DAVID Y. IGE Governor

JOSH B. GREEN Lieutenant Governor

MIKE McCARTNEY Director



DANIEL E. ORODENKER Executive Officer

BERT K. SARUWATARI Planner

SCOTT A.K. DERRICKSON, AICP Planner

> FRED A. TALON Drafting Technician

RILEY K. HAKODA Chief Clerk/Planner

LAND USE COMMISSION Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism State of Hawai'i

June 30, 2020

Mr. Keith Kawaoka, Acting Director Office of Environmental Quality Control 235 South Beretania Street, Room 702 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813-2437

Dear Mr. Kawaoka:

Subject: Docket No. A18-806/Barry Family Trust Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) Proposed Barry Family Project Kea'au, County of Hawai'i, State of Hawai'i Tax Map Key: (3) 1-5-059: 059

On January 23, 2019, the Land Use Commission of the State of Hawai'i ("LUC") made a determination at its hearing that the subject project ("Proposed Action") triggered the requirement for HRS Chapter 343 review and that the LUC would be the approving agency.

On August 29, 2019, the LUC made a determination that the Applicant's (Barry Family Trust) Draft Environmental Assessment ("Draft EA") warranted an anticipated finding of no significant impact.

On June 25, 2020, based on the LUC's analysis of the significance criteria set forth in HAR §11 200.1-13 and the public comments received on the Draft EA, the LUC voted 7-0 to:

- (a) find that the Proposed Action will not likely have significant impacts on the environment; and
- (b) determine that a finding of no significant impact ("FONSI") is warranted for the Proposed Action.

With this letter, the LUC hereby transmits: (a) its Determination or Notice of a FONSI; and (b) the Final Environmental Assessment ("Final EA") for the State Land Use District Boundary Amendment situated at Tax Map Key No. (3) 1-5-059: 059, in the Kea'au district on the Island of Hawai'i, together with necessary materials for publication in the next available edition of the Environmental Notice.

235 South Beretania Street & Suite 406 & Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813 & Tel (808) 587-3822 & Fax (808) 587-3827 & Email: dbedt.luc.web@hawali.gov Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804

EXHIBIT 7



Enclosed please find the following:

- 1. A completed OEQC Publication Form;
- 2. A searchable Adobe Acrobat PDF file of the same; and,
- 3. An electronic copy of the publication form in MS Word.

Below is the information required for a Determination or Notice of FONSI under HAR § 11-200-1.22(e):

Applicants

The applicants for the Proposed Action are Kevin M. Barry and Monica S. Barry, Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006 ("Applicants").

Approving Agency

The approving agency for the Proposed Action is the LUC.

Brief Description of the Proposed Action

Applicants have petitioned the LUC to reclassify approximately 0.51 acres of land located within the Hawaiian Paradise Park subdivision on the shoreline in Kea'au, Puna, County and State of Hawai'i, from the SLU Conservation District to the SLU Agricultural District. The Applicants are pursing the DBA to allow for the construction of a modest dwelling and associated agricultural uses that the Applicants will use as their primary personal residence. The Project is proposed to also include a two-car garage, a lanai on the makai side of the home facing the Pacific Ocean, a courtyard on the mauka side of the home fronting Paradise Ala Kai Drive, a small swimming pool, infrastructure (*i.e.*, private water well, including an underground water storage tank, or private catchment system, underground individual wastewater system, and photovoltaic solar system), and appropriate landscaping.

Determination

The LUC has determined that the Proposed Action will not likely have significant impacts on the environment and that a FONSI is warranted.

Reasons Supporting Determination

The LUC's analysis and determination of a FONSI is based upon the significance criteria set forth in HAR §11-200.1-13. In summary, the LUC determined that, given the size, nature, and scope of the Proposed Action, as well as the surrounding environment and neighboring land uses, the Proposed Action:

- (a) will not impact any threatened or endangered plant or animal species;
- (b) will not impact any archaeological or cultural resources, or the exercise of traditional and cultural practices;
- (c) will not inhibit public access or impact public views;

- Page 2
- (d) will not impact or otherwise degrade the natural environment or any environmental resources, including air and water quality;
- (e) will not impact public health, services or facilities, or the socioeconomic welfare of the people of the State and County of Hawai'i; and
- (f) will not result in secondary or cumulative impacts.

Representative of Approving Agency

The representative for the LUC, as the approving agency for the Proposed Action, is Scott A.K. Derrickson, AICP. Mr. Derrickson is a Staff Planner for the LUC. Mr. Derrickson's contact information is as follows: <u>scott.a.derrickson@hawaii.gov</u>; 808-587-3921; P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawai'i, 96804-2359.

Statt A.K. Derrickson Sincerely. FOR

DANIEL E. ORODENKER Executive Officer

Enclosures

cc: Derek Simon, Esq., Carlsmith Mary Alice Evans, OP Michael Yee, Hawai'i County Planning

APPLICANT PUBLICATION FORM

Project Name:	Barry Family Project
Project Short Name:	Barry Family Project
HRS §343-5 Trigger(s):	HRS § 343-5(a)(7): Propose any reclassification of any land classified as a conservation district by the
	state land use commission under chapter 205.
Island(s):	Hawaiʻi
Judicial District(s):	Puna
TMK(s):	(3) 1-5-059:059
Permit(s)/Approval(s):	State Land Use District Boundary Amendment
Approving Agency:	State of Hawai'i Land Use Commission
Contact Name, Email,	Scott Derrickson
Telephone, Address	scott.a.derrickson@hawaii.gov
	(808) 587-3921
	P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawai'i, 96804-2359
Applicant:	Kevin M. Barry and Monica S. Barry, Trustees of the Barry Family Trust Dated November 15, 2006
Contact Name, Email,	Kevin M. Barry and Monica S. Barry, Trustees
Telephone, Address	C/O Derek B. Simon, Esq.
	(808) 523-2389 1001 Pichan Street Suite 2100 Hanalulu Hawai'i 96812
Concultant	Carlemith Pall LLD
Consultant.	1001 Richon Street Suite 2100
	Hopolulu Hawai'i 96813
	Geometrician Associates LLC
	P.O. Box 396
	Hilo, Hawaiʻi 96721
Contact Name, Email,	Derek B. Simon, Esq.
Telephone, Address	dsimon@carlsmith.com
	(808) 523-2589
	1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2100, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813
	Ron Terry, Ph.D
	rterry@hawaii.rr.com
	(808) 969-7090
	P.O. Box 396, Hilo, Hawaiʻi 96721
Status (select one)	Submittal Requirements
DEA-AFNSI	Submit 1) the approving agency notice of determination/transmittal letter on agency letterhead, 2)
	this completed OEQC publication form as a Word file, 3) a hard copy of the DEA, and 4) a searchable
	PDF of the DEA; a 30-day comment period follows from the date of publication in the Notice.
X FEA-FONSI	Submit 1) the approving agency notice of determination/transmittal letter on agency letterhead, 2)
	this completed OEQC publication form as a Word file, 3) a hard copy of the FEA, and 4) a searchable
	PDF of the FEA; no comment period follows from publication in the Notice.
FFA-FISPN	Submit 1) the approving agency notice of determination/transmittal letter on agency letterhead 2)
	this completed OFOC publication form as a Word file 3) a hard conv of the FFA and 4) a searchable
	PDF of the FEA: a 30-day comment period follows from the date of publication in the Notice.
Act 172-12 EISPN	Submit 1) the approving agency notice of determination letter on agency letterhead and 2) this
("Direct to EIS")	completed OEQC publication form as a Word file; no EA is required and a 30-day comment period

Office of Environmental Quality Control

follows from the date of publication in the Notice.

- DEIS Submit 1) a transmittal letter to the OEQC and to the approving agency, 2) this completed OEQC publication form as a Word file, 3) a hard copy of the DEIS, 4) a searchable PDF of the DEIS, and 5) a searchable PDF of the distribution list; a 45-day comment period follows from the date of publication in the Notice. FEIS Submit 1) a transmittal letter to the OEQC and to the approving agency, 2) this completed OEQC publication form as a Word file, 3) a hard copy of the FEIS, 4) a searchable PDF of the FEIS, and 5) a searchable PDF of the distribution list; no comment period follows from publication in the Notice. **FEIS Acceptance** The approving agency simultaneously transmits to both the OEQC and the applicant a letter of its Determination determination of acceptance or nonacceptance (pursuant to Section 11-200-23, HAR) of the FEIS; no comment period ensues upon publication in the Notice. The approving agency simultaneously transmits to both the OEQC and the applicant a notice that it **FEIS Statutory** Acceptance did not make a timely determination on the acceptance or nonacceptance of the applicant's FEIS under Section 343-5(c), HRS, and therefore the applicant's FEIS is deemed accepted as a matter of law. Supplemental EIS The approving agency simultaneously transmits its notice to both the applicant and the OEQC that it Determination has reviewed (pursuant to Section 11-200-27, HAR) the previously accepted FEIS and determines that a supplemental EIS is or is not required; no EA is required and no comment period ensues upon publication in the Notice. Withdrawal Identify the specific document(s) to withdraw and explain in the project summary section.
- Other Contact the OEQC if your action is not one of the above items.

Project Summary

Provide a description of the proposed action and purpose and need in 200 words or less.

The Applicants have petitioned the Land Use Commission of the State of Hawai'i for a State Land Use (SLU) District Boundary Amendment (DBA) to reclassify approximately 0.51 acres of land located within the Hawaiian Paradise Park subdivision on the shoreline in Kea'au, Puna, County and State of Hawai'i, from the SLU Conservation District to the SLU Agricultural District. The Applicants are pursing the DBA to allow for the construction of a modest dwelling and associated agricultural uses that the Applicants will use as their primary personal residence (Project). The Project is proposed to also include a two-car garage, a lanai on the makai side of the home facing the Pacific Ocean, a courtyard on the mauka side of the home fronting Paradise Ala Kai Drive, a small swimming pool, infrastructure (i.e., private water well, including an underground water storage tank, or private catchment system, underground individual wastewater system, and photovoltaic solar system), and appropriate landscaping.

Draft <u>Final</u> Environmental Assessment

Barry Family Project

October 2019June 2020

Tax Map Key No.: (3) 1-5-059:059 Kea'au, Puna, County of Hawai'i, State of Hawai'i

APPLICANT:

Kevin M. Barry and Monica S. Barry, Trustees of the Barry Family Trust Dated November 15, 2006 P.O. Box 247 Kea'au, Hawai'i 96749

APPROVING AGENCY:

State of Hawai'i Land Use Commission Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism P.O. Box 2359 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96804-2359

Preliminary - Draft<u>Final</u> Environmental Assessment

Barry Family Project

	Tax Map Key No.: (3) 1-5-059:059 Kea'au, Puna, County of Hawai'i, State of Hawai'i
APPLICANT:	Kevin M. Barry and Monica S. Barry, Trustees of the Barry Family Trust Dated November 15, 2006 P.O. Box 247 Kea'au, Hawai'i 96749
APPROVING AGENCY:	
	State of Hawai'i Land Use Commission Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism P.O. Box 2359 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96804-2359
CONSULTANTS:	Carlsmith Ball LLP 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2100 Honolulu, Hawaiʻi 96813
	And
	Geometrician Associates LLC P.O. Box 396 Hilo, Hawaiʻi 96721
CLASS OF ACTION	I: Reclassification of State Land Use Conservation District Lands
	This document is prepared pursuant to:

This document is prepared pursuant to: The Hawai'i Environmental Protection Act, Chapter 343, Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS), and Title 11, Chapter 200.1, Hawai'i Department of Health Administrative Rules (HAR)

[This page <u>intentionally</u> left blank]

4824-5586-0149.4

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
SUMMARY		iii
PART 1:	PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND EA PROCESS	1
1.1	Project Description and Location	1
1.2	Environmental Assessment Process	7
1.3	Public Involvement and Agency Coordination	7
PART 2:	ALTERNATIVES	9
2.1	Proposed Project, Alternative Sites and Alternative Uses	9
2.2	No Action	9
2.3	CDUP Alternative	9
PART 3:	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS AND MITIGATION	10
3.1	Physical Environment	10
	3.1.1 Climate, Geology, Soils and Geologic Hazards	10
	3.1.2 Flood Zones and Shoreline Setting	11
	3.1.3 Water Quality	20
	3.1.4 Flora and Fauna	21
	3.1.5 Air Quality, Noise and Scenic Resources	24
	3.1.6 Hazardous Substances, Toxic Waste and Hazardous Conditions	25
3.2	Socioeconomic and Cultural	25
	3.2.1 Land Use, Socioeconomic Characteristics and Recreation	25
	3.2.2 Archaeological and Historic Resources	26
	3.2.3 Cultural Resources	27
3.3	Public Roads, Services and Utilities	33
	3.3.1 Roads and Access	33
	3.3.2 Public Utilities and Services	33
3.4	Secondary and Cumulative Impacts	34
3.5	Required Permits and Approvals	34
3.6	Consistency with Government Plans and Policies	35
	3.6.1 Hawai'i State Plan	35
	3.6.2 Coastal Zone Management Area	38
	3.6.3 Hawai'i County General Plan	49
	3.6.4 Hawai'i County Zoning	53
PART 4:	DETERMINATION, FINDINGS AND REASONS	54
4.1	Determination	54
4.2	Findings and Supporting Reasons	54
REFERENCES		58
ΙΙςτ Ου ταρι	ES	
TABLE 1	لين Coastal Natural Hazards Affacting Property	10
IADLE I	Coastal Natural Hazards Affecting Property	19
LIST OF FIGU	RES	
FIGURE 1	Project Location Map	3
FIGURE 2	Project Site Photos	4
FIGURE 3	Flood Zone Map	13
FIGURE 4	Sea Level Rise Viewer Image for Property	15
FIGURE 5	Photos of Sea Cliff in Front of Property	17
FIGURE 6	Coastal Erosion Study Diagrams	18

TADLE OF CONTENTS

Page i

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1a	Early Consultation Letters and Applicant Responses
APPENDIX 2	Coastal Erosion and Volcanic Hazard Report
APPENDIX 3	Biology Report
APPENDIX 4	Archaeological Inventory Survey
APPENDIX 5	Cultural Impact Assessment

Page ii

SUMMARY OF PROJECT, ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, have petitioned the Land Use Commission of the State of Hawai'i (LUC) for a State Land Use (SLU) District Boundary Amendment (DBA) to reclassify approximately 0.51 acres of land located within the Hawaiian Paradise Park subdivision on the shoreline in Kea'au, Puna, County and State of Hawai'i (Property or Barry Property), from SLU Conservation District to the SLU Agricultural District. The reclassification of land from the SLU Conservation District is a trigger requiring environmental review under Chapter 343 of the Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS).

The Barrys are pursing the DBA to allow for the construction of a modest three (3) bedroom, two (2) bath, approximately 1,800 sq. ft. single-story dwelling and associated agricultural uses that the Barrys will use as their primary personal residence (Project). The Project is proposed to also include a two-car garage, a lanai on the makai side of the dwelling facing the Pacific Ocean, a courtyard on the mauka side of the home fronting Paradise Ala Kai Drive, a small swimming pool, infrastructure (*i.e.*, private water well, including an underground water storage tank, or private catchment system, underground individual wastewater system (IWS), photovoltaic solar system), and appropriate landscaping. The Project would be similar to and consistent with the existing uses of the neighboring SLU Agricultural District lands within the Hawaiian Paradise Park subdivision.

The Project is not anticipated to have significant adverse impacts on biological resources. With respect to flora, the Barry Property is dominated by alien plants, with the only native ecosystem being the shoreline vegetation, where common native plants are present. The Barrys are proposing to site all Project improvements mauka and outside of these resources, and therefore no adverse impacts to vegetation or habitat is expected. With respect to fauna, no threatened or endangered species were observed to be present on the Barry Property when surveyed, although it is acknowledged that some endangered but regionally widespread terrestrial vertebrates could occasionally overfly the Barry Property. In order to mitigate any potential impact to these species, the Barrys will refrain from activities that disturb or remove woody vegetation taller than fifteen (15) feet in height between June 1st and September 15th, and all exterior lighting should be shielded from shining upwards in conformance with the applicable provisions of the Hawai'i County Code.

The Barry Property was also surveyed for archaeological and cultural resources. An archaeological field inspection was conducted and revealed no archaeological features present on the Barry Property. These findings are consistent with the findings of surveys previously conducted for twenty-two other properties within Hawaiian Paradise Park, all of which reported negative findings with respect to the presence of archaeological sites and features. In the unlikely event that unanticipated archaeological resources are unearthed within the Barry Property, work

Page iii

in the immediate vicinity of those resources would be halted and the appropriate authorities notified.

A cultural impact assessment focusing on identification and impact analysis of valued cultural, historical, and natural resources was also conducted. That assessment concluded that there are no such resources present on the Barry Property, although the coastline makai of the Barry Property has been and continues to be used by local fishermen and gatherers to procure a variety of marine resources. The Barrys are aware of these activities, and the siting of the Project's improvements will ensure that these activities will not be adversely affected.

The Barrys also commissioned a coastal erosion study, which included an assessment of other geologic and coastal hazards potentially affecting the Barry Property. In general, geologic conditions do not impose undue constraints on the Project, as much of the Puna District and nearly all of Hilo face similar volcanic and seismic hazards. The potential for damage to the Project from coastal erosion and other coastal hazards can be minimized or avoided altogether through the appropriate siting of the Project's improvements.

The Project will not affect any designated scenic vistas or viewplanes. Intermittent scenic views of the shoreline and Pacific Ocean along Paradise Ala Kai Drive are present between the dozens of existing dwellings. Currently, heavy vegetation blocks all views through the Barry Property, and development of the Project would likely open up at least some coastal views. Air quality in Hawaiian Paradise Park is generally excellent, except when Kona winds bring vog (volcanic fog) to the area. Noise at the Barry Property is moderate, partly derived from natural sources such as surf, birds and wind, with some contributions from neighboring dwellings and traffic on Paradise Ala Kai Drive. Brief and minor adverse effects would occur during construction of the Project; however, given its small scale and consistency with neighboring land uses, the Project is not anticipated to affect air quality or noise levels in any substantial ways, and no mitigation measures are necessary.

Based upon onsite inspection and the lack of any known former or current uses of the Barry Property, it appears that the site contains no hazardous or toxic substances and exhibits no other hazardous conditions. All site work performed in connection with the Project will be conducted in conformance with applicable Federal, State and County regulations. The general shoreline area in Hawaiian Paradise Park already supports hundreds of dwellings and is utilized by residents and property owners to park vehicles and fish, and there are no reported water quality problems associated with these uses. Upon completion, the Project would similar to the existing dwellings and associated uses in the area, and is not expected to contribute to sedimentation, erosion or pollution of coastal waters.

The Project is also not expected to adversely affect public roads, services or utilities. Road access to the Barry Property is provided via Paradise Ala Kai Drive and a driveway connecting

Page iv

the Barry Property is proposed as part of the Project. Electrical power and landline telephone service to lots in the area is provided by Hawai'i Electric Light poles; however, the Barrys are proposing to install a photovoltaic solar system that will allow the Project to be powered completely, or at least partially, "off-grid." Potable and wastewater will be handled on site in conformance with all applicable State and County regulations. Police, fire and emergency medical services are available approximately ten (10) miles away on Highway 130 in Pahoa. The addition of one single-story dwelling and associated agricultural uses will have no measurable adverse impact to or create an additional demand on public facilities such as schools, police or fire services, or recreational areas.

Finally, due to its small scale, the construction and occupation of the Project in this ruralagricultural neighborhood would not produce any major secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities and infrastructure. At any given time, it is normal to have a number of lots under some form of development in Hawaiian Paradise Park. Other than precautions for preventing adverse impacts during construction, no special mitigation measures should be required to counteract small cumulative effects.

Page v

PART 1: PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROCESS

1.1 Location and Project Description

The Barry Property is a single tax map parcel located within the Hawaiian Paradise Park subdivision on the shoreline in Kea'au, Puna, County and State of Hawai'i, and consists approximately 0.51 acres of land. The Barry Property is located adjacent to an existing dwelling, is currently undeveloped and vacant, and is identified by Tax Map Key No. (3) 1-5-059:059. The Barrys acquired the property in 2007.

The Barry Property is presently within the State Land Use (SLU) Conservation District, Resource Subzone. The Barrys have petitioned the LUC for a DBA to reclassify the Barry Property from the SLU Conservation District to the SLU Agricultural District. The Barry Property was initially within the SLU Agricultural District when the SLU districts were originally drawn, but was later reclassified into the SLU Conservation District as a part of the LUC's 1969 five-year boundary review. In 1977, virtually all of the coastal lands surrounding the Barry Property were reclassified from the SLU Conservation District back to the SLU Agricultural District pursuant to the LUC's Decision and Order in Docket No. A76-419 (1977 D&O). The Barry Property was originally included in Docket No. A76-419, but was later removed because the LUC was unable to obtain the participation of the then-owner of the Barry Property. A significant number of the parcels reclassified under the 1977 D&O have since been developed with dwellings.

The Barrys are pursuing the DBA from the LUC to allow for the construction of a modest three (3) bedroom, two (2) bath, approximately 1,800 sq. ft. single-story dwelling and related agricultural uses that the Barrys will use as their primary personal residence. The Project would be similar to and consistent with the existing uses of the neighboring SLU Agricultural District lands.

The Project is proposed to include a two-car garage, a lanai on the makai side of the dwelling facing the Pacific Ocean, a courtyard on the mauka side of the dwelling fronting Paradise Ala Kai Drive, a small swimming pool, infrastructure (*i.e.*, private water well, including an underground water storage tank, or private catchment system, underground individual wastewater system (IWS), $\frac{1}{2}$ photovoltaic solar system), and landscaping, including a driveway. Access to and from the nearest government road and the Barry Property is provided via the adjacent Paradise Ala Kai Drive.

The dwelling will be sited towards the ocean, but well behind the shoreline area of the Barry Property, and any development on the Barry Property will be set back outside the lava shelf and shoreline shrub zones, thus avoiding these resources. The style of the dwelling will be contemporary Hawaiian consistent with the overall style of dwellings in Hawaiian Paradise Park. The landscaping plan for the Project will also be consistent with the existing Hawaiian Paradise Park neighborhood, and will leave some exposed lava (if permitted by the Property's topography) and include appropriate salt-tolerant ground cover and tropical plants. Although some non-native species may be removed, appropriate native species may be

¹Backwash associated with the swimming pool will also be appropriately treated and disposed of by the IWS.

planted and a narrow trail to the shoreline may be established, taking care to minimize any potential harm to native species.

The intent is that the Project will receive its potable water from a well drilled on site with treatment through a reverse-osmosis or similar purification system, although the Project will alternatively utilize a catchment system if necessary. The wastewater generated by the Project will be processed through a modern IWS (septic <u>or aerobic treatment unit, if required</u>) system designed by a licensed engineer and approved by the State of Hawai'i Department of Health (DOH). Electrical service is available in the area from Hawai'i Electric Light Company, Inc. (HELCO); however, the Barrys intend to install a photovoltaic solar system that will allow the Project to be powered completely, or at least partially, "off-grid."

At this preliminary stage of the planning and entitlements process, and in light of the Barry Property's poor soils, small size and close proximity to the Pacific Ocean, the Barrys propose to implement appropriate agricultural uses as part of the Project. The Barrys' proposed agricultural use will comply with the requirements of HRS Chapter 205 and the Hawai'i County Code related to permissible uses in the SLU Agricultural District, and will not have substantial adverse environmental impacts. The Barrys are in the process of determining the most appropriate agricultural use for the Property. Mrs. Barry has been an active participant in University of Hawai'i at Hilo's "East Hawai'i Master Gardeners" program since January 2018. The agricultural uses being considered include a greenhouse nursery, aquaponics, native plant propagation, and apiculture (beekeeping). The Barrys will generate income from the agricultural use through sales at either local farmers' markets, through a roadside stand, or a combination of both.

