1			
2	LAND USE COMMISSION		
3	STATE OF HAWAI'I		
4	CONTINUED HEARING AND ACTION) PAGE		
5 6	A11-794 STATE OF HAWAI'I) 1 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION-KIHEI HIGH SCHOOL) (Maui)		
7 8	ACTION A94-706 KA'ONO'ULU RANCH (Maui) 29		
9			
10			
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
12			
13	The above-entitled matters came on for a Public		
14	Hearing at Maui Arts & Cultural Center, Alexa Higashi		
15	Meeting Room, One Cannon Way, Kahului, Maui, Hawai'i		
16	commencing at 9:40 a.m. on June 27, 2013, pursuant to		
17	Notice.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR		
23	REPORTED BY: HOLLY M. HACKETT, CSR #130, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter		
24			
25			
	•		

1	APPEARANCES	
2	COMMISSIONERS: KYLE J.K. CHOCK, CHAIRPERSON	
3	RONALD HELLER, VICE CHAIR CHAD McDONALD, VICE CHAIR	
4	SHELDON R. BIGA THOMAS CONTRADES	
5	LANCE M. INOUYE ERNEST MATSUMURA	
6		
7		
8	EXECUTIVE OFFICER: DAN ORODENKER CHIEF CLERK: RILEY HAKODA STAFF PLANNER: SCOTT DERRICKSON	
10	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL: SARAH HIRAKAMI, ESQ.	
11	AUDIO TECHNICIAN: WALTER MENCHING	
12		
13	Docket No. All-794 State of Hawaii-DOE, Kihei HS-	
14	Decision Making	
15	For the Petitioner: WILLIAM YUEN, ESQ. ROBERT PURDIE - DOE	
16 17	For the County: JAMES GIROUX, ESQ. Deputy Corporation Counsel WILLIAM SPENCE, Director	
18	For the State: JESSE SOUKI	
19	Director Office of Plannin RODNEY FUNAKOSHI, OP	g
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		5
1	APPEAI	RANCES (cont'd)
2	Docket No. A9 4-706Ka'ono'ulu Ranch	
3	Motion to Stay	
4	For the Petitioner:	JONATHAN STEINER, ESQ.
5	For the County MICHAEL HO Dept. of Planning Deputy Corpo WILLIAM SPEN	MICHAEL HODDED
6		Deputy Corporation Counsel WILLIAM SPENCE, Director
7		WILLIAM SPENCE, DITECTOR
8	For the State:	JESSE SOUKI, Director Office of Planning
9		RODNEY FUNAKOSHI, OP
10	Maui Tomorrow: IREN	TOM PIERCE, ES1. IRENE BOWIE
11		DANIEL KANAHELE
12	For the Intervenor South Maui Citizens	MARK HYDE
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	l .	

		4
1	INDEX	
2	Docket No. All-794 State of Hawai'i-DOE	
3		
4	PUBLIC TESTIMONY PAGE	
5	Lucienne De'Naie 9	
6		
7		
8	Docket No A94-706 Ka'ono'ulu Ranch	
9	PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
10	Clayton Nishikawa 34	
11	Joan Martin 35	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
2324		
25		
20		

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: (gavel) I'd like to call 1 2 the meeting to order. This is a meeting of the State 3 Land Use Commission. Our first item of business is the adoption of the minutes. Is there a motion to 4 5 adopt? 6 COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: So moved. 7 COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Moved and seconded. 9 discussion? Any objections to the minutes? 10 the minutes have been approved. I'd like to ask our 11 executive officer to briefly cover our tentative 12 meeting schedule. 13 MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 14 July 11th and 12th our next scheduled meeting remains 15 open. We have nothing on the agenda for those 2 days. 16 July 25th and 26th we are back here on Maui 17 for Maui R&T at the Makena Beach and Golf Resort for a 18 site visit and commencement of hearing. 19 On August 8th and 9th continued hearing for 20 Maui R&T. On August 22nd and 23rd Halekua Development 21 Corporation on O'ahu mostly to bifurcate and amend. 22 September 5th and 6th CMBY Investment, LLC 23 on Maui until 2:00 p.m. Then at 2:00 p.m. we will be 24 having our first public hearing on the Governor's 25 recently-approved Administrative Rules. We also have

- 1 Maui R&T continued hearing oral argument on the 2 following day.
- 3 September 19th and 20th is actually the
- 4 | HCPO conference on the Big Island. Sheraton Bay Kona.
- 5 We will also be having a meeting in regard to our
- 6 public rules at that time.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much, Dan.
- 8 | This is a continued hearing and action on All-794 oral
- 9 argument and deliberation State Department of
- 10 Education, Kihei High School, to amend the
- 11 Agricultural Land Use District Boundary into the Urban
- 12 Land Use District for approximately 77.2 acres of
- 13 | land. Will the parties please identify themselves for
- 14 the record.
- MR. YUEN: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
- 16 | William Yuen on behalf of the State of Hawai'i
- 17 Department of Education. With me is Robert Purdie of
- 18 | the Department of Education.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Good morning.
- 20 MR. GIROUX: Good morning. James Giroux,
- 21 deputy corporation counsel with the Department of
- 22 | Planning. And with me is William Spence, Director.
- 23 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Good morning.
- MR. SOUKI: Good morning, Chair and
- 25 | Commissioners. Jesse Souki for the Office of

1 Planning, state of Hawai'i. And with me is Rodney 2 Funakoshi.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Good morning, Jesse.

Congratulations on recently becoming a dad. Why do you look so well-rested? (laughter)

MR. SOUKI: Ask my wife.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: I'm not. I'm not well rested. Anyway, let me briefly update the record in this docket. On June 13, 2013 the Commission began the hearings. June 14 the Commission concluded the evidentiary portion of the proceedings.

On June 19 the Commission received
Petitioner's Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of
Law and Decision and Order after it had met with OP
and County and mailed the agenda for the June 27-28
meeting to the parties and the statewide and Maui
mailing lists.

On June 25th and 26th the Commission received Petitioner's Stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order and OP and County Statements of No Objection to the Stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order.

Let me briefly describe our procedure for today. First I will call for those individuals

desiring to provide public testimony on this matter to identify themselves. All such individuals will be called in turn to our witness box where they will be sworn in. A 3-minute time limit on testimony will be enforced.

After completion of the public testimony, oral argument presentations will begin starting with the Petitioner. Chair will allow each party no more than 15 minutes to present oral argument in support of its Proposed Decision and Order and/or its exceptions to those proposed by other parties. Petitioner may reserve a portion of this time for rebuttal.

At the conclusion of oral argument and after questions from the Commissioners and the answers that follow, the Commission will conduct formal deliberation on this matter. Chair will also note for the parties and the public that from time to time I'll be calling for short breaks. Are there any individuals wishing to provide public testimony at this time? Please come forward.

Good morning, Ma'am. Would you please state your name and address for the record, please?

THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Lucienne de Naie. I reside at 320 Door of Faith Road in Haiku,

25 Maui.

LUCIENNE De NAIE

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

2.3

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Proceed. Three minutes.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. I come today as an individual. We all support the Kihei High School being built. Just like to bring to your attention 2 matters that may or may not have been brought up before.

One is that since this is a high school it's very important that it have connectivity to other areas where the high school students potentially would be coming from. One of those areas you will be hearing in July, that is the reconfigured RD, research a development parcel immediately to the south of the high school.

Right now there's no means to connect students with that except to go on Pi'ilani Highway. This just really doesn't seem smart planning, good planing, safe planning in any way.

So just, you know, as this body makes its final deliberations that fact should be known.

The matter is that the Archaelogical Inventory Survey for this property, once again, was

1 done in a manner where it was not very careful.

2 | Walking the land recently with several members of the

3 | Kihei Community Association and even some public

4 officials, a site was identified that has not been

5 recorded at all that is very, very likely to be a

6 | cultural site. I'll turn in a picture of it just for

the record. It has an alignment of rocks. There were

8 | fragments of coral found there.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is a half mile from the ocean. Coral had to be transported there for cultural purpose. It has an outstanding view. It's a low-rise. It's a typical type of place that would have been modified for cultural use. It's not recorded at all. It's likely that it could be avoided. There's plenty of room to build this high school here.

It's just because it was poor work done on the Archaelogical Inventory Survey that an opportunity here could be lost for future generations to understand who came before on this land.

So I would just ask that the Commission recommend that, you know, in the next phase that there be a supplementary Archaelogical Survey done. Several lineal descendants participated in this site visit. Members of the Naole family that held the royal patent back at the time of the Mahele, they'd be happy to be

consultants. It's just we should do the process a 1 2 little more properly. Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, very much. 4 Parties, any questions? 5 MR. YUEN: No questions. 6 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: County? 7 MR. GIROUX: No questions. 8 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: State? 9 MR. SOUKI: No questions. 10 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Commissioners, any 11 questions? Thank you for your testimony? 12 THE WITNESS: May I leave this (photo) with 13 the staff? 14 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Yes. Anyone else in the 15 public wishing to provide public testimony on this 16 matter, please come forward. Okay. Seeing none, 17 parties, I understand there's been some discussion 18 regarding Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order? Can you give the 19 20 Commission an update in terms of what's been agreed to and what have been stipulated to, Petitioner? 21 22 MR. YUEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. On June 25th 23 we submitted a Stipulated Findings of Fact, 24 Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order containing 25 the findings as well as 25 conditions.

The County yesterday submitted to you the signature of the deputy corporation counsel indicating its approval. On June 25th the Office of Planning submitted a Statement of No Objection which procedurally indicates its approval of the stipulation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you. County.

MR. GIROUX: Yes, that is our position.

And I believe we also filed a Statement of Non-opposition to what was filed on July 25th also, to have some redundancy so it was clear that we had no objections to everything that we had talked about and put into that document.

14 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Okay. State, any 15 comments?

MR. SOUKI: Just a few comments. We did file a Statement of No Opposition. But if there's any one overarching issue before the Commission in these proceedings for the district boundary amendment to allow the Kihei High School was the welfare of Hawai'i's current and future keiki.

And I think that through discussions among the parties and relying on input by the DOE and its technical expertise, we're able to address the issue of student safety and the mission of DOE to provide

education facilities for them.

1.1

1.3

1.7

In summary, a couple of facts that helped us arrive at this decision and amicable agreement was Finding of Fact 66 which recognizes that Pi'ilani Highway is a 4-lane, 2-way limited access roadway that separates the residential and proposed residential on the makai side from the proposed high school.

Finding of fact 70 recommends that

Petitioner submit a revised TIAR for DOT acceptance
including revised traffic signal warrant studies and
pedestrian route study.

Finding of fact 70 which recognizes that Wilson Okamoto, the consultant for the Petitioner, used the 1 percent growth rate, but the DOT would require a 2 percent growth rate.

This is important because the finding of fact 114 it recognizes that in 2000 the population in the Kihei Community Plan area was 22,870. And the forecast in 2030 is 46,896. It's 105 percent increase in growth, about 3 percent per annum. So 2 percent analysis of the TIRA seems fair.

Also finding of fact 76, what DOT is concerned about the conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians and the overall safety of pedestrians crossing the highway. And most importantly DOE has

agreed to evaluate pedestrian issues using Federal Highway Administration guidance on grade-separated crossings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

In conclusion, the condition that ties this all together is Condition 1. And in particular subsection B which, among other things, has DOE evaluating compliance with the FHWA guidance relating to grade-separated crossings. And also that Petitioner will submit 3 updated pedestrian route studies and analyses for the Project.

So given these facts and conditions and our review and agreement on all the other conditions and findings and conclusions, we are in agreement with the parties and have no opposition to the proposed D&O.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much,

Jesse. Petitioner, are you ready to proceed with

closing argument?

MR. YUEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: 15 minutes.

20 MR. YUEN: Thank you. I will try to keep

21 my remarks brief. Basically in reviewing the

22 | Commission's decision-making criteria contained in

Hawaii Revised Statutes Section 205-17 and the Land

24 Use Commission's Rules 15-15-77, first the

25 reclassification of the Petition Area conforms to

goals and objectives and policies of the Hawai'i State Plan and priority guidelines, particularly with respect to the Educational Functional Plan and the Population, Economy and Employment Functional Plan.

2.3

The reclassification will allow the Department of Education to build a new high school to serve the Kihei-to-Makena region. It will provide the up to 1,650 residents of the Kihei area easier access to quality education, remove the necessity to commute to Central Maui, and enhance employment and higher education opportunities for these students.

The Kihei High School will be designed also to provide appropriate educational opportunities and facilities to special needs students.

With respect to the Population and Employment Functional Plan, construction of Kihei High School will both further the State's goals to develop land resources to meet the level of growth predicted for the Kihei region.

It will facilitate creation of construction-related jobs during the building of the school as well as open long-term educational employment opportunities in the Kihei region.

The reclassification conforms to the Urban District standards that I'll review later. There are

no endangered or threatened species of flora or fauna or any critical habitat on the Petition Area. The Petition Area does not contain important cultural, natural or agricultural resources. And development of the Petition Area will not adversely affect any such resources.

The Petition Area is basically low quality cattle ranchland. It does not qualify for Important Agricultural Land development classification. And development of the Petition Area will not impair either agricultural production or cattle ranching.

The 2013 Legislature appropriated \$113 million for construction of Kihei High School which evidences the State's commitment of significant resources and funding to build this new school.

The reclassification is consistent with the County of Maui General Plan and its various components including the Countywide Policy Plan, the Maui Island Plan and the Kihei-Makena Community Plan.

And the Kihei High School is a designated public facility in the Maui Island Plan's Public Facilities Infrastructure map.

Turning to the Urban District standards, this land is characterized by a city-like concentration of people, structures, streets and urban

level of services immediately adjacent to Pi'ilani Highway on the makai side.

The Petition Area is in proximity to centers of trading and employment. In addition to being adjacent to Pi'ilani Highway it's contiguous to land in the Urban District and in close proximity to commercial and resort areas in Kihei and Wailea.

Basic services such as commercial facilities, parks, wastewater systems, drainage, potable water, transportation systems, public utilities and police and fire protection are available to the Petition Area.

Reclassification of the Petition Area will lead to creation of a significant reserved area for foreseeable urban growth. The Kihei High School is planned for development in phases. The initial phase will accommodate approximately 800 students with plans to develop additional classrooms as well as support facilities as the population continues to grow and subsequent state funds are available.

The Petition Area has satisfactory topography, drainage and site conditions. It's reasonably fee from the danger of flood, tsunami, unstable soil or other adverse environmental effects.

With respect to the State and County Plans,

1 | I've already discussed conformance with the State

2 | Plan. In terms of the County of Maui Plans it

3 conforms to both the County-wide Policy Plan. The

4 | recently adopted Maui Island Plan designates the

5 | Petition Area within the Kihei-Makena Urban Growth

6 | Boundary. And it's also designated on the Maui Island

Plan's public facility infrastructure map as the site

8 | for a public school.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Approximately 2/3 of the Petition Area is designated for public facilities in the Kihei-Makena Community Plan. The remaining acreage is the subject of a proposed amendment to the Kihei-Makena Plan that the County Planning Commission will be entertaining in July, and will subsequently be considered by the county council later this summer or in early fall.

As Jesse Souki has described to the Commission, the Department of Education, the County and the Office of Planning have reached agreement on 25 conditions of reclassification.

The Department of Education has agreed to revise the Traffic Impact Analysis Report including the Traffic Signal Warrant Study and to prepare a Pedestrian Route Study for both Phase I of the Project as well as for the entire Project incorporating Phases 1 and 2.

1 The Department of Education has agreed to 2 update the Traffic Impact Analysis Report as indicated 3 by Mr. Souki, and more important, to make the traffic improvements recommended by the studies. If necessary 4 5 the Department of Education will go back to the 6 Legislature to seek additional funds for these improvements. I'll reserve the rest of my time for 8 rebuttal. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, Petitioner. 10 County? 11 Thank you, Chair. But just MR. GIROUX: for the record, I did my closing at the last meeting. 12 13 I knew Mr. Yuen would steal my thunder so I took an 14 early shot. We just want to reiterate that Maui 15 County is in full support of the District Boundary 16 Amendment. And we appreciate the time and effort that 17 everybody has put into it, the amount of cooperation. 18 And we just want to see this move forward. 19 We will be seeing this at the Planning 20 Commission very soon. And it will be forwarded to the 21 county council for the ordinance for the change in 22 zoning. So we appreciate everybody's help on this. 23 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, Mr. Giroux. 24 State, any closing statement? MR. SOUKI: I think I'll let what I stated 25

earlier stand as my closing. But I think it's important to note, given the public testimony, that the hearings are closed so the Commission is not taking additional facts.

And regarding archaeological studies it does say on the finding of fact 54: The consultant conducted an archaeological survey of the Petition Area, a hundred percent pedestrian survey. And a subsurface testing of 77 acres that SHPD concurred with its findings.

And then Conditions 3 and 4 regarding Archaelogical Inventory Survey mitigation plans and monitoring, and Condition 4 regarding unidentified finds adequately and sufficiently address the issues of archaeological resources.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Souki. Petitioner, do you still want the time?
MR. YUEN: I don't need to say anything
further, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much, parties. The Commission will now conduct formal deliberations concerning whether to grant the Petition whether in whole or in part or to deny.

If the Commission decides to grant the Petition, it needs to determine what conditions of

approval to impose. I'd like to note for the parties 1 2 and the public that during the Commission's 3 deliberations we'll not entertain additional input 4 from the parties or the public unless those 5 individuals or entities are specifically requested to do so by the Chair. If called upon I would like to 6 ask that comments be limited to the question at hand. 8 The Commission held hearings on the merits 9 of this Petition on June 13-14 and oral argument 10 concluded today. Commissioners, let me confirm that 11 each of you have reviewed the record, read the 12 transcripts for any meeting that you may have missed 13 and are prepared to deliberate on this docket. 14 After I call your name please signify with 15 either a yes or no that you are prepared to deliberate 16 on this matter. Commissioner Biga? 17 COMMISSIONER BIGA: Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Contrades? 19 COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Heller? 21 COMMISSIONER HELLER: Yes. Mr. Chair, I 22 have a disclosure that I've put on the record in other 23 dockets but not yet in this docket. So I'd just like 24 to note for the record that I represent taxpayers in 25 real property tax cases including some cases on the

Island of Maui which means that my clients would be 1 2 adverse to Maui County in those cases. I don't think 3 that will affect my ability to be impartial in this 4 case but I wanted to note that for the record. 5 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you for the 6 disclosure, Commissioner Heller. Parties, any concerns or objections? 8 MR. YUEN: No objection. 9 MR. GIROUX: County has no objection. 10 MR. SOUKI: No objections from the State. 11 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Okav. Thank you, 12 Commissioner Heller. Commissioner Inouye? 13 COMMISSIONER INOUYE: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Commissioner Matsumura? 15 COMMISSIONER MATSUMURA: Yes. CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Commissioner McDonald? 16 17 COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Yes. CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Commissioner Teves is 18 19 excused. Chair is also prepared to deliberate on this 20 matter. The goal today is to determine by way of 21 motion the Commission's decision on whether to grant 22 in whole or in part Petitioner's request to reclassify 23 the subject property or to deny the Petition. 24 If a decision is reached today, and based 25 on the Commission's guidance, the staff will be

directed to draft appropriate Findings of Fact,

Conclusions of Law and Decision and Order reflecting
the Commission's decision. Commissioners, what is
your pleasure on this matter? Commissioner Biga.

COMMISSIONER BIGA: Mr. Chair, I move to grant All-794 State of Hawai'i, DOE-Kihei High School Maui the Petition with the conditions and in the general format of the agreed-to Decision and Order submitted by Petitioner with the added condition that an above- or below-ground pedestrian crossing be constructed prior to opening of Phase I.

And that Petitioner's proposed Decision and Order be further modified by staff to be consistent with the motion and with the procedural findings reflecting all filings in this docket.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Maybe before we get into the conditions, just to keep matters clean I'd like to just maybe suggest a friendly amendment that I believe you're making a motion to approve, Commissioner Biga?

COMMISSIONER BIGA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Okay. Chair will second that motion. Then maybe now for the discussion if you want to restate that condition.

COMMISSIONER BIGA: Restating the condition. In the general format of the agreed-to

- 1 Decision and Order submitted by the Petitioner with
- 2 | the added condition an above- or below-ground
- 3 | pedestrian crossing be constructed prior to opening of
- 4 | the Phase I and that the Petitioner's proposed
- 5 Decision and Order, further modified by staff, to be
- 6 | consistent with this motion and with these procedural
- 7 findings reflecting all filings in this docket.
- 8 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you. Discussion?
- 9 | Commissioner Heller.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER HELLER: I generally agree
- 11 | with Commissioner Biga in that I'm very concerned
- 12 about the issue of pedestrian access. And I
- 13 | personally think a pedestrian overpass probably is a
- 14 good answer. However, I'm not a traffic engineer or
- 15 | an expert on pedestrian safety.
- 16 As I understand the parties' proposal they
- 17 | are proposing to work out the final decision on an
- 18 overpass or underpass between the Department of
- 19 | Education and the Department of Transportation. And
- 20 | the parties will ultimately reach some agreement as to
- 21 | what is necessary.
- Given that I'm not a traffic engineer and
- 23 given that the TIAR has not been finished at this
- 24 point, I don't think it's appropriate for us to make
- 25 | that decision today. I think it's more appropriate to

say that the experts will work it out and that the experts have to ultimately agree on what the proper answer is.

So therefore would, while I share Commissioner Biga's concern, I would oppose the amendment regarding this specific requirement.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, Commissioner
Heller. Any other discussion, Commissioners,
comments, concerns, questions for any of the parties?
Commissioner Inouye.

COMMISSIONER INOUYE: Thank you, Chair. I think the No. 1 issue — not issue, but the No. 1 concern that we as Commissioners have is public safety and safety of the children as well as the residents of Maui and whoever does frequent the place.

So I want to echo what Commissioner Heller says. I am concerned as Commissioners imposing something that the parties have not yet come to fruition because there's a TIAR yet to be done and some engineering to ensure that the right thing is being done.

However, I'm prepared -- I have that discomfort but I'm prepared to approve the amendment with reservations, if that's allowed. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you, Commissioner

1 Inouye. (pause in proceedings) Commissioners, any 2 other comments? (pause) Commissioners, any other 3 questions on the proposed condition to require the overpass/underpass? Just so we're clear I believe 4 5 Commissioner Biga's Motion's to Approve this condition 6 based on the overpass/underpass? 7 Is that the correct understanding that 8 there was some concerns raised by Commissioners Heller 9 and Inouye? 10 COMMISSIONER BIGA: And thank you, Chair. 11 I just want to reiterate on my motion. Living on 12 Maui, traveling on that highway on numerous occasions, 13 I've seen the speed that goes through that highway. 14 Again, my concern is for the safety of the pedestrians 15 and the children that will be going to that school. 16 Throughout this hearing I brought up my 17 concerns of that nature. That's why I believe this 18 motion, I brought this motion up. So that's my 19 concern, the safety of the public, the safety of the 20 children that're going to that school. 21 CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Any other comments, 22 Commissioners? If not I'm going to have the executive 23 officer poll the Commission. Dan. 24 COMMISSIONER HELLER: Mr. Chair, just for

clarification, we're voting now on -- yes or no on

25

1	granting the Petition with the condition of an
2	overpass or an underpass, is that right?
3	CHAIRMAN CHOCK: That's my understanding,
4	correct.
5	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
6	motion is to grant the Petition with the added
7	condition of requiring the construction of a
8	pedestrian overpass or underpass prior to the opening
9	of Phase I. Commissioner Biga?
10	COMMISSIONER BIGA: Yes.
11	MR. ORODENKER: Chair Chock?
12	CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Yes.
13	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Matsumura?
14	COMMISSIONER MATSUMURA: Yes.
15	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner McDonald?
16	COMMISSIONER McDONALD: Yes.
17	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Contrades?
18	COMMISSIONER CONTRADES: Yes.
19	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Heller?
20	COMMISSIONER HELLER: No.
21	MR. ORODENKER: Commissioner Inouye?
22	COMMISSIONER INOUYE: Yes, with
23	reservations.
24	MR. ORODENKER: Thank you, Commissioners.
25	The motion passes 6 votes in support and 1 vote in

```
opposition.
1
2
               CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much.
3
    Parties, any questions? Petitioner?
               MR. YUEN: No questions.
 4
5
               MR. GIROUX: No questions from the County.
6
               CHAIRMAN CHOCK:
                                State?
 7
               MR. SOUKI: No questions from the State.
8
               CHAIRMAN CHOCK: Thank you very much. Why
   don't we take a brief recess in place to set up for
9
   the next item on our agenda. (Pau 10:11.)
10
                             --00--
11
12
   XX
13
   XX
14
   XX
15
   XX
16
   XX
17
   XX
18
   XX
19
   XX
20
   XX
21
   XX
22
   XX
23
   XX
24
    XX
25
    XX
```

CERTIFICATE I, HOLLY HACKETT, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Hawai'i, do hereby certify; That I was acting as court reporter in the foregoing LUC matters on the 27th day of June 2013; That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me and were thereafter reduced to print by me; That the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matters. This_____ day of______2013 DATED: HOLLY M. HACKETT, HI CSR #130, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter