the land recently with several members of the Kihei Community Association and even some public officials, a site was identified that has not been recorded at all that is very, very likely to be a cultural site. I'll turn in a picture of it just for the record. It has an alignment of rocks. There were fragments of coral found there.

This is a half mile from the ocean. Coral had to be transported there for cultural purpose. It has an outstanding view. It's a low-rise. It's a typical type of place that would have been modified for cultural use. It's not recorded at all. It's likely that it could be avoided. There's plenty of room to build this high school here.

It's just because it was poor work done on the Archaeological Inventory Survey that an opportunity here could be lost for future generations to understand who came before on this land.

So I would just ask that the Commission recommend that, you know, in the next phase that there be a supplementary Archaeological Survey done. Several lineal descendants participated in this site visit. Members of the Naole family that held the royal patent back at the time of the Mahele, they'd be happy to be
consultants. It's just we should do the process a little more properly. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, very much.

Parties, any questions?

MR. YUEN: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: County?

MR. GIROUX: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: State?

MR. SOUKI: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Commissioners, any questions? Thank you for your testimony?

THE WITNESS: May I leave this (photo) with the staff?

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Yes. Anyone else in the public wishing to provide public testimony on this matter, please come forward. Okay. Seeing none, parties, I understand there's been some discussion regarding Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order? Can you give the Commission an update in terms of what's been agreed to and what have been stipulated to, Petitioner?

MR. YUEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. On June 25th we submitted a Stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order containing the findings as well as 25 conditions.
The County yesterday submitted to you the signature of the deputy corporation counsel indicating its approval. On June 25th the Office of Planning submitted a Statement of No Objection which procedurally indicates its approval of the stipulation.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you. County.

MR. GIROUX: Yes, that is our position.

And I believe we also filed a Statement of Non-opposition to what was filed on July 25th also, to have some redundancy so it was clear that we had no objections to everything that we had talked about and put into that document.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Okay. State, any comments?

MR. SOUKI: Just a few comments. We did file a Statement of No Opposition. But if there's any one overarching issue before the Commission in these proceedings for the district boundary amendment to allow the Kihei High School was the welfare of Hawai'i's current and future keiki.

And I think that through discussions among the parties and relying on input by the DOE and its technical expertise, we're able to address the issue of student safety and the mission of DOE to provide
education facilities for them.

In summary, a couple of facts that helped us arrive at this decision and amicable agreement was Finding of Fact 66 which recognizes that Pi'ilani Highway is a 4-lane, 2-way limited access roadway that separates the residential and proposed residential on the makai side from the proposed high school.

Finding of fact 70 recommends that Petitioner submit a revised TIAR for DOT acceptance including revised traffic signal warrant studies and pedestrian route study.

Finding of fact 70 which recognizes that Wilson Okamoto, the consultant for the Petitioner, used the 1 percent growth rate, but the DOT would require a 2 percent growth rate.

This is important because the finding of fact 114 it recognizes that in 2000 the population in the Kihei Community Plan area was 22,870. And the forecast in 2030 is 46,896. It's 105 percent increase in growth, about 3 percent per annum. So 2 percent analysis of the TIRA seems fair.

Also finding of fact 76, what DOT is concerned about the conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians and the overall safety of pedestrians crossing the highway. And most importantly DOE has
agreed to evaluate pedestrian issues using Federal
Highway Administration guidance on grade-separated
crossings.

In conclusion, the condition that ties this
all together is Condition 1. And in particular
subsection B which, among other things, has DOE
evaluating compliance with the FHWA guidance relating
to grade-separated crossings. And also that
Petitioner will submit 3 updated pedestrian route
studies and analyses for the Project.

So given these facts and conditions and our
review and agreement on all the other conditions and
findings and conclusions, we are in agreement with the
parties and have no opposition to the proposed D&O.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much,
Jesse. Petitioner, are you ready to proceed with
closing argument?

MR. YUEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: 15 minutes.

MR. YUEN: Thank you. I will try to keep
my remarks brief. Basically in reviewing the
Commission's decision-making criteria contained in
Hawaii Revised Statutes Section 205-17 and the Land
Use Commission's Rules 15-15-77, first the
reclassification of the Petition Area conforms to
goals and objectives and policies of the Hawai'i State Plan and priority guidelines, particularly with respect to the Educational Functional Plan and the Population, Economy and Employment Functional Plan.

The reclassification will allow the Department of Education to build a new high school to serve the Kihei-to-Makena region. It will provide the up to 1,650 residents of the Kihei area easier access to quality education, remove the necessity to commute to Central Maui, and enhance employment and higher education opportunities for these students.

The Kihei High School will be designed also to provide appropriate educational opportunities and facilities to special needs students.

With respect to the Population and Employment Functional Plan, construction of Kihei High School will both further the State's goals to develop land resources to meet the level of growth predicted for the Kihei region.

It will facilitate creation of construction-related jobs during the building of the school as well as open long-term educational employment opportunities in the Kihei region.

The reclassification conforms to the Urban District standards that I'll review later. There are
no endangered or threatened species of flora or fauna
or any critical habitat on the Petition Area. The
Petition Area does not contain important cultural,
natural or agricultural resources. And development of
the Petition Area will not adversely affect any such
resources.

The Petition Area is basically low quality
cattle ranchland. It does not qualify for Important
Agricultural Land development classification. And
development of the Petition Area will not impair
either agricultural production or cattle ranching.

The 2013 Legislature appropriated
$113 million for construction of Kihei High School
which evidences the State's commitment of significant
resources and funding to build this new school.

The reclassification is consistent with the
County of Maui General Plan and its various components
including the Countywide Policy Plan, the Maui Island
Plan and the Kihei-Makena Community Plan.

And the Kihei High School is a designated
public facility in the Maui Island Plan's Public
Facilities Infrastructure map.

Turning to the Urban District standards,
this land is characterized by a city-like
concentration of people, structures, streets and urban
The Petition Area is in proximity to centers of trading and employment. In addition to being adjacent to Pi'ilani Highway it's contiguous to land in the Urban District and in close proximity to commercial and resort areas in Kihei and Wailea.

Basic services such as commercial facilities, parks, wastewater systems, drainage, potable water, transportation systems, public utilities and police and fire protection are available to the Petition Area.

Reclassification of the Petition Area will lead to creation of a significant reserved area for foreseeable urban growth. The Kihei High School is planned for development in phases. The initial phase will accommodate approximately 800 students with plans to develop additional classrooms as well as support facilities as the population continues to grow and subsequent state funds are available.

The Petition Area has satisfactory topography, drainage and site conditions. It's reasonably fee from the danger of flood, tsunami, unstable soil or other adverse environmental effects.

With respect to the State and County Plans,
I've already discussed conformance with the State Plan. In terms of the County of Maui Plans it conforms to both the County-wide Policy Plan. The recently adopted Maui Island Plan designates the Petition Area within the Kihei-Makena Urban Growth Boundary. And it's also designated on the Maui Island Plan's public facility infrastructure map as the site for a public school.

Approximately 2/3 of the Petition Area is designated for public facilities in the Kihei-Makena Community Plan. The remaining acreage is the subject of a proposed amendment to the Kihei-Makena Plan that the County Planning Commission will be entertaining in July, and will subsequently be considered by the county council later this summer or in early fall.

As Jesse Souki has described to the Commission, the Department of Education, the County and the Office of Planning have reached agreement on 25 conditions of reclassification.

The Department of Education has agreed to revise the Traffic Impact Analysis Report including the Traffic Signal Warrant Study and to prepare a Pedestrian Route Study for both Phase I of the Project as well as for the entire Project incorporating Phases 1 and 2.
The Department of Education has agreed to update the Traffic Impact Analysis Report as indicated by Mr. Souki, and more important, to make the traffic improvements recommended by the studies. If necessary the Department of Education will go back to the Legislature to seek additional funds for these improvements. I'll reserve the rest of my time for rebuttal. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, Petitioner.

County?

MR. GIROUX: Thank you, Chair. But just for the record, I did my closing at the last meeting. I knew Mr. Yuen would steal my thunder so I took an early shot. We just want to reiterate that Maui County is in full support of the District Boundary Amendment. And we appreciate the time and effort that everybody has put into it, the amount of cooperation. And we just want to see this move forward.

We will be seeing this at the Planning Commission very soon. And it will be forwarded to the county council for the ordinance for the change in zoning. So we appreciate everybody's help on this.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, Mr. Giroux.

State, any closing statement?

MR. SOUKI: I think I'll let what I stated
earlier stand as my closing. But I think it's important to note, given the public testimony, that the hearings are closed so the Commission is not taking additional facts.

And regarding archaeological studies it does say on the finding of fact 54: The consultant conducted an archaeological survey of the Petition Area, a hundred percent pedestrian survey. And a subsurface testing of 77 acres that SHPD concurred with its findings.

And then Conditions 3 and 4 regarding Archaeological Inventory Survey mitigation plans and monitoring, and Condition 4 regarding unidentified finds adequately and sufficiently address the issues of archaeological resources.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Souki. Petitioner, do you still want the time?

MR. YUEN: I don't need to say anything further, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much, parties. The Commission will now conduct formal deliberations concerning whether to grant the Petition whether in whole or in part or to deny.

If the Commission decides to grant the Petition, it needs to determine what conditions of
approval to impose. I'd like to note for the parties and the public that during the Commission's deliberations we'll not entertain additional input from the parties or the public unless those individuals or entities are specifically requested to do so by the Chair. If called upon I would like to ask that comments be limited to the question at hand.

The Commission held hearings on the merits of this Petition on June 13-14 and oral argument concluded today. Commissioners, let me confirm that each of you have reviewed the record, read the transcripts for any meeting that you may have missed and are prepared to deliberate on this docket.

After I call your name please signify with either a yes or no that you are prepared to deliberate on this matter. Commissioner Biga?

COMMISSIONER BIGA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Contrades?

COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Heller?

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes. Mr. Chair, I have a disclosure that I've put on the record in other dockets but not yet in this docket. So I'd just like to note for the record that I represent taxpayers in real property tax cases including some cases on the
Island of Maui which means that my clients would be adverse to Maui County in those cases. I don't think that will affect my ability to be impartial in this case but I wanted to note that for the record.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you for the disclosure, Commissioner Heller. Parties, any concerns or objections?

MR. YUEN: No objection.

MR. GIROUX: County has no objection.

MR. SOUKI: No objections from the State.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Heller. Commissioner Inouye?

COMMISSIONER INOYUE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Commissioner Matsumura?

COMMISSIONER MATSUMURA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Commissioner McDonald?

COMMISSIONER Mc Donald: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Commissioner Teves is excused. Chair is also prepared to deliberate on this matter. The goal today is to determine by way of motion the Commission's decision on whether to grant in whole or in part Petitioner's request to reclassify the subject property or to deny the Petition.

If a decision is reached today, and based on the Commission's guidance, the staff will be
directed to draft appropriate Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order reflecting the Commission's decision. Commissioners, what is your pleasure on this matter? Commissioner Biga.

COMMISSIONER BIGA: Mr. Chair, I move to grant All-794 State of Hawai'i, DOE-Kihei High School Maui the Petition with the conditions and in the general format of the agreed-to Decision and Order submitted by Petitioner with the added condition that an above- or below-ground pedestrian crossing be constructed prior to opening of Phase I.

And that Petitioner's proposed Decision and Order be further modified by staff to be consistent with the motion and with the procedural findings reflecting all filings in this docket.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Maybe before we get into the conditions, just to keep matters clean I'd like to just maybe suggest a friendly amendment that I believe you're making a motion to approve, Commissioner Biga?

COMMISSIONER BIGA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Okay. Chair will second that motion. Then maybe now for the discussion if you want to restate that condition.

COMMISSIONER BIGA: Restating the condition. In the general format of the agreed-to
Decision and Order submitted by the Petitioner with the added condition an above- or below-ground pedestrian crossing be constructed prior to opening of the Phase I and that the Petitioner's proposed Decision and Order, further modified by staff, to be consistent with this motion and with these procedural findings reflecting all filings in this docket.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you. Discussion?

Commissioner Heller.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: I generally agree with Commissioner Biga in that I'm very concerned about the issue of pedestrian access. And I personally think a pedestrian overpass probably is a good answer. However, I'm not a traffic engineer or an expert on pedestrian safety.

As I understand the parties' proposal they are proposing to work out the final decision on an overpass or underpass between the Department of Education and the Department of Transportation. And the parties will ultimately reach some agreement as to what is necessary.

Given that I'm not a traffic engineer and given that the TIAR has not been finished at this point, I don't think it's appropriate for us to make that decision today. I think it's more appropriate to
say that the experts will work it out and that the experts have to ultimately agree on what the proper answer is.

So therefore would, while I share Commissioner Biga's concern, I would oppose the amendment regarding this specific requirement.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, Commissioner Heller. Any other discussion, Commissioners, comments, concerns, questions for any of the parties?

COMMISSIONER INOUYE: Thank you, Chair. I think the No. 1 issue -- not issue, but the No. 1 concern that we as Commissioners have is public safety and safety of the children as well as the residents of Maui and whoever does frequent the place.

So I want to echo what Commissioner Heller says. I am concerned as Commissioners imposing something that the parties have not yet come to fruition because there's a TIAR yet to be done and some engineering to ensure that the right thing is being done.

However, I'm prepared -- I have that discomfort but I'm prepared to approve the amendment with reservations, if that's allowed. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, Commissioner
Inouye. (pause in proceedings) Commissioners, any
other comments? (pause) Commissioners, any other
questions on the proposed condition to require the
overpass/underpass? Just so we're clear I believe
Commissioner Biga's Motion's to Approve this condition
based on the overpass/underpass?

Is that the correct understanding that
there was some concerns raised by Commissioners Heller
and Inouye?

COMMISSIONER BIGA: And thank you, Chair.
I just want to reiterate on my motion. Living on
Maui, traveling on that highway on numerous occasions,
I've seen the speed that goes through that highway.
Again, my concern is for the safety of the pedestrians
and the children that will be going to that school.

Throughout this hearing I brought up my
concerns of that nature. That's why I believe this
motion, I brought this motion up. So that's my
concern, the safety of the public, the safety of the
children that're going to that school.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Any other comments,
Commissioners? If not I'm going to have the executive
officer poll the Commission. Dan.

COMMISSIONER HELLER: Mr. Chair, just for
clarification, we're voting now on -- yes or no on
granting the Petition with the condition of an
overpass or an underpass, is that right?

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: That's my understanding,
correct.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
motion is to grant the Petition with the added
condition of requiring the construction of a
pedestrian overpass or underpass prior to the opening
of Phase I. Commissioner Biga?

COMMISSIONER BIGA: Yes.

MR. ORODENKER: Chair Chock?

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Yes.

MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Matsumura?

COMMISSIONER MATSUMURA: Yes.

MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner McDonald?

COMMISSIONER MCDONALD: Yes.

MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Contrades?

COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Yes.

MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Heller?

COMMISSIONER HELLER: No.

MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Inouye?

COMMISSIONER INOUYE: Yes, with
reservations.

MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Commissioners.
The motion passes 6 votes in support and 1 vote in
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opposition.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much.

Parties, any questions? Petitioner?

MR. YUEN: No questions.

MR. GIROUX: No questions from the County.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: State?

MR. SOUKI: No questions from the State.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much. Why don't we take a brief recess in place to set up for the next item on our agenda. (Pau 10:11.)
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