Figure 1 Project Location Map



Barry Family Project Environmental Assessment



Figure 2 Site Photos

2a. Above: Aerial Image Base Map © Digital Globe, HERE (from BING Maps)

Barry Family Project Environmental Assessment





2b. Lava shelf (with shoreline shrub zone on right) ▲
▼ 2c. Shoreline shrub zone





Figure 2. Property Vegetation Photos

2d. Property interior ▲ ▼ 2d. Road fringe



1.2 Environmental Assessment Process

This Environmental Assessment (EA) process is being conducted in accordance with Chapter 343 of the Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS). This law, along with its implementing regulations found at Title 11, Chapter 200.1, of the Hawai'i Administrative Rules (HAR), is the basis for the environmental impact assessment process in the State of Hawai'i. This EA is required because the Barrys are proposing to reclassify the Barry Property from the SLU Conservation District to the SLU Agricultural District, which is a trigger for environmental review under Chapter 343 and its implementing regulations.

According to Chapter 343, an EA is prepared to determine impacts associated with an action, to develop mitigation measures for adverse impacts, and to determine whether any of the impacts are significant according to thirteen specific criteria. Part 4 of this document supports the anticipated finding that no significant impacts are expected to occur, based on the preliminary findings for each criterion made by the consultants in consultation with the LUC, the Approving Agency. If, after considering comments to the Draft EA, the LUC concludes that, as anticipated, no significant impacts would be expected to occur, then the agency will issue a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), and the action will be permitted to proceed to other necessary permits. If, on the other hand, the LUC concludes that significant impacts are expected to occur as a result of the proposed action, then an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared.

1.3 Public Involvement and Agency Coordination

The following agencies, organizations and individuals have been consulted during the Environmental Assessment Process:

County of Hawai'i:

Planning Department	Windward Planning Commission	Department of Public Works
Civil Defense Agency	Police Department	Fire Department
Department of Parks and Recreation	Department of Corporation Counsel	County Council
State of Hawai'i:		
Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR), Office of Conservation and Coastal Lands	DLNR, Land Division	DLNR, State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD)
Office of Hawaiian Affairs	Department of Hawaiian	Department of Health (DOH),

	Homelands	Clean Water Branch
DOH, Wastewater Branch	DOH, Safe Drinking Water Branch	DOH, Office of Environmental Quality Control
Office of Planning	Department of Agriculture	Department of Education
Office of the Attorney General	Hawai'i State Senate	Hawaiʻi State House of Representatives
Neighboring Landowners:		
Shirley Jean Taylor (TMK No. (3) 1-5-059-32)	Stephen C. Pfeiffer & Stephanie A. Foster (TMK No. (3) 1-5-059-33)	Glen Alan Burris (TMK No. (3) 1-5-059-34)
Mark Lawley Heritage & Donna Ann Chalmers (TMK No. (3) 1-5-059-35)	Franklin T. M. & Carlene J. Lee (TMK No. (3) 1-5-059-36)	Allan Edgar Burr & Connie Lynn Bouchard (TMK No. (3) 1-5-059-57)
Suzanne H. Christian Trust (TMK No. (3) 1-5-059-58)	Coffee Dolphin Inc. (TMK No. (3) 1-5-059-60)	Ninh Minh Le & Xuan Dao Mai (TMK No. (3) 1-5-059-61)
<u>Utilities</u> :		
Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc.		

Organizations:

Hawaiian Paradise Park Owners Sierra Club of Hawai'i Malama O Puna Association

Copies of communications received during the early consultation process, as well as the Barrys' responses, are contained in Appendix 1a. <u>Notice of the availability of the Draft EA was published in the October 23, 2019 OEQC Environmental Notice, and republished in the November 8, 2019 OEQC Environmental Notice. Appendix 1b contains written comments on the Draft EA and the responses to those comments. Various places in the EA have been modified to reflect input received in the comment letters; additional or modified non-procedural text is denoted by underlines, as in this paragraph.</u>

PART 2: ALTERNATIVES

2.1 The Proposed Project and Alternative Uses and Sites

The Project and its location are described in detail in Section 1.1, above, and illustrated in Figures 1-3. The Barrys purchased the Barry Property over ten years ago with the hope and intention of one day retiring in the rural-agricultural setting that the Hawai'i Paradise Park subdivision offers. The Barrys remain committed to doing so, and therefore an alternative site for the Project or alternative use of the Barry Property are not deemed to be feasible or considered further in this EA.

2.2 The No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, the Barry Property would not be reclassified to the SLU Agricultural District. It would still be possible to receive a Conservation District Use Permit (CDUP) to construct and occupy a single-family dwelling, utilize the Barry Property for temporary camping and picnicking, and any other use that is permitted in the Resource Subzone. For the purposes of this EA, however, it will be assumed that the Barry Property would remain vacant and unused under the No Action Alternative. This EA considers the No Action Alternative as the baseline by which to compare environmental effects of the Project.

2.3 The CDUP Alternative

Under the CDUP Alternative, the Barrys would submit an application to the State of Hawai'i Board of Land and Natural Resources (BLNR) to obtain a CDUP. A CDUP would allow for the construction of a single-family dwelling substantially similar in size and characteristics as the Project. Thus, it is assumed that the CDUP Alternative would have substantially similar environmental effects as the Project.

Under the CDUP Alternative, the Barrys would not be required to implement an agricultural use as proposed under the Project. In addition, the CDUP Alternative would result in the Barry Property's land use designation being inconsistent with surrounding lands, as virtually all of the coastal lands surrounding the Barry Property are already within the SLU Agricultural District, and the existing dwellings on neighboring parcels were not constructed pursuant to CDUPs.

Based on a number of factors, including the administrative burdens associated with a CDUP and a desire for the Barry Property to be consistent with the neighboring lands and uses, the Barrys have made the decision to pursue the proposed reclassification from the LUC, instead of obtaining a CDUP from the BLNR.

PART 3: ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS AND MITIGATION

The 22,216-square foot (sf) Barry Property is located between Paradise Ala Kai Road, a private road of the Hawaiian Paradise Park subdivision, on the *mauka* side and the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean on the *makai* side (see Fig. 1). It is vacant and flanked by similarly sized private parcels, one of which contains a single-family dwelling (see Fig. 2). U.S. Geological Survey maps and Google Earth images indicate that elevations on the Barry Property vary from about 12 to 25 feet above sea level.

3.1 Physical Environment

3.1.1 Climate, Geology, Soils and Geologic Hazards

Environmental Setting

The Barry Property is located on the flank of Kilauea, a highly active volcano, in the *ahupua*'a of Kea'au within the Puna District. This area receives an average of about 124 inches of rain annually, with a mean annual temperature of approximately 75 degrees Fahrenheit (Giambelluca et al 2014; UH Hilo-Geography 1998:57).

Guidance to federal agencies for addressing climate change issues in environmental reviews was released in August 2016 by the Council on Environmental Quality (US CEQ 2016). The guidance urged that when addressing climate change, agencies should consider: (1) the potential effects of a proposed action on climate change as indicated by assessing greenhouse gas emissions in a qualitative, or if reasonable, quantitative way; and, (2) the effects of climate change on a proposed action and its environmental impacts. It recommends that agencies consider the short- and long-term effects and benefits in the alternatives and mitigation analysis in terms of climate change effects and resiliency to the effects of a changing climate. Although this guidance has since been withdrawn for political reasons, the State of Hawai'i, through HRS § 226-109, encourages a similar analysis, and HAR § 11-200.1-13 includes significance criteria that consider the hazardousness of sea level rise. In terms of climate, it is possible, and even likely, that larger and more frequent tropical storms and even hurricanes will affect the Hawaiian Islands in the future. In addition, as discussed in Section 3.1.2, accelerating sea level rise is expected.

It has been long assumed that the lava flows that underlie the Barry Property both erupted sometime between 200 and 400 years ago, from the Ai La'au Lava Flow, according to the general geology map of Kilauea by Moore and Trusdell (1991). Recent work documented in Appendix 2, however, indicates that these flows may be older, and that the lava flow directly underlying the Barry Property is approximately 550 years old. Soil in the area is classified as Opihikao highly decomposed plant material. This is a well-drained, thin organic soil developed over pahoehoe bedrock. It is found from sea level to 1,000 feet in elevation and is rapidly permeable, with slow run-off, and a slight erosion hazard. This soil is within subclass VIIs, which means it has limitations that make it unsuitable for intensive cultivation and restrict its use to pasture, range, woodland or wildlife (U.S. Soil Conservation Service 1973).

The entire Island of Hawai'i is subject to geologic hazards, especially lava flows and earthquakes. Volcanic hazard as assessed by the U.S. Geological Survey in this area of Puna, including the Property, is Zone 3 on a scale of ascending risk 9 to 1 (Heliker 1990:23). The relatively high hazard risk is because Kilauea is an active volcano. Zone 3 includes areas less hazardous than Zone 2, which is adjacent to the summit and East Rift Zone (ERZ), because of greater distance from recently active vents and/or because of topography. One to five percent of Zone 3 has been covered since 1800, and 15 to 75 percent has been covered within the past 750 years. The recent eruptions of the East Rift Zone near Leilani Estates have demonstrated that although centuries may pass between eruptions in any given area, there is always a danger of an eruption On Kilauea.

The Island of Hawai'i experiences high seismic activity and is at risk from major earthquake damage (USGS 2000), especially to structures that are poorly designed or built, as the 6.7-magnitude quake of October 2006 and the 6.9 magnitude quake of May 2018 demonstrated. The Barry Property is flat to low-sloping, with no surrounding steeper slopes. There does not appear to be a substantial risk at the site from subsidence, landslides or other forms of mass wasting.

Impacts and Mitigation Measures

In order to deal with the potential for larger and more frequent tropical storms that could be part of a changing climate, any future dwelling should be designed to withstand hurricane force winds. In addition, all Project improvements will be appropriately sited mauka and outside of the portion of the Barry Property most affected by hurricane winds. The Barry Property would be maintained in a state without tall trees (particularly the invasive ironwood). Prior to any construction, all trees with the potential to fall on the dwelling would be removed. The implications of climate change for the shoreline setting are dealt with in the next section.

In general, geologic conditions do not impose undue constraints on the Project, as much of the Puna District faces similar volcanic and seismic hazards and yet continues to be an important residential area. There are currently efforts by planners and government officials to restrict or prohibit altogether any new development in Zone 1 and Zone 2 lava flow hazard areas. The Barry Property is in Zone 3, along with most of the settled area of Puna and nearly all of Hilo, and it is unlikely that prohibitions on homes in Zone 3 will be adopted as a reaction to the recent lava flows of Kilauea. Nevertheless, it must be acknowledged that lava flow hazard exists, and that responding to disasters has fiscal consequences for government agencies. The Barrys understand that there are hazards associated with dwellings in this geologic setting, and have made the decision that the Project is not imprudent to construct and inhabit as their primary residence.

3.1.2 Flood Zones and Shoreline Setting

Floodplain Environmental Setting, Impacts and Mitigation Measures

A bare pahoehoe shelf with a low sea cliff lies *makai* of the Barry Property as defined by the original metes and bounds description in the conveyance documents. The legal shoreline of the Barry Property has

not recently been certified, but it is presumed to lie at the sharp boundary between the pahoehoe shelf and the vegetation line.

Floodplain status for many areas of the island of Hawai'i has been determined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), which produces the National Flood Insurance Program's Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). The flood zones for this region were recently mapped, and digital maps are available from the Department of Land and Natural Resources at <u>http://gis.hawaiinfip.org/fhat/</u> (Figure 3). Unfortunately, a systematic error in the registration of the TMK layer and the Google Earth © layer (clearly visible by examining the offset of Paradise Ala Kai Road) affects direct interpretation of the map, but the pahoehoe shelf located in the *makai* part of the Barry Property and *makai* of the presumed legal shoreline is clearly within the VE flood zone. There is no direct evidence of tsunami inundation in this location, although storm waves of the magnitude generated by Tropical Storm Iselle, which hit the Puna coastline on August 8, 2014, have affected the pahoehoe platform *makai* of the shoreline.

The VE Flood Zone, also known as the coastal high hazard area, is the area subject to high velocity water including waves and tsunami; it is defined by the 1% annual chance (base) flood limits (also known as the 100-year flood) and wave effects can be 3 feet or greater. All Project improvements would be sited *mauka* of the VE Flood Zone and entirely within Flood Zone X, which consists of areas outside the mapped 500-year floodplain and imposes no constraints on development.

Coastal Erosion Issues: Background

Property near the shoreline is subject to natural coastal processes including erosion and accretion, which can be affected by human actions such as removal of sand or shoreline hardening. Erosion may adversely affect not only a lot owner's improvements but also State land and coastal waters, along with the recreational and ecosystem values they support.

A coastal erosion study, which includes an analysis of other coastal hazards, was prepared for the Barry Property by Geohazards Consultants International, Inc. The full report is attached as Appendix 2, with portions summarized in the material below. The reader is referred to Appendix 2 for additional detailed descriptions, maps and photos.

Sea Level Rise

Because the proposed use of a single-family dwelling on this coastal property has an expected useful lifetime of 40 to 70 years, it is important to first examine the potential for future sea level rise. Sea level rise also factors into future rates of coastal retreat and erosion.

There is a scientific consensus that the earth is warming due to manmade increases in greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, according to the United Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UH



Figure 3. Flood Zone Map

Source: DLNR - http://gis.hawaiinfip.org/fhat/

Page 13

Manoa Sea Grant 2014). Global mean air temperatures are projected to increase by at least 2.7°F by the end of the century. This will be accompanied by the warming of ocean waters, expected to be highest in tropical and subtropical seas of the Northern Hemisphere. Wet and dry season contrasts will increase, and wet tropical areas in particular are likely to experience more frequent and extreme precipitation. For Hawai'i, where warming air temperatures are already quite apparent, not only is the equable climate at risk, but also agriculture, ecosystems, the visitor industry and public health.

No one can predict with any certainty how high sea levels will rise within 10 years, 20 years or 50 years. An overall global rise in sea level of 3.3 feet by the end of the 21st century was proposed by Fletcher (2010) and others. A 2012 scientific assessment (Rahmstorf et al. 2012) posited 4 feet as a reasonable upper bound. Some recent research that concentrates on the potential for Antarctic melting to contribute more to sea level than generally modeled envisions as much as an additional meter (3.3 feet) of sea level rise (DeConto and Pollard 2016). Relative sea-level rise, of course, is a result of the combined eustatic water rise and land subsidence. In some locations, the effects of eustatic sea level rise can be magnified substantially. The 1975 Kalapana earthquake on Kilauea's rift caused land in Kapoho to drop 0.8 feet (based on Hawaii Volcano Observatory (USGS) data in Hwang et al (2007:6)). This episodic, seismicinduced subsistence is difficult to anticipate or measure over long periods of time. On the basis of InSAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar Interferometry) remote sensing data, Hwang et al (ibid.) state that the coastline at Kapoho may be subsiding at a continuous rate of between 0.31-0.67 in/yr. Rates of subsidence at the Barry property are certainly much lower as a result of its distance from Kilauea's tectonically active rift zone, as well as its position on the west side of the rift zone, where land is supported by the bulk of Mauna Loa. A rate in the middle of this estimate, or a little less than 0.3 in/yr., is probably conservative. A highly conservative estimate of overall sea level change by the year 2100, accounting for a eustatic rise of 5 feet and local tectonic sinking of about 2 feet, is 7 feet. The greatest rate of SLR will take place during the second half of this century according to recent modeling (e.g., Cazenave and Le Cozannet 2014).

Not only is the magnitude of sea level rise subject of debate, but so too is its timing. According to the Hawai'i Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Commission (HCCMAC) (2017:v):

While the [United Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change]'s "business as usual" scenario, where [greenhouse gas] emissions continue at the current rate of increase, predicts up to 3.2 feet of global sea level rise by year 2100 (IPCC 2014), recent observations and projections suggest that this magnitude of sea level rise could occur as early as year 2060 under more recently published highest-end scenarios

The HCCMAC report goes on to state that the Island of Hawai'i is in many senses the least vulnerable of the main Hawaiian Islands to the impacts of sea level rise, but that certain areas – particularly Kona, Puakō, Kapoho and Hilo Bay – "face serious threats. It is estimated that at least 130 existing structures would experience chronic flooding if there were 3.2 feet of sea level rise."

The Hawai'i Sea Level Rise Viewer (Viewer) is an online atlas to support the Hawai'i Sea Level Rise Vulnerability and Adaptation Report (Report) that was mandated by Act 83, Session Laws of Hawai'i

(SLH) 2014 and Act 32, SLH (<u>https://www.pacioos.hawaii.edu/shoreline/slr-hawaii/</u>). It provides a graphic representation of how regions will be affected by sea level rise, particularly through passive flooding (still water high tide flooding). The Hawaiian Paradise Park area contains cliffs between 10 and 30 feet in height, so a sea level rise of 3.2 feet (or even 7 feet) in itself will only inundate relatively small areas. This is unlike Waikiki, *e.g.*, where a 3.2 foot rise in sea level would inundate large areas. Figure 4 is an image from the Viewer in the vicinity of the Barry Property, indicating that only the immediate shoreline area would be affected. In reality, passive flooding would only affect the area *makai* of the cliffs.

However, aside from simple inundation, a rise in sea level also raises the level of threat from high waves and tsunami. As reported in the online Science Daily based on an article in the Nature journal, *Scientific Reports* (<u>https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2018/09/180927164230.htm</u>), a research team including UH Manoa and DLNR determined that sea level rise effects will be much more wide reaching. "By including models of dynamical physical processes such as erosion and wave run-up, a team of researchers has determined that land area in Hawai'i vulnerable to future sea level rise may be double previous estimates."







Coastal Erosion: Physical Setting

Geologist Dr. Jack Lockwood of Geohazards Consultants International, Inc. inspected the Barry Property on several occasions with varying tidal and wave conditions in June and September 2018.

A shelf of nearly bare pahoehoe lava is present at the front of the Barry Property, bordered by a roughly 18-foot tall sea cliff. The naupaka vegetation line provides a good indicator of the shoreline ("highest reach of waves") fronting the Barry Property, and varies in width from the cliff's edge (Figure 5). Normal surf does not reach above the coastal cliff, but angular boulders on the shelf attest to the fact that exceptionally large storms can dislodge cliff edge pahoehoe, place blocks short distances inland, and scour vegetation inland from the cliff face. The coastal bench of bare pahoehoe is as much as 30 feet wide at the north Barry Property boundary (Figure 6). The surface lava flows consist of multiple flow sheets of dense, aphanitic (crystal-free) basalt pahoehoe, all emplaced during the same eruption. The pahoehoe flow at the Barry Property has revealed that the pahoehoe lava lobes from the +/- 550-year old eruption overlie an older, massive, dense lava, along a sharp contact (see Figures 5 and 6). The uppermost pahoehoe flow is overlain by three types of sedimentary deposits – coeval remnants of fragmental volcanic glass debris (*limu o Pele*), scattered patches of cobbles, gravel and sand that have been deposited by exceptional storm wave activity, and a colluvial, organic rich soil found inland beneath vegetation.

Coastal Erosion Rate

The sea cliff fronting the Barry Property is resistant to erosion, and negligible erosion occurs during normal sea conditions. During times of major storms, however, the impact of waves can cause mechanical and abrasional erosion, although even this is likely rare. Cracks near the edge of the sea cliff in several places indicate where the cliff edge is unstable, and susceptible to failure when impacted by powerful storm waves. A few scattered blocks of angular pahoehoe up to two feet diameter were noted above the coastal plain and as much as ten feet inland of the shoreline (see Figure 5). These were formed when powerful waves impacted the top of the sea cliff, injected high-pressure water into the contacts between flow lobes, and through the process of "hydraulic ramming" loosened blocks and moved them short distances inland.

Careful inspection of available aerial photographs (as documented in detail in Appendix 2) to measure coastline positions relative to internal fixed distances suggests slight erosion of the sea cliffs has occurred since the earliest 1954 photos. Migration of the actual shoreline (vegetation line) is so slight as to not be measurable. The large scale and limited resolution of the available aerial photographs makes accurate analyses of fine-scale morphological changes of the shoreline or sea-cliff impossible, but there is a variable trend suggesting an average erosion rate of the coastline cliff at 3.0 inches/year.

A quantitative approximation of the shoreline erosion rate at the Barry Property and most other hard lava coastlines in Hawai'i is not statistically feasible using the methods outlined by Hwang (2005), which are generally used to the fulfill the requirements imposed by the Hawai'i Administrative Rules governing



Figure 5. Photos of Sea Cliff in Front of Property

Lava flow contact zone indicated by arrow.



Barry Family Project Environmental Assessment

Page 18

development of shoreline properties in the SLU Conservation District. Coastal erosion studies in shoreline determinations must rely upon alternative indicators – primarily observation of active erosion of the coastal sea cliff makai of the shoreline – and factors such as freshly cut cliff faces or presence of angular erosional debris as discussed above. Shoreline erosion is not a continuous process that can be characterized by simple "erosion rates." Mechanical erosion of the coastline is episodic, related to the uncommon impact of especially strong storm activity.

A longer term perspective can be derived from estimates of the coastal erosion that has taken place since the emplacement of these lava flows. The uppermost pahoehoe flow has obviously been eroded back since emplacement an estimated 550 years ago, but the distance eroded is not precisely quantifiable. The presence of littoral explosion-derived "*limu O Pele*" above the pahoehoe shelf suggests the original coastline was not far away. Assuming that coastline was 100' away at the time of flow emplacement (an estimate based on observations of historical *limu o Pele* deposits associated with recent pahoehoe ocean entries associated with the Pu'u O'o eruption (Mattox and Mangan 1997)) would imply an overall erosion rate of 0.18 feet, or 2.2 inches/year over the past 550 years.

The combined effects of land subsidence and rising sea levels suggests an overall (relative) drop in the shoreline elevation of between 0.2 - 0.3 in/yr. The durability and height of the coastal sea cliff fronting the Barry Property (greater than 16 feet at even the highest tides) ensures that combined sea level change and land subsidence will not cause significant shoreline transgression in this area, although it will slowly increase the erosive action of storm waves over the next several decades and centuries.

Overall Assessment of Coastal Hazard: Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Hwang (2005) recommends that all hazards facing coastal areas should be considered when planning for land-use zoning in Hawai'i, and not just erosion. Fletcher *et al.* (2002) portray generalized hazards assessments for long areas of Hawai'i's coastlines; they rate the specific hazards (Column A) for the area of Puna fronting the Barry Property as shown in Table 1 (Column B):

Tuble 11 Soustai Mataria Hazar as filleeting 1 toperty			
Α	В	С	
Hazard Type	Relative Threat	GCI-determined Threat Value	
Tsunami	High	Medium	
Stream Flooding	Medium-high	Low	
High Waves	Medium-high	Medium-High	
Storms	High	Medium	
Erosion	Medium-low	Medium-Low	
Sea Level Change	Medium-high	Low	
Volcanic/Seismic	High	Medium	
Overall Hazard Assessment	Medium	Medium	

Table 1. Coastal Natural Hazards Affecting Property

The values assigned by Fletcher et al. above are generalized for long stretches of Hawaiian coastlines.

Geohazards Consultants International, Inc.'s site-specific hazard appraisals for the Barry Property differ in some regards from the published values (lesser perceived risk), and are given above for comparison (Column C).

This hazard appraisal reinforces the importance of setting any future dwelling at an appropriate distance from the sea cliff and shoreline.

3.1.3 Water Quality

No natural water features such as streams, springs, or anchialine ponds are found on or near the Barry Property.

Eventual land clearing and construction activities would occur on an area of less than a quarter acre. The grading work would be limited to the dwelling site, its related spaces for driveway/parking, a <u>IWS (septic or aerobic treatment unit, if required)</u> system, a possible pool, and the construction staging area. The Barry Property is flat, and grading can easily be conducted to balance cut and fill material for the graded area in order to avoid the need to import or export soils to and from the site. Related to the trenching required for the <u>septic systemIWS</u>, excavated materials will be used to refill the trenched areas and to blend the areas with the surrounding topography.

At the time development is proposed, the Barrys and their engineer will determine whether the area of disturbance is sufficiently large to require a County grading permit or National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. Grading for the driveway and dwelling site will include practices to minimize the potential for sedimentation, erosion and pollution of coastal waters. The Barrys will be required to ensure that their contractor performs all earthwork and grading in conformance with the following:

- "Storm Drainage Standards," County of Hawai'i, October, 1970, and as revised.
- Applicable standards and regulations of Chapter 27, "Flood Control," of the Hawai'i County Code.
- Applicable FEMA standards and regulations.
- Applicable standards and regulations of Chapter 10, "Erosion and Sedimentation Control," of the Hawai'i County Code.
- Conditions of an NPDES permit, if required.

The general shoreline area in Hawaiian Paradise Park already supports hundreds of dwellings and is utilized by residents and property owners to park vehicles and fish, and there are no reported water quality problems from these uses. Upon completion, the Project would be similar to the existing dwellings on shoreline lots in the area, and would not be expected to contribute to sedimentation, erosion, and pollution of coastal waters.

3.1.4 Flora and Fauna

The Barry Property was systematically inspected for biological resources by Dr. Ron Terry in May 2018, who concluded that other than the hoary bat, no rare, threatened or endangered species of flora and fauna are known to exist on or near the project site, and none would be affected by any project activities. Dr. Terry's full report is contained in Appendix 3 and summarized below.

Environmental Setting: Flora

Prior to the use for agriculture, ranching, and lot subdivision, the natural vegetation of this part of the Puna shoreline was mostly coastal forest and strand vegetation, dominated by naupaka (*Scaevola taccada*), hala (*Pandanus tectorius*), 'ōhi'a (*Metrosideros polymorpha*), nanea (*Vigna marina*) and various ferns, sedges and grasses (Gagne and Cuddihy 1990). Some locations on the coastline also host a rare plant found only in the Hilo and Puna Districts: *Ischaemum byrone*, an endangered grass known to grow on pahoehoe close the edge of sea cliffs, where salt spray may limit other plants.

Aside from the road verge, the lava flow underlying the Barry Property does not appear to have been ripped by heavy equipment or otherwise disturbed, although the heavy vegetation makes that difficult to ascertain. Large ironwood (*Casuarina equisetifolia*) trees previously grew on the Barry Property and appear to have been felled, and this has provided a substrate for dense vine growth.

The vegetation on the long, narrow rectangular parcel is divided into four basic zones. The lava shelf zone consists of about 50 feet of nearly bare pahoehoe, with scattered, low clumps of akulikuli (*Sesuvium portulacastrum*) and mau'u 'aki'aki (*Fimbristylis cymosa*), two common indigenous herbs. Occasional surges from large waves during storms scour this zone and keep it largely vegetation free. The shoreline shrub zone just behind, heavily affected by constant sea spray and roughly 60 feet in depth, is dominated by the common indigenous shrub naupaka. Also present are ironwood, coconut palms, the indigenous sedge pycreus (*Cyperus polystachyos*), and various non-native grasses, vines, herbs and ferns.

The majority of the Barry Property – varying from about 180 to 200 feet in depth – contains the other two vegetation zones. The interior of the Barry Property is a secondary growth of almost entirely non-native grasses, shrubs, trees, herbs, vines and ferns. Prominent among them are lantana (*Lantana camara*), Guinea grass, red tower ginger (*Costus comosus*), sensitive plant (*Mimosa pudica*), sword fern (*Nephrolepis multiflora*), autograph tree (*Clusia rosea*), and maile pilau (*Paederia foetida*). A few native hala trees appear to be encroaching on the Barry Property from a neighbor's landscape. Seedlings of the highly invasive albizia tree (*Falcataria moluccana*) are emerging in various locations. The narrow road fringe area is dominated by Guinea grass (*Megathyrsus maximus*) and a number of other weedy grasses, herbs and vines. There is little of value for biological conservation in the areas behind the shoreline shrub zone. A full list of plant species detected on the Barry Property is found in Table 1 of Appendix 3.

No individuals of *Ischaemum byrone* were found. The extremely heavy sea spray in the *makai* edge of the lot might tend to discourage this grass, salt-tolerant though it is. *Mauka* of here the vegetation is so dense with naupaka and other plants that clusters of this grass would not tend to thrive. No other rare, threatened

or endangered plants are present. Although dominated by common native plants, with no rare species, the two *makai* zones – the lava shelf zone and shoreline shrub zone – represent native habitat with at least some conservation value. No development is proposed in these two zones.

Environmental Setting: Vertebrate Fauna

Very few birds were observed during the site visit, which took place in rainy, windy conditions at midday, during the summer season, a month after most migratory birds had already departed for the Arctic. At other times of the day or year, a variety of resident or migratory shorebirds could be present. These include the Pacific golden-plover or kolea (*Pluvialis fulva*), ruddy turnstone (*Arenaria interpres*), and wandering tattler (*Heteroscelus incanus*), which are often seen on the Puna coastline feeding on shoreline resources. They would be unlikely to make much use of most of the Barry Property, which is densely vegetated and offers no habitat for them. The seabird black noddy (*Anous minutus melanogenys*) was observed flying near the cliffs and over the nearshore waters, as it frequently does in the cliffed coasts of the main Hawaiian Islands. It nests in crevices and caves in lava (especially pahoehoe) seacliffs; no black noddy nests were observed on the cliffs in front of the Barry Property, but openings in the rock might offer areas for nests.

Although no land birds were seen, during previous reconnaissance of shoreline properties in the Puna District, Geometrician Associates has noted a number of non-native land birds. These include common mynas (*Acridotheres tristis*), northern cardinals (*Cardinalis cardinalis*), spotted doves (*Streptopelia chinensis*), striped doves (*Geopilia striata*), Kalij pheasants (*Lophura leucomelanos*) Japanese white-eyes (*Zosterops japonicus*), and house finches (*Carpodacus mexicanus*), among other birds.

It is unlikely that many native forest birds would be expected to use the Barry Property due to its low elevation, alien vegetation and lack of adequate forest resources. However, it is likely that Hawai'i 'amakihi (*Hemignathus virens*) are sometimes present in the general area, as some populations of this native honeycreeper appear to have adapted to the mosquito borne diseases of the Hawaiian lowlands. The Barry Property itself lacks habitat for 'amakihi.

As with all of East Hawai'i, several endangered native terrestrial vertebrates may be present in the general area and may overfly, roost, nest, or utilize resources of the Barry Property.

The endangered Hawaiian hawk (*Buteo solitarius*) is widespread, hunting throughout forested, agricultural and even residential areas of the island of Hawai'i. It nests in large trees and can be vulnerable during the summer nesting season. However, the Barry Property does not contain, nor is it near, large trees suitable for hawk nests, and therefore the hawk would be very unlikely to be affected by activities on the Barry Property.

The Hawaiian petrel (*Pterodroma sandwichensis*), the Hawaiian sub-species of Newell's shearwater (*Puffinus newelli*), and the band-rumped storm-petrel (*Oceanodroma castro*) have been recorded over-flying various areas on the Island of Hawai'i between late April and the middle of December each year.

The Hawaiian petrel and band-rumped storm-petrel are listed as endangered, and Newell's shearwater as threatened, under both federal and State of Hawai'i endangered species statutes. The petrels and shearwaters hunt over the ocean during the day and fly to higher elevations at night to roost and nest. The Hawaiian petrel and the band-rumped storm petrel are known to nest at elevations well above 5,000 feet on the Big Island, not within the project area. But during it breeding season from April through November, the Newell's shearwater burrows under ferns on forested mountain slopes. These burrows are used year after year and usually by the same pair of birds. Although capable of climbing shrubs and trees before taking flight, it needs an open downhill flight path through which it can become airborne. Although once abundant on all the main Hawaiian Islands, most birds today are found in the steep terrain between 500 to 2,300 feet on Kaua'i (https://www.fws.gov/pacificislands/fauna/newellsshearwater.html). The primary cause of mortality in these species in Hawai'i is thought to be predation by alien mammalian species at the nesting colonies. Collision with man-made structures is another significant cause. Nocturnally flying seabirds, especially fledglings on their way to sea in the summer and fall, can become disoriented by exterior lighting. Disoriented seabirds may collide with manmade structures and, if not killed outright, become easy targets of predatory mammals. These listed seabirds would not directly utilize the Barry Property but could occasionally overfly it.

Only one native land mammal is present in the Hawaiian Islands, the endangered Hawaiian hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus semotus*). Found in all environments on the island of Hawai'i, this bat roosts in tall shrubs or trees and is vulnerable to disturbance during its roosting season of June 1 to September 15.

Aside from the Hawaiian hoary bat, all other mammals in the Hawaiian Paradise Park area are introduced species, including feral cats (*Felis catus*), feral pigs (*Sus scrofa*), small Indian mongooses (*Herpestes a. auropunctatus*) and various species of rats (*Rattus spp.*). None are of conservation concern and all are deleterious to native flora and fauna.

There are no native terrestrial reptiles or amphibians in Hawai'i. The only reptile observed on the Barry Property was an unidentified species of skink (Family: Scincidae). Various gecko species (Family: Gekkonidae) are also known to be present in the area. No other reptiles or amphibians were detected during the survey, but Geometrician Associates has observed the highly invasive coqui frog (*Eleutherodactylus coqui*) in the area. It is likely that bufo toads (*Bufo marinus*) are occasionally present.

No invertebrate survey was undertaken as part of the survey, but rare native invertebrates tend to be associated with tracts of native vegetation and are not highly likely to be present on the Barry Property. Although no lava tube openings were observed, if caves or voids are present, native invertebrates including spiders and insects could be present.

Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Most of the Barry Property is dominated by alien plants, with the only native ecosystem being the shoreline vegetation, where common native plants are present. Because of the location and nature of the Project relative to sensitive vegetation and species, construction and use of a single-story dwelling and associated agricultural uses, or other similar uses, would not be likely to cause adverse impacts to
vegetation or habitat. All Project improvements would be set outside the lava shelf and shoreline shrub zone, thus avoiding these resources. It is expected that some non-native species would be removed, appropriate native species planted and a narrow trail to the shoreline established, taking care to minimize harm to native species. As such, no adverse impact upon vegetation or endangered plant species should occur.

In order to avoid impacts to the endangered but regionally widespread terrestrial vertebrates listed above, the Barrys will commit to several additional conditions:

- Construction will refrain from activities that disturb or remove the woody vegetation taller than 15 feet between June 1 and September 15, when Hawaiian hoary bats may be sensitive to disturbance.
- All exterior lighting will be shielded from shining upward, in conformance with Hawai'i County Code § 14 50 et seq., to minimize the potential for disorientation of seabirds.

3.1.5 Air Quality, Noise, and Scenic Resources

Environmental Setting

Air quality in the Hawaiian Paradise Park area is generally excellent, due to its rural-agricultural nature and minimal degree of human activity, although vog from Kilauea volcano is occasionally blown into this part of Puna. Noise on the site is moderate, partly derived from natural sources such as surf, birds and wind, and also some contribution from dwellings and traffic on Paradise Ala Kai Road.

The area shares the quality of scenic beauty along with most of the Puna coastline. The County of Hawai'i General Plan contains Goals, Policies and Standards intended to preserve areas of natural beauty and scenic vistas from encroachment. The General Plan discusses view of Mauna Kea and Mauna Loa from various subdivisions as noted features of natural beauty in Puna, but among specific examples of natural beauty, it does not identify any features or views in the Hawaiian Paradise Park area. Shoreline views from Paradise Ala Kai Road through the lot are currently blocked by existing heavy vegetation.

Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The project would not affect air quality or noise levels in any substantial ways. Brief and minor adverse effects would occur during construction of the Project. Given the small scale and short duration of any noise impacts, coupled with the lack of sensitive receptors such as parks, schools or hospitals, noise mitigation would not be necessary.

No designated scenic vistas or viewplanes would be affected. Currently, heavy vegetation blocks all views through the Barry Property; development of a dwelling on the site would likely open up at least some coastal views. Construction and occupation of the Project would be in harmony with the rural-agricultural landscape of Hawaiian Paradise Park.

3.1.6 Hazardous Substances, Toxic Waste and Hazardous Conditions

Based on onsite inspection and the lack of any known former and current uses on the Barry Property, it appears that the site contains no hazardous or toxic substances and exhibits no other hazardous conditions. In addition to the measures related to water quality detailed in Section 3.1.3, in order to ensure to minimize the possibility for spills of hazardous materials, the applicant proposes the following if and when the Project, or other permitted land use, is implemented:

- Unused materials and excess fill will be disposed of at an authorized waste disposal site.
- During construction, emergency spill treatment, storage, and disposal of all hazardous materials, will be explicitly required to meet all State and County requirements, and the contractor will adhere to "Good Housekeeping" for all appropriate substances, with the following instructions:
 - Onsite storage of the minimum practical quantity of hazardous materials necessary to complete the job;
 - Fuel storage and use will be conducted to prevent leaks, spills or fires;
 - Products will be kept in their original containers unless unresealable, and original labels and safety data will be retained;
 - o Disposal of surplus will follow manufacturer's recommendation and all regulations;
 - Manufacturers' instructions for proper use and disposal will be strictly followed;
 - Regular inspection by contractor to ensure proper use and disposal;
 - Onsite vehicles and machinery will be monitored for leaks and receive regular maintenance to minimize leakage;
 - Construction materials, petroleum products, wastes, debris, and landscaping substances (herbicides, pesticides, and fertilizers) will be prevented from blowing, falling, flowing, washing or leaching into the ocean;
 - All spills will be cleaned up immediately after discovery, using proper materials that will be properly disposed of; and
 - Should spills occur, the spill prevention plan will be adjusted to include measures to prevent spills from re-occurring and for modified clean-up procedures.

3.2 Socioeconomic and Cultural

3.2.1 Land Use, Socioeconomic Characteristics and Recreation

Existing Environment

Because of the gradual occupation of lots developed during widespread land subdivision about sixty years ago, the Puna District has been the Big Island's fastest-growing district over the last thirty years. Population as measured in the 2010 U.S. Census was 45,326, a 66 percent increase over the 2000 count of 27,232. Despite a lack of basic infrastructure such as paved roads and water in most subdivisions, the relatively inexpensive lots, which typically range in size from one to three acres, have attracted residents from the U.S. mainland and other parts of the State of Hawai'i who seek affordable property. The basis of

the economy of Puna has evolved from cattle ranching and sugar to diversified agriculture, various services for the growing populations, commuting to Hilo, and tourism, which has been stimulated (until the devastating eruption of May 2018) by being home to Kilauea, one of the world's most active volcanoes. Many Puna subdivisions, including Hawaiian Paradise Park, are now partially bedroom communities for Hilo's workforce, as evidenced by the flow of Hilo-bound traffic during the morning rush hour.

The Barry Property is located between Paradise Ala Kai Road on the *mauka* side and the Pacific Ocean on the *makai* side, and is flanked by similarly sized private parcels, one of which contains an existing single-family dwelling.

Puna experiences a high demand for coastal recreation, especially in calmer shoreline areas near population centers. Despite the long coastline, there are few beaches in Puna, and none in the vicinity of the Barry Property. Along most of the Puna shoreline, ocean recreation consists primarily of fishing from the cliffs. There is moderate use of the rough and irregular shoreline in this area. Maps of public accesses produced by the County of Hawai'i do not indicate any nearby official *mauka-makai* shoreline public accesses along Paradise Ala Kai Drive (<u>http://www.hawaiicounty.gov/pl-shoreline-access-big-island</u>). However, an unpaved road located at the north end of Paradise Ala Kai Drive provides pedestrian access to the coast where one can then walk south along the coastline. There are also two County-owned parcels within a quarter mile of the Barry Property that also provide access to the coast. Fisherman and *opihi* pickers access fishing and gathering spots all along the coast.

The Barry Property does not have an official or unofficial shoreline trail either above or below the sea cliff. The area below the cliff is topographically difficult and no continuous access is possible. The pahoehoe shelf *mauka* of the sea cliff is easily walkable (see photos in Figure 2) and is occasionally used by fishermen who are traversing the coast looking for ulua fishing or opihi gathering sites.

Impacts and Mitigation Measures

No adverse socioeconomic impacts are expected to result from the Project. The project will have a small but positive economic impact for the County of Hawai'i through increase in the tax base and employment and sales generated by construction. The Project would not adversely affect recreation, as lateral shoreline access would not be affected. The Barrys are Hawai'i residents who are well aware of the rights of the public to utilize these areas and the cultural and subsistence importance of these practices.

3.2.2 Archaeology and Historic Resources

An archaeological field inspection was conducted by ASM Affiliates and is attached as Appendix 4. In the interest of readability, the summary below does not include all scholarly references; readers interested in extended discussion and sources may consult the appendix. Note that historical and cultural background information is contained in Section 3.2.3.

Existing Environment

Records on file at DLNR-State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) indicate that 22 properties within the Hawaiian Paradise Park subdivision (totaling 22 acres) have been previously surveyed for archaeological sites. Each of these studies, all conducted at locations inland of the Barry Property, reported negative findings with regards to the presence of archaeological sites and features.

A survey of coastal lands within Kea'au Ahupua'a, conducted by Lass (1997), along the route of the Old Government Road to the northwest of Hawaiian Paradise Park, identified fifteen archaeological sites, including the Old Government Road/Puna Trail (Site 50-10-36-21273), which once passed inland of the current study area, along with numerous rock walls, enclosures, rock piles, modified bedrock features, and several concrete structures (Sites 50-10-36-21259 to 21273). These sites were interpreted as having been used for Precontact to early Historic Period habitation, burial, and agricultural purposes, Historic ranching purposes, and World War II-era coastal defense purposes. Although not previously recorded, it is likely that similar sites were once common along the coast of Hawaiian Paradise Park as well, prior to the development of the subdivision's roads and lots.

A field inspection of the Barry Property parcel was conducted on June 6, 2018 by Matthew R. Clark, M.A., of ASM Affiliates. The field inspection revealed that no archaeological features are present on the surface of the parcel, and determined that the likelihood of encountering subsurface resources is extremely remote given the exposed bedrock ground surface.

Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Given the absence of archaeological resources or other historic features, there should be no impact to significant historic sites. The survey was provided to SHPD for their review and comment on June 10, 2018, and again as part of the Draft EA submittal, and the Final EA was to report on the agency's response, if any. To date, there has been no response. Although no archaeological sites or other historic properties appear to present, in the unlikely event that any unanticipated archaeological resources are unearthed within the Barry Property during the proposed development activities, work in the immediate vicinity of those resources should be halted and SHPD should be contacted in compliance with Hawai'i Administrative Rules Title 13, Subtitle 13, Chapter 280.

3.2.3 Cultural Resources

A cultural impact assessment focusing on identification and impact analysis for valued cultural, historical, or natural resources was conducted by ASM Affiliates and is attached as Appendix 5. In the interest of readability, the summary below does not include all scholarly references; readers interested in extended discussion and sources may consult the appendix. Separately, the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, other agency officials and neighbors were also consulted by mail, email, and/or telephone as part of this EA to determine whether they had any information on natural or cultural resources that might be present or affected.

Cultural Background

The Barry Property is located within Kea'au Ahupua'a, a traditional land unit of the Puna District, which is one of six major districts on the island of Hawai'i. The *ahupua'a* of Kea'au is one of fifty traditional land divisions found in the *moku* (district) of Puna on the eastern shores of Hawai'i Island. The Hawaiian proverb "Puna, mai 'Oki'okiaho a Māwae" describes the extent of the district spanning from 'Oki'okiaho, the southern boundary, to Māwae, the northern boundary. In the book, *Native Planters in Old Hawaii*, Handy and Handy (1991) described Puna as an agriculturally fertile land that has repeatedly been devastated by lava flows. Writing during the 1930s, they relate that:

The land division named Puna—one of the six chiefdoms of the island of Hawaii said to have been cut ('oki) by the son and successor of the island's first unifier, Umi-a-Liloa—lies between Hilo to the north and Ka'u to the south, and it projects sharply to the east as a great promontory into the Pacific. Kapoho is its most easterly point, at Cape Kumukahi. The uplands of Puna extend back toward the great central heights of Mauna Loa, and in the past its lands have been built, and devastated, and built again by that mountain's fires. In the long intervals, vegetation took hold, beginning with miniscule mosses and lichens, then ferns and hardier shrubs, until the uplands became green and forested and good earth and humus covered much of the lava-strewn terrain, making interior Puna a place of great beauty

One of the most interesting things about Puna is that Hawaiians believe, and their traditions imply that this was once Hawaii's richest agricultural region and that it is only in relatively recent time that volcanic eruption has destroyed much of its best land. Unquestionably lava flows in historic times have covered more good gardening land here than in any other district. But the present desolation was largely brought about by the gradual abandonment of their country by Hawaiians after sugar and ranching came in ...

(Handy and Handy 1991:539-542).

As suggested in the above passage, Puna was a region famed in legendary history for its associations with the goddess Pele and god Kāne (Maly 1998). Because of the relatively young geological history and persistent volcanic activity, the region's association with Pele has been a strong one. However, the association with Kāne is perhaps more ancient. Kāne, ancestor to both chiefs and commoners, is the god of sunlight, fresh water, verdant growth, and forests (Pukui 1983). It is said that before Pele migrated to Hawai'i from Kahiki, there was "no place in the islands . . . more beautiful than Puna" (Pukui 1983:11). Contributing to that beauty were the groves of fragrant *hala* and forests of *'ōhi'a* lehua for which Puna was famous, and the inhabitants of Puna were likewise famous for their expertise and skill in *lauhala* weaving.

In Precontact and early Historic times the people of Puna lived primarily in small settlements along the coast with access to fresh water, where they subsisted on marine resources and agricultural products. According to McEldowney (1979), six coastal villages were traditionally present between Hilo and Cape

Page 28

Kumukahi (Kea'au or Hā'ena, Maku'u, Waiakahiula, Honolulu, Kahuwai, and Kula or Koa'e). The Barry Property is located between Hā'ena and Maku'u Villages. As described by McEldowney, each of the villages:

seems to have comprised the same complex of huts, gardens, windbreaking shrubs, and utilized groves, although the form and overall size of each appear to differ. The major differences between this portion of the coast and Hilo occurred in the type of agriculture practiced and structural forms reflecting the uneven nature of the young terrain. Platforms and walls were built to include and abut outcrops, crevices were filled and paved for burials, and the large numbers of loose surface stones were arranged into terraces. To supplement the limited and often spotty deposits of soil, mounds were built of gathered soil, mulch, sorted sizes of stones, and in many circumstances, from burnt brush and surrounding the gardens. Although all major cultigens appear to have been present in these gardens, sweet potatoes, ti (*Cordyline terminalis*), *noni* (*Morinda citrifolia*), and gourds (*Lagenaria siceraria*) seem to have been more conspicuous. Breadfruit, pandanus, and mountain apple (*Eugenia malaccensis*) were the more significant components of the groves that grew in more disjunct patterns than those in Hilo Bay.

(McEldowney 1979:17).

Ka Mo'olelo O Hi'iakaikapoliopele (The story of Hi'iakaikapoliopele), initially published in the Hawaiian language newspaper *Ka Na'i Aupuni* between the years 1905-1906, tells a story of Pele and her siblings that takes place at Hā'ena, located to the northwest of the Barry Property. The story relates that after settling on Hawai'i Island, Pele and her siblings ventured down to Hā'ena in Kea'au to bathe in the sea. While there, Pele was overcome with the desire to sleep. She informed her youngest sister, Hi'iaka, not to allow any of their siblings to awaken her. Hi'iaka consented to her sister's commands. In her dream state, Pele followed the sound of a *pahu* (drum), which carried her spirit to the island of Kaua'i, where she met a striking man named Lohi'au. The two fell madly in love, but since Pele was in her spirit form, she made it clear to Lohi'au that she must return to Hawai'i Island. Pele's long sleep was cause for concern and although tempted to awaken her sister, Hi'iaka held true to her sister's commands and let her sleep.

When she awoke, Pele called upon each of her sisters and made a proposition, asking which one of them would fetch her dream lover Lohi'au from Kaua'i. Knowing Pele's tempestuous temper, each feared possible repercussions and refused to go, except for her youngest sister, Hi'iaka. Pele demanded that Hi'iaka travel to Kaua'i to fetch Lohi'au, and sent her on her way with strict instructions; Hi'iaka was not to take him as her husband, she was not to touch him, and she was to take no longer than forty days on her journey. While Hi'iaka agreed to her sister's demands, she realized that in her absence, Pele would become incensed with a burning and vehement fury and destroy whatever she desired. So Hi'iaka set forth two stipulations of her own; her beloved ' $\bar{o}hi$ 'a lehua grove in Puna was to be spared from destruction, and Pele was to protect her dear friend Hōpoe in her absence. In this version of the story, Hōpoe is described as a young girl from Kea'au who was skilled at riding the surf of Hā'ena, and who

Page 29

was the one that taught Hi'iaka the art of *hula*. Pele agreed to Hi'iaka's requests, and Hi'iaka departed on her journey to retrieve Pele's lover. In a sympathetic act, Pele bestowed supernatural powers upon Hi'iaka so that she would be protected against the dangers she would undoubtedly meet along the way.

Hi'iaka hadn't ventured very far on her journey when she realized that the volcano had begun to smoke thickly, trailing lava towards Hōpoe's home of Kea'au. It was not long before the smolder of smoke burst into a scorching fire. Despite being filled with a sense of dread, sensing that her sister had betrayed her promise, Hi'iaka continued her journey. At last, Hi'iaka found Lohi'au, unfortunately, all that remained of him was his lifeless corpse. Keenly aware that she could not return Lohi'au to her sister in such a state, Hi'iaka used her healing powers to return his wandering spirit back into his body.

By this time, because of the amount of time taken by Hi'iaka, Pele was furious. She shook the earth with great ferocity and heaved her lava in a torrent of devastation, annihilating Hi'iaka's '*ōhi'a lehua* forest, obliterating all of Puna, and finally consuming Hōpoe as she lingered by the sea. In her death, Hōpoe was transformed into a stone at the coast of Kea'au; a stone, carefully balanced alongside the sea, that would dance gracefully when touched by the surf. Hi'iaka, her heart bitter with her sister's betrayal, brought Lohi'au back to Puna as she swore she would. There, enraged by her sister's spiteful acts, Hi'iaka fought a brutal battle with Pele. Fearing that the two sisters would destroy the entire island, the elder gods finally intervened and ended the battle.

A map prepared in 1930 and filed with Land Court Application 1053 (see Figure 5 of Appendix 5), labels the coastal lands on the eastern side of Kaloli Point as "Hopoe," suggesting that the events of *Ka Mo'olelo O Hi'iakaikapoliopele* may have occurred in the general vicinity of the Barry Property. Maly (1999:138) indicated that "Hōpoe embodied the *lehua* forest of Kea'au that extended across the flats that make up what is now called Kaloli Point." The stone believed to be Hi'iaka's companion, Hōpoe, was moved by a *tsunami* in 1946 (Maly 1999:134; Pukui et al. 1974:52), and no longer dances along the shore of Kea'au Ahupua'a.

In 1823, British missionary William Ellis and members of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions toured the island of Hawai'i seeking out communities in which to establish church centers for the growing Calvinist mission. Ellis recorded observations made during this tour in a journal (Ellis 2004). Walking southwest to northeast along the southeastern shore of the District of Puna with his missionary companions Asa Thurston and Artemas Bishop, Ellis described residences and practices in the district, and provided the first written description of Kea'au (or Hā'ena) Village and its environs:

The country was populous, but the houses stood singly, or in small clusters, generally on the plantations, which were scattered over the whole country. Grass and herbage were abundant, vegetation in many places luxuriant, and the soil, though shallow, was light and fertile.

Soon after 5 P.M., we reached Kaau [Kea'au], the last village in the division of Puna. It was extensive and populous, abounding well with cultivated plantations of taro, sweet

potatoes, and sugar-cane, and probably owes its fertility to a fine rapid stream, which, descending from the mountains, runs through it into the sea.

(Ellis 2004:296).

When Ellis visited Puna, less than fifty years after the arrival of the first Europeans, the population of Hawai'i was already beginning to decline (Maly 1998). By the mid-nineteenth century, the ever-growing population of Westerners in the Hawaiian Islands forced socioeconomic and demographic changes that promoted the establishment of a Euro-American style of land ownership, and the *Māhele 'Āina* (Land Division) of 1848 became the vehicle for determining the ownership of native lands within the island kingdom. During the *Māhele*, native tenants could also claim, and acquire title to, *kuleana* parcels that they actively lived on or farmed. As a result of the *Māhele*, Kea'au Ahupua'a was awarded to William C. Lunalilo (the future, and first elected, monarch of the Hawaiian Islands) as *'āpana* (parcel) 16 of Land Commission Award 8559B. Kea'au was one of sixty-five *ahupua'a* maintained by Lunalilo following the *Māhele*. In Puna, very few claims for *kuleana* were submitted. Maly (1998:37) notes that with the exception of the islands of Kaho'olawe and Ni'ihau, no other land division of comparable size had fewer claims for *kuleana* from native tenants than the district of Puna. Only two *kuleana* (LCAw. 2327 to Barenaba and LCAw. 8081 to Hewahewa) were awarded within Kea'au Ahupua'a, neither of which is in close proximity to the Barry Property (Maly 1999).

Although Puna had been exposed to missionary presence since the 1820s, early pre-*Māhele* narratives portray the district as still heavily rooted in tradition, and only marginally impacted by foreign influence. While earlier narratives describe the region as densely populated with settlements in both coastal and inland settings, subsequent accounts reveal a sharp decline in the native population throughout the nineteenth century, with Hawaiians maintaining marginalized communities outside of the population centers. During the middle part of the nineteenth century, Puna's population declined by more than half, from 4,800 in 1835 to 2,158 in 1860 (Anderson 1865), and continued decreasing to a mere 1,043 by 1878 and 944 by 1884 (Thrum 1885 and 1886). Lifeways for the Hawaiian population still residing in Puna underwent drastic changes during the second half of the nineteenth century, as the traditional villages and subsistence activities were mostly abandoned.

By the beginning of the twentieth century, Puna was on the verge of major economic growth, spurred by the booming sugar and lumber industries. Increasing urbanization of Puna, and particularly Kea'au, were initially propelled by the sale of the *ahupua'a* to William Herbert (W.H.) Shipman, J. Eldarts, and Samuel Damon by the King Lunalilo Estate in 1882. Campbell and Ogburn (1992) relate that with land leased from Shipman, a small group of investors (B.F. Dillingham, Lorrin A. Thurston, Alfred W. Carter, and Samuel M. Damon) created and developed the 'Õla'a Sugar Company, which operated on lands *mauka* of the Barry Property between 1899 and 1984. The immediate area near the Barry Property was too rocky for the cultivation of sugarcane, and was used by the Shipman family as ranch/grazing land until the late 1950s, when it was subdivided into Hawaiian Paradise Park and sold as individual lots.

Kepā Maly conducted archival-historical research, consultation, and a limited site preservation plan in 1999 for the Kea'au section of the Puna Trail-Old Government Road for *Nā Ala Hele*, the Hawai'i

Statewide Trail and Access System. Maly's study identified traditions and practices associated with Kea'au Ahupua'a, including travel along the Puna Trail. As part of the work he identified significant features along the coastal landscape. The oral history component focused on recording the accounts of four individuals who utilized the Puna Trail and were knowledgeable about the coastal portion of Kea'au. Maly (1999) indicated that the Puna Trail evolved from the trail system known as the *ala loa*, which passed through the Puna District, and connected to the various districts on the island.

In 1998, Maly conducted an interview with John Ka'iewe Jr., who identified several old villages in the coastal section of Kea'au that had not been noted by McEldowney (1979), namely Pākī and Keauhou, both located between Kaloli Point and Hā'ena. Mr. Ka'iewe described the cultivating grounds for these villages being between the shore and the Old Government Road, as well as on the *mauka* side of the road. Mr. Ka'iewe also described gathering marine resources in this area including 'opihi, wana, and *limu*. Following World War II, Mr. Ka'iewe specified that access had become restricted on the Old Government Road and that "the section of the road from Kaloli to Hā'ena was opened up for military vehicles" (Maly 1999:133). The presence of burials along the coast between Kea'au to Maku'u was also noted by Mr. Ka'iewe.

Roy Shipman Blackshear, a descendant of William H. and Mary Shipman, was also interviewed by Maly (1999). Mr. Blackshear described traveling along the Old Government Road and coastal lands of Kea'au. With respect to coastal sites, Mr. Blackshear described the fishpond and $k\bar{u}$ 'ula (fishing shrines) stones at Kea'au Bay, a possible burial site on the mauka side of the Puna Trail near the Hōpoe vicinity, and old house sites and walls located along the portion of the trail extending from Hā'ena to Pākī and Keauhou. Mr. Blackshear also noted an old *heiau* and burial sites crossed by the Puna Trail in Waikahekahe Nui. As part of this same study, Maly (1999) conducted an interview with a father and son, Albert Haa Sr. and Albert Haa Jr, who shared their experiences in traveling along the entire Kea'au shoreline for fishing. Mr. Haa described traveling along the shoreline trail from Hā'ena to Pākī instead of using the old Government Road. Mr. Haa also noted the presence of a large coastal cave but did not specify its location.

Existing Environment: Cultural, Historical or Natural Resources

The cultural-historical, archaeological, and ethnographic studies reviewed for this analysis revealed the cultural context of the Barry Property and surrounding properties are located in the vicinity of Hōpoe, a place described in the epic account of *Pele and Hi'iaka*. Hōpoe was the name of Hi'iaka's companion and also the name of her beloved ' $\bar{o}hi'a$ grove, both of which were destroyed by her sister Pele. On a mythic level, this Hawaiian legendary account explains the major transformation of the Puna landscape through the interaction of gods and goddesses associated with the islands' volcanic and geological forces. Culturally significant trails connected traditional settlements and villages established in areas with favorable conditions for both marine resources collection and horticultural activities. The nearby Puna Trail-Old Government Road, a marked trail currently managed by $N\bar{a}$ Ala Hele, is a historic site. Although a variety of marine resources may be procured from the coast near the Barry Property, the absence of cultivatable soil made this area a less favorable location for permanent settlement and traditional habitation.

The Barry Property itself has limited value in terms of these resources. As discussed in the previous section, an archaeological field inspection of the Barry Property by ASM Affiliates determined that no archaeological features are present on the surface of the Barry Property. No specific cultural sites were identified by any sources or informants. However, the context of the Barry Property along the Kaloli Point coastline puts it within an area frequently accessed for subsistence marine resource collection, including, but not limited to, fishing and the collection of *'opihi (Cellana sp.)*. An unpaved road located at the north end of Paradise Ala Kai Street and two County-owned parcels within a quarter mile of the Barry Property provide pedestrian access to the coast where fishermen can walk south along the coastline.

Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Given the distance between the Barry Property and any identified natural, cultural, and historical resources, the proposed DBA and construction and occupation of the Project would not adversely affect any of these valued resources. The shoreline has been and continues to be accessed by local fishermen to procure a variety of marine resources. The collection of marine resources for subsistence purposes is a traditional and customary practice. The Barrys are Hawai'i residents who are well aware of the rights of the public to utilize the area *makai* of the shoreline and the subsistence and cultural importance of these practices. Given this, there should be no adverse impact to valued cultural, historical, or natural resources, or any cultural practice, and therefore no mitigation measures are necessary or appropriate. No party reviewing the Draft EA provided any additional cultural information.

3.3 Public Roads, Services and Utilities

3.3.1 Roads and Access

Existing Environment, Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Road access to the Barry Property is provided via Paradise Ala Kai Drive, the most *makai* road in this portion of Hawaiian Paradise Park. Construction of a driveway would be required to build and occupy a dwelling. No impact on road networks or traffic would occur.

3.3.2 Public Utilities and Services

Environmental Setting, Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Electrical power to all the lots in the area is provided by HELCO poles, which also support landline telephone service. Domestic water for households in the majority of Hawaiian Paradise Park, including this area, is through catchment or wells. Wastewater from a future dwelling would require an <u>septie</u> <u>systemIWS</u> in conformance with requirements of the State Department of Health, found at Hawai'i Administrative Rules Title 11, Chapter 62 (Wastewater Systems).

Police, fire and emergency medical service are available about ten road miles away at new facilities on Highway 130 in Pahoa. For fire protection, the applicant proposes use of water tanks. No parks, schools or other public facilities are present nearby.

There will be no adverse impact to any public or private utilities. The addition of one dwelling will have no measurable adverse impact to or additional demand on public facilities such as schools, police or fire services, or recreational areas. The Barrys acknowledge and understand that this lot, along with almost all other residences in the Puna District, is not located within a mile of emergency services.

3.4 Secondary and Cumulative Impacts

Due to its small scale, the construction and occupation of the Project in this rural-agricultural neighborhood would not produce any major secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities.

Cumulative impacts result when implementation of several projects that individually have limited impacts combine to produce more severe impacts or conflicts in mitigation measures. The County of Hawai'i occasionally performs road maintenance on the Government Beach Road, located about two miles east. No substantial government or private projects such as roadways, schools, businesses, or subdivisions, are known to be occurring or in planning for this portion of Puna. There are thousands of vacant lots in Hawaiian Paradise Park. At any given time, it is normal to have a number of dwellings under construction. The adverse effects of building a single-family dwelling in this context are very minor and involve temporary disturbances to air quality, noise, traffic and visual quality during construction. Even if several dwellings are under construction simultaneously in the same general area, there should be no accumulation of substantial adverse impacts. Other than the precautions for preventing adverse impacts during construction listed above in Sections 3.1.3 and 3.1.6, no special mitigation measures should be required to counteract the small adverse cumulative effect.

3.5 Required Permits and Approvals

Under the assumption that the Project would eventually be built on the Barry Property, the following permits would be required:

County of Hawai'i:

Special Management Area Permit or Exemption Grubbing, Grading and Building Permits

State of Hawai'i:

Wastewater System Approval Water Well Permit (potential)

3.6 Consistency With Government Plans and Policies

3.6.1 Hawai'i State Plan

The Hawai'i State Planning Act, found in HRS Chapter 226 (the "**State Plan**"), is a comprehensive guide for the future long-range development of the State of Hawai'i. and provides goals, objectives, policies, and priorities for the State. Among other things, the State Plan's purposes are to identify the goals, objectives, policies, and priorities for the State of Hawai'i, provide a basis for allocating limited resources, and improve coordination between Federal, State and County agencies. The three themes underlying the State Plan are: (1) individual and family self-sufficiency; (2) social and economic mobility; and (3) community or social well-being. *See* HRS § 226-3.

The State Plan also provides numerous State goals and specific objectives and policies to achieve those goals. The State goals include a strong, viable, stable and diverse economy, the development of physical environments that are beautiful, clean and unique, and that enhance the mental and physical well-being of the residents, and the physical, social, and economic well-being for the people of Hawai'i that nourishes a sense of community responsibility and participation. *See* HRS § 226-4. The Project will further the above-described goals of the State Plan.

The State Plan is divided into three sections. Part 1 is Overall Theme, Goals, Objectives and Policies. Part 2 is Planning Coordination and Implementation. Part 3 is Priority Guidelines. The Project's consistency with applicable goals, objectives and policies of Parts 1 and 3 are discussed below; Part 2, which primarily covers internal government affairs, is not addressed.

Part I: Goals, Objectives and Policies of the Hawai'i State Plan

The Project conforms to the following goals, objectives and policies of the State Plan:

HRS § 226-4 – State Goals

(1) A strong, viable economy, characterized by stability, diversity, and growth, that enables the fulfillment of the needs and expectations of Hawaii's present and future generations.

(2) A desired physical environment, characterized by beauty, cleanliness, quiet, stable natural systems, and uniqueness, that enhances the mental and physical well-being of the people.

(3) Physical, social, and economic well-being, for individuals and families in Hawaii, that nourishes a sense of community responsibility, of caring, and of participation in community life.

HRS § 226-5 - Objectives and Policies for Population

(b)(2) Encourage an increase in economic activities and employment opportunities on the neighbor islands consistent with community needs and desires.

(b)(3) Promote increased opportunities for Hawai'i 's people to pursue their socio-economic aspirations throughout the islands.

HRS § 226-6 - Objective and Policies for the Economy in General

(a)(1) Increased and diversified employment opportunities to achieve full employment, increased income and job choice, and improved living standards for Hawaii's people, while at the same time stimulating the development and expansion of economic activities capitalizing on defense, dual-use, and science and technology assets, particularly on the neighbor islands where employment opportunities may be limited.

(b)(9) Strive to achieve a level of construction activity responsive to, and consistent with, state growth objectives.

(b)(11) Encourage labor-intensive activities that are economically satisfying and which offer opportunities for upward mobility.

(b)(14) Stimulate the development and expansion of economic activities which will benefit areas with substantial or expected employment problems.

<u>HRS § 226-11 – Objectives and Policies for the Physical Environment - Land-based,</u> <u>Shoreline, and Marine Resources</u>

(a)(1) Prudent use of Hawaii's land-based, shoreline, and marine resources.

(a)(2) Effective protection of Hawaii's unique and fragile environmental resources.

(b)(3) Take into account the physical attributes of areas when planning and designing activities and facilities.

HRS § 226-12 – Objective and Policies for the Physical Environment - Scenic, Natural Beauty, and Historic Resources

(b)(3) Promote the preservation of views and vistas to enhance the visual and aesthetic enjoyment of mountains, ocean, scenic landscapes, and other natural features.

(b)(5) Encourage the design of developments and activities that complement the natural beauty of the islands.

The Project is in conformance with the above-quoted goals, objectives, and policies of the State Plan, HRS §§ 226-4, 226-5, 226-6, 226-11 and 226-12 in several respects. First, with respect to HRS § 226-4, the Project involves the construction of a modest single-story dwelling and related agricultural uses that are in harmony with and maintain an existing physical environment that is beautiful, clean, quiet, and unique.

Second, with respect to HRS §§ 226-5 and 226-6, the Project will have a positive economic impact for the County through an increase in the tax base and the employment and sales generated by construction. When a multiplier effect is taken into consideration, this positive impact will be magnified.

Third, with respect to HRS § 226-11, the Project's design and planning takes into account the physical attributes of the Petition Area and neighboring lands. The dwelling will be sited towards the ocean, but well behind the shoreline area of the Petition Area, and any development on the Petition Area will be set back outside the lava shelf and shoreline shrub zones, thus avoiding these resources. Once completed, the Project will be in harmony with the existing homes along the coast in Hawaiian Paradise Park.

Finally, with respect to HRS § 226-12, no designated scenic vistas or viewplanes will be affected by the Project. There are some intermittent scenic views of the shoreline and sea along Paradise Ala Kai Drive between the numerous existing homes. Currently, heavy vegetation blocks all views through the Petition Area, and development of the Project will likely open up at least some coastal views.

Part II: Priority Guidelines

The Project conforms to the following goals, objectives and policies of the State Plan Priority Guidelines:

HRS § 226-103 – Economic Priority Guidelines

HRS § 226-103(*a*)(1) Seek a variety of means to increase the availability of investment capital for new and expanding enterprises.

- a. <u>Encourage investments which:</u>
- (i) Reflect long term commitments to the State;

- (ii) Rely on economic linkages within the local economy;
- (*iii*) *Diversify the economy;*
- (iv) Re-invest in the local economy;
- (v) Are sensitive to community needs and priorities; and

(vi) Demonstrate a commitment to management opportunities to Hawai'i residents.

HRS § 226-104 - Population Growth and Land Resources Priority Guidelines

(a)(1) Encourage planning and resource management to insure that population growth rates throughout the State are consistent with available and planned resource capacities and reflect the needs and desires of Hawai'i's people.

(b)(1) Encourage urban growth primarily to existing urban areas where adequate public facilities are already available or can be provided with reasonable public expenditures and away from areas where other important benefits are present, such as protection of important agricultural land or preservation of lifestyles.

(b)(2) Make available marginal or non-essential agricultural lands for appropriate urban uses while maintaining agricultural lands of importance in the agricultural district.

(b)(12) Utilize Hawaii's limited land resources wisely, providing adequate land to accommodate projected population and economic growth needs while ensuring the protection of the environment and the availability of the shoreline conservation lands, and other limited resources for future generations.

The Project is in keeping with the State Plan Priority Guidelines in several respects. First, the Puna District has been the County's fastest-growing district over the last thirty years. Second, the Hawaiian Paradise Park subdivision already has a significant number of existing dwellings, including along the coastline, and new dwellings continue to be built. Third, as discussed *supra*, the Petition Area's soils are generally poorly suited for agricultural uses, thereby making the Petition Area marginal, non-essential agricultural land that is appropriate for the Project.

3.6.2 Coastal Zone Management Area

The Hawai'i Coastal Zone Management Program, codified at Chapter 205A, HRS (CZMP), establishes

objectives and policies for the preservation, protection, and restoration of natural resources of Hawai'i Coastal Zone. The Coastal Zone Management area is defined as lands of the State and the area extending seaward from the shoreline to the limit of the State's police power and management authority, including the United States territorial sea.

The Special Management Area (SMA) Rules of the County of Hawai'i (SMA Rules) were established to implement the CZMP. SMA Rule 9-6 adopts and implements the objectives and policies of the CZMP set forth in HRS § 205A-2, and SMA Rule 9-10(h) sets forth criteria for determining whether a proposed action may have a substantial adverse environmental or ecological effect, while also taking into account potential cumulative adverse effects. Both are discussed in turn.

HRS § 205A-2 – CZMP OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

For the following reasons, the Project is consistent with the following objectives and policies of the CZMP set forth in HRS § 205A-2 and adopted in SMA Rule 9-6.

1. <u>RECREATIONAL RESOURCES</u>

Objective: *Provide coastal recreational opportunities accessible to the public.*

Policies: (*a*) *Improve coordination and funding of coastal recreational planning and management; and*

(b) Provide adequate, accessible, and diverse recreational opportunities in the coastal zone management area by:

(*i*) Protecting coastal resources uniquely suited for recreational activities that cannot be provided in other areas;

(ii) Requiring replacement of coastal resources having significant recreational value including, but not limited to surfing sites, fishponds, and sand beaches, when such resources will be unavoidably damaged by development; or requiring reasonable monetary compensation to the State for recreation when replacement is not feasible or desirable;

(*iii*) Providing and managing adequate public access, consistent with conservation of natural resources, to and along shorelines with recreational value;

(*iv*) *Providing an adequate supply of shoreline parks and other recreational facilities suitable for public recreation;*

(v) Ensuring public recreational uses of county, state, and federally owned or controlled shoreline lands and waters having recreational value consistent with public safety standards and conservation of natural resources;

(vi) Adopting water quality standards and regulating point and nonpoint sources of pollution to protect, and where feasible, restore the recreational value of coastal waters;

(vii) Developing new shoreline recreational opportunities, where appropriate, such as artificial lagoons, artificial beaches, and artificial reefs for surfing and fishing; and

(viii) Encouraging reasonable dedication of shoreline areas with recreational value for public use as part of discretionary approvals or permits by the land use commission, board of land and natural resources, and county authorities; and crediting such dedication against the requirements of section 46-6;

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to recreational resources. The Project will not adversely affect recreational opportunities accessible to the public, as lateral shoreline access would not be affected. The Barry Property does not have an official or unofficial shoreline trail either above or below the sea cliff. The area below the cliff is topographically difficult and no continuous access is possible. The pahoehoe shelf *mauka* of the sea cliff is easily walkable (see photos in Figure 2) and is occasionally used by fishermen who are traversing the coast looking for ulua fishing or opihi gathering sites. The Barrys are Hawai'i residents who are well aware of the rights of the public to utilize these areas and the cultural and subsistence importance of these practices.

2. HISTORIC RESOURCES

Objective: Protect, preserve and, where desirable, restore those natural and manmade historic and prehistoric resources in the coastal zone management area that are significant in Hawaiian and American history and culture.

Policies: (a) Identify and analyze significant archeological resources;

(b) Maximize information retention through preservation of remains and artifacts or salvage operations; and

(c) Support state goals for protection, restoration, interpretation, and display of historic resources.

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to historic resources. As discussed *supra*, a field inspection of the Barry Property parcel was conducted by ASM Affiliates. The field inspection revealed that no archaeological features are present on the surface of the parcel, and determined that the likelihood of encountering subsurface resources is extremely remote given the exposed bedrock ground surface. In the unlikely event that unanticipated archaeological resources are unearthed within the Barry Property, work in the immediate vicinity of those resources would be halted and the appropriate authorities notified. The Project is not expected to have an adverse effect on historical or archaeological resources.

3. SCENIC AND OPEN SPACE RESOURCES

Objective: *Protect, preserve, and, where desirable, restore or improve the quality of coastal scenic and open space resources.*

Policies: (a) Identify valued scenic resources in the coastal zone management area;

(b) Ensure that new developments are compatible with their visual environment by designing and locating such developments to minimize the alteration of natural landforms and existing public views to and along the shoreline;

(c) Preserve, maintain, and, where desirable, improve and restore shoreline open space and scenic resources; and

(d) Encourage those developments that are not coastal dependent to locate in inland areas.

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to scenic and open space resources. No designated scenic vistas or view planes would be affected by the Project. Currently, heavy vegetation blocks all views through the Barry Property; development of a dwelling on the site would likely open up at least some coastal views.

4. <u>COASTAL ECOSYSTEMS</u>

Objective: *Protect valuable coastal ecosystems, including reefs, from disruption and minimize adverse impacts on all coastal ecosystems.*

Policies: (*a*) *Exercise an overall conservation ethic, and practice stewardship in the protection, use, and development of marine and coastal resources;*

(b) Improve the technical basis for natural resource management;

(c) Preserve valuable coastal ecosystems, including reefs, of significant biological or economic importance;

(d) Minimize disruption or degradation of coastal water ecosystems by effective regulation of stream diversions, channelization, and similar land and water uses, recognizing competing water needs; and

(e) Promote water quantity and quality planning and management practices that reflect the tolerance of fresh water and marine ecosystems and maintain and enhance water quality through the development and implementation of point and nonpoint source water pollution control measures.

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to coastal ecosystems. The general shoreline area in Hawaiian Paradise Park already supports hundreds of dwellings and is utilized by residents and property owners to park vehicles and fish, and there are no reported water quality problems from these uses. Upon completion, the Project would be similar to the existing dwellings on shoreline lots in the area, and would not be expected to contribute to sedimentation, erosion, and pollution of coastal waters.

At the time development is proposed, the Barrys and their engineer will determine whether the area of disturbance is sufficiently large to require a County grading permit or National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. Grading for the driveway and dwelling site will include practices to minimize the potential for sedimentation, erosion and pollution of coastal waters.

5. ECONOMIC USES

Objective: *Provide public or private facilities and improvements to the State's economy in suitable locations.*

Policies: (a) Concentrate coastal development in appropriate areas;

(b) Ensure that coastal development such as harbors and ports, and coastal related development such as visitor facilities and energy generating facilities, are located, designed, and constructed to minimize adverse social, visual, and environmental impacts in the coastal zone management area; and

(c) Direct the location and expansion of coastal dependent developments to areas presently designated and used for such developments and permit reasonable long-terms growth at such areas, and permit coastal dependent development outside of presently designated areas when:

(i) Use of presently designated locations is not feasible;

(ii) Adverse environmental effects are minimized; and

(iii) The development is important to the State's economy.

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to economic uses. The Barry Property is situated along the coastline in Hawaiian Paradise Park. Hawaiian Paradise Park has been in continual stages of development for decades and a significant number of shoreline lots within Hawaiian Paradise Park already have dwellings of similar size to the Project. In addition, the Project will provide a small, but positive economic benefits to the County through an increase in the tax base, and employment and sales generated by construction of the Project. When a multiplier effect is taken into consideration, this positive impact will be magnified.

6. COASTAL HAZARDS

Objectives: *Reduce hazard to life and property from tsunami, storm waves, stream flooding, erosion, subsidence and pollution.*

Policies: (a) Develop and communicate adequate information about storm wave, tsunami, flood, erosion, subsidence, and non-point source pollution hazards;

(b) Control development in areas subject to storm wave, tsunami, flood, erosion, hurricane, wind, subsidence, point and non-point pollution hazards;

(c) Ensure that developments comply with requirements of the Federal Flood Insurance Program; and

(d) Prevent coastal flooding from inland projects.

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to coastal hazards.

The majority of the Barry Property is located in Flood Zone "X," which represents areas outside of the 0.2% annual chance flood plain. A small portion of the Property along the shoreline is within the "VE" Flood Zone (also known as the Coastal High Hazard Area), which is the area subject to high velocity water including waves and tsunamis, and is defined by the 1% annual chance (base) flood limits and wave effects of three (3) feet or greater. However, no development activities are proposed within the portion of the Property located in the "VE" Flood Zone.

As discussed *supra*, a Coastal Erosion and Volcanic Hazard Report was prepared or the Project and is included with this <u>Draft-Final</u> EA as Appendix 2. That report concluded that the Barry Property is suitable for the Project.

The Property is within the County's tsunami inundation zone and is subject to tsunami evacuation. However, dwellings are very common along this portion of the coastline, and the Project does not present any extraordinary tsunami risks.

At the appropriate time, an engineer will determine whether the Project will require a County grading permit or National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit. Plans for grading the driveway and dwelling lot will seek to minimize the potential for sedimentation, erosion and pollution of coastal waters. The general shoreline area in Hawaiian Paradise Park already supports a significant number of dwellings, and is utilized by residents and the public to park vehicles and fish, and there are no reported water quality problems from these uses. Upon its completion, the Project would be similar to the existing dwellings on shoreline lots in this area and is not anticipated to contribute to sedimentation, erosion, or pollution of coastal waters.

7. MANAGING DEVELOPMENT

Objective: *Improve the development review process, communication, and public participation in the management of coastal resources and hazards.*

Policies: (a) Use, implement, and enforce existing law effectively to the maximum extent possible in managing present and future coastal zone development;

(b) Facilitate timely processing of applications for development permits and resolve overlapping or conflicting permit requirements; and

(c) Communicate the potential short and long-term impacts of proposed significant coastal developments early in their life cycle and in terms understandable to the public to facilitate public participation in the planning and review process;

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to managing development. Through the EA process under HRS Chapter 343, as well as the DBA process under HRS Chapter 205, the Project will undergo review and decision by the LUC. Both processes provide opportunity for public review and comment on the Project. In addition, through these processes, the potential short- and long-term impacts of the Project will be identified and analyzed, and, to the extent necessary, appropriate mitigation measures will be presented at early stages of the Project.

8. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Objective: *Stimulate public awareness, education, and participation in coastal management.*

Policies: (*a*) *Promote public involvement in coastal zone management processes;*

(b) Disseminate information on coastal management issues by means of educational materials, published reports, staff contact, and public

workshops for persons and organizations concerned with coastal-related issues, developments, and government activities; and

(c) Organize workshops, policy dialogues, and site-specific mediations to respond to coastal issues and conflicts.

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to public participation. As a part of <u>preparing</u> this <u>Draft-Final</u> EA, Petitioners <u>will</u> engaged in outreach efforts with community organizations, groups, interested individuals, as well as with County and State agencies. This outreach started with the early consultation process and <u>will</u>-continued through the publication and dissemination of th<u>e</u> Draft EA to all relevant County, State and Federal agencies and organizations, as well as community organizations, interested individuals, and elected officials.

9. BEACH PROTECTION

Objective: *Protect beaches for public use and recreation.*

Policies: (a) Locate structures inland from the shoreline setback to conserve open space and to minimize loss of improvements due to erosion;

(b) Prohibit construction of private erosion-protection structures seaward of the shoreline, except when they result in improved aesthetic and engineering solutions to erosion at the sites and do not interfere with existing recreational and waterline activities;

(c) Minimize the construction of public erosion-protection structures seaward of the shoreline; and

(d) Prohibit private property owners from creating a public nuisance by inducing or cultivating the private property owner's vegetation in a beach transit corridor; and

(E) Prohibit private property owners from creating a public nuisance by allowing the private property owner's unmaintained vegetation to interfere or encroach upon a beach transit corridor.

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to beach protection and recreation. The Barry Property does not have an official or unofficial shoreline trail either above or below the sea cliff. The area below the cliff is topographically difficult and no continuous access is possible. The pahoehoe shelf *mauka* of the sea cliff is easily walkable (see photos in Figure 2) and is occasionally used by fishermen who are traversing the coast looking for ulua fishing or opihi gathering sites. The Barrys are Hawai'i residents who are well aware of the rights of the public to utilize these areas and the cultural and subsistence importance of these practices.

The Project does not involve the construction of erosion-protection structures and will not result in the creation of nuisances, through uncontrolled vegetation or otherwise.

10. MARINE RESOURCES

Objective: Implement the State's ocean resources management plan.

Policies: (a) Exercise an overall conservation ethic, and practice stewardship in the protection, use, and development of marine and coastal resources;

(b) Assure the use and development of marine and coastal resources are ecologically and environmentally sound and economically beneficial;

(c) Coordinate the management of marine and coastal resources and activities management to improve effectiveness and efficiency;

(d) Assert and articulate the interests of the State as a partner with federal agencies in the sound management of ocean resources within the United States exclusive economic zone;

(e) Promote research, study, and understanding of ocean processes, marine life, and other ocean resources in order to acquire and inventory information necessary to understand how ocean development activities relate to and impact upon ocean and coastal resources; and

(f) Encourage research and development of new, innovative technologies for exploring, using, or protecting marine and coastal resources.

The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies related to marine resources. The general shoreline area in Hawaiian Paradise Park already supports hundreds of dwellings and is utilized by residents and property owners to park vehicles and fish, and there are no reported water quality problems from these uses. Upon completion, the Project would be similar to the existing dwellings on shoreline lots in the area, and would not be expected to contribute to sedimentation, erosion, and pollution of coastal waters.

At the time development is proposed, the Barrys and their engineer will determine whether the area of disturbance is sufficiently large to require a County grading permit or National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. Grading for the driveway and dwelling site will include practices to minimize the potential for sedimentation, erosion and pollution of coastal waters.

SMA RULE 9-10(H) – CRITERIA OF SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS

The entire Barry Property is within the SMA. The County of Hawai'i Planning Department requires

Page 46

preparation of an SMA Assessment Application to ensure that the proposed use complies with the objectives, policies and guidelines of the CZMP, and to determine whether a SMA permit is required. A single-family dwelling of the size proposed by the Barrys is usually determined to be an exempt action not requiring a SMA permit, as long as it does not have a cumulative impact, or a significant adverse environmental or ecological effect on the SMA. In determining whether a proposed action may have substantial adverse effects pursuant to SMA Rule 9-10(h), the Planning Director will consider whether the proposed action:

(1) Involves an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resource, including but not limited to, historic sites and view planes outlined in the General Plan or other adopted plans;

The Project does not involve the irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resource. As discussed in Sections 3.2.2 and 3.2.3, the Project will not adversely affect archaeological, historic or cultural resources. No historic sites are present or would be adversely affected by the Project. Aside from shoreline area uses, which would not be affected by the Project, there are no known cultural resources or practices. As also discussed in this Draft-Final EA, the Project will not adversely affect any other natural resources.

No designated scenic vistas or view planes would be affected by the Project. Currently, heavy vegetation blocks all views through the Barry Property; development of a dwelling on the site would likely open up at least some coastal views.

(2) Curtails the range of beneficial uses of the environment;

No restriction of the beneficial uses of the environment would occur through the construction, use and occupation of the Project. According to the 2017 State of Hawai'i Data Book, published by the State of Hawai'i Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism, there are approximately 1,973,846 acres of land classified within the SLU Conservation District. The Project involves the reclassification of approximately 0.51 acres of privately-owned SLU Conservation District Land, and will, therefore, not impact the public's access to or beneficial use of SLU Conservation District resources.

Moreover, the dwelling site would be set back from the shoreline and thus not restrict any shoreline uses such as hiking, fishing or water sports. Lateral pedestrian use of the shoreline area would not be impacted and there would be no adverse effect on the public's access to or enjoyment of this shoreline area.

(3) Conflicts with the long-term environmental policies or goals of the General Plan or the State Plan;

The State's long-term environmental policies are set forth in Chapter 344, Hawai'i Revised Statutes. The broad goals of this policy are to conserve natural resources and enhance the quality of life. The Project is minor in size and scope and basically environmentally benign, and is thus consistent with all elements of the State's long-term environmental policies. The Project's consistency with the County General Plan is discussed *infra*.

Page 47

(4) Substantially affects the economic or social welfare and activities of the community, County or State;

The Project will not adversely affect the economic or social welfare and activities of the community, County or State. The Project will have a positive economic impact for the County through an increase in the tax base and the employment and sales generated by construction. When a multiplier effect is taken into consideration, this positive impact will be magnified.

(5) Involves substantial secondary impacts, such as population changes and effects on public facilities;

As discussed in Section 3.4, given its small scale, the construction and occupation of the Project in this rural-agricultural neighborhood would not produce any major secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities.

(6) In itself has no substantial adverse effect but cumulatively has considerable adverse effect upon the environment or involves a commitment for larger actions;

As also discussed in Section 3.4, the Project is not anticipated to result in substantial cumulative impacts. There are thousands of vacant lots in Hawaiian Paradise Park and, at any given time, it is normal to have a number of dwellings under construction. The adverse effects of building a single-family dwelling in this context are very minor and involve temporary disturbances to air quality, noise, traffic and visual quality during construction. Even if several dwellings are under construction simultaneously in the same general area, there should be no accumulation of substantial adverse impacts.

(7) Substantially affects a rare, threatened, or endangered species of animal or plant, or its habitat;

As discussed in Section 3.1.4, the Project is not anticipated to have significant adverse impacts on any rare, threatened, or endangered species of animal or plant, or their habitat. Thorough survey has determined that no endangered plant species are present on the Barry Property. The Hawaiian hoary bat, which was not observed on the Barry Property but has been observed elsewhere in Hawaiian Paradise Park, is an island wide-ranging species that will experience no adverse impacts from the Barry Project due to mitigation in the form of timing the removal of vegetation. Other than the hoary bat, no rare, threatened or endangered species of fauna are known to exist on or near the project site, and none would be affected by any Project activities.

(8) Detrimentally affects air or water quality or ambient noise levels;

The Project is not anticipated to detrimentally affect air or water quality, or ambient noises, although brief and minor adverse effects would occur during construction of the Project. Air quality in the Hawaiian Paradise Park area is generally excellent, due to its rural-agricultural nature and minimal degree of human activity. With implementation of Best Management Practices associated with grading permits, there would be no impacts from the Project on marine resources or coastal waters.

(9) Affects an environmentally sensitive area, such as flood plain, tsunami zone, erosionprone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water or coastal water; or

The Project will not affect any environmentally sensitive areas. The Barry Property is not situated over any natural drainage system or water feature that would flow into the nearby coastal system, and no floodplains are present in the area that would be expected to be developed. The threats posed by coastal hazards are addressed at length in Appendix 2 (and summarized in Section 3.1.1), which concludes that the Barry Property is suitable for the proposed Project.

(10) Is contrary to the objectives and policies of the Coastal Zone Management Program and the Special Management Area Guidelines of Chapter 205A, HRS.

As discussed *supra*, the Project is consistent with the policies and objectives of the CZMP.

If and when the Barrys proceed with constructing the Project, or any other permitted use, the County Planning Director will be asked to make the determination that the Project (or other use) is not considered a "development" under SMA Rules and Regulations of the County of Hawai'i, Section 9-4 (10) (B), and is otherwise not subject to an SMA permit. If for some reason the Director determines that the proposed use does qualify as development, the Barrys would need to obtain a SMA permit.

3.6.3 Hawai'i County General Plan

The General Plan for the County of Hawai'i (General Plan) is the document expressing the broad goals and policies for the long-range development of the Island of Hawai'i. The General Plan was adopted by ordinance in 1989 and revised in 2005. The General Plan's Land Use Allocation Guide Map designates the majority of the Barry Property as Rural, with the makai-most portion designated as Open, which, for shoreline parcels, the County has consistently interpreted as covering only the forty- (40) foot shoreline setback area. The General Plan is organized into thirteen elements, with policies, objectives, standards, and principles for each. There are also discussions of the specific applicability of each element to the nine judicial districts comprising the County of Hawai'i. Listed below are pertinent sections followed by a discussion of conformance.

ECONOMIC GOALS

(a) Provide residents with opportunities to improve their quality of life through economic development that enhances the County's natural and social environments.

(b) Economic development and improvement shall be in balance with the physical, social, and cultural environments of the island of Hawaii.

(d) Provide an economic environment that allows new, expanded, or improved economic opportunities that are compatible with the County's cultural, natural, and social environment.

Discussion: The proposed DBA and construction and occupation of the Project would be in balance with the natural, cultural and social environment of the County. It would increase the tax base, create

temporary construction jobs for local residents, and boost the economy through construction industry purchases from local suppliers. A multiplier effect takes place when these employees spend their income for food, housing, and other living expenses in the retail sector of the economy. Such activities are in keeping with the overall economic development of the island.

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY GOALS

(a) Define the most desirable use of land within the County that achieves an ecological balance providing residents and visitors the quality of life and an environment in which the natural resources of the island are viable and sustainable.

(b) Maintain and, if feasible, improve the existing environmental quality of the island.(c) Control pollution.

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY POLICIES

(a) Take positive action to further maintain the quality of the environment.

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY STANDARDS

(a) Pollution shall be prevented, abated, and controlled at levels that will protect and preserve the public health and well being, through the enforcement of appropriate Federal, State and County standards.(b) Incorporate environmental quality controls either as standards in appropriate ordinances or as conditions of approval.

(c) Federal and State environmental regulations shall be adhered to.

Discussion: The proposed DBA and construction and occupation of the Project would not have a substantial adverse effect on the environment and would not diminish the valuable natural resources of the region. The home and associated improvements would be compatible with the existing rural-agricultural uses in the area. Pertinent environmental regulations would be followed, including those for mitigation of water quality impacts.

HISTORIC SITES GOALS

(a) Protect, restore, and enhance the sites, buildings, and objects of significant historical and cultural importance to Hawaii.

(b) Appropriate access to significant historic sites, buildings, and objects of public interest should be made available.

HISTORIC SITES POLICIES

(a) Agencies and organizations, either public or private, pursuing knowledge about historic sites should keep the public apprised of projects.

(b) Amend appropriate ordinances to incorporate the stewardship and protection of historic sites,

Page 50

buildings and objects.

(c) Require both public and private developers of land to provide historical and archaeological surveys and cultural assessments, where appropriate, prior to the clearing or development of land when there are indications that the land under consideration has historical significance.

(d) Public access to significant historic sites and objects shall be acquired, where appropriate.

Discussion: No archaeological sites are present. The only cultural resources or practices are associated with traditional fishing and shellfish gathering *makai* of the lot, which will not be affected.

FLOOD CONTROL AND DRAINAGE GOALS

(a) Protect human life.

- (b) Prevent damage to man-made improvements.
- (c) Control pollution.
- (d) Prevent damage from inundation.
- (e) Reduce surface water and sediment runoff.
- (f) Maximize soil and water conservation.

FLOOD CONTROL AND DRAINAGE POLICIES

(a) Enact restrictive land use and building structure regulations in areas vulnerable to severe damage due to the impact of wave action. Only uses that cannot be located elsewhere due to public necessity and character, such as maritime activities and the necessary public facilities and utilities, shall be allowed in these areas.

(g) Development-generated runoff shall be disposed of in a manner acceptable to the Department of Public Works and in compliance with all State and Federal laws.

FLOOD CONTROL AND DRAINAGE STANDARDS

(a) "Storm Drainage Standards," County of Hawaii, October, 1970, and as revised.

(b) Applicable standards and regulations of Chapter 27, "Flood Control," of the Hawaii County Code.

(c) Applicable standards and regulations of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

(d) Applicable standards and regulations of Chapter 10, "Erosion and Sedimentation Control," of the Hawaii County Code.

(e) Applicable standards and regulations of the Natural Resources Conservation Service and the Soil and Water Conservation Districts.

Discussion: The proposed Project improvements will be sited entirely within Flood Zone X, or areas outside of the 500-year floodplain as determined by detailed methods in FEMA's Flood Insurance Rate Maps. The project will conform to applicable drainage regulations and policies of the County of Hawai'i.

NATURAL BEAUTY GOALS

(a) Protect, preserve and enhance the quality of areas endowed with natural beauty, including the quality of coastal scenic resources.

(b) Protect scenic vistas and view planes from becoming obstructed.

(c) Maximize opportunities for present and future generations to appreciate and enjoy natural and scenic beauty.

NATURAL BEAUTY POLICIES

(a) Increase public pedestrian access opportunities to scenic places and vistas.

(b) Develop and establish view plane regulations to preserve and enhance views of scenic or prominent landscapes from specific locations, and coastal aesthetic values.

Discussion: The Project would be minor and in keeping with long-standing uses of the area, and would not cause scenic impacts or impede access.

NATURAL RESOURCES AND SHORELINES GOALS

(a) Protect and conserve the natural resources from undue exploitation, encroachment and damage.(b) Provide opportunities for recreational, economic, and educational needs without despoiling or endangering natural resources.

(c) Protect and promote the prudent use of Hawaii's unique, fragile, and significant environmental and natural resources.

(d) Protect rare or endangered species and habitats native to Hawaii.

(e) Protect and effectively manage Hawaii's open space, watersheds, shoreline, and natural areas.

(f) Ensure that alterations to existing land forms, vegetation, and construction of structures cause minimum adverse effect to water resources, and scenic and recreational amenities and minimum danger of floods, landslides, erosion, siltation, or failure in the event of an earthquake.

NATURAL RESOURCES AND SHORELINES POLICIES

(a) Require users of natural resources to conduct their activities in a manner that avoids or minimizes adverse effects on the environment.

(c) Maintain the shoreline for recreational, cultural, educational, and/or scientific uses in a manner that is protective of resources and is of the maximum benefit to the general public.

(d) Protect the shoreline from the encroachment of man-made improvements and structures.

(h) Encourage public and private agencies to manage the natural resources in a manner that avoids or minimizes adverse effects on the environment and depletion of energy and natural resources to the fullest extent.

(p) Encourage the use of native plants for screening and landscaping.

(r) Ensure public access is provided to the shoreline, public trails and hunting areas, including free public parking where appropriate.

(u) Ensure that activities authorized or funded by the County do not damage important natural resources.

Discussion: All Project improvements will be sited at appropriate distances from the shoreline to ensure that they will not affect shoreline resources or be damaged by waves or tides.

PUNA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The Puna Community Development Plan (CDP) encompasses the judicial district of Puna, and was developed under the framework of the General Plan. Community Development Plans are intended to translate broad General Plan Goals, Policies, and Standards into implementation actions as they apply to specific geographical regions around the County. CDPs are also intended to serve as a forum for community input into land-use, delivery of government services and any other matters relating to the planning area.

The Puna CDP does not specify land use in the project area, but contains the following Goals for Managing Growth that are relevant to the action.

- 3.1.1 Goals (for Managing Growth)
- a. Puna retains a rural character while it protects its native natural and cultural resources.
- b. The quality of life improves and economic opportunity expands for Puna's residents.
- d. Exposure to high risk from natural hazards situations is reduced.
- f. Native vegetation, coastal and historic resources are provided new forms of protection.

Discussion: The proposed DBA and the Project would not degrade the rural-agricultural character of the area, as the neighborhood is composed of similar dwellings and uses, all in the SLU Agricultural District. The Barry Property is within Volcanic Hazard Zone 3, and shares the same lava flow and seismic hazards as the rest of Hawaiian Paradise Park. The expected location of the dwelling on the Barry Property, well set back from the shoreline, would avoid coastal hazards. No native vegetation, rare species, coastal resources or historic sites will be adversely affected.

The construction of the Project is consistent with the Puna CDP.

3.6.4 Hawai'i County Zoning

The Barry Property is currently within the SLU Conservation District. The Barry Property is zoned by the County of Hawai'i within the Agricultural District, minimum lot size of one acre (A-1a), although County zoning per se does not apply in the Conservation District. The proposed DBA and the Project are consistent with the A1-a County zoning district.

PART 4: DETERMINATION, FINDINGS AND REASONS

4.1 Determination

<u>Based on the findings below, and upon consideration of comments to the Draft EA, on June 25, 2020, the</u> <u>LUC voted 7-0 (1 absent; 1 vacant) to: (a) find The Barrys expect that the LUC will determine that the</u> proposed action will <u>likely</u> not <u>have</u> significantly <u>impacts onalter</u> the environment, as impacts will be <u>minimal</u>; and <u>(b)</u> that this agency will accordingly issue a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). <u>This determination</u> will be based on comments to the Draft EA, and the Final EA will present the LUC's final determination.

4.2 Findings and Supporting Reasons

HAR § 11-200.1-13 outlines the factors agencies must consider when determining whether an Action has significant effects:

(a) In considering the significance of potential environmental effects, agencies shall consider and evaluate the sum of effects of the proposed action on the quality of the environment.

(b) In determining whether an action may have a significant effect on the environment, the agency shall consider every phase of a proposed action, the expected impacts, and the proposed mitigation measures. In most instances, an action shall be determined to have a significant effect on the environment if it may:

1. *Irrevocably commit a natural, cultural, or historic resource.*

The Project will not involve an irrevocable commitment, loss or destruction of any natural, cultural, or historic resources. No valuable natural or cultural resource would be committed or lost. Common native plants are present but native ecosystems would not be adversely affected. The Property is dominated by alien vegetation, with the only sensitive ecosystem being the shoreline vegetation, where common native plants mixed with weeds are present. It is expected that future development of the Project would avoid this area. No adverse impact upon vegetation or endangered species would occur. Because of the location and nature of the Project relative to sensitive vegetation and species, its construction, use and occupation are not likely to cause adverse biological impacts. No archaeological sites are present. The only cultural resources or practices are associated with traditional fishing and shellfish gathering makai of the Barry Property, which will not be affected.

2. *Curtail the range of beneficial uses of the environment.*

The construction, use and occupation of the Project will not curtail the range of beneficial uses of the environment. According to the 2017 State of Hawai'i Data Book, published by the State of Hawai'i Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism, there are approximately 1,973,846 acres

of land classified within the SLU Conservation District. The Project involves the reclassification of 0.51 acres of privately-owned SLU Conservation District Land, and will, therefore, not impact the public's access to or beneficial use of conservation resources.

3. Conflict with the State's environmental policies or long-term governmental goals established by *law*.

The Project will not conflict with the State's long-term environmental policies. The State's long-term environmental policies are set forth in Chapter 344, Hawai'i Revised Statutes. The broad goals of this policy are to conserve natural resources and enhance the quality of life. The Project is minor and basically environmentally benign, and it is thus consistent with all elements of the State's long-term environmental policies.

4. *Have a substantial adverse effect on the economic welfare, social welfare, or cultural practices of the community and State.*

The Project will not have a substantial adverse effect the economic welfare, social welfare or cultural practices of the community or State of Hawai'i. The Project will not affect cultural practices on or around the Property. The Project would also not adversely affect recreation, as lateral shoreline access would not be affected. The Project will have a small but positive economic impact for the County of Hawai'i through increase in the tax base and employment and sales generated by construction.

5. *Have a substantial adverse effect on public health.*

The Project would not substantially affect public health in any detrimental way. Wastewater will be disposed of in conformance with State of Hawai'i Department of Health regulations.

6. Involve adverse secondary impacts, such as population changes or effects on public facilities.

Given its small scale, the Project would not produce any major secondary impacts, such as population changes, or result in any adverse effects on public facilities.

7. Involve a substantial degradation environmental quality.

The Project is minor and essentially environmentally benign, and thus it would not contribute to environmental degradation.

8. Be individually limited but cumulatively have substantial adverse effect upon the environment or involves a commitment for larger actions.

The Project is not one which is individually limited but cumulatively may have considerable effect upon the environment or involves a commitment for larger actions. The adverse effects of building the Project are limited to very minor and temporary disturbances to traffic, air quality, noise, and visual quality.

There are no substantial government or private projects in construction or planning in the area, and no accumulation of adverse construction effects would be expected. Other than the precautions for preventing adverse effects during construction listed above, no special mitigation measures should be required to counteract the small adverse cumulative effect.

9. *Have a substantial adverse effect on a rare, threatened, or endangered species, or its habitat.*

The Project will not substantially affect any rare, threatened or endangered species of flora or fauna, or their habitat. Thorough survey has determined that no endangered plant species are present on the Barry Property. The Hawaiian hoary bat, which was not observed on the Barry Property but has been observed elsewhere in Hawaiian Paradise Park, is an island wide-ranging species that will experience no adverse impacts from the Project due to mitigation in the form of timing the removal of vegetation. Other than the hoary bat, no rare, threatened or endangered species of fauna are known to exist on or near the Barry Property, and none would be affected by any project activities.

10. Have a substantial adverse effect on air or water quality or ambient noise levels.

The Project will not detrimentally affect air or water quality or ambient noise levels. No direct effects to air, water, or ambient noise would occur from the SLU District Boundary Amendment. Eventual construction of the Project would involve brief, temporary and very minor effects that would occur during construction and would be mitigated. Future uses would be in harmony with neighboring land uses.

11. Have a substantial adverse effect on or be likely to suffer damage by being located in an environmentally sensitive area such as a flood plain, tsunami zone, sea level rise exposure area, beach, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters.

The Project would not affect, nor would it likely to be damaged as a result of being located in, environmentally sensitive areas such as a flood plain, tsunami zone, sea level rise exposure area, beach, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water, or coastal waters. In general, geologic conditions do not impose undue constraints on the Project, as much of the Puna District faces similar volcanic and seismic hazard. With respect to volcanic hazard, the Barry Property is in Zone 3, along with most of the settled area of Puna and nearly all of Hilo, and it is unlikely that prohibitions on dwellings in Zone 3 will be adopted as a reaction to the recent lava flows of Kilauea. Nevertheless, it must be acknowledged that lava flow hazard exists, and that responding to disasters has fiscal consequences for government agencies. The Barrys understand that there are hazards associated with developing in this geologic setting, and have made the decision that the Project is not imprudent to construct or inhabit. Damage to any future home from coastal erosion and other coastal hazards, including sea level rise, can be minimized or avoided altogether by appropriate siting of Project improvements as determined at the time of construction. In addition, the elevations on the Barry Property vary from about 12 to 25 feet above sea level, which further reduces the risks from such hazards.

12. Have a substantial adverse effect on scenic vistas and viewplanes, during day or night, identified in county or state plans or studies.

No scenic vistas or viewplanes identified on State or County plans or studies would be affected, day or night, by the Project. Intermittent scenic views of the shoreline and sea along Paradise Ala Kai Drive are present between the dozens of existing dwellings. Currently, heavy vegetation blocks all views through the Barry Property, so development of the Project would likely open up at least some coastal views through the Barry Property.

13. Require substantial energy consumption or emit substantial greenhouse gases.

The project would not require substantial energy consumption or emit substantial greenhouse gases. Negligible amounts of energy input would be required for the construction, use and occupation of the Project. Electrical power is available in the area from HELCO poles; however, the Barrys intend to install a solar photovoltaic (PV) system that will allow the Project to be powered completely, or at least partially, "off-grid."

REFERENCES

Banko, W. E. 1980. "Population Histories – Species Accounts Seabirds: Newell's Shearwater ('A'o)." Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Department of Botany, Technical Report #5A.

Cazenave, A., Le Cozannet, G. 2014. Sea level rise and its coastal impacts, Open-Access American Geophysical Union Journal of Earth's Future, DOI: 10.1002/2013EF000188.

DeConto, R.M. and D. Pollard. 2016. "Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise" *Nature* 531, 591–597 (31 March 2016). doi:10.1038/nature17145.

Ellis, W. 2004. *Journal of William Ellis: a Narrative of an 1823 Tour Through Hawaii*. Australia: Mutual Publishing.

Fletcher, C. H., Grossman, E. E, Richmond, B. M. and Gibbs, A. E. 2002. *Atlas of Natural Hazards in the Hawaiian Coastal Zone*. U.S. Geological Survey, Geologic Investigations Series Map I-2761, scale 1:50,000.

Fletcher, C. H., Boyd, R., Neal, W. J., and Tice, V. 2010. *Living on the Shores of Hawaii – Natural Hazards, the Environment, and our Communities.* Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.

Gagne, W., and L. Cuddihy. 1990. "Vegetation," pp. 45-114 in W.L. Wagner, D.R. Herbst, and S.H. Sohmer, eds., *Manual of the Flowering Plants of* Hawai'i. 2 vols. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.

Giambelluca, T.W., Q. Chen, A.G. Frazier, J.P. Price, Y.-L. Chen, P.-S. Chu, J.K. Eischeid, and D.M. Delparte. 2014. Online Rainfall Atlas of Hawai'i. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00228.1.

Handy, E.S.C., and E.G. Handy (with M. Pukui). 1991. *Native Planters in Old Hawaii: Their Life, Lore and Environment*. B.P. Bishop Museum Bulletin 223 (rev. ed.). Honolulu: Department of Anthropology, Bishop Museum Press.

Hawai'i Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Commission (HCCMAC). 2017. *Hawai'i Sea Level Rise Vulnerability and Adaptation Report*. Prepared by Tetra Tech, Inc. and the State of Hawai'i. Department of Land and Natural Resources, Office of Conservation and Coastal Lands, under the State of Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources Contract No: 64064.

Hawai'i County Planning Department. 2008. Puna Community Development Plan. Hilo.

Hwang, D. J., 2005. *Hawaii Coastal Zone Mitigation Handbook: Hawaii Coastal Zone Management Program. DBEDT, State of Hawaii.*

2007. Coastal Subsidence at Kapoho, Puna, Island and State of Hawaii. Private report for Hawai'i County Planning Department.

Lass, B. 1997. *Reconnaissance Survey Along the Old Government Road, Kea'au, Puna, Island of Hawai'i*. Department of Anthropology University of Hawai'i of Hawai'i-Hilo. Prepared for DLNR-DOFAW-Na Ala Hele.

Maly, K. 1998. Puna, Ka 'Āina I Ka Hikina A Ka Lā" A Cultural Assessment Study – Archival and Historical Documentary Research and Oral History Interviews For the Ahupua'a of 'Ahalanui, Laepāo'o, and Oneloa (with Pohoiki), District of Puna, Island of Hawai'i. Report HiPu-15b (073198). Prepared for David Matsuura, A & O International Corporation, Oneloa Development. Hilo, Hawai'i.

1999. The Historic Puna Trail—Old Government Road (Kea'au Section): Archival-Historical Documentary Research, Oral History and Consultation Study, and Limited Site Preservation Plan, Ahupua'a of Kea'au, Puna District, Island of Hawai'i (TMK:1-6-01 various parcels). Prepared by Kumu Pono Associates Report HiAla-17 (011199). Prepared for Na Ala Hele Program, Hawai'i State Division of Forestry and Wildlife.

Mattox, T. N. and Mangan, M.T.,1997. "Littoral hydrovolcanic explosions – a case study of lava-seawater interaction at Kilauea volcano." *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 75(1):1-17.

McEldowney, H. 1979. Archaeological and Historical Literature Search and Research Design: Lava Flow Control Study. Hilo, Hawai'i. Department of Anthropology, B.P. Bishop Museum, MS: 050879, Honolulu. Prepared for U.S. Army Engineer Division, Pacific Ocean, Honolulu.

Moore, J. G. and Fornari, D. J. 1984. "Drowned reefs as indicators of the rate of subsidence of the Island of Hawaii." *Journal of Geology* 92:752-759.

Moore, R.B. and Trusdell, F.A. 1991. *Geologic Map of the Lower East Rift Zone of Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii.* U. S. Geological Survey Misc. Investigations Map I-2225.

Pukui, M.K., S.H. Elbert, and E.T. Mookini. 1974. *Place Names of Hawaii*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.

Pukui, M.K. 1983. '*Olelo No'eau*. Bishop Museum Special Publication 71. Honolulu: Bishop Museum Press.

Rahmstorf, S., M. Perrette, and M. Vermeer. 2012. "Testing the robustness of semi-empirical sea level projections." *Climate Dynamics* 39: 861-875, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1226-7.

Thrum, T. 1885. *Hawaiian Almanac and Annual for 1885, A Hand Book of Information On Matters Relating to the Hawaiian Islands, Original and Selected, of Value to Merchants, Planters, Tourists and Others.* Thos. G. Thrum, Honolulu.
Barry Family Project Environmental Assessment

U.S. Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ). 2016. *Final Guidance for Federal Departments and Agencies on Consideration of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and the Effects of Climate Change in National Environmental Policy Act Reviews*. Guidance dated August 1, 2016, signed by C. Goldfuss, CEQ.

U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census, 2011, <u>http://factfinder.census.gov/</u>.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2018. USFWS Threatened and Endangered Species System (TESS). Washington: GPO. http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2000. *Seismic Hazard Maps for Hawaii*. By F.W. Klein, A.D. Frankel, C.S. Mueller, R.L. Wesson and P.G. Okubo.

U.S. Soil Conservation Service. 1973. *Soil Survey of Island of Hawai'i, State of Hawai'i.* Washington: U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service.

University of Hawai'i at Hilo, Dept. of Geography. 1998. *Atlas of Hawai'i*. 3rd ed. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.

University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Sea Grant College Program. 2014. *Climate Change Impacts in Hawai'i - A summary of climate change and its impacts to Hawai'i's ecosystems and communities*. UNIHI-SEAGRANT-TT-12-04.

Westervelt, W.D. 1916. *Hawaiian Legends of Volcanoes (Mythology) Collected and Translated from the Hawaiian*. William Drake Westervelt, Honolulu.

Wolfe, E.W., and J. Morris. 1996. *Geologic Map of the Island of Hawai'i*. USGS Misc. Investigations Series Map i-2524-A. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Geological Survey.

Appendix 1a: Early Consultation Letters and Applicant Responses

Harry Kim Mayor



Paul K. Ferreira Police Chief

Kenneth Bugado Jr. Deputy Police Chief

County of Hawai'i

 POLICE
 DEPARTMENT

 349 Kapiolani Street
 • Hilo, Hawai'i 96720-3998

 (808) 935-3311
 • Fax (808) 961-8865

March 1, 2019

Mr. Derek B. Simon Carlsmith Ball, LLP 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2100 Honolulu, HI 96813

Dear Mr. Simon:

Subject: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawaii

Staff, upon reviewing the provided documents, does not anticipate any significant impact to traffic and/or public safety concerns.

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to comment.

į

If you have any questions, please contact Captain John Briski, Puna District Commander, at (808) 965-2716.

Sincerely,

MITCHELLA. KANEHANLUA, JR. ASSISTANT POLICE CHIEF AREA I OPERATIONS BUREAU

JB:IIi/19HQ0246

"Hawai'i County is an Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer"

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

808.523.2589

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

July 3, 2019

County of Hawai'i Police Department 349 Kapiolani Street Hilo, Hawai'i 96720-3998 ATTN: Mr. Mitchell K. Kanehailua, Jr.

> Re: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Mr. Kanehailua:

Thank you for your letter dated March 1, 2019, responding the Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry's, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, request for early consultation comments for their Project proposed at TMK No.: (3) 1-5-059:059. We acknowledge your determination that the County of Hawai'i Police Department does not anticipate any significant impacts to traffic and/or public safety concerns from the Project.

Thank you for taking the time to review the Barrys' early consultation request and for providing your input. A copy of your early consultation letter and this response will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Project, a copy of which will be provided to your agency for further review and comment. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me at 808-523-2589.

Sincerely,

737

Derek B. Simon

cc: Clients

4833-7451-5867.1.069351-00001

Cynthia Y. Arashiro

From:	Self, Amy <amy.self@hawaiicounty.gov></amy.self@hawaiicounty.gov>
Sent:	Tuesday, March 05, 2019 3:04 PM
То:	Derek B. Simon
Cc:	Kamelamela, Joe; Schoen, Renee; Masuda, Craig; Kim, Ronald
Subject:	Letter dated February 22, 2019; Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification
Attachments:	2019-02-22 Ltr toJoseph Kamelamela from Derek Simon RE Kevin & Monica Bapdf

Dear Mr. Simon:

We are in receipt of the attached letter regarding the above referenced subject matter. Our office does not provide legal services to the general public. More specifically, our office does not accept requests for early review and comment on draft EAs pursuant to Hawai'i Administrative Rules, Title 11, Chapter 200. Please direct your request to the County of Hawai'i Planning Department, which is the appropriate department for this type of request.

1



A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

808.523.2589

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

July 3, 2019

Amy G. Self, Esq. County of Hawai'i Office of the Corporation Counsel 333 Kilauea Avenue, 2nd Floor Hilo, Hawai'i 96720

> Re: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Ms. Self:

Thank you for your email dated March 5, 2019, responding the Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry's, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, request for early consultation comments for their Project proposed at TMK No.: (3) 1-5-059:059. We acknowledge that the County of Hawai'i Office of the Corporation Counsel does not accept early consultation requests, and we will remove your office from all further requests for comment on the Project. A copy of the Barrys' early consultation request was also sent to the County of Hawai'i Planning Department.

Thank you for taking the time to review the Barrys' early consultation request. A copy of your early consultation letter and this response will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Project, a copy of which will be provided to the County of Hawai'i Planning Department. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me at 808-523-2589.

Sincerely,

Derek B. Simor

cc: Clients

4835-1064-2587.1.069351-00001

Ніго

Cynthia Y. Arashiro

From:	Haae, Glenn <glenn.haae@doh.hawaii.gov></glenn.haae@doh.hawaii.gov>
Sent:	Wednesday, March 06, 2019 1:55 PM
То:	Derek B. Simon
Cc:	HI Office of Environmental Quality Control; Wong, Alec Y
Subject:	Barry Family Project (Request for Comments)
Attachments:	2019A070.pdf

Dear Mr. Derek B. Simon,

The Clean Water Branch received your letter dated February 22, 2019 regarding the "Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawaii" requesting comments. We are forwarding your letter to the Office of Environmental Quality Control who facilitate the environmental review process.

For Clean Water Branch comments, you may view our Standard Comments at <u>https://health.hawaii.gov/cwb/files/2018/05/Memo-CWB-Standard-Comments.pdf</u>.

Sincerely,

Glenn Haae Clean Water Branch Phone: (808) 586-4309

Notice: This information and attachments are intended only for use of the individual(s) or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, and dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be punishable under state and federal law. If you have received this communication and/or attachments in error, please notify the sender via e-mail immediately and destroy all electronic and paper copies.

1





VIRGINIA PRESSLER, M.D. DIRECTOR OF HEALTH

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH P. O. BOX 3378 HONOLULU, HI 96801-3378

In reply, please refer to: EMD/CWB

05023PDCL.18

May 10, 2018

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Clean Water Branch Standard Project Comments

TO: Agencies and Project Owners

FROM: ALEC WONG, P.E., CHIEF Clen Worg Clean Water Branch

This memo is provided for your information and sharing. You are encouraged to share this memo with your project partners, team members, and appropriate personnel.

The Department of Health (DOH), Clean Water Branch (CWB) will no longer be responding directly to requests for comments on the following documents (Pre-consultation, Early Consultation, Preparation Notice, Draft, Final, Addendums, and/or Supplements):

- Environmental Impact Statements (EIS)
- Environmental Assessments (EA)
- Stream Channel Alteration Permits (SCAP)
- Stream Diversion Works Permits (SDWP)
- Well Construction/Pump Installation Permits
- Conservation District Use Applications (CDUA)
- Special Management Area Permits (SMAP)
- Shoreline Setback Areas (SSA)

For agencies or project owners requiring DOH-CWB comments for one or more of these documents, please utilize the DOH-CWB Standard Comments below regarding your project's responsibilities to maintain water quality and any necessary permitting. DOH-CWB Standard Comments are also available on the DOH-CWB website located at: <u>http://health.hawaii.gov/cwb/</u>.

May 10, 2018 Page 2

DOH-CWB Standard Comments

The following information is for agencies and/or project owners who are seeking comments regarding environmental compliance for their projects with the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), Chapters 11-54 and 11-55. You may be responsible for fulfilling additional requirements related to our program.

- 1. Any project and its potential impacts to State waters must meet the following criteria:
 - a. Antidegradation policy (HAR, Section 11-54-1.1), which requires that the existing uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses of the receiving State water be maintained and protected.
 - b. Designated uses (HAR, Section 11-54-3), as determined by the classification of the receiving State waters.
 - c. Water quality criteria (HAR, Sections 11-54-4 through 11-54-8).
- You may be required to obtain National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit coverage for point source water pollutant discharges into State surface waters (HAR, Chapter 11-55). Point source means any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance from which pollutants are or may be discharged.

For NPDES general permit coverage, a Notice of Intent (NOI) form must be submitted at least 30 calendar days before the commencement of the discharge. An application for a NPDES individual permit must be submitted at least 180 calendar days before the commencement of the discharge. To request NPDES permit coverage, you must submit the applicable form ("CWB Individual NPDES Form" or "CWB NOI Form") through the e-Permitting Portal and the hard copy certification statement with the respective filing fee (\$1,000 for an individual NPDES permit or \$500 for a Notice of General Permit Coverage). Please open the e-Permitting Portal website located at: <u>https://eha-cloud.doh.hawaii.gov/epermit/</u>. You will be asked to do a one-time registration to obtain your login and password. After you register, click on the Application Finder tool and locate the appropriate form. Follow the instructions to complete and submit the form.

May 10, 2018 Page 3

Some of the activities requiring NPDES permit coverage include, but, are not limited to:

- a. Discharges of Storm Water
 - i. For Construction Activities Disturbing One (1) or More Acres of Total Land Area.

By HAR Chapter 11-55, an NPDES permit is required before the start of the construction activities that result in the disturbance of one (1) or more acres of total land area, including clearing, grading, and excavation. The total land area includes a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules under a larger common plan of development or sale.

- ii. For Industrial Activities for facilities with primary Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Codes regulated in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(i) through (ix) and (xi). If a facility has more than one SIC code, the activity that generates the greatest revenue is the primary SIC code. If revenue information is unavailable, use the SIC code for the activity with the most employees. If employee information is also unavailable, use the SIC code for the activity with the greatest production.
- iii. From a small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (along with certain non-storm water discharges).
- b. Discharges to State surface waters from construction activity hydrotesting or dewatering
- c. Discharges to State surface waters from cooling water applications
- d. Discharges to State surface waters from the application of pesticides (including insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, rodenticides, and various other substances to control pest) to State waters
- e. Well-Drilling Activities

Any discharge to State surface waters of treated process wastewater effluent associated with well drilling activities is regulated by HAR Chapter 11-55. Discharges of treated process wastewater effluent (including well drilling slurries,

05023PDCL.18

May 10, 2018 Page 4

lubricating fluids wastewater, and well purge wastewater) to State surface waters requires NPDES permit coverage.

NPDES permit coverage is not required for well pump testing. For well pump testing, the discharger shall take all measures necessary to prevent the discharge of pollutants from entering State waters. Such measures shall include, if necessary, containment of initial discharge until the discharge is essentially free of pollutants. If the discharge is entering a stream or river bed, best management practices (BMPs) shall be implemented to prevent the discharge from disturbing the clarity of the receiving water. If the discharge is entering a storm drain, the discharger must obtain written permission from the owner of the storm drain prior to discharge. Furthermore, BMPs shall be implemented to prevent the discharge from discharge from discharge from collecting sediments and other pollutants prior to entering the storm drain.

- 3. A Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) is required if your project/activity:
 - a. Requires a federal permit, license, certificate, approval, registration, or statutory exemption; and
 - b. May result in a discharge into State waters. The term "discharge" is defined in Clean Water Act, Subsections 502(16), 502(12), and 502(6).

Examples of "discharge" include, but are not limited to, allowing the following pollutants to enter State waters from the surface or in-water: solid waste, rock/sand/dirt, heat, sewage, construction debris, any underwater work, chemicals, fugitive dust/spray paint, agricultural wastes, biological materials, industrial wastes, concrete/sealant/epoxy, and washing/cleaning effluent.

Determine if your project/activity requires a federal permit, license, certificate, approval, registration, or statutory exemption by contacting the appropriate federal agencies (e.g. Department of the Army (DA), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE), Pacific Ocean Division Honolulu District Office (POH) Tel: (808) 835-4303; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 Tel: (415) 947-8021; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Tel: (866) 208-3372; U.S. Coast Guard Office of Bridge Programs Tel: (202) 372-1511). If your project involves work in, over, or under waters of the United States, it is highly recommended that you contact the Army Corp of Engineers, Regulatory Branch regarding their permitting requirements.

To request a Section 401 WQC, you must complete and submit the Section 401 WQC application. This application is available on the e-Permitting Portal website located at: <u>https://eha-cloud.doh.hawaii.gov/epermit/</u>.

May 10, 2018 Page 5

Please see HAR, Chapter 11-54 for the State's Water Quality Standards and for more information on the Section 401 WQC. HAR, Chapter 11-54 is available on the CWB website at: <u>http://health.hawaii.gov/cwb/</u>.

- 4. Please note that all discharges related to the project construction or operation activities, whether or not NPDES permit coverage and/or Section 401 WQC are required, must comply with the State's Water Quality Standards. Noncompliance with water quality requirements contained in HAR, Chapter 11-54, and/or permitting requirements, specified in HAR, Chapter 11-55, may be subject to penalties of \$25,000 per day per violation and up to two (2) years in jail.
- 5. It is the State's position that all projects must reduce, reuse, and recycle to protect, restore, and sustain water quality and beneficial uses of State waters. Project planning should:
 - a. Treat storm water as a resource to be protected by integrating it into project planning and permitting. Storm water has long been recognized as a source of irrigation that will not deplete potable water resources. What is often overlooked is that storm water recharges ground water supplies and feeds streams and estuaries; to ensure that these water cycles are not disrupted, storm water cannot be relegated as a waste product of impervious surfaces. Any project planning must recognize storm water as an asset that sustains and protects natural ecosystems and traditional beneficial uses of State waters, like community beautification, beach going, swimming, and fishing. The approaches necessary to do so, including low impact development methods or ecological bio-engineering of drainage ways must be identified in the planning stages to allow designers opportunity to include those approaches up front, prior to seeking zoning, construction, or building permits.
 - b. Clearly articulate the State's position on water quality and the beneficial uses of State waters. The plan should include statements regarding the implementation of methods to conserve natural resources (e.g. minimizing potable water for irrigation, gray water re-use options, energy conservation through smart design) and improve water quality.
 - c. Consider storm water Best Management Practice (BMP) approaches that minimize the use of potable water for irrigation through storm water storage and reuse, percolate storm water to recharge groundwater to revitalize natural hydrology, and treat storm water which is to be discharged.

05023PDCL.18

May 10, 2018 Page 6

- d. Consider the use of green building practices, such as pervious pavement and landscaping with native vegetation, to improve water quality by reducing excessive runoff and the need for excessive fertilization, respectively.
- e. Identify opportunities for retrofitting or bio-engineering existing storm water infrastructure to restore ecological function while maintaining, or even enhancing, hydraulic capacity. Consideration should be given to areas prone to flooding, or where the infrastructure is aged and will need to be rehabilitated.



A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

808.523.2589

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

July 3, 2019

State of Hawai'i Department of Health Clean Water Branch P.O. Box 3378 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96801-3378 ATTN: Mr. Glenn Haae

> Re: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Mr. Haae:

Thank you for your email dated March 6, 2019, responding the Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry's, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, request for early consultation comments for their Project proposed at TMK No.: (3) 1-5-059:059. Thank you for directing us to the Clean Water Branch's Standard Comments, which will be reviewed in conjunction with the Draft Environmental Assessment (Draft EA), and for forwarding a copy of the Barry's early consultation request to the Office of Environmental Quality Control (OEQC). Please note that we also provided OEQC with a copy of the Barry's early consultation request.

The Barrys greatly appreciate you taking the time to review their early consultation request and for providing your input. A copy of your early consultation letter and this response will be included in the Draft EA for the Project, a copy of which will be provided to your agency for further review and comment. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me at 808-523-2589.

Sincerely,

Derek B. Simon

cc: Clients

4836-6372-6235.1.069351-00001

.

.

Harry Kim Mayor

74-5044 Ane Keohokalole Hwy Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740 Phone (808) 323-4770 Fax (808) 327-3563

West Hawai'i Office

March 6, 2019

Mr. Derek B. Simon Carlsmith Ball LLP 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2100 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

Dear Mr. Simon:

Comments for Early Consultation for Environmental Assessment for SUBJECT: Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land (Barry Family Trust) Tax Map Key: (3) 1-5-059:059 Kea'au, Puna, Hawai'i

This is in response to your letter dated February 22, 2019 requesting early consultation comments for an environmental assessment being prepared for the reclassification of approximately 0.51 acres of land from the State Land Use Conservation District to the State Land Use Agricultural District on the above referenced property, which is owned by the Barry Family Trust.

- 1. The subject property is 0.51 acres in size and is situated within the Hawaiian Paradise Park Subdivision. The property is zoned Agricultural-1 acre (A-1a) by County of Hawai'i and designated as Conservation by the State Land Use Commission.
- 2. The General Plan Land Use Pattern Allocation Guide (LUPAG) map designation for the property is Rural (rur).
- 3. The property is located within the Special Management Area (SMA) and is situated along the shoreline/cliff area, which will require a minimum shoreline of 40 feet from the certified shoreline for any structures.
- The property is in an area affected by the Puna Community Development Plan, which 4. was adopted by the Hawai'i County Council by Ordinance No. 08-116 and amended by several ordinances.

Michael Yee Director.

Duane Kanuha Deputy Director

East Hawai'i Office 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3 Hilo, Hawai'i 96720 Phone (808) 961-8288 Fax (808) 961-8742



County of Hawai'i

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Mr. Derek B. Simon Carlsmith Ball LLP Page 2 March 6, 2019

We have no further comments at this time. Please forward us a copy of the draft EA for review.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Jeff Darrow at 961-8158.

Sincerely,

FFC MICHAEL YEE Planning Director

JWD:mad P\wpwin60\CH343\2019\Barry-HPP\LSimon-PreEADraftConsul-BarryHPP.doc

cc w/copy of letter:

: Ronald Kim, Deputy Corporation Counsel



A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

808.523.2589

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

July 3, 2019

County of Hawai'i Planning Department East Hawai'i Office 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3 Hilo, Hawai'i 96720 ATTN: Mr. Michael Yee

Re: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Mr. Yee:

Thank you for your letter dated March 6, 2019, responding the Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry's, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, request for early consultation comments for their Project proposed at TMK No.: (3) 1-5-059:059 (the Property). Thank you for confirming the County of Hawai'i zoning, State Land Use District, County of Hawai'i Land Use Pattern Allocation Guide, Special Management Area, and Puna Community Development Plan designations for the Property. We also acknowledge that the Property has a minimum shoreline setback of forty (40) feet pursuant to Rule 11-5 of the County of Hawai'i Planning Department Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Thank you for taking the time to review the Barrys' early consultation request and for providing your input. A copy of your early consultation letter and this response will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Project, a copy of which will be provided to your agency for further review and comment. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me at 808-523-2589.

Sincerely,

Derek B. Simon

cc: Clients

4846-0207-0683.1.069351-00001

HONOLULU

Hilo

KONA







STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES OFFICE OF CONSERVATION AND COASTAL LANDS POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

REF:OCCL:TM

Carlsmith Ball LLP Attention: Derek B. Simon 1001 Bishop St., Suite 2100 Honolulu, HI 96813

Correspondence: HA 19-127

COMMISSION ON WAT RVATION

MAR - 7 2019

SUZANNE D. CASE

BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCE

WATER RESOUR ROBERT K. MASUDA FIRST DEPUTY M. KALEO MANUEL DEPUTY DIRECTOR · WATER

AQUATIC RESOURCES

ONSERVATION AND RUE UAS TAL LANDS ONSERVATION AND RESOURCES ENFORCEMENT ENDIFERING FORESTRY AND WILDLIFE HISTORIC PRESERVATION KAHOOLAWE ISLAND RESERVE COMMISSION LAND

LAND STATE PARKS

AQUATIC RESC. NG AND OCEAN RECREATION. REAU OF CONVEYANCES ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT VATION AND COASTAL LANDS CONTROL RESENFORCEMENT

SUBJECT: Early Consultation Request for the Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for Property Located at Waikahekahe, Puna, TMK: (3) 1-5-059:059

Dear Mr. Simon:

The Office of Conservation and Coastal Lands (OCCL) has reviewed your information regarding the subject matter. According to your information, an Environmental Assessment is being prepared for the proposed reclassification of the subject parcel from the Conservation State Land Use District to the Agricultural State Land Use District and for a proposed residence.

The OCCL notes according to the Atlas of Natural Hazards in the Hawaiian Coastal Zone¹, the overall coastal hazard assessment of this area is high, as there are natural hazards that may affect this low-lying region. High waves consist generally of refracted north swell, trade-wind waves, and waves associated with approaching tropical cyclones. The storm hazard is high as the coast is exposed to both the tropical cyclone and Kona storm windows. Due to volcanic and the related seismic activity, this coast has been experiencing rapid long-term subsidence which enhances the rate of relative sea-level rise. The area is located in lava flow hazard zone 3 with zone 1 having the most severity on a scale of 1-9. Sea level rise is faster in this region than any other in Hawai'i due to subsidence and the area may experience seismicity associated with Kilauea volcano. (Exhibit A)

ALL proposed development along coastlines of Hawai'i should take climate change into consideration. The applicant should discuss potential impacts of climate change and how these impacts will be mitigated within the EA. The siting of the residence should be located as far mauka as practical from the certified shoreline and post on pier construction should be considered. You may wish to review the projected sea level rise exposure area on the Hawai'i Sea Level Rise Viewer at http://www.pacioos.hawaii.edu/shoreline/slr-hawaii/ .

1 Fletcher, Grossman, Richmond & Gibbs. 2002. Atlas of Natural Hazards in the Hawaiian Coastal Zone. Department of the Interior, USGS.

Carlsmith Ball LLP Attention: Derek B. Simon Correspondence: HA 19-127

Lateral shoreline access, subsistence fishing/gathering and indigenous religious contemplation/expression are traditional uses that take place along this coastline and are protected by the Hawai'i State Constitution and statute.

Should there be any questions regarding this correspondence, contact Tiger Mills of our Office at (808) 587-0382.

Sincerely, ' /m/

Samuel J. Lemmo, Administrator Office of Conservation and Coastal Lands

C: LUC HDLO County of Hawai'i -Planning



EXHIBIT A



A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

808.523.2589

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

July 3, 2019

State of Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources Office of Coservation and Coastal Lands Post Office Box 621 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96809 ATTN: Mr. Samuel J. Lemmo

Re: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Mr. Lemmo:

Thank you for your letter dated March 7, 2019, responding the Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry's, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, request for early consultation comments for their Project proposed at TMK No.: (3) 1-5-059:059 (the Property). On behalf of the Barrys, we offer the following responses to the comments in your letter.

As a part of the Draft Environmental Assessment (Draft EA), the Barrys commissioned Geohazards Consultants International, Inc. (GCI) to do a Coastal Erosion and Volcanic Hazards Report (GCI Report). The GCI Report analyzes in detail the coastal hazards for the Property, and includes both the generalized assessments set forth in the Natural Hazards in the Hawaiian Coastal Zone (Fletcher et. al 2002) and GCI's own assessments specific to the Property. Both assessments rate the threat from tsunami, stream flooding, high waves, storms, erosion, sea-level change, and volcanic and seismic activity, as well as provide an overall hazard assessment for the Property. The GCI report notes that the Property is within Lava Flow Hazard Zone 3 as assessed by the U.S. Geological Survey.

The GCI Report also addresses the potential impacts of climate change on the Property, including the effects of sea-level rise and subsidence, and the Draft EA will include a printout from the Hawai'i Sea Level Rise viewer for the Property. The GCI Report concludes that the Property is suitable for the proposed Project. Finally, the Draft EA discusses appropriate siting for the Project, both as a mean to mitigate coastal hazards and sea-level rise, and to ensure continued lateral access along the shoreline for recreational and cultural uses.

.

July 3, 2019 Page 2

Thank you for taking the time to review the Barrys' early consultation request and for providing your input. A copy of your early consultation letter and this response will be included in the Draft EA for the Project, a copy of which will be provided to your agency for further review and comment. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me at 808-523-2589.

Sincerely,

1

Derek B. Simon

cc: Clients

4822-4172-6107.1.069351-00001

From: Sent: To: Subject: Corrigan, Joan <joan.corrigan@doh.hawaii.gov> Friday, March 08, 2019 12:19 PM Derek B. Simon Barry Family Project TMK: 315059059 Preparation of Draft EA

Aloha Mr. Simon,

Thank you for the opportunity for the Safe Drinking Water Branch (SDWB) to review the Barry Family Project. Based on the information provided, it appears that the SDWB does not need to regulate the water system and therefore, have no comments on the project.

1

Thank you,

Joan S. Corrigan Environmental Engineer Hawaii Department of Health | Safe Drinking Water Branch Uluakupu Building 4 2385 Waimano Hm Rd, Suite 110 Pearl City, HI 96782-1400 (808) 586-4258 Voice | (808) 586-4351 Fax



A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

808.523.2589

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

July 3, 2019

Hawai'i Department of Health Safe Drinking Water Branch Uluakupu Building 4 2385 Waimano Hm. Rd., Suite 110 Pearl City, Hawai'i 96782-1400 ATTN: Ms. Joan S. Corrigan

> Re: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Ms. Corrigan:

Thank you for your email dated March 8, 2019, responding the Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry's, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, request for early consultation comments for their Project proposed at TMK No.: (3) 1-5-059:059 (the Property). We acknowledge your determination that the Hawai'i Department of Health, Safe Drinking Water Branch (SDWB) does not need to regulate the proposed Project's water system and that SDWB therefore does not have any comments on the Project.

Thank you for taking the time to review the Barrys' early consultation request and for providing your input. A copy of your early consultation letter and this response will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Project, a copy of which will be provided to your agency for further review and comment. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me at 808-523-2589.

Sincerely,

Derek B. Simon

cc: Clients

HONOLULU

Hilo

•

Harry Kim Mayor

Wil Okabe Managing Director



County of Hawai'i DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Aupuni Center 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 7 · Hilo, Hawai'i 96720-4224 (808) 961-8321 · Fax (808) 961-8630 public_works@hawaiicounty.gov

MARCH 18, 2019

ATTN: DEREK SIMON CARLSMITH BALL LLP 1001 BISHOP STREET, SUITE 2100 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813 (via email to dsimon@carlsmith.com)

SUBJECT: EARLY CONSULTATION FOR DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR RECLASSIFICATION OF APPROXIMATELY 0.51 ACRES OF LAND PUNA DISTRICT, ISLAND OF HAWAII TMK: (3) 1-5-059:059

We received the subject dated February 25, 2019 and have the following comments:

The subject parcel is in an area designated as Flood Zone X and VE on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Flood Zone VE is the Special Flood Hazard Area inundated by the 100-year coastal flood (1% chance of occurring in any given year) with velocity hazard (wave action). All construction within Flood Zone VE shall comply with the requirements of Hawaii County Code, Chapter 27, Floodplain Management.

All development-generated runoff shall be disposed of on site and not directed toward any adjacent properties. A drainage study shall be prepared and the recommended drainage system shall be constructed meeting the approval of the Department of Public Works.

All activities shall comply with the requirements of Hawaii County Code, Chapter 10, Erosion and Sedimentary Control.

Should there be any questions concerning this matter, please contact Ms. Robyn Matsumoto in our Engineering Division at (808) 961-8924 or at Robyn.Matsumoto@hawaiicounty.gov.

BEN ISHII, Division Chief Engineering Division

David Yamamoto, P.E.

Allan G. Simeon, P.E. Deputy Director

County of Hawai'i is an Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer.

RM



A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

808.523.2589

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

July 3, 2019

County of Hawai'i Department of Public Works Aupuni Center 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 7 Hilo, Hawai'i 96720-4224 ATTN: Mr. Ben Ishii

Re: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Mr. Ishii:

Thank you for your letter dated March 18, 2019, responding the Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry's, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, request for early consultation comments for their Project proposed at TMK No.: (3) 1-5-059:059 (the Property). We acknowledge your confirmation that the Property is designated as Flood Zones X and VE on the Federal Emergency Management Agency's Flood Rate Insurance Map. Please note that the vast majority of the Property is within Flood Zone X and that no construction is proposed on the makai portion of the Property within Flood Zone VE.

We further note that, based upon our discussions with your agency, a drainage study will not be required for the proposed Project. All development-generated runoff will be disposed of onsite and will not be directed towards any adjacent properties, and the Project will comply with Chapter 10 of the Hawai'i County Code related to erosion and sedimentary control.

Thank you for taking the time to review the Barrys' early consultation request and for providing your input. A copy of your early consultation letter and this response will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Project, a copy of which will be provided to your

.

.

July 3, 2019 Page 2

agency for further review and comment. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me at 808-523-2589.

Sincerely,

1302

Derek B. Simon

cc: Clients

4852-9393-4235.1.069351-00001

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII





SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRPERSON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES LAND DIVISION

POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

March 22, 2019

Carlsmith Ball LLP Attn: Derek B. Simon, Esq. 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2100 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

via email: dsimon@carlsmith.com

Dear Mr. Simon:

SUBJECT:

Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land located at Keaau, Puna, Island of Hawaii; TMK: (3) 1-5-059:059 on behalf of the **Barry Family Project**

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the subject matter. The Land Division of the Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) distributed or made available a copy of your request pertaining to the subject matter to DLNR's Divisions for their review and comments.

At this time, enclosed are comments from the (a) Engineering Division, (b) Division of Forestry & Wildlife, and (c) Land Division – Hawaii District on the subject matter. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call Darlene Nakamura at (808) 587-0417. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Russell Y. Tsuji Land Administrator

Enclosures cc: Central Files

DAVID Y DEE GOVERNOR OF HAV	EIVED DIVISION	
a Land Brid Manu	AH 10: 3	9
NATURA	OF LAND & L RESOURCES OF HAWAII	DEPAI



SUZANNE D, CASE CHAIRPERSON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

19 FEB 27 AM 10:55 ENSINEERING

1

STATE OF HAWAII RTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES LAND DIVISION

> POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

> > February 27, 2019

MEMORANDUM

Commission on Water Resource Management

DLNR Agencies:

X Div. of Aquatic Resources

X Engineering Division X Div. of Forestry & Wildlife Div. of State Parks

X Historic Preservation

X Land Division – Hawaii District

Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation

X Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands

FROM: SUBJECT:

LOCATION:

APPLICANT:

Russell Y. Tsuji, Land Administrator/ Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Keaau, Puna, Island of Hawaii; TMK: (3) 1-5-059:059 Carlsmith Ball LLP on behalf of **Barry Family Project**

Transmitted for your review and comment is information on the above-referenced subject matter. We would appreciate your comments by March 21, 2019.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact Darlene Nakamura at 587-0417. Thank you.

() We hav () We hav (<i>v</i>) Comm	ve no objections. ve no comments. ents are attached.
Signed:	- GAA
Print Name:	Carty S. Chang, Chief Engineer
Date:	2/22/19
1.	1 '

Attachment cc: Central Files

DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES ENGINEERING DIVISION

LD/Russell Y. Tsuji

Ref: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Location: Keaau, Puna, Island of Hawaii TMK: (3) 1-5-059:059 Applicant: Carlsmith Ball LLP on behalf of Barry Family Project

COMMENTS

The rules and regulations of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations (44CFR), are in effect when development falls within a Special Flood Hazard Area (high risk areas). State projects are required to comply with 44CFR regulations as stipulated in Section 60.12. Be advised that 44CFR reflects the minimum standards as set forth by the NFIP. Local community flood ordinances may stipulate higher standards that can be more restrictive and would take precedence over the minimum NFIP standards.

The owner of the project property and/or their representative is responsible to research the Flood Hazard Zone designation for the project. Flood Hazard Zones are designated on FEMA's Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM), which can be viewed on our Flood Hazard Assessment Tool (FHAT) (http://gis.hawaiinfip.org/FHAT).

If there are questions regarding the local flood ordinances, please contact the applicable County NFIP coordinating agency below:

- <u>Oahu</u>: City and County of Honolulu, Department of Planning and Permitting (808) 768-8098.
- o. Hawaii Island: County of Hawaii, Department of Public Works (808) 961-8327.
- o Maui/Molokai/Lanai County of Maui, Department of Planning (808) 270-7253.
- o Kauai: County of Kauai, Department of Public Works (808) 241-4846.

Signed: S/ CHANG, CHIEF ENGINEER CARTY Date:

DAVID'Y, IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII





19554

SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRPERSON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGRAENT

2019 MAR -5

AM IB: |

6

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES LAND DIVISION

POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

February 27, 2019

MEMORANDUM

TO:

DLNR Agencies:

X Div. of Aquatic Resources

Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation

X Engineering Division

X Div. of Forestry & Wildlife

____Div. of State Parks

X Commission on Water Resource Management

X Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands

X Land Division – Hawaii District

X Historic Preservation

FROM: SUBJECT:

LOCATION:

APPLICANT:

Russell Y. Tsuji, Land Administrator/ Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Keaau, Puna, Island of Hawaii; TMK: (3) 1-5-059:059 Carlsmith Ball LLP on behalf of **Barry Family Project**

Transmitted for your review and comment is information on the above-referenced subject matter. We would appreciate your comments by March 21, 2019.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact Darlene Nakamura at 587-0417. Thank you.

() We hav	ve no objections.
() We hav	ve no commertis.
() Commo	ents are attached.
Signed:	Voor

DAVID G. SMITH, Administrator

Print Name:

Date:

Attachment cc: Central Files DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAI





SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRPERSON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATEL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

HILO, HAWAII

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES 2019 MAR -1 A 10: 11 9 LAND DIVISION RECEIVED LAND DIVISION

POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

February 27, 2019

MEMORANDUM

TO:

DLNR Agencies:

X.Div. of Aquatic Resources

Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation

X Engineering Division

X Div. of Forestry & Wildlife

Div. of State Parks

X Commission on Water Resource Management

- X Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands
- X Land Division Hawaii District
- X Historic Preservation

FROM: SUBJECT:

LOCATION:

APPLICANT:

Russell Y. Tsuji, Land Administrator/ Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Keaau, Puna, Island of Hawaii; TMK: (3) 1-5-059:059 Carlsmith Ball LLP on behalf of Barry Family Project

Transmitted for your review and comment is information on the above-referenced subject matter. We would appreciate your comments by March 21, 2019.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact Darlene Nakamura at 587-0417. Thank you.

> We have no objections. We have no comments. Comments are attached.

> > ROON

Signed:

Print Name:

Date:

Attachment **Central Files** CC:

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII





SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRPERSON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES LAND DIVISION

POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

March 27, 2019

Carlsmith Ball LLP Attn: Derek B. Simon, Esq. 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2100 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

via email: dsimon@carlsmith.com

Dear Mr. Simon:

SUBJECT: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land located at Keaau, Puna, Island of Hawaii; TMK: (3) 1-5-059:059 on behalf of the **Barry Family Project**

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the subject matter. In addition to our previous comments dated March 22, 2019, enclosed are comments from the Division of Aquatic Resources on the subject matter. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call Darlene Nakamura at (808) 587-0417. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Russell Y. Tsuji Land Administrator

Enclosure cc: Central Files



SUZANNE D. CASE CHAIRPERSON BOARD OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES LAND DIVISION

POST OFFICE BOX 621 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96809

February 27, 2019

JAN 27 2019 Division of Aquatic Resources

RECEIVED

DAR 5877

2019 MAR

ろう

MEMORANDUM

TO:

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII

DLNR Agencies:

X Div. of Aquatic Resources Div. of Boating & Ocean Recreation

X Engineering Division

X Div. of Forestry & Wildlife

Div. of State Parks

X Commission on Water Resource Management

X Office of Conservation & Coastal Lands

- X Land Division Hawaii District
- X Historic Preservation

FROM: SUBJECT:

LOCATION: APPLICANT: Russell Y. Tsuji, Land Administrator Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Keaau, Puna, Island of Hawaii; TMK: (3) 1-5-059:059 Carlsmith Ball LLP on behalf of **Barry Family Project**

Transmitted for your review and comment is information on the above-referenced subject matter. We would appreciate your comments by **March 21, 2019**.

If no response is received by this date, we will assume your agency has no comments. If you have any questions about this request, please contact Darlene Nakamura at 587-0417. Thank you.

) We have no objections.
 ✓) We have no comments.
) Comments are attached.

Signed:

Print Name:

neilson

ellson DAR Administr

Date:

Attachment cc: Central Files

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF LAWAII	STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF AQUATIC RESOURCES 1151 PUNCHBOWL STREET, ROOM 330 HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813 Date: March 21, 2019 DAR # 5877	SUZANNE D. CASE UNARTRESIN INARED OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT COMMISSION ON WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT INFO DEPUTY MEST DEPUTY NEST DEPUTY MEST DEPUTY COMMISSION OF WATER COMMISSION OF WATER COMMISSION OF WATER RESOURCES COMMISSION OF
<u>MEMORAN</u> TO:	DUM Brian J. Neilson DAR Administrator	
FROM:	Troy Sakihara - The , Aquatic Biologist	
SUBJECT:	Early review of a proposed private home construction by the	e Barry Family
Request Subr	nitted by: Russell Tsuji, Land Administrator	······
Location of P	Kea'au, Puna, Hawaii Island, TMK (3) 1-5-059:059	
Brief Descrip The Barry F property in I The propose Agricultural being drafted reasonable d habitat or na immediate c	tion of Project: amily is proposing to build a single-story dwelling on their 0.5 Hawaiian Paradise Park in the Puna District on Hawaii Island, d project is located in the State Land Use Conservation Distric A1-a by the County of Hawaii. As such, an Environmental As d. The proposed single-story house is to be sited toward the oc istance from the coastline avoiding impacts to any existing na tural resources. The proposed activities and construction are the oncern to DAR.	51 acre private oceanfront TMK (3) 1-5-059:059. ct and is currently zoned ssessment is required and cean, but within tive vegetation, coastal herefore not cause for

⊠ No Comments □ Comments Attached

Thank you for providing DAR the opportunity to review and comment on the proposed project. Should there be any changes to the project plan, DAR requests the opportunity to review and comment on those changes.

Comments Approved:

_____Date: 3/21/14

Brian J. Neilson DAR Administrator



A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

808,523,2589

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

July 3, 2019

State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources Land Division Post Office Box 621 Honolulu, Hawaii 96809 ATTN: Mr. Russell Y. Tsuji

Re: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Mr. Tsuji:

Thank you for your letters dated March 22 and 27, 2019, forwarding responses from various Divisions within the State of Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) to Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry's, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, request for early consultation comments for their Project proposed at TMK No.: (3) 1-5-059:059 (the Property). On behalf of the Barrys, we offer the following responses to the comments appended to your letters:

- 1. Engineering Division: Thank you for providing information regarding the rules and regulations of the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) National Flood Insurance Program. FEMA's National Flood Insurance Rate Map designates the vast majority of the Property as within Flood Zone X, with only a small portion along the shoreline within Flood Zone VE. No construction is proposed on the portion of the Property within Flood Zone VE, and all development on the Property will comply with applicable County of Hawai'i regulations.
- 2. **Division of Forestry and Wildlife**: We acknowledge that the Division of Forestry and Wildlife has no comments on the proposed Project.
- 3. Land Division: We acknowledge that the Land Division has no comments on the proposed Project.

HONOLULU	J
----------	---

LOS ANGELES
July 3, 2019 Page 2

4. **Division of Aquatic Resources**: We acknowledge that the Division of Aquatic Resources (DAR) has determined that the proposed Project is not a cause for immediate concern and that it therefore has no comments on the Project. In the event that there are any changes to the Project plans, we will provide DAR with an opportunity to review and provide comments on such changes, as requested.

Thank you for taking the time to review the Barrys' early consultation request and for obtaining input from various divisions within DLNR. Copies of your early consultation letters, including the Division comments, and this response will be included in the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Project, a copy of which will be provided to your agency for further review and comment. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me at 808-523-2589.

Sincerely,

Derek B. Simon

cc: Clients

4835-6771-5995.1.069351-00001



OFFICE OF PLANNING STATE OF HAWAII

235 South Beretania Street, 6th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2359, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804 DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR

DIRECTOR OFFICE OF PLANNING

Telephone: (808) 587-2846 Fax: (808) 587-2824 Web: http://planning.hawaii.gov/

DTS 201904011017BE

April 1, 2019

Mr. Derek B. Simon Associate Carlsmith Ball, LLP 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2100 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-3484

Dear Mr. Simon:

Subject:

Early Consultation for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment – Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land, Hawaiian Paradise Park, Puna District, County and State of Hawaii TMK: (3) 1-5-059: 059

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the early consultation request for the preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment (Draft EA) on the Barry Family Trust land reclassification.

The Barry Family Trust property is located within the State Land Use Conservation District. The Barry Family Trust (Petitioner) is petitioning the State Land Use Commission (LUC), Docket Number A18-806, to reclassify the land from the State Conservation District to the State Agricultural District to construct a single-story dwelling and related agricultural uses.

The property is a 0.51-acre (22,215.6 sq. ft.), vacant and undeveloped parcel within the Hawaiian Paradise Park subdivision in Puna. The lot is bounded by Paradise Ala Kai Drive to the west, the Pacific Ocean to the east, an existing dwelling to the north, and a vacant, undeveloped lot to the south. All the surrounding parcels are within the State Agricultural District. The Petitioner notes that almost all the other oceanfront lots within Hawaiian Paradise Park were reclassified from the Conservation District to the Agricultural District under a single petition, LUC Docket Number A76-419. The Barry Family Trust parcel was originally included in this petition, but was removed from the final Decision and Order after attempts to contact the then-owner of the property failed.

The proposed dwelling unit will consist of a single-story, 1,800-square foot, three-bedroom, two-bath structure for use by the Barry family as their primary personal residence. The project will also include a two-car garage, a lanai, a courtyard, and a small swimming pool.

Mr. Derek B. Simon April 1, 2019 Page 2

The Office of Planning (OP) has reviewed the transmitted material and has the following comments to offer:

1. <u>State Land Use District Boundary Amendment</u>

The Draft EA is being prepared to support the Barry Family Trust's Petition for District Boundary Amendment, Docket No. A18-806, from State Conservation District to State Agricultural District. Some of the issues the LUC must consider are:

- Water Resources OP notes the Draft EA will discuss the provision of potable water to the property either from a private well to be drilled on site with treatment and an underground water storage tank, or a rainwater catchment system if necessary.
- Agricultural Use The Draft EA should disclose the potential related agricultural uses.
- Cultural, Archaeological, and Historic Resources OP notes the Draft EA will contain an archaeological survey and a cultural impact analysis (CIA). The CIA must make specific findings and conclusions as specified in the Hawaii Supreme Court's holding in Ka Pa'akai O Ka'Aina v. Land Use Commission, State of Hawai'i.
- Energy Use OP notes that the Draft EA will discuss the availability of electrical service to the area and the Petitioner's intent to install a photovoltaic solar system for their personal use.
- Conservation District Since the Petitioner seeks a reclassification from the State Conservation to Agricultural District, the Draft EA should discuss the existing inventory of conservation resources (habitat, watershed area, etc.) and how the loss of these resources will impact the public.
- 2. Hawaii State Planning Act

Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR) § 11-200-10(4) requires an Environmental Assessment to provide a general description of the action's technical, economic, social, and environmental characteristics. The Draft EA should provide a discussion on the project and its ability to meet State goals and priorities as detailed in HRS Chapter 226.

The analysis on the Hawaii State Planning Act should examine the project's consistency with all three parts of HRS Chapter 226 or clarify where the project conflicts with them. If any of these statutes are not applicable to the project, the analysis should affirmatively state such determination, along with discussion paragraphs.

3. Hawaii Coastal Zone Management Program

The Hawaii Coastal Zone Management (CZM) area is defined as "all lands of the State and the area extending seaward from the shoreline to the limit of the State's police power and management authority, including the U.S. territorial sea" (HRS § 205A-1).

Pursuant to HRS § 205A-4, in implementing the objectives of the CZM program, approving agencies shall consider ecological, cultural, historic, esthetic, recreational, scenic, open space

Mr. Derek B. Simon April 1, 2019 Page 3

values, coastal hazards, and economic development. As this project requires agency approvals and permitting, the Draft EA should provide analysis on the project's consistency with the objectives and supporting policies of the Hawaii CZM program, HRS § 205A-2.

4. Special Management Area / Shoreline Setback Requirements

According to the review material, the proposed dwelling unit will be sited toward the ocean. The Draft EA should indicate the project site's proximity to the Special Management Area (SMA) of Hawaii Island (as delineated by the County of Hawaii), and the distance of the proposed structures to the shoreline as defined in HRS § 205A-2.

Furthermore, because the makai perimeter of the project parcel consists of a lava shelf shoreline, the dwelling unit development may be subject to shoreline setback requirements of Hawaii County. We recommend that the Barry Family or their representatives, consult with the Hawaii County Planning Department on SMA permitting and a shoreline setback determination.

5. Sea Level Rise

Based on the enclosed map, because the dwelling unit structure is located near a shoreline lava shelf, it may be susceptible to coastal weather threats such as storm surge, violent wave action, tsunami inundation, or coastal flooding. Sea level rise (SLR) resulting from climate change may increase the risk of this residential site to these hazards.

To assist you in the development of climate change adaptation and resiliency strategies to safeguard this residence, OP suggests you review the findings of the Hawaii Sea Level Vulnerability and Adaptation Report, 2017 (Report), by the Hawaii Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Commission. The Report, and the Hawaii SLR Viewer (Viewer), can be accessed via the Hawaii Climate Adaptation Portal at http://climateadaptation.hawaii.gov. For SLR forecasts and flood projections, the Viewer identifies a 3.2-foot SLR exposure area across the main Hawaiian Islands. The Viewer may assist you in preparing and planning for these natural threats.

6. Drainage / Stormwater Runoff Mitigation / Erosion Control

Pursuant to HAR § 11-200-10(6) – identification and summary of impacts and alternatives considered; to ensure that the water and marine resources of the Puna District of Hawaii Island remain protected, the effects of stormwater inundation, resulting from this development, should be evaluated in the Draft EA.

Issues that may be examined include, but are not limited to, project site characteristics in relation to flood and erosion prone areas, open spaces, the potential vulnerability of surface water resources, drainage infrastructure currently in place, and comparing the level of impervious versus permeable in the project area. These items should be considered when developing mitigation measures for the protection of nearby water resources and the coastal

Mr. Derek B. Simon April 1, 2019 Page 4

ecosystem, pursuant to HAR § 11-200-10(7).

OP notes that the Barry Family Trust proposes a landscaping plan for the project. OP recommends that the Barry Family Trust consider enhanced landscaping (rain gardens, bioswales, and natural detention basins) to control stormwater runoff. Enhanced landscaping features are consistent with low impact development (LID). OP has developed guidance documents on stormwater runoff strategies. OP recommends consulting these evaluative tools when developing mitigation approaches for polluted runoff. They offer useful techniques to keep land-based pollutants and sediment in place, while considering the management practices best suited for the area and the types of contaminants affecting the surrounding environment. The evaluative tools that should be considered during the design process include:

- Stormwater Impact Assessments can be used to identify and analyze information on hydrology, sensitivity of coastal and riparian resources, and management measures to control runoff, as well as consider secondary and cumulative impacts to the area. http://files.hawaii.gov/dbedt/op/czm/initiative/stomwater_imapct/final_ stormwater_impact_assessments_guidance.pdf; and
- Low Impact Development (LID), A Practitioners Guide covers a range of structural systems and best management practices that mimic or utilize the natural processes of infiltration and evapotranspiration of polluted runoff. LID features promote onsite storm water management, urban layouts that minimize environmental impacts, and can lead to improved water quality. http://files.hawaii.gov/dbedt/op/czm/initiative/lid/lid_guide_2006.pdf

If you have any questions regarding this comment letter, please contact Aaron Setogawa of our Land Use Division at (808) 587-2883, or Joshua Hekekia of our CZM Program at (808) 587-2845.

Sincerely,

Leo R. Asuncion Planning Program Administrator II

c. Land Use Commission

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

808.523.2589

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

July 3, 2019

Office of Planning State of Hawai'i 235 South Beretania Street, 6th Floor Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 ATTN: Mr. Leo R. Asuncion

> Re: Early Consultation Request for Preparation of a Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at TMK No. (3) 1-5-059:059, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Mr. Asuncion:

Thank you for your letter dated April 1, 2019, responding the Kevin M. and Monica S. Barry's, as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust dated November 15, 2006, request for early consultation comments for their Project proposed at TMK No.: (3) 1-5-059:059 (the Property). On behalf of the Barrys, we offer the following responses to the comments in your letter:

- 1. Water Resources: The Draft Environmental Assessment (Draft EA) will discuss the provision of potable water to the Property. The Barrys intent is to obtain potable water from a well drilled on site with treatment through a reverse-osmosis or similar purification system. However, if necessary, the Barrys will utilize a catchment system.
- Agricultural Use: The Draft EA will discuss the potential agricultural uses to be implemented with the Project. The Barrys are in the process of determining the most appropriate agricultural use for the Property. Mrs. Barry has been an active participant in University of Hawai'i at Hilo's "East Hawai'i Master Gardeners" program since January 2018. The agricultural uses being considered include beekeeping, greenhouse nursery, aquaponics, native plant propagation, and apiculture (beekeeping).
- 3. **Cultural, Archaeological, and Historic Resources**: ASM Affiliates was retained to conduct an Archaeological Field Inspection of the Property. The Archaeological Field Inspection revealed that no archaeological features are present on the surface of the Property and determined that the likelihood of encountering subsurface resources is extremely remote given the exposed bedrock ground surface.

KONA

.

ASM Affiliates also conducted a Cultural Impact Assessment (CIA) for the proposed Project. As discussed at length in the CIA, and summarized in the Draft EA, no specific cultural sites were identified within the Property by any sources or informants. However, the context of the Property along the Kaloli Point coastline puts it within an area frequently accessed for subsistence marine resource collection. The Barrys are Hawai'i residents who are well aware of the rights of the public to utilize the area makai of the shoreline and the subsistence and cultural importance of these practices. The CIA includes the findings and conclusions required under *Ka Pa'akai O Ka'Aina v. Land Use Comm'n, State of Hawai'i*, 94 Hawai'i 31, 7 P.3d 1068 (2000).

- 4. **Energy Use**: Electrical power is available in the area of the Property from HELCO poles; however, the Barrys intend to install a solar photovoltaic system that will allow the proposed Project to be powered completely, or at least partially, "off-grid."
- 5. **Conservation District Land Inventory**: The Draft EA will discuss the current inventory of State Land Use (SLU) Conservation lands and how the reclassification of the 0.51-acre parcel proposed for reclassification will affect the public. According to the 2017 State of Hawai'i Data Book, published by the State of Hawai'i Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism, there are approximately 1,973,846 acres of land classified within the SLU Conservation District. The Project involves the reclassification of 0.51 acres of privately-owned SLU Conservation District land, and will, therefore, not impact the public's access to or beneficial use of SLU Conservation District resources, including the shoreline fronting the Property.
- 6. **Hawai'i State Planning Act**: The Draft EA will discuss the applicable provision of the Hawaii State Plan, codified at Chapter 226, Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS).
- 7. **Coastal Zone Management Program and Special Management Area**: The Draft EA will discuss the Project's consistency with the objectives and policies of the Coastal Zone Management Program set forth in HRS § 205A-2.

The Draft EA will also analyze the criteria contained in the Special Management Area (SMA) Rules of the County of Hawai'i for determining whether the proposed Project may have substantial adverse environmental or ecological effects. Upon completion of the Chapter 343, HRS environmental review process, the same criteria will be used by the County of Hawai'i Planning Director to determine whether the proposed Project is exempt from having to obtain a SMA permit.

Finally, the Draft EA will also discuss the siting of the proposed dwelling and improvements with respect to their proximity to the shoreline, and the Project will comply with the County of Hawai'i's shoreline setback regulations.

8. Sea-Level Rise and Coastal Hazards: As a part of the Draft EA, the Barrys commissioned Geohazards Consultants International, Inc. (GCI) to do a Coastal Erosion and Volcanic Hazards Report (GCI Report). The GCI Report analyzes in detail the

coastal hazards for the Property, and includes both the generalized assessments set forth in the Natural Hazards in the Hawaiian Coastal Zone (Fletcher et. al 2002) and GCI's own assessments specific to the Property. Both assessments rate the threat from tsunami, stream flooding, high waves, storms, erosion, sea-level change, and volcanic and seismic activity, as well as provide an overall hazard assessment for the Property. The GCI Report also addresses the potential impacts of climate change on the Property, including the effects of sea-level rise and subsidence, and the Draft EA will include a printout from the Hawai'i Sea Level Rise viewer for the Property. The GCI Report concludes that the Property is suitable for the proposed Project.

9. **Drainage/Stormwater Runoff Mitigation/Erosion Control**: The potential for stormwater inundation from the proposed Project, including impacts to water and marine resources, will be addressed in the Draft EA. Grading for the driveway and dwelling site will include practices to minimize the potential for sedimentation, erosion and pollution of coastal waters, and the Barrys will also review the resources related to stormwater runoff strategies noted in your letter.

Thank you for taking the time to review the Barrys' early consultation request and for providing your input. A copy of your early consultation letter and this response will be included in the Draft EA, a copy of which will be provided to your agency for further review and comment. Should you require any additional information, please feel free to contact me at 808-523-2589.

Sincerely,

0350

Derek B. Simon

cc: Clients

4828-8057-1035.1.069351-00001

Appendix 1b: Draft Environmental Assessment Comment Letters and Applicant Responses

Harry Kim Mayor

Wil Okabe Managing Director

West Hawai'i Office 74-5044 Ane Keohokālole Hwy Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740 Phone (808) 323-4770 Fax (808) 327-3563



County of Hawai'i PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Michael Yee Director

Duane Kanuha Deputy Director

East Hawai'i Office 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3 Hilo, Hawai'i 96720 Phone (808) 961-8288 Fax (808) 961-8742

November 5, 2019

Mr. Derek B. Simon Carlsmith Ball LLP 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2100 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

Dear Mr. Simon:

SUBJECT: Comments for Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land (Barry Family Trust) <u>Tax Map Key: (3) 1-5-059:059 Kea'au, Puna, Hawai'i</u>

This is in response to your letter dated October 25, 2019 requesting comments for the Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the reclassification of approximately 0.51 acres of land from the State Land Use Conservation District to the State Land Use Agricultural District on the above referenced property, which is owned by the Barry Family Trust.

- 1. The subject property is 0.51 acres in size and is situated within the Hawaiian Paradise Park Subdivision. The property is zoned Agricultural-1 acre (A-1a) by County of Hawai'i and designated as Conservation by the State Land Use Commission.
- 2. The General Plan Land Use Pattern Allocation Guide (LUPAG) map designation for the property is Rural (rur).
- 3. The property is located within the Special Management Area (SMA) and is situated along the shoreline/cliff area, which will require a minimum shoreline of 40 feet from the certified shoreline for any structures.
- 4. The property is in an area affected by the Puna Community Development Plan, which was adopted by the Hawai'i County Council by Ordinance No. 08-116 and amended by several ordinances.

Hawai'i County is an Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer

planning@hawaiicounty.gov

Mr. Derek B. Simon Carlsmith Ball LLP Page 2 November 5, 2019

We have no further comments at this time. Please forward us a copy of the Final EA for review.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Jeff Darrow at 961-8158.

Sincerely,

之 ω

KC MICHAEL YEE Planning Director

JWD:mads P\wpwin60\CH343\2019\Barry-HPP\LSimon-DraftEA(2)-BarryHPP.doc

cc w/copy of letter: Ronald Kim, Deputy Corporation Counsel



A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP

ASB Tower, Suite 2100 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone 808.523.2500 Fax 808.523.0842 WWW.CARLSMITH.COM

DSIMON@CARLSMITH.COM

OUR REFERENCE NO.: 069351-00001

February 19, 2020

VIA U.S. MAIL

DIRECT DIAL NO.

808 523 2589

County of Hawai'i Planning Department East Hawaii Office 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3 Hilo, Hawai'i 96720 ATTN: Mr. Michael Yee

> Re: Public Comment Period for Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at Tax Map Key No.: (3) 1-5-059:059, Island, County and State of Hawai'i

Dear Mr. Yee:

Thank you for your letter dated November 5, 2019, providing comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment (Draft EA) for the proposed reclassification of Tax Map Key No.: (3) 1-5-059:059 (Barry Property) from the State Land Use (SLU) Conservation District to the SLU Agricultural District.

We note that your November 5th letter appears to be substantially similar to the early consultation comment letter, dated March 6, 2019, that your office provided in connection with the proposed reclassification for the Barry Project. We thank you for again confirming the County of Hawai'i (County) zoning, SLU district, County Land Use Pattern Allocation Guide, Special Management Area, and Puna Community Development Plan designations for the Barry Property. We also acknowledge that the Barry Property has a minimum shoreline setback of forty (40) feet pursuant to Rule 11-5 of the County of Hawai'i Planning Department Rules of Practice and Procedure. The Barry Property's designations, as well as its minimum shoreline setback, were addressed in the Draft EA and will also be addressed in the forthcoming Final Environmental Assessment (Final EA).

Thank you for your participation in this process. Your letter and this response will be included in the Final EA. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at dsimon@carlsmith.com or 808-523-2589.

Honolulu	•	Hilo	•	Kona	MAUI	LOS ANGELES

February 19, 2020 Page 2

Sincerely, > 0

Derek B. Simon

Harry Kim Mayor



Paul K. Ferreira Police Chief

Kenneth Bugado Jr. Deputy Police Chief

County of Hawai'i

 POLICE
 DEPARTMENT

 349 Kapiolani Street
 • Hilo, Hawai`i 96720-3998

 (808) 935-3311
 • Fax (808) 961-8865

November 7, 2019

Mr. Derek B. Simon Carlsmith Ball, LLP 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 2100 Honolulu, HI 96813

Dear Mr. Simon:

Subject: Public Comment Period for Draft Environmental Assessment for Reclassification Of Approximately 0.51 Acres of Land Located at Tax Map Key No.: (3) 1-5-059:059, Island, County and State of Hawaii

Staff, upon reviewing the provided documents, does not anticipate any significant impact to traffic and/or public safety concerns.

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to comment.

If you have any questions, please contact Captain John Briski, Puna District Commander, at (808) 965-2716.

Sincerely,

CONNOR SSISTANT POLICE CHIEF AREA I OPERATIONS

JB:IIi/19HQ0246