

**Miki Basin Industrial Park
Environmental Assessment**

Exhibit G

Traffic Impact Analysis Report

**TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT
MIKI BASIN 200-ACRE INDUSTRIAL
SUBDIVISION**

LANAI CITY, LANAI, HAWAII

DRAFT FINAL

February 4, 2019

Prepared for:
Pulama Lanai
1311 Fraser Avenue
Lanai City, HI 96763



Austin, Tsutsumi & Associates, Inc.
Civil Engineers • Surveyors
501 Summer Street, Suite 521
Honolulu, Hawaii 96817-5031
Telephone: (808) 533-3646
Facsimile: (808) 526-1267
E-mail: atahnl@atahawaii.com
Honolulu • Wailuku • Hilo, Hawaii

**TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT
MIKI BASIN 200-ACRE INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION**

Lanai City, Lanai, Hawaii

DRAFT FINAL

Prepared for

**Pulama Lanai
1311 Fraser Avenue
Lanai City, HI 96763**

Prepared by
Austin, Tsutsumi & Associates, Inc.
Civil Engineers • Surveyors
Honolulu • Wailuku • Hilo, Hawaii

February 4, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS
Cont'd

	<u>Page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1 - 4
1.1 Project Description	1
1.2 Study Methodology.....	1
1.3 Analysis Methodology	2
2. EXISTING CONDITIONS.....	5-6
2.1 Roadway System.....	5
2.2 Existing Traffic Volumes.....	5
2.3 Existing Traffic Conditions Analysis and Observations	5
3. BASE YEAR 2050 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	7-8
3.1 Growth Rate	7
3.2 Background Projects	7
3.3 Planned Roadway Projects	7
3.4 Base Year 2050 Analysis	7
4. FUTURE YEAR 2050 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	9-14
4.1 Project Description	9
4.2 Travel Demand Estimations	9
4.3 Future Year 2050 Analysis	10
5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	15
6. REFERENCES.....	16

4.1 PROJECT TRIP GENERATION.....	9
4.2 EXISTING, BASE YEAR 2050, AND FUTURE YEAR 2050 LOS ...	10
FIGURES	
1.1 LOCATION MAP	3
1.2 SITE PLAN	4
2.1 EXISTING LANE CONFIGURATION, VOLUMES, AND LOS.....	6
3.1 BASE YEAR LANE CONFIGURATION, VOLUMES, AND LOS	8
4.1 PROJECT GENERATED TRIPS.....	11
4.2 FUTURE YEAR LANE CONFIGURATION, VOLUMES, AND LOS	12
4.3 LEFT-TURN WARRANT (NCHRP 279)	14

TABLE OF CONTENTS
Cont'd

APPENDICES

- A. LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA
- B. TRAFFIC COUNT DATA
- C. LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS
- D. TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT



TERRANCE S. JARSHIRO, P.E.
ADRIENNE W. LA WONG, P.E., LEED AP
DEANNA M.R. HAYASHI, P.E.
PAUL K. ARITA, P.E.
ERIK S. KANESHIRO, L.P.L.S., LEED AP
MATT K. NAKAMOTO, P.E.
GARRETT K. TOKUOKA, P.E.

TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS REPORT
Miki Basin 200-Acre Industrial Subdivision
Lanai City, Lanai, Hawaii

1. INTRODUCTION

This report documents the findings of a traffic study conducted by Austin, Tsutsumi, and Associates, Inc. (ATA) to evaluate the traffic impacts resulting from the proposed Miki Basin 200-acre industrial subdivision (hereinafter referred to as the "Project") located in Lanai, Hawaii.

1.1 Project Description

The Project proposes to construct a 200-acre industrial subdivision on three (3) currently vacant parcels located south of Lanai Airport. The current site plan includes 100 acres of light industrial and 100 acres of heavy industrial land uses. Access to the Project will be provided via Miki Road. It is our understanding that if approved, the 200-acre industrial subdivision will develop gradually over a 30-year period. Thus, full build-out of the Project is anticipated by year 2050.

See Figure 1.1 for Project Location. See Figure 1.2 for the Project site plan.


1.2 Study Methodology

This study will address the following:

- Assess existing traffic operating conditions during the weekday AM and PM peak hours of traffic within the study area.
- Traffic Projections for Base Year 2050 (without the Project).
- Estimate the vehicular trips that will be generated by the Project.
- Traffic projections for the Project for Future Year 2050 (with Project).
- Recommendations for roadway improvements or other mitigative measures, as appropriate, to reduce or eliminate the adverse impacts resulting from traffic generated by the Project.


MIKI BASIN 200 - ACRE INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

Austin Tsutsumi & ASSOCIATES, INC.
Engineers & Surveyors



NOTE:
THIS DRAWING IS FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION.

N
NOT TO SCALE



STUDY INTERSECTIONS
① KAUNALAPAU HWY. & MIKI RD.

N
NOT TO SCALE

FIGURE 1.1

LOCATION MAP

1.3 Analysis Methodology

Level of Service (LOS) is a qualitative measure used to describe the conditions of traffic flow at intersections, with values ranging from free-flow conditions at LOS A to congested conditions at LOS F. The Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), 6th Edition, includes methods for calculating volume to capacity ratios, delays, and corresponding LOS that were used in this study. See Appendix A for LOS Criteria.

Analyses for the study intersections were performed using the traffic analysis software Synchro, which is able to prepare reports based on the methodologies described in the HCM. These reports contain control delay results as based on intersection lane geometry, signal timing, and hourly traffic volumes. Based on the vehicular delay at each intersection, a LOS is assigned to each approach and intersection movement as a qualitative measure of performance. These results, as confirmed or refined by field observations, constitute the technical analysis that will form the basis of the recommendations outlined in this report.

**MIKI BASIN 200 - ACRE
INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION**

Austin Tsutsumi
ASSOCIATES, INC.
& Engineers & Surveyors



NOTE:
THIS DRAWING IS FOR
OFFICIAL RECORDS ONLY.
DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION.




FIGURE 1.2

SITE PLAN

2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

2.1 Roadway System

The following are brief descriptions of the existing roadways studied within the vicinity of the Project:

Kaunalapau Highway is generally an east-west, two-way, two-lane state-owned roadway that runs perpendicular to Miki Road. This roadway begins to the west at the Fuel Depot and terminates to the east at its intersection with Lanai Avenue/Queens Street. The speed limit along Kaunalapau Highway is 45 miles per hour (mph) near Miki Road.

Miki Road is generally a north-south, two-way privately owned roadway that begins to the north at its intersection with Kaunalapau Highway and extends approximately 2.95 miles to the south – primarily through undeveloped land. The roadway is only approximately 13-15 feet wide, and therefore requires vehicles to pull off to the unpaved shoulder when encountering approaching vehicles traveling in the opposite direction.

2.2 Existing Traffic Volumes

12-hour traffic count data was taken between 6:00 AM and 6:00 PM at the Kaunalapau Highway/Miki Road intersection between Wednesday, October 24, 2018 and Friday, October 26, 2018. The Wednesday AM and PM peak hours were the heaviest days in terms of traffic generation, and were therefore used as the basis for the intersection analyses contained within this report. The AM and PM hours of traffic were determined to be 6:30-7:30 AM and 1:00-2:00 PM, respectively. Traffic count data is provided in Appendix B.

2.3 Existing Observations and Analysis

2.3.1 Intersection Analysis

The study intersection currently operates at LOS B or better during the AM and PM Peak hours of traffic. No significant delays or queuing were observed at any of the intersections during the peak hours of traffic. See Figure 2.1 and Table 4.2 for traffic volumes and LOS. LOS worksheets are provided in Appendix C.




NOT TO SCALE

NOTE:
THIS DRAWING IS FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION.

DATE OF COUNTS:
OCTOBER 24, 2018 TO
OCTOBER 26, 2018

AM PEAK HOUR:
6:30 AM - 7:30 AM
PM PEAK HOUR:
1:00 PM - 2:00 PM



LEGEND

- ##(##) - AM/PM VEHICLE VOLUMES
- X(X) - AM/PM LOS
- (X) - UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION X

FIGURE 2.1

EXISTING LANE CONFIGURATION, VOLUMES AND LOS

3. BASE YEAR 2050 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The Year 2050 was selected to reflect the Project completion year. The Base Year 2050 scenario represents the traffic conditions within the study area without the Project. Traffic projections were formulated by applying a defacto growth rate to the existing 2018 traffic count volumes as well as trips generated by known future developments in the vicinity of the Project.

3.1 Growth Rate

As of 2010, the population on the island of Lanai was about 3,100 residents. According to the Lanai Community Plan Update published by the County of Maui Planning Department in December 2013, the anticipated growth of Lanai's economy may require its population to nearly double in size to about 6,000 residents. This planning document was published as a guide for decision making and implementation through 2030. In order for Lanai's population to reach 6,000 by year 2030, the island would experience an average growth rate of approximately 4.7 percent per year. Therefore, this growth rate was applied along Kaumalapau Highway to represent the anticipated growth by year 2030.

The Population and Economic Projections for the State of Hawaii to 2045, published by the Hawaii Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism (DBEDT) in June 2018, was used to estimate the anticipated growth of Lanai's population between year 2030 and year 2050. According to DBEDT population forecasts, the population growth rate will decrease to less than 1.0 percent per year between 2025 and 2045. To be conservative, an average growth rate of 1.0 percent per year was applied along Kaumalapau Highway to represent the anticipated growth between year 2030 and year 2050.

3.2 Background Projects

The following background project was added to Base Year 2050 projections.

1. Miki Basin Heavy Industrial Area – 14-acre expansion to the existing 6 acres of the Miki Industrial Complex.

This project is anticipated to generate 43(43) trips per hour during the AM and PM peak hours of traffic, respectively.

3.3 Planned Roadway Projects

The Lanai Community Plan Update identified two proposed private roadway connections near the Project site. One roadway will travel parallel to Miki Road, east of the Project site connecting Kaumalapau Highway and Manele Road. The other roadway will travel between Miki Road and the proposed road, described in the previous sentence. To be conservative, it is assumed that these proposed private roadways will not provide access to the Project site, which would require all Project traffic to travel along Miki Road.

3.4 Base Year 2050 Analysis

Under Base Year 2050 conditions, the study intersection is forecast to operate similarly to existing conditions with all intersection movements expected to operate at LOS B or better during the AM and PM peak hours of traffic. See Figure 3.1 and Table 4.2 for traffic volumes and LOS. LOS worksheets are provided in Appendix C.


MIKI BASIN 200 - ACRE INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

Austin Tsutsumi & ASSOCIATES, INC.
Engineers & Surveyors



NOT TO SCALE

NOTE:
THIS DRAWING IS FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION.



LEGEND

- ##(##) - AM/PM VEHICLE VOLUMES
- X(X) - AM/PM LOS
- (X) - UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION X

FIGURE 3.1

BASE YEAR LANE CONFIGURATION, VOLUMES AND LOS

4. FUTURE YEAR 2050 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The Future Year 2050 scenario represents the traffic conditions within the Project study area with the full build-out of the Project.

4.1 Project Description

The Project proposes to construct a 200-acre industrial subdivision on three (3) currently vacant parcels located south of Lanai Airport. The current site plan includes 100 acres of light industrial and 100 acres of heavy industrial zoning. Access to the project will be provided by Miki Road.

It is assumed that at least two driveway access points to the Project site will be provided along Miki Road. As shown in Figure 4.1, Project Driveway 1 provides access to the light and heavy industrial areas west of Miki Road and Project Driveway 2 provides access to the light industrial area east of Miki Road. For the purposes of this analysis Project Driveway 2 was assumed to align with the existing driveway west of Miki Road. However, it is important to note that a final decision on the location or number of Project driveways has not been made.

4.2 Travel Demand Estimations

4.2.1 Trip Generation

Assuming a floor-to-area ratio (FAR) of 0.3, which is consistent with other industrial developments within the Maui County, the proposed rezoned area would yield about 60 acres of industrial land use (30 acres of light industrial and 30 acres of heavy industrial). The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) publishes trip rates, Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, based upon historical data from similar land uses. These trip rates/formulae and their associated directional distributions were used to estimate the increase in the number of vehicular trips generated by the proposed Project. The rates selected were based on the land use description. Table 4.1 shows the projected traffic generated by the Project during the AM and PM peak hours.

Table 4.1: Project Trip Generation

Land Use	Independent Variable	Weekday AM Peak Hour		Weekday PM Peak Hour	
		Enter (vph)	Exit (vph)	Enter (vph)	Exit (vph)
General Light Industrial (ITE Code 110)	1,306,800 SF GFA	263	36	299	28
Manufacturing (ITE Code 140)	100 Acres	119	13	132	58
Total		382	49	431	86
					268
					354

The Project is anticipated to generate 431 trips during the AM peak hour of traffic and 354 trips during the PM peak hour of traffic.

MIKI BASIN 200 - ACRE INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION



4.2.2 Trip Distribution & Assignment

Approximately 75 percent of the trips were assumed to originate from and be destined towards the east and the remaining 25 percent of the trips were assumed to originate from and be destined towards the west. Figure 4.1 illustrates the Project-generated trip distribution.

As mentioned above, it was assumed that two driveways to the Project site would be provided – one east and one west of Miki Road. The trips were distributed between the two driveways based on the proportion of Project area located on each side of Miki Road.


4.3 Future Year 2050 Analysis

Upon completion of the Project, all intersection movements are forecast to operate at LOS C or better during the AM and PM peak hours of traffic, with the exception of the northbound left-turn lane which is anticipated to operate at LOS D off Miki Road. Miki Road is privately-owned; the levels of service for the proposed uses on such are acceptable and not significant. An exclusive northbound left-turn lane is recommended to reduce the northbound right-turn vehicle delay. A westbound left-turn deceleration lane is recommended based upon the left-turn lane Warrant as discussed in section 4.3.2.

See Figure 4.2 and Table 4.2 for traffic volumes and LOS. LOS worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 4.2: Existing, Base Year 2050, and Future Year 2050 LOS


Intersection	Existing Conditions						Base Year 2050						Future Year 2050					
	AM HCM Delay	v/c Ratio	LOS	PM HCM Delay	v/c Ratio	LOS	AM HCM Delay	v/c Ratio	LOS	PM HCM Delay	v/c Ratio	LOS	AM HCM Delay	v/c Ratio	LOS	PM HCM Delay	v/c Ratio	LOS
Kaumalapau Highway/Miki Road	10.1	0.01	B	10.1	0.01	B	11.2	0.01	B	12.2	0.02	B	28.4	0.10	D	22.3	0.33	C
NB LT/RT	n/a			n/a			n/a			n/a			9.3	0.07	A	12.4	0.39	B
WB LT	7.3	0.01	A	7.4	0.01	A	7.4	0.03	A	7.7	0.03	A	8.4	0.25	A	8.0	0.10	A
NB LT/TH										n/a			0.0	0.00	A	0.0	0.00	A
EB LT													11.7	0.08	B	12.7	0.34	B
Miki Road/Project Driveway 2													0.0	0.00	A	0.0	0.00	A
EB LT/TH/RT													0.0	0.00	A	0.0	0.00	A
WB LT/TH/RT										n/a			0.0	0.00	A	0.0	0.00	A



NOTE: THIS DRAWING IS FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION.

FIGURE 4.1

PROJECT-GENERATED TRIPS



4.3.1 Signal Warrant Analysis

Although a full traffic signal warrant analysis was not performed as part of this report, the Kaumalapa Highway/Miki Road intersection is not anticipated to warrant a traffic signal by Year 2050 with the Project. Refer to Appendix D for signal warrant analysis.

4.3.2 Left-Turn Lane Warrant
Westbound Left-Turn Lane

At the time of this writing, the A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets ("Green Book", 2011) was the most recent version adopted by the Hawaii Department of Transportation. Based upon the following chart from NCHRP Report 279, which is referenced by the Green Book, a westbound left-turn lane is warranted at this intersection for Future Year 2050 with the Project. The westbound left-turn percentages are roughly 70 and 40 percent, respectively for the AM and PM peak hours of traffic as plotted below in Figure 4.3.

4.3.3 Intersection Geometry

The current intersection geometry provides a single, approximately 13-foot wide bi-directional lane at its southern Miki Road approach, which is inadequate to accommodate vehicles traveling side-by-side. As a result of the significant anticipated increase in travel demand, large design vehicle (lowboy with crane), and the 45 mph posted speed along Kaumalapa Highway in the vicinity of Miki Road, widening to two lanes is recommended between the Project site and Kaumalapa Highway with intersection geometries capable of accommodating turning movements by the design vehicle.

FUTURE YEAR LANE CONFIGURATION, VOLUMES AND LOS

FIGURE 4.2

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Project proposes to construct a 200-acre industrial subdivision along Miki Road, south of Lanai Airport. The Project is anticipated to generate approximately 431(354) trips during the AM(PM) peak hours of traffic by its 2050 estimated completion.

Upon completion of the Project, all intersection movements are forecast to operate at LOS D or better during the AM and PM peak hours of traffic.

The following geometric modifications are recommended:

- Widen Miki Road between its intersection with Kaunapau Highway to the Project Driveway(s). Miki Street is currently estimated to be 13 feet wide, and should be widened to accommodate the design vehicle (lowboy with crane) and full side-by-side bidirectional travel with intersection geometries capable of accommodating turning movements.
- Provide an exclusive northbound left-turn lane.
- Provide an exclusive westbound left-turn deceleration lane.




Figure 4.3: Left-Turn Warrant (NCHRP 279)

APPENDICES

6. REFERENCES

1. Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition.
2. County of Maui Planning Department, Lanai Community Plan Update, 2013.
3. State of Hawaii Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism, Population and Economic Projections for the State of Hawaii to 2045, 2018.
4. Austin, Tsutsumi, and Associates, Inc., Traffic Assessment for Miki Basin Heavy Industrial Area, 2013.
5. Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation, 10th Edition, 2017.
6. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, AAASHTO, 2011.
7. Neuman, Timothy R., NCHRP 279 – Intersection Channelization Design Guide, 1985.

APPENDIX A

LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA

ENCLOSURE B – LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) CRITERIA

VEHICULAR LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS (HCM 6th Edition)

Level of service for vehicles at signalized intersections is directly related to delay values and is assigned on that basis. Level of Service is a measure of the acceptability of delay values to motorists at a given intersection. The criteria are given in the table below.

Level-of-Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections

Level of Service	Control Delay per Vehicle (sec./veh.)
A	< 10.0
B	>10.0 and ≤ 20.0
C	>20.0 and ≤ 35.0
D	>35.0 and ≤ 55.0
E	>55.0 and ≤ 80.0
F	> 80.0

Delay is a complex measure, and is dependent on a number of variables, including the quality of progression, the cycle length, the green ratio, and the v/c ratio for the lane group or approach in question.

VEHICULAR LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS (HCM 6th Edition)

The level of service criteria for vehicles at unsignalized intersections is defined as the average control delay, in seconds per vehicle.

LOS delay threshold values are lower for two-way stop-controlled (TWSC) and all-way stop-controlled (AWSC) intersections than those of signalized intersections. This is because more vehicles pass through signalized intersections, and therefore, drivers expect and tolerate greater delays. While the criteria for level of service for TWSC and AWSC intersections are the same, procedures to calculate the average total delay may differ.

Level of Service Criteria for Two-Way Stop-Controlled Intersections

Level of Service	Average Control Delay (sec/veh)
A	≤ 10
B	>10 and ≤15
C	>15 and ≤25
D	>25 and ≤35
E	>35 and ≤50
F	> 50

APPENDIX B

TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

Start Time	Kaumalapau Highway						MIKIRD NORTHBOUND		Int. Total
	KAUMALAPAU HWY EASTBOUND			KAUMALAPAU HWY WESTBOUND			Left	Right	
	Thru	Right	Left	Left	Thru	Right			
6:00	1	0	3	3	15	0	0	19	
6:15	3	2	3	3	12	0	0	20	
6:30	1	0	5	18	0	0	0	24	
6:45	11	1	3	22	0	0	0	37	
7:00	8	1	3	9	2	0	0	23	
7:15	4	0	3	17	2	2	2	28	
7:30	4	1	1	13	0	1	1	20	
7:45	6	2	1	9	1	3	2	22	
8:00	3	0	3	15	2	0	0	23	
8:15	9	0	1	10	2	2	2	24	
8:30	11	2	1	13	0	2	2	29	
8:45	5	2	3	12	2	0	0	24	
9:00	18	2	2	6	2	0	0	30	
9:15	9	1	0	9	1	2	2	22	
9:30	10	0	2	9	1	5	2	27	
9:45	13	0	0	11	0	0	0	24	
10:00	13	0	4	18	1	1	1	37	
10:15	16	0	0	19	0	4	3	39	
10:30	7	2	3	22	0	1	3	35	
10:45	20	1	2	14	1	5	4	43	
11:00	25	1	2	14	2	0	0	44	
11:15	17	1	1	9	1	5	4	34	
11:30	29	1	0	5	0	2	2	37	
11:45	14	2	2	18	1	2	2	39	
12:00	12	1	4	17	1	4	3	39	
12:15	11	0	4	14	1	1	1	31	
12:30	9	1	2	10	3	4	4	29	
12:45	11	3	2	20	0	3	3	39	
13:00	17	0	4	22	1	3	2	47	
13:15	21	0	4	17	2	1	1	45	
13:30	14	1	0	18	4	1	1	38	
13:45	19	3	3	16	2	1	1	44	
14:00	20	2	3	19	1	2	2	47	
14:15	16	2	3	14	1	3	3	39	
14:30	17	2	3	12	2	2	2	38	
14:45	21	2	2	9	2	1	1	37	
15:00	25	2	1	11	3	2	2	44	
15:15	7	3	0	4	1	4	4	19	
15:30	24	1	2	3	0	4	4	34	
15:45	8	0	2	8	1	3	2	22	
16:00	14	1	1	9	0	2	2	27	
16:15	10	1	6	5	1	4	4	27	
16:30	7	0	0	5	0	3	3	15	
16:45	9	0	0	2	1	3	3	15	
17:00	7	0	0	5	3	1	1	16	
17:15	6	0	0	3	0	1	1	10	
17:30	3	0	0	8	0	0	1	12	
17:45	2	1	0	8	0	0	0	11	
34-Oct	567	48	94	578	51	91	1429		

AM Peak Hour

PM Peak Hour

Miki Road, Kaumalapau Highway												
Start Time	KAUMALAPAU HWY EASTBOUND				KAUMALAPAU HWY WESTBOUND				MIKIRD NORTHBOUND		Int. Total	
	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Right			
6:00	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	
6:15	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	15	
6:30	1	0	0	3	20	0	0	0	0	0	24	
6:45	2	0	0	5	10	0	0	0	3	0	20	
7:00	6	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	0	17	
7:15	2	1	3	11	4	1	1	1	0	0	18	
7:30	9	3	1	11	11	4	1	4	1	29	106	
7:45	4	0	4	12	0	0	0	0	3	0	105	
8:00	10	1	1	9	0	0	6	0	6	0	27	
8:15	9	1	2	10	0	3	2	2	2	0	27	
8:30	5	1	2	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	
8:45	11	2	3	21	2	4	4	2	4	4	43	
9:00	8	0	2	20	1	3	34	1	3	0	145	
9:15	13	0	4	17	0	2	2	0	2	0	36	
9:30	14	1	4	12	0	0	2	0	2	0	148	
9:45	27	2	2	7	1	3	37	1	3	0	139	
10:00	17	1	1	13	2	3	42	1	3	0	141	
10:15	10	0	2	12	1	2	27	1	2	0	136	
10:30	13	0	0	15	0	7	35	0	7	0	130	
10:45	15	1	4	16	0	1	37	0	1	0	141	
11:00	12	3	1	13	0	2	31	0	2	0	125	
11:15	22	0	2	9	1	4	38	1	4	0	122	
11:30	16	0	0	7	0	5	26	0	5	0	111	
11:45	10	0	2	12	1	3	28	0	3	0	113	
12:00	9	0	2	15	0	2	28	0	2	0	114	
12:15	16	0	2	7	0	0	27	0	2	0	127	
12:30	10	0	4	15	0	1	30	0	1	0	123	
12:45	8	0	3	12	5	1	29	0	1	0	113	
13:00	13	3	3	20	0	2	41	0	2	0	113	
13:15	10	1	2	9	0	1	23	0	1	0	107	
13:30	5	0	2	12	0	1	20	0	1	0	106	
13:45	14	0	1	10	2	2	29	0	2	0	115	
14:00	13	2	5	13	0	2	35	0	2	0	114	
14:15	10	1	0	7	0	4	22	0	4	0	108	
14:30	16	0	3	7	1	2	29	0	1	0	123	
14:45	8	0	11	8	0	1	28	0	1	0	154	
15:00	14	0	4	8	0	3	29	0	3	0	147	
15:15	14	0	1	18	0	4	37	0	4	0	136	
15:30	30	0	1	20	0	9	60	0	9	0	118	
15:45	7	1	1	9	0	3	21	0	3	0	68	
16:00	10	0	0	5	1	2	18	0	2	0	56	
16:15	8	0	0	10	1	0	19	0	0	0	44	
16:30	5	1	1	3	0	0	10	0	0	0	39	
16:45	3	0	3	3	0	0	9	0	0	0	43	
17:00	1	1	0	2	0	2	6	0	2	0	44	
17:15	4	0	0	4	0	6	14	0	6	0	14	
17:30	7	0	0	5	1	1	14	0	1	0	10	
17:45	7	0	0	3	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	
23-Oct	479	27	99	529	28	107	1269					

Miki Road, Kaumalapau Highway												
Start Time	KAUMALAPAU HWY EASTBOUND				KAUMALAPAU HWY WESTBOUND				MIKIRD NORTHBOUND		Int. Total	
	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Right			
6:00	0	0	0	6	0	0	8	0	0	0	8	
6:15	5	0	2	10	0	0	17	0	0	0	17	
6:30	2	0	5	23	0	0	30	0	0	0	30	
6:45	4	0	6	15	0	0	25	0	0	0	25	
7:00	2	0	3	3	1	4	13	0	0	0	13	
7:15	5	0	2	14	1	1	23	0	1	0	23	
7:30	3	1	4	15	0	1	24	0	1	0	24	
7:45	5	0	5	15	1	4	30	0	4	0	30	
8:00	10	0	2	10	1	3	26	0	3	0	26	
8:15	6	1	2	13	2	4	28	0	4	0	28	
8:30	15	1	2	21	0	3	42	0	3	0	42	
8:45	8	2	2	14	0	3	29	0	3	0	29	
9:00	15	1	0	17	1	1	35	0	1	0	35	
9:15	8	1	5	21	0	2	37	0	2	0	37	
9:30	22	1	1	15	0	3	42	0	3	0	42	
9:45	10	2	4	11	0	3	30	0	3	0	30	
10:00	15	0	2	12	2	5	36	0	5	0	36	
10:15	12	1	2	9	1	2	27	0	2	0	27	
10:30	12	1	2	13	0	5	33	0	5	0	33	
10:45	7	2	1	11	1	2	24	0	2	0	24	
11:00	8	1	2	10	0	2	23	0	2	0	23	
11:15	20	1	4	11	2	1	39	0	1	0	39	
11:30	19	0	2	14	0	4	39	0	4	0	39	
11:45	17	0	1	10	0	3	31	0	3	0	31	
12:00	12	0	6	11	0	3	32	0	3	0	32	
12:15	12	0	3	9	0	4	28	0	4	0	28	
12:30	10	0	3	15	1	3	32	0	3	0	32	
12:45	8	0	2	17	0	5	32	0	5	0	32	
13:00	8	0	3	12	0	2	25	0	2	0	25	
13:15	14	1	1	19	0	0	35	0	0	0	35	
13:30	11	1	3	11	2	3	31	0	3	0	31	
13:45	7	1	3	11	0	4	26	0	4	0	26	
14:00	19	1	3	18	0	4	45	0	4	0	45	
14:15	17	0	5	9	1	4	36	0	4	0	36	
14:30	8	0	0	14	0	3	25	0	3	0	25	
14:45	22	1	5	15	2	0	45	0	0	0	45	
15:00	22	2	1	9	0	4	38	0	4	0	38	
15:15	13	1	2	14	0	1	31	0	1	0	31	
15:30	20	2	1	9	1	8	41	0	8	0	41	
15:45	20	0	1	11	0	1	33	0	1	0	33	
16:00	9	0	2	5	1	5	22	0	5	0	22	
16:15	10	0	1	3	0	1	15	0	1	0	15	
16:30	6	1	2	10	0	1	20	0	1	0	20	
16:45	11	0	0	4	0	5	20	0	5	0	20	
17:00	7	0	0	5	1	2	15	0	2	0	15	
17:15	3	0	0	5	1	0	9	0	0	0	9	
17:30	4	1	0	5	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	
17:45	4	0	2	4	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	
23-Oct	507	28	112	558	23	119	1347					



APPENDIX C

LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS



APPENDIX C

LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS

- Existing Conditions

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh												1.3
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR						
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	2	14	66	4	2						
Future Vol, veh/h	24	2	14	66	4	2						
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	1	1	0	0	0						
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop						
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	Free						
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	0						
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-						
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-						
Peak Hour Factor	74	74	74	74	74	74						
Heavy Vehicles, %	10	55	4	8	55	20						
Mvmt Flow	32	3	19	89	5	3						
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor1									
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	36	0	162	-						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	35	-						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	127	-						
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.95	-						
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.95	-						
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.95	-						
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.36	-	3.985	-						
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1562	-	720	0						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	867	0						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	783	0						
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1561	-	710	-						
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	710	-						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	855	-						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	783	-						
Approach	EB	WB	NB									
HCM Control Delay, s	0	1.3	10.1									
HCM LOS	B											
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT							
Capacity (veh/h)	710	-	-	1561	-							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.008	-	-	0.012	-							
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.1	-	-	7.3	0							
HCM Lane LOS	B	-	-	A	A							
HCM 95th %ile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0	-							

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh												1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR						
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	71	4	11	73	9	6						
Future Vol, veh/h	71	4	11	73	9	6						
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop						
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	Free						
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	0						
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-						
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-						
Peak Hour Factor	93	93	93	93	93	93						
Heavy Vehicles, %	11	56	7	10	46	11						
Mvmt Flow	76	4	12	78	10	6						
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor1									
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	80	0	180	-						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	78	-						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	102	-						
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.17	-	6.86	-						
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.86	-						
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.86	-						
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.263	-	3.914	-						
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1487	-	719	0						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	845	0						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	823	0						
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1487	-	713	-						
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	713	-						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	838	-						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	823	-						
Approach	EB	WB	NB									
HCM Control Delay, s	0	1	10.1									
HCM LOS	B											
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT							
Capacity (veh/h)	713	-	-	1487	-							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.014	-	-	0.008	-							
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.1	-	-	7.4	0							
HCM Lane LOS	B	-	-	A	A							
HCM 95th %ile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0	-							

APPENDIX C
LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS
• Base Year 2050 without Project Conditions

Intersection	1.3																																																																																																																																													
Int Delay, s/veh																																																																																																																																														
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR																																																																																																																																								
Lane Configurations	<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>EBT</td> <td>EBR</td> <td>WBL</td> <td>WBT</td> <td>NBL</td> <td>NBR</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Traffic Vol, veh/h</td> <td>51</td> <td>2</td> <td>37</td> <td>140</td> <td>4</td> <td>22</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Future Vol, veh/h</td> <td>51</td> <td>2</td> <td>37</td> <td>140</td> <td>4</td> <td>22</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Conflicting Peds, #/hr</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sign Control</td> <td>Free</td> <td>Free</td> <td>Free</td> <td>Free</td> <td>Stop</td> <td>Stop</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>RT Channelized</td> <td>-</td> <td>None</td> <td>-</td> <td>None</td> <td>-</td> <td>Free</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Storage Length</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Veh in Median Storage, #</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grade, %</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Peak Hour Factor</td> <td>92</td> <td>92</td> <td>92</td> <td>92</td> <td>92</td> <td>92</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Heavy Vehicles, %</td> <td>10</td> <td>55</td> <td>4</td> <td>8</td> <td>55</td> <td>20</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mvmt Flow</td> <td>55</td> <td>2</td> <td>40</td> <td>152</td> <td>4</td> <td>24</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>											EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR					Traffic Vol, veh/h	51	2	37	140	4	22					Future Vol, veh/h	51	2	37	140	4	22					Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	1	1	0	0	0					Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop					RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	Free					Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	0					Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	-	0	0					Grade, %	0	-	-	-	0	0					Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92					Heavy Vehicles, %	10	55	4	8	55	20					Mvmt Flow	55	2	40	152	4	24				
	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR																																																																																																																																								
Traffic Vol, veh/h	51	2	37	140	4	22																																																																																																																																								
Future Vol, veh/h	51	2	37	140	4	22																																																																																																																																								
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	1	1	0	0	0																																																																																																																																								
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop																																																																																																																																								
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	Free																																																																																																																																								
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	0																																																																																																																																								
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	-	0	0																																																																																																																																								
Grade, %	0	-	-	-	0	0																																																																																																																																								
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92																																																																																																																																								
Heavy Vehicles, %	10	55	4	8	55	20																																																																																																																																								
Mvmt Flow	55	2	40	152	4	24																																																																																																																																								
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor1																																																																																																																																											
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	58	0	289	-																																																																																																																																								
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	57	-																																																																																																																																								
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	232	-																																																																																																																																								
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.95	-																																																																																																																																								
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.95	-																																																																																																																																								
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.95	-																																																																																																																																								
Follow-up Hdwy	-	2236	-	3995	-	-																																																																																																																																								
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	1533	-	603	0	-																																																																																																																																								
Stage 1	-	-	-	846	0	-																																																																																																																																								
Stage 2	-	-	-	697	0	-																																																																																																																																								
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-																																																																																																																																								
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1532	-	585	-																																																																																																																																								
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	585	-																																																																																																																																								
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	821	-																																																																																																																																								
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	697	-																																																																																																																																								
Approach	EB	WB	NB																																																																																																																																											
HCM Control Delay, s	0	1.5	11.2																																																																																																																																											
HCM LOS	B																																																																																																																																													
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT																																																																																																																																									
Capacity (veh/h)	585	-	-	1532	-																																																																																																																																									
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.007	-	-	0.026	-																																																																																																																																									
HCM Control Delay (s)	11.2	-	-	7.4	0																																																																																																																																									
HCM Lane LOS	B	-	-	A	A																																																																																																																																									
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0.1	-																																																																																																																																									

APPENDIX C
LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATIONS
• Future Year 2050 with Project Conditions

Intersection	1,1											
Int Delay, s/veh	1,1											
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR						
Lane Configurations	150 4 34 155 9 26						4 1					
Traffic Vol, veh/h	150 4 34 155 9 26											
Future Vol, veh/h	150 4 34 155 9 26											
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0 0 0 0 0 0											
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop						
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	Free						
Storage Length	-											
Veh in Median Storage, #	0											
Grade, %	0											
Peak Hour Factor	93 93 93 93 93 93											
Heavy Vehicles, %	11 56 7 10 46 11											
Mvmt Flow	161 4 37 167 10 28											
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor1									
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	165	0	404							
Stage 1	-	-	-	163	-							
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	241							
Critical Hwy	-	-	4.17	-	6.86							
Critical Hwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.86							
Critical Hwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.86							
Follow-up Hwy	-	-	2.263	-	3,914							
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1383	-	526	0						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	770	0						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	706	0						
Platoon blocked, %	-											
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1383	-	511	-						
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	511	-						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	748	-						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	706	-						
Approach	EB	WB	NB									
HCM Control Delay, s	0	1.4	12.2									
HCM LOS	B											
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT							
Capacity (veh/h)	511	-	-	1383	-							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.019	-	-	0.026	-							
HCM Control Delay (s)	12.2	-	-	7.7	0							
HCM Lane LOS	B	-	-	A	A							
HCM 95th %ile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.1	-							

HCM 6th TWSC
3: Miki Road & Kaumalapau Highway

Future Year 2050 - AM
02/04/2019

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh												5.4
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR						
Lane Configurations	51	98	324	140	16	59						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	51	98	324	140	16	59						
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop						
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None						
Storage Length	-	900	-	350	0	0						
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-						
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-						
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92						
Heavy Vehicles, %	10	55	4	8	55	20						
Mvmt Flow	55	107	352	152	17	64						
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Minor1									
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	163	0	966	110						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	110	-						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	856	-						
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.95	6.4						
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.95	-						
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.95	-						
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.236	-	3.985	3.48						
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1404	-	228	897						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	798	-						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	339	-						
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1403	-	171	896						
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	171	-						
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	597	-						
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	339	-						
Approach	EB	WB	NB									
HCM Control Delay, s	0	5.9	13.4									
HCM LOS	B											
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	NBLn2	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT						
Capacity (veh/h)	171	896	-	-	1403	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.102	0.072	-	-	0.251	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)	28.4	9.3	-	-	8.4	-						
HCM Lane LOS	D	A	-	-	A	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	0.2	-	-	1	-						

HCM 6th TWSC
5: Miki Road & Project Driveway 1

Future Year 2050 - AM
02/04/2019

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh												0.9
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR						
Lane Configurations	4	4	4	4	4	4						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	40	0	0	35	106	316						
Future Vol, veh/h	40	0	0	35	106	316						
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free						
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None						
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-						
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	-	0	0						
Grade, %	0	-	-	-	0	0						
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92						
Heavy Vehicles, %	50	50	50	50	50	50						
Mvmt Flow	43	0	0	38	115	343						
Major/Minor	Minor2	Major1	Major2									
Conflicting Flow All	325	287	458	0	-	0						
Stage 1	287	-	-	-	-	-						
Stage 2	38	-	-	-	-	-						
Critical Hdwy	6.9	6.7	4.6	-	-	-						
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.9	-	-	-	-	-						
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.9	-	-	-	-	-						
Follow-up Hdwy	3.95	3.75	2.65	-	-	-						
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	581	651	891	-	-	-						
Stage 1	664	-	-	-	-	-						
Stage 2	874	-	-	-	-	-						
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	581	651	891	-	-	-						
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	581	-	-	-	-	-						
Stage 1	664	-	-	-	-	-						
Stage 2	874	-	-	-	-	-						
Approach	EB	NB	SB									
HCM Control Delay, s	11.7	0	0									
HCM LOS	B											
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR							
Capacity (veh/h)	891	-	581	-	-							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.075	-	-							
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	11.7	-	-							
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	B	-	-							
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0.2	-	-							

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh													0
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	26	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	67	0	39	
Future Vol, veh/h	26	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	67	0	39	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	28	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	73	0	42	
Major/Minor	Minor2	Minor1	Minor1	Major1	Major2	Major2	Major1	Major1	Major2	Major2	Major1	Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	172	167	21	167	188	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	
Stage 1	167	167	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	5	0	-	167	188	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	791	726	1056	797	707	-	1567	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	835	760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	1017	-	-	835	745	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	726	1056	797	707	-	1567	-	-	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	726	-	797	707	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	835	760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	1017	-	-	835	745	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB							
HCM Control Delay, s	-	-	-	-	-	0							
HCM LOS	-	-	-	-	-	C							
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR					
Capacity (veh/h)	1567	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh													7.4
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR							
Lane Configurations	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔							
Traffic Vol, veh/h	150	26	99	155	76	227							
Future Vol, veh/h	150	26	99	155	76	227							
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	1	1	0	0							
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop							
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None							
Storage Length	-	-	900	-	-	350							
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	-	0							
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	-	0							
Peak Hour Factor	74	74	74	74	74	74							
Heavy Vehicles, %	10	55	4	8	55	20							
Mvmt Flow	203	35	134	209	103	307							
Major/Minor	Major1	Major2	Major1	Major2	Minor1	Minor1							
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	239	0	699	222							
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	222	-							
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	477	-							
Critical Hwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.95	6.4							
Critical Hwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.95	-							
Critical Hwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.95	-							
Follow-up Hwy	-	-	2.236	-	3.985	3.48							
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1316	-	386	775							
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	704	-							
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	528	-							
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1315	-	301	774							
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	301	-							
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	631	-							
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	528	-							
Approach	EB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB							
HCM Control Delay, s	0	3.1	15.3	15.3	C								
HCM LOS	-	-	-	-	-	-							
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	NBLn2	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT							
Capacity (veh/h)	301	774	-	-	1315	-							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.341	0.396	-	-	0.102	-							
HCM Control Delay (s)	23	12.7	-	-	8	-							
HCM Lane LOS	C	B	-	-	A	-							
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.5	1.9	-	-	0.3	-							

Intersection	6.6												
Int Delay, s/veh	0												
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	SBT	SBR							SBR	
Lane Configurations	W 4 1												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	221	0	0	82	53	72							38
Future Vol, veh/h	221	0	0	82	53	72							38
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0							0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free							Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None							None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-							-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-							-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-							-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92							92
Heavy Vehicles, %	50	50	50	50	50	50							50
Mvmt Flow	240	0	0	89	58	78							41
Major/Minor	Minor2	Minor1	Major1	Major2									
Conflicting Flow All	186	97	136	0	-	0							0
Stage 1	97	-	-	-	-	-							-
Stage 2	89	-	-	-	-	-							-
Critical Hwy	6.9	6.7	4.6	-	-	-							-
Critical Hwy Stg 1	5.9	-	-	-	-	-							-
Critical Hwy Stg 2	5.9	-	-	-	-	-							-
Follow-up Hwy	3.95	3.75	2.65	-	-	-							-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	705	843	1200	-	-	-							-
Stage 1	820	-	-	-	-	-							-
Stage 2	827	-	-	-	-	-							-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-							-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	705	843	1200	-	-	-							-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	705	-	-	-	-	-							-
Stage 1	820	-	-	-	-	-							-
Stage 2	827	-	-	-	-	-							-
Approach	EB	NB	SB										
HCM Control Delay, s	12.7	0	0										
HCM LOS	B												
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	EBL	WBL	SBL	SBR							
Capacity (veh/h)	1200	-	705	-	-	-							
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.341	-	-	-							
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	12.7	-	-	-							
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	B	-	-	-							
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	1.5	-	-	-							

Intersection	0												
Int Delay, s/veh	0												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBR	SBR	
Lane Configurations	4 4 4												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	35	0	0	0	0	47	0	0	0	15	0	38	
Future Vol, veh/h	35	0	0	0	0	47	0	0	0	15	0	38	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	38	0	0	0	0	51	0	0	0	16	0	41	
Major/Minor	Minor2	Minor1	Major1	Major2									
Conflicting Flow All	79	53	21	53	73	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	
Stage 1	53	53	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	26	0	-	53	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	910	838	1056	946	817	-	1568	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	960	851	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	992	-	-	960	834	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	838	1056	946	817	-	1568	-	-	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	838	-	946	817	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	960	851	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	992	-	-	960	834	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB									
HCM Control Delay, s	-	-	-	0									
HCM LOS	-	-	-	B									
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBL	WBL	SBL	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)	1568	-	-	-	-	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-						
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	-	-	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	-	-	-	-						

APPENDIX D TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT

