Ms. La Costa: So are you using that data to fulfill the .25?

Mr. Woodward: We don't ... (inaudible)... the .25 percent. That's a payment that's deducted on closing from the sale of any unit. If it's ... (inaudible)... third party it goes straight to this fund.

Ms. La Costa: And you don't monitor that in any way?

Mr. Woodward: We don't, no.

Ms. La Costa: Thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you. Any other questions? Okay, thank you very much. Thank you Candace. I guess at this point there's nothing else to do, we just...thank you for your report. I appreciate it very much. So moving on. Deputy Director.

No action was taken by the Commission.

 Mr. Hart: Unfinished Business, Item D.1, Lew Abrams representing the Sacred Earth Assembly, a non-profit interfaith church, requesting a State Land Use Commission Special Permit to utilize approximately 14.8 acres of the Ahimsa Sanctuary Farm and a 7,693 square foot two-story agricultural building for church-related activities at 4504 Hana Highway, TMK: (2) 2-8-003:075 (por.), Haiku, Island of Maui, SUP2 2017/0011. Tara Furukawa is our Staff Planning. The public hearing for this item was held on May 28, 2019.

## D. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. LEW ABRAMS representing the SACRED EARTH ASSEMBLY, a non-profit interfaith church, requesting a State Land Use Commission Special Permit to utilize approximately 14.8 acres of the Ahimsa Sanctuary Farm and a 7,693 square foot two-story agricultural building for church-related activities at 4504 Hana Highway, TMK: (2) 2-8-003:075 (por.), Haiku, Island of Maui (SUP2 2017/0011) (T. Furukawa) (Public Hearing held on May 28, 2019)

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Deputy Director. So as I said at the beginning of the meeting since the public hearing was done on May 28th we will not be taking public testimony on this particular item. However, if there are no objections from my fellow Commissioners I would like to open, I would like to open the record to insert all of the written testimony that we have received between May 28th and today. Are there any objections to that? Seeing no objections then we'll go ahead and enter that into the record.

Mr. Leslie Ickovitz: The applicant -

Mr. Carnicelli: Please, please state your name for the record.

Mr. Ickovitz: I'm sorry. My name is Leslie Ickovitz. I'm an attorney from Oahu appearing on behalf of Dr. Abrams and his wife on this application.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

Mr. Ickovitz: And on the issue of accepting the...reopening to accept testimony, the applicant is objecting strongly on the basis that the information that's been provided is data dump of hundreds of pieces of paper that we would want to cross examine the people who have submitted this information so that the Commission actually understands what information has been provided. You've received hundreds of pages of duplicate information that's undated or years old that talks about irrelevant information. So if they're gonna testify, we have a right to cross examine them. If they're gonna put in evidence that you're gonna consider that's been unsubstantiated, unauthenticated, unsworn to and you don't know what's there. There's hundreds of pages that was given in electronic form. Has anybody actually printed out these hundreds of pages and looked at them? Have they seen what they're trying to say?

 What they've done is they provided lots of documents to this Commission saying look we've proven all of it, they've done all these things illegal. But let's go over each of those applications. The fact that they've submitted hundreds of pages and they talk about the same New Year's party 50 times that...you should know that. They talk about the same belly dancing classes that were held at Studio Maui. They have hundred references of belly dancing classes intimating that those took place at the farm. They did not. They took place up at Studio Maui. So they provided you a lot of information to confuse you, to inflame, you but the information, you know, they had, you know they had plenty of notice.

 They could have intervened. They could have provided this information at the time when the hearing was open, they could of...you know, Ms. Drewyer was here last time instead of testifying she waits till the Friday before the hearing to mail a letter and say all these inflammatory things. I mean, what the record shows is that after the hearing, we saw the letters that Dr. Abram sent about he met with both neighbors, he sent them letters saying this is how we're gonna address signage and traffic and safety and do they respond to this letter? No. They just...they wrote things to this Commission saying it's not fair, it's not fair and look at these terrible things they've done, but the record shows that they have been clean and there's no reason I submit to this Commission to reopen to provide testimony that's just irrelevant and is meaningless as far as what whether the church permit should be allowed, you know, the record is there. The community is strongly in favor of it. They neighbors that are...one's 1,100 feet away, the other one's more than a quarter mile away, I mean the fact is that they, you know, if you reopen testimony, you're setting a horrible precedent that would be, you know, you'd have to do it again in the future if anybody went to the very last minute, it's just not, it's not fair to the applicant.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you very much. Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Galazin: Thank you. Counselor I had a quick question for you. So the applicant in contacting the neighbors post hearing and asking for more information and providing more

information to them what was the intent of that? Was that information going to be kept private or shared with the Commission at some point?

2 3 4

5

6

8

1

Mr. Ickovitz: No what happened was is that after the meeting, after the last meeting the three of them go, the four of them got together, Dr. and Mrs. Abrams met with Michelle Drewyer, the one neighbor who was here but didn't testify, and then Jerome Labat. So they met, they raised their concerns, and they wrote...Dr. Abrams wrote letters to Mr. Labat and to Ms. Drewyer even though she hadn't complained officially and laid out this is what we plan to do for...we heard all your concerns, we heard about barking so we're moving the dogs. We're gonna do signage—

9 10

Mr. Galazin: Okay, I don't want to hear the substance of the testimony. I just want to hear what you wanted to do with it.

13

Mr. Ickovitz: Oh with regard to the...oh yeah, no we wrote letters to them and those were submitted by Tara to the Commissioners. You received...you saw the...what we did...so we just...we present those just so you see—

17 18

Mr. Galazin: So are you objecting to those? Because what we're talking about right now is what Tara sent to the Commission?

20 21

Mr. Ickovitz: Right.

22

23 Mr. Galazin: You object to the inclusion of that.

24

Mr. Ickovitz: No, we're not objecting to the inclusion of that because those are just...that shows what we're, we're doing. What the efforts we're making to resolve the issues with our neighbors, but—

28

29 Mr. Galazin: Chair if I can?

30

31 Mr. Carnicelli: Yes.

32

Mr. Galazin: Thank you. So Tara about how many pages of documents were submitted to the Commissioners post hearing and prior to today? Was it hundreds of pages or less, can you...

35 36

Ms. Furukawa: Less.

37

38 Mr. Galazin: Okay, so-

39

40 Mr. Ickovitz: ...(inaudible)...

41

Mr. Galazin: Excuse me sir, hang tight. So did you provide the applicant with copies of everything that you provided to the Commission as well?

44

45 Ms. Furukawa: Yes, I did.

Mr. Galazin: Okay, thank you. So Chair at this point you know the motion has been made to go ahead and accept this. What I would suggest you know we give the applicant an opportunity to describe whether they've had enough time to look at those. They certainly...the applicant is free to lodge objections to that if the applicant needs more time to review those documents that can be requested but the ball's in the applicant's court for that.

6 7

Mr. Carnicelli: Would like to come forward and address that?

8

Dr. Lew Abrams: I did have these corrected maps that were requested can I pass that—

10

11 Mr. Carnicelli: Please state your name for the record please.

12

13 Dr. Abrams: Dr. Lew Abrams.

14

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, and then did you understand the question that Corporation Counsel asked?

17 18

19

20

Dr. Abrams: I did. Yes. I'm not invested in having the letters that I sent to the neighbors admitted into the record, but I am open to just proceeding without going over that, but I am willing to address and explain my reactions to the concerns they raised and my efforts to meet those concerns.

21 22

23 Mr. Carnicelli: So have you received everything that Tara sent to you?

24

Dr. Abrams: I saw what was posted on the Commission website, yes.

25 26

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. And do you feel like you've had enough time to review all of that?

27 28

29 Dr. Abrams: I did have a chance to look at it. I mean, not thoroughly but—

30

31 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

32

Dr. Abrams: Most of it was from quite some time ago from 2008, 2009 up to 2015.

34

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. So thank you for that then. So my question now is I'm gonna go, I'm gonna go back to Tara. Tara the reason why we recessed this particular item back on May 28<sup>th</sup> was because of a jurisdictional issue.

38

39 Ms. Furukawa: Yes.

40

Mr. Carnicelli: So if you could please address the jurisdictional issue and whether or not it is that this falls within the Maui Planning Commission or if this should go to the State Land Use Commission.

44

Ms. Furukawa: Okay, so at the May 28, 2019 meeting the Planning Commission voted to defer until a survey map could be provided that...for the acreage for the church use to total less than

1 15 acres. So on June 12, 2019 we transmitted to you a survey map dated February 2, 2016 when the applicant was thinking about pursuing Condominium Property Regime and if you look at Common Element B and Common Element C both portions total 14.781 acres and that's for 3 4 the proposed church use.

5 6

Dr. Abrams: I have a bigger map with it highlighted. It might be—

7 8

Mr. Carnicelli: Yeah, that actually...that would be very helpful 'cause I can't figure out which lines are delineated with which.

9 10 11

12

13

Dr. Abrams: And there's also the map that was in your original SUP application that reflects and it's substantially the same parcel of land. The plot plan that I submitted in the first hearing was incorrect and this is reflected...this is a page from the SUP application showing that it's basically 14 the same area of land as ... (inaudible)...

15 16

Mr. Galazin: Chair if I can?

17 18

Mr. Carnicelli: Corporation Counsel.

19

20 Mr. Galazin: So thank you, and Tara do you want to explain for the Commission how the Department assesses the portion that will be used for any SUP application if we're talking about 21 Ag land and the 15 acres? 22

23

24 Ms. Furukawa: Typically actually we don't require a survey when somebody comes in for a SUP2. They just delineate where the church...where the use will occur. 25

26

Mr. Galazin: And then any approved use would be limited only to that portion and—

27 28

Ms. Furukawa: Yes. 29

30

31 Mr. Galazin: --and anything beyond that would be a zoning violation. Is that correct or...

32

33 Ms. Furukawa: Yes.

34

35 Mr. Galazin: Okay, thank you Chair.

36

37 Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Corporation Counsel so Tara is my quick question now is within this 38 the obvious one for me is I look at this highlighted Common Element B and Common Element C 39 it does not include the driveway. So how can we get to there without...you know because we're gonna use the driveway. 40

41

42 Ms. Furukawa: Yes.

43

44 Mr. Carnicelli: So that's not included in this, so that...I'm not understanding or what am I 45 misunderstanding if we're saying like this is only what's gonna be used is this 14.8 acres, but it doesn't include ingress and egress. 46

Ms. Furukawa: Jordan.

Mr. Hart: Chair if I might?

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you.

 Mr. Hart: You know the Department doesn't necessarily see it that way. Basically you know the traffic is arriving is not the actual use. I recognize that they are driving to the property for the use, but you know we feel that the traffic is not the use itself. The special use in the Ag District is the religious observance. You know, if that is an issue of concern potentially it could be adjusted, the boundaries could be adjusted. As an example, there's a gulch portion you know if there needs to be a portion deleted in order to allow for that, that could be done, but that's generally not the interpretation of the Department at this time.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, thank you. Commissioner Robinson.

Mr. Robinson: Well, I'm just...when you...when the County taxes a property do they not count the driveways and parking lots or they just count the place, the areas that are used. Is a driveway a use?

Mr. Hart: A driveway is a use. My understanding is a portion of this driveway is a shared access. There is a portion that's on the property itself.

Mr. Robinson: So it's flag lot and they're using...and then the ingress is shared among common owners?

 Mr. Hart: There's a portion that is. You can see that delineated in the survey map here, and what I...if the, you know, the Commission feels that this...the ...(inaudible)...of traffic through the upper portion of the parcel down to the lower portion of the parcel which is the area intended for religious use you know feels that there should be a flag established within this TMK in order to more thoroughly accommodate the special use I think that that's something that could be done. That wasn't something that we were directing the applicant to prepare for prior to this meeting, but you it's reasonable and we can respond to that.

Mr. Carnicelli: So I guess at this point in time Commission the Department feels as though the applicant does fall within our jurisdiction. Does Corporation Counsel also concur?

Mr. Galazin: That's a position of the Department to take. I advise you guys not the Department.

41 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, so then I'm going to ask for your opinion.

Mr. Galazin: I defer to the Department.

Mr. Hart: So why don't I just try and read this, excuse me. Chair.

1 Mr. Carnicelli: Go ahead.

Mr. Hart: HRS 205A-6 is special permits as it permit to the Agricultural District, and Item D says that special permits for land area which is greater than 15 acres or for lands designated as important agricultural lands shall be subject to approval by the Land Use Commission. So basically just to repeat what I think is the critical section, special permits for land area of which is greater than 15 acres. So it doesn't say parcel or anything like that, CPR, it's says land area. So the applicant has used an existing CPR map that was surveyed in order to clearly delineate. There is a relevant question of whether or not the driveway could be included in that special use area. You know I believe that the applicant has the ability to adjust for that considering you know some of the portion of the area is in gulch. But as far as whether or not the acreage is tied directly to a TMK or something like that, that's not the way that we're reading the State Hawaii Revised Statute.

 Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Deputy Director. You know what I think at this point let's just go ahead and defer to us, right. This is, this is our decision as then...I mean, the Department has a recommendation, do we feel as though this does fall within our jurisdiction. Do you feel like this map is suffice?

Mr. Robinson: Chair?

Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Robinson.

Mr. Robinson: Does the applicant feel that that it should be here or should be in the LUC or do they want two shots at it.

Mr. Carnicelli: Go ahead and ask the question.

Mr. Robinson: Can you ask them that?

Mr. Carnicelli: Sure Lew do you feel as though you meet the 15 acres and you belong here in front of the Maui Planning Commission.

34 Dr. Abrams: Yes.

Mr. Carnicelli: Or should you go and see the Land Use Commission.

Dr. Abrams: No, it feels appropriate that you all would make this determination and yeah if it's necessary to include the use of that driveway we could trim some of the gulch area off and have it all be in that be within that 14.8 acres. I understand with many condominium situations the driveway is a sort of a common element that isn't necessarily included in.

43 Mr. Carnicelli: Great. Thank you Lew. Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Galazin: Thank you Chair and I would just say it's my understanding that it's not uncommon for the Planning Department to administer something in this fashion where they deal with a use

that's on an Ag parcel that the parcel itself may be larger than 15 acres but the use is confined to a definable area that's less than 15 acres the Department has in the past administered that and feels comfortable doing that in the future. I would just ask for confirmation.

Mr. Hart: Chair if I could? That's correct and I also want to address something that Ms. Furukawa said, you know normally it's not a survey. This applicant happens to have had a survey on hand already. There's other methods of delineating the area accurately. You know sometimes projects have engineers on the team, they're scaling from a CAD file that's not a actual survey or there's a Real Property Tax area calculation tool. So there's various ways of establishing it and the Planning Department does a second look to verify but this is an acceptable method.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

Mr. Robinson: I'm comfortable Chair. Thank you.

 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. So I guess unless there's any objection at this point we'll go ahead and proceed forward and say that we are the governing body over this particular special use permit. Any objections? Seeing none, okay. There we go, so here we are. I do believe that when we left off we were at the Q&A portion of that. However, Tara is there something that you would like to add before we go back into question and answers from the Commission?

Ms. Furukawa: No, not at this time.

Mr. Carnicelli: Not at this time. Okay. I guess...or the other part too then I do want...I believe that Commissioner Castro and Commissioner Thompson you were not here for the May 28<sup>th</sup> meeting have you guys read everything and do you feel as though you're caught up to speed and you can make a decision on this particular item, yeah?

Mr. Thompson: Yes.

32 Mr. Castro: Yeah, I have.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, great. So I guess we'll go ahead and start with questions. We'll start with Commissioner La Costa again. You're first and foremost all day.

Ms. La Costa: Thank you. Mahalo Chair. I have actually couple questions for you. This map was done in...this is for the applicant please, thank you...this map was done in 2016 have there been any additional structures permitted or unpermitted that are not shown on this map?

Dr. Abrams: No, everything is reflected up to date on that map.

Ms. La Costa: Okay, thank you. The second thing is I asked you last time about your ongoing church activities and I was not satisfied with your answer so please have you been doing church activities from 2008 till today.

1 Unidentified Speaker: No.

Dr. Abrams: No, we have not been doing church activities. Yeah, we're hoping to with the approval of this body. We have had farm tours and various other kinds of commercial activities associated with our farm where people come for CSA boxes and such and we have had some informal gatherings of our friends, parties but they weren't church sponsored. We haven't been...got to the approval place to be able to offer weekly service which is our main goal being here.

Ms. La Costa: May I continue Chair please?

Mr. Carnicelli: Yes.

Ms. La Costa: Thank you. On Exhibit B you have written a letter to Jerome and you suggested that perhaps he choose a different spot to build his retirement home and may I ask you why that capricious comment?

Dr. Abrams: Well it came out of our discussion the day after the meeting where we addressed the concerns that were raised and that's kind of taken out of context if you read the first part of the sentence it's related to we're hoping to be able to get along smoothly and that he will accept that we do use that building once approved for church related activities and the building site that he's choosing as a...may choose or hopes to choose as a bird flies it's relatively close, I just let him know that we, you know we will hopefully be using that spot so if it would become a disturbance to him he might choose a different site on his land to build or to consider that if he has a concern of our gathering there.

Ms. La Costa: Actually I did not take it out of context, but thank you for that information. What's going on with the dogs are they still an issue? Are you still getting complaints from your neighbors?

Dr. Abrams: We haven't gotten any active complaints. We have moved the dogs onto the part of our house where we can fence them in where they're not right along the driveway where cars come. That was kind of part of the problems that when cars would come the dogs would bark at them. Now that we have them contained on the western side of our house they're kind of in an area where their barking is less of a problem and they don't bark when cars come and go from the property.

Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Castro do you have any questions at this time?

40 Mr. Castro: No, I don't.

Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Tackett do you have any questions at this time? Thank you.
Commissioner Robinson do you have any questions at this time? Commissioner Pali.

Ms. Pali: Hi.

Dr. Abrams: Hello.

 Ms. Pali: Just a couple questions for clarifying. You had mentioned no activities for church activities on the property now. But I think it was your...you had testifiers here last time and there were two that I actually took notes on which were lovely people by the way. One lady testified doing belly dancing classes up there and another one talked about karate, but then I think your attorney may have just noted that those were statements in the opposition letters but I actually heard them from your witnesses so where those just kind of friends and gatherings getting together?

Dr. Abrams: Yeah, we never had any martial arts karate, that's not familiar with me.

Ms. Pali: Okay. So maybe it was just sort of her take on maybe what you guys did.

Dr. Abrams: I think so.

Ms. Pali: Okay, no worries. And then tell me a little bit because I really, really try to find the good in everyone even when we make silly mistakes but I'm really stuck on all the fines and the lack of responses up until you meeting with us and ...(inaudible)...and so when I look back at the history it looks like you had some challenges with some structures and that they may have been unpermitted or particularly some outstanding fines and Notices of Violations that it seems like it took a while to clear up. Can you tell me a little bit about that?

Dr. Abrams: Yes, when we got that original RFS, immediately I responded to the Planning Department and began the process of applying for an after-the-fact permit for that one area on the lower level of the building that was already part of the original footprint and already had electricity and so on. And it was very difficult to get through this after-the-fact permit process. We resubmitted a number of times and went through every way we knew how to get a ruling on that and the time was going by and the fines were accumulating and everything was stalled related to this special use permit pending that one storage room. And so eventually we just, you know, even though I had been in communication and I kept them, the Public Works Department, I kept them apprised of our efforts and the letters that we were sending in to the other part of the Planning Department to Rulan Waikiki and so on eventually we got to the point where we said it's just stalling us too long it's been almost two years since we had originally applied for this special use and so we withdrew the after-the-fact permit and contacted the Building Department and asked them to let us know what if anything would have to be removed of that unpermitted work and so we went that route instead and as soon as we could we got that taken care of.

Ms. Pali: So it looks like you agreed to dismantle the art storage structure.

Dr. Abrams: Storage room under the temple, yes.

Ms. Pali: Okay. I just...I'm trying to make sense of some of the statements. I'm really big on just being able to validate people's statements and your attorney mentioned about you know, you just really being a good person which I'm not doubting but it's just it's measured differently depending on who you are.

1 2

Dr. Abrams: Sure.

Ms. Pali: And so for us, it's really important that people respect the rules that we put in place because that's what we believe Maui County is, how we can generate a community a community that respects others is through these rules.

Dr. Abrams: Yes, absolutely.

Ms. Pali: And they're here to support people. So when we have those that are in violation especially up to about half a million dollars then that concerns me. So thank you.

Dr. Abrams: Yes, I intend to abide to all regulations and make this church a benefit to the community.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Commissioner Pali. Commissioner Thompson do you have any questions at this time?

Mr. Thompson: Sure. So is there any issues with the sewer. I saw that one's a septic and one's a cesspool is that correct?

Dr. Abrams: No it's a full septic system rated. It's for five bedrooms. We built it with the idea of possibly having another ohana that would feed into it. So it's a very large well established septic system. There's never been a cesspool on that property.

Mr. Thompson: Okay, so it's septic. And how many people, I'm reading different things here, somewhere you were allowed 40 people, three times a week was it?

Dr. Abrams: We were estimating that during our weekly regular services we would expect 30 to 40 people and that on larger holiday gatherings that might be quarterly or for certain holidays that we would go up to 100. The septic system was rated for 100 people with dinner that's at ten gallons per person and so if dinner isn't served it could go, receive way...well over that as well.

Mr. Thompson: So in one of these letters they said you got maybe 300 people come up at a time?

Dr. Abrams: Yeah, that was an exaggeration by our friend Fredde who is a renter next door at Jerome Labat's. I asked him if he had ever actually counted the cars or the people and he admitted that he had not. We would love to someday grow to that number but mostly we have like 50 or 75 people. I could count on one hand that the number of times we've had up to like 75 to 100 people.

Mr. Thompson: And is it three days a week still?

Dr. Abrams: We're planning on starting with two days a week at this time doing a Wednesday garden party preceded by a prayer and meditation and then having people who want to get their hands in the aina get to work on the farm that would be during the day from 8:00 to 1:00. And then evening gathering that we're like...wanting to or proposing to start with is Friday evening shave service with a potluck at 6:00 and ending by 9:00.

Mr. Thompson: So is this a church or a commercial activity?

9 Dr. Abrams: Definitely not a commercial activity. This has been a negative cash flow activity for us.

Mr. Thompson: ...(inaudible)...you spend a lot of money here. Yeah it does sound like a business—

Dr. Abrams: In the spirit of service.

Mr. Thompson: Sure. Okay. And so you're saying up to a hundred people and it's at couple times a week...was there brochures for...is this handed out in activity companies too, they sell this as a tour, a dinner and...

Dr. Abrams: We do have a rack card. We stopped doing the farm to table dinners because we lost our connection with a commercial kitchen and although we...on larger events we do, we can cater in food that's prepared in a commercial kitchen. So now we just offer when we do tours tea tasting and permaculture design education, but we're not doing the meals.

Mr. Thompson: And then you sell products there?

Dr. Abrams: We do. We have a herbal tea blend that people often will buy when they come on tour. We've had in the past CSA boxes where we had a weekly harvest and people signed up and would come and pick up.

Mr. Thompson: Thank you very much.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you. Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Galazin: Thank you. Dr. Abrams could you just briefly describe for me the process you undertook in looking for a property on which to have your church before you landed on this one?

 Dr. Abrams: Yeah, we were living in Haiku. We've been on island since '97. We lived in Haiku up Awalau Road for ten years. And we had the situation where the lot next to us sold and it was subdivided and they built the ohana up on stilts right on the boundary or 15 feet from the boundary so they were looking right into our backyard and our deck and my wife was very concerned about the privacy at that point. So we began looking for other places where we could develop our interest in permaculture and agriculture and also a place where there was a lot of open space around so that we could have the potential of bringing community together for worship without impacting others.

1 2

Mr. Galazin: So to clarify that it wasn't specifically just for a church it was for agricultural activities with an open space?

Dr. Abrams: Yeah, we didn't have the church design or anything when we bought that property.

Mr. Galazin: So as far as the church itself goes you haven't looked at any other properties besides this one is that?

Dr. Abrams: No, no.

12 Mr. Galazin: Okay, thank you just to clarify.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thanks Lew. So, I'm going...this is your farm plan?

16 Dr. Abrams: Yes.

Mr. Carnicelli: Right here. Is that I'm just sorta looking at this and as I come up into your property the very first piece of it is the first farm dwelling is that your main residence?

Dr. Abrams: That's our home, yes.

Mr. Carnicelli: Oh okay. And do any of your activities happen in your main home at all?

Dr. Abrams: No, no.

Δ.

Dr. Abrams: Yeah, that's one of the reasons we moved actually 'cause we used to host our activities in our living rooms at our home on Awalau and it became impactful to you know, get clean house all the time so we are using only the lower portion of the property, the lower 14.8 acres.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, which...okay, lower-

Mr. Carnicelli: That's just, that's just your-

Dr. Abrams: Or actually northern but it's downhill because of the slope of ...(inaudible)...

Mr. Carnicelli: It's not a topo, that's great. So two other questions that I have right now is the concerns of the neighbors are is the fact that you share a water supply right? Health and safe, right? Health and safety, health and safety. So we have fire protection and we got water. And some of the I guess implications here are that here's concerns about siphoning off of water for the fire protection system and/or do you have approvals I guess from the association? Do you have all the proper I guess approvals, agreements in place for this activity I guess within this community water system I guess...

Dr. Abrams: Right, it's a private water system.

1 2

Mr. Carnicelli: Right.

 Dr. Abrams: And we intend to meet with the other association members and discuss it but we don't really anticipate much of a additional use of water associated with this building 'cause we already put up a 10,000 gallon potable water tank that's plumbed into the building and we intend to use drinking water, imported drinking water when we have these events so I don't think it will really affect very much our use of water. And in the water agreement fire protection is what it's intended for the shared well and catchment fire protection and domestic activities and irrigation. It's actually the farming activities that use much more water for irrigation than would be used for when people come to worship.

Mr. Carnicelli: Do you guys have a dual system? Is it potable and nonpotable or just potable?

Dr. Abrams: There is a potable tank plumed into the building and we also are attached to the regular piping up to the well so that's nonpotable hasn't been...

Mr. Carnicelli: So your farming activities are done nonpotable?

Dr. Abrams: Yes.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. I got a question for Corporation Counsel again, I asked this last time and is...what is a church? And is this like...I guess the two questions that I kinda had at the beginning of this was one, do we have jurisdiction and two, is just like are they a church trying to get, you know, permission to be a church. So kinda like where do we draw that line?

Mr. Galazin: Thank you Chair and it's good you asked this for the benefit of all the Commissioners as well as you know anybody else who may be watching. When it comes to this you know a church if they define what their use is going to be as a church use that's really the end of the inquiry. You know, we don't get into whether somebody's beliefs are sufficient, whether they are rational, you know, if they say it's a church it's a church. If Planning determines at some later point that the activity being conducted there is you know, selling figurines and that's the only thing they do and they try and say well, we celebrate figurines, if it's really a store that sells figurines Planning is going to know that. But when they come in for the use and they say that we want to use the church the presumption is that it's a church and we go from there.

Dr. Abrams: And we are an outgrowth of the established Temple of Peace in Haiku.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you. Commissioner La Costa.

 Ms. La Costa: I do have a couple more questions. Thank you. Thank you Dr. Abrams. I have some concern after reading the letters that were sent to us. This is from you and signed by you and wife digitally. Under the summary it says we invite you to join us for the services after the church has been approved and I think that's kind of a bold assumption when you have been questioned with a lot things and you have a lot of unanswered questions. So if your neighbors

who have protested continue to protest then I have a concern about that and I also have a concern about Fedde when you directed this letter and said, since Fedde is your tenant we are asking you to let Fedde know that you support our request for him to submit a more accurate truthful version of his testimony. So...

Dr. Abrams: Yeah, I was asking Jerome the owner of the property to support having the truth come out.

Ms. La Costa: But I see the letter in here was not signed by Fedde nor was any kind of retraction done by the neighbor, so the neighbor in my estimation still has an issue with it. What is your feeling on that?

Dr. Abrams: I did speak with Fedde and he acknowledged that it may have been an exaggeration and that he wasn't set on opposing what we were doing...that he was just concerned what might happen if the church grew. I think there are still some concerns from several of our neighbors and we're motivated to work with them and address those concerns as I laid out in that letter and I'm definitely open to speaking more with them.

Ms. La Costa: Okay, but there was not a retraction from either your neighbor or Fedde about their support?

22 Dr. Abrams: Not that I know of.

Ms. La Costa: Okay, thank you.

26 Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Castro.

Mr. Castro: No questions.

Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Tackett.

Mr. Tackett: No questions.

Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Robinson. Commissioner Pali.

Ms. Pali: I have a question and I'd like you to help me with your answer. I feel like this case is very similar to the one we heard earlier in a sense that you have really good people they come in and they want to do what they want to do with it and so I'm struggling because there were people there prior to you, neighbors and they in their minds have an idea of what that character of the neighborhood is and I do appreciate you continuing to verbalize to us that you are attempting to get everybody on board so you guys can continue to keep the culture good and pono.

Dr. Abrams: Yes.

Ms. Pali: But if you still have strong opposition in the neighbors adjacent or in the 500-foot radius that's still a concern and so that's where I'm struggling right now. I live in a neighborhood where my dad was the original owner so there was nothing until his home was there and we still have the home. It was built in 1985 and so I would just try to wear the shoes and I don't know that I would be too happy if a neighbor came in tomorrow and wanted to bring their ideas of what life should look like and it may be distort or change what I've had but yet I do believe in growth and like Chair Keaka mentioned, Commissioner Keaka mentioned that we do embrace other people and other cultures, but not at the expense of the original people. So we have to have a sensitive line and so my question is have you had further talks with putting in or promising sort of limitations on the types of activities to try to get your neighbors to do a compromise so they would change their opposition? Has those kind of talks happened?

Dr. Abrams: We did have that one meeting the day after this last hearing on May 28th and we talked about it. I don't think we arrived at a specific compromise but we did discuss our concerns and I think it's important to note that this ministry is an earth-based spirituality coming out of our permaculture and organic farming belief and the principle of around which our farm is named which is Ahimsa meaning non-harming or honoring all life as sacred so intrinsic to the worship is honoring the aina and giving people the opportunity to come and actually work the land and get their hands into the earth. And since we've arrived there I've really developed the farming. It was just an empty dry grassland and we planted hundreds of trees and built fishponds.

Ms. Pali: I don't think we're...I'm not questioning your intent. I'm just questioning that that's your desire for your life and your lifestyle and we just have to respect that other people's life and lifestyle and choices are different and so where do have the balance.

Dr. Abrams: Right.

Ms. Pali: But thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Thompson.

Mr. Robinson: Chair, I got one question.

Mr. Carnicelli: Sure Commissioner Robinson.

Mr. Robinson: Do we have...and I apologize I don't have the packet from the last time but do we have a picture of the driveway and the dimensions of it?

Dr. Abrams: I have this...(inaudible)...change the location of the neighbor's properties relative to the church building. It's over 1,500 feet mostly to the other houses and this is the flag lot and there is a picture in that SUP application which I have here. I can get the one from the application itself...it's a little hard to see but it does show where the flag lot of the driveway comes in, but I have a picture in here of the actual mouth of the driveway.

Mr. Carnicelli: You gotta speak in the mic Lew.

1 2

Mr. Robinson: So Tara do we have the dimension of the driveway, the width of it?

Dr. Abrams: We do have a map. I think it's in your report, but which I also...(inaudible)...

Mr. Robinson: So there's a 30-foot easement but the question is is it a clear 30 feet. So do we have a picture of the driveway is my question.

Dr. Abrams: Yes, I'm gonna give you a picture, oh she has it on...right here on the computer. I think I might have it too. It's a paved driveway that's about 30 feet wide and then our ownership includes ten feet on either side.

Mr. Robinson: Okay, so we have 30-foot of pavement?

Dr. Abrams: I believe so.

Mr. Robinson: Okay, that's fine. That's all I need Chair.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thanks. So Lew I wanna, I wanna sort of jump to some of the concerns. So we had May 28th you gave your presentation. We had testimony and then you know you heard a lot of concerns. You've addressed the concerns. You've talked to your neighbors, reached out so my question is you know this green piece this is the recommendation from staff that's how we know what the green piece is and it has recommendations and there are certain conditions on here

Dr. Abrams: Yes.

Mr. Carnicelli: There's like okay eight to ten, blah, blah, you know these different things.

Dr. Abrams: Right, we reviewed those.

Mr. Carnicelli: So after going and saying okay I'm trying to work with my neighbors what is it that you're bringing to table between May 28th and today, you know like what is it that you're saying okay this is now what I'm going to do. I'm going to do X, Y and Z, you know so we can kinda you know find out exactly rather than being like conjecture?

Dr. Abrams: Yes, I'm gonna refer to my notes here. There was an expression of being concerned about car lights that was raised at one point by our neighbor, Michelle and we've already dealt with that by bringing in a bulldozer and actually creating a berm that blocks the lights as they leave our property so they don't reach her, her living room. We also have rerouted the actual drive that goes around the perimeter of our land so that the cars don't go across her view plain.

 There was a concern about the dogs, right so we have worked on the fencing of a particular area on the western side of our house were we can contain the dogs away from the place where cars go which was triggering them. By the way, there's dogs in the neighborhood including

Ms. Drewyer's dog and often times the dogs will...two dogs that she has, dogs will bark back and forth triggering each other.

2 3 4

5 6

1

Originally when we got there one of the concerns was the view of our neighbor. John Cahill who Jerome Labat bought the property from of, he was...we built the temple that was visible from his house and to accommodate to that we planted a hedge of bamboo that obstructs that so it makes it private for him visually.

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

And we asked about...the issue, there was a concern expressed about the Hana Highway there in order to take left turn into our driveway coming from the Paia side which there's a concern about cars needing to slow down or stop to turn into that driveway and so we acknowledge that as an issue and we're encourage...are promising to encourage people to carpool when they come, to drive slowly and to turn on a turn signal well in advance of that driveway so it gives people plenty of warning and for larger gatherings where we're expecting more than 30 cars, we'll post a staff person out there at the mouth of the driveway to help with that that is what we offered to mitigate the concerns.

16 17 18

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you. Commissioner La Costa.

19 20

Ms. La Costa: Yes, thank you Chair.

21 22

23

24

25

Dr. Abrams: Oh there was a...say it again. Right, we're very conscientious and we have been all these years if there is any kind of music or drumming to make sure that we stop that by 10:00 p.m. and we're open to lowering the volume and closing up the doors and stuff by 9:30 p.m. if that's an issue. But we've always except for New Year's Eve which is that one annual gathering we host have ended everything by 10:00.

26 27 28

Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner La Costa. Thank you Lew.

29 30

Ms. La Costa: Thank you Chair. So if this were not approved what would happen on your property? What would you be doing if the church were not approved?

31 32 33

34 35

36

Dr. Abrams: Well, we're going to continue our agricultural operation and probably put...you'll we'll continue to develop more of that land. I just opened up one new field this week and I guess we would probably want to appeal, yeah, and come...this is an important right to...for us to develop our ministry and coming out of the Temple of Peace and having a place for people to gather, so yeah, it's very important to us to... I ask you humbly to approve us.

37 38

39 Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you. Commissioner Castro.

40

Mr. Castro: No questions. 41

- 43 Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Tackett. Commissioner Robinson. Commissioner Pali. 44 Commissioner Thompson. I guess at this point there's no more questions. So Lew what I think
- we should do is give you and/or your attorney the last word. Say whatever it is you need to say, 45

rebut whatever you need to rebut, tie up, you know put it in a package, but I just want you to make sure that you get you know—

Dr. Abrams: Okay, I appreciate that.

Mr. Carnicelli: --ample ability and time to rebut.

Dr. Abrams: Okay, thank you. Yeah, so this ministry has evolved out of a convergence of our involvement with the Temple of Peace for the last 20 years which is an interfaith ministry that we help Reverend Kedar St. John with on Sundays and our interest in permaculture and aloha aina and growing healthy food in a way that doesn't impact the land in a negative way. And it's a very natural union to be honoring of the earth as a sacred mother and to gather in celebration by sharing locally grown food, honoring the holidays which is one of the ten commandments and bringing people together for rites of passage in the community like we recently hosted a 92<sup>nd</sup> birthday party for a member of community. We did his 88<sup>th</sup>, 89<sup>th</sup>, 90<sup>th</sup>, 91<sup>st</sup>, and 92<sup>nd</sup> birthday parties all free of charge. And also things that we believe are important is to have a safe gathering space that's not a bar where people can gather and bring their children and the elders can come and share in fellowship in this very open minded interfaith way where all the different religions are welcome, the common unifying denominator is the honoring of the earth and recognizing that human beings needs to be stewards of the planet for the future generations and key to be able to pass on a living, vibrant earth is finding a way to grow food without a lot of pesticides and other petrochemicals and generating energy in a renewable way.

So we have a permaculture design that we've implemented and do educate people about when they come onto the property either informally for a gathering or for a formal farm tour and it's the church part of that grown out of this respect for the earth and honoring the life force and wanting to make sure that we are an example and provide some alternatives to the large scale petrochemical, monoculture agriculture so that's a vision that has naturally kind of organically grown, people who have come really get it and want to participate and so especially with the potlucks we invite people to bring locally grown food and celebrate that. And it's also somewhat larger space than the Temple of Peace so it's possible to host more people there when...on a holiday so we're working in concert with the Temple of Peace in that way.

I think part of what we are balancing is the concerns of three individual neighbors versus...and one who presented a letter in support of what we're doing versus a spiritual community that will come together periodically. We're not looking for everyday events. We enjoy our peace and quiet as well. But we would like to have a regular service that we can offer people to come together for fellowship, to be in reverence for the earth and to celebrate this gift of life together. And it's been an honor and an act of service to get to this point to build this structure and to develop our land so that we do have a vibrant, beautiful farm that can really inspire people to take some of those permaculture ideas home with them and try it on their balcony of their condo or in their home garden.

 And the interfaith part of it is really important too. It's open to anyone, whatever your name of God or whichever particular text you honor, the honoring of the earth is the common unifying factor that allows us all to come together in celebration. So one of the things we've done for

many years is hosting a potluck for Thanksgiving and we...Ahimsa is the philosophy of non-harming that often will give rise to a philosophy of vegetarianism or a choice not to eat animals or to kill animals for food. And so, we provide an alternative for the community of people who are not wanting to celebrate Thanksgiving by eating turkey. We end up with a sumptuous feast of all these dishes that people bring and my wife, Mariah goes all out. We often will make many dishes to help the people and it becomes a valuable gathering place at those kinda key moments for the holidays, for some rites of passage like I mentioned with that recent memorial, and yeah, it's a natural outgrowth of my life.

I've worked as a...I continue to work as a licensed Clinical Psychologist where I have value on service and part of that means being attuned and open to people from all walks of life and all backgrounds and so I feel like I am suited to be able to welcome people warmly into an accepting, loving circle and it has been really beautiful to see the elders and kids and everyone coming together and sharing food and then having an opportunity to share. Part of what we often do is have a sharing circle where people can offer a song or a poem or just share something that they're inspired by. So this model has really worked.

You know we've been hosting people in our home for the last 30 years informally not as a church but typically on Thanksgiving and often on full moons, and by having this open, welcoming attitude where whatever you believe is embraced as long as it's honoring of the earth and one and other. It is filling a need for quite a few people who maybe not so identified with going to a particular individual denomination church but who do want to honor the wisdom traditions of many different paths of the mountain to the great mystery, that's the way I like to refer to God, great mystery in the sense that no one has a ability to kinda pigeon hole that.

I just want to reiterate that we have made concessions and mitigating efforts to address the concerns of our neighbor. We do have some communication. We don't text back and forth. We are doing everything we can to keep our dogs in check and not disturb people when people come and go. We're meticulous about stopping at a reasonable hour and I think it's just a valid use. We know that church is a use allowed on agricultural land with a special use permit and that's what we're here before you today to ask for your approval so that we really can step into that ministry and start offering regular weekly services and inspire the community. I think that's it.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Dr. Abrams. I appreciate it. So Commission I think at this point Chair will entertain a motion. Oh, I'm sorry, recommendation first.

Ms. Furukawa: So the application complies with the applicable standards for a State Land Use Commission Special Permit as discussed in the Analysis section of the report and the Maui County Planning Department is recommending approval subject to some conditions. Should I just go condition by condition?

Mr. Carnicelli: I don't know, does everybody have it? You guys want, you want to hear it? Go ahead and read it please.

Ms. Furukawa: Okay. That the Land Use Commission Special Permit shall be valid until June 30, 2024 subject to extension by the Maui County Planning Director upon a timely request for extension filed prior to its expiration. Any request for time extension should be submitted no later than 90 days prior to its expiration and the Planning Director may forward the time extension request to the Planning Commission for review and approval and may require a public hearing on the time extension by the Planning Commission. That's the first one.

Mr. Carnicelli: Just do them all.

 Ms. Furukawa: Okay. That the subject Land Use Commission Special Permit shall not be transferred shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Planning Director. However, in the event that a contested case hearing preceded issuance of said Land Use Commission Special Permit, a public hearing shall be held by the appropriate Planning Commission upon due published notice, including actual written notice to the last known addresses of parties to said contested case and their counsel.

Okay, Number 3, That the applicant, its successors and permitted assigns shall exercise reasonable due care as to third parties with respect to all areas affected by subject Land Use Commission Special Permit and shall procure at its own cost and expense a \$1,000,000.00 liability policy naming the County of Maui as an additional insured.

Okay, so Number 4, That all church and religious activities shall only occur between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. Any amplification of sound or music at an event shall not be heard beyond the subject property lines.

Number 5, That, as represented by the applicant, services shall occur no more than three times per week plus holiday celebrations, and the applicant shall maintain an average of no more than 40 people for weekly services and no more than 100 people for holiday celebrations, including employees and staff.

Number 6, That the church and farm shall be subject to periodic inspections by County enforcement personnel at reasonable times upon presentation of appropriate credentials.

 Number 7, That full compliance with all applicable governmental requirements shall be rendered, including compliance with the requirements of the Ag District, pursuant to Chapter 19.30A, Maui County Code for uses of the property that are not related to the church, and State Department of Health requirements relating to the individual wastewater system and the private water system.

Number 8, That the applicant shall submit to the Department a detailed report addressing its compliance with these conditions. The Compliance Report shall be submitted to the Department with the request for time extension.

Number 9, That the applicant shall develop the property in substantial compliance with the representations made to the Planning Commission in obtaining the Special Permit. Failure to so develop the property may result in the revocation of the permit.

And I think the applicant wanted to amend the times, Condition Number 4 so that it just covers
New Year's Eve activities to midnight. That's it.

3

Mr. Carnicelli: Deputy Director.

5

9

10

Mr. Hart: Chair, thank you. One item that I think the Department should add on the floor now, the Department of Fire and Public Safety made a comment that basically any facilities proposed to be used for church related uses should have a proper Certificate of Occupancy, you know in the context of wanting to provide safe facility, I think that's appropriate and I'd like to request that that be in place before inside the church or the large gatherings are occurring within any of the buildings.

11 12

13 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, so we'll put that as Condition No. 10.

14

15 Mr. Hart: Thank you.

16

17 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, great. So recommendation by Staff. Motion from the floor.
18 Commissioner Robinson.

19

20 Mr. Robinson: I have a motion to approve with the conditions.

21 22

Mr. Carnicelli: With, okay you mean with additional conditions beyond staff?

23

24 Mr. Robinson: Yes.

25

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. Let's just do this, let's go ahead and do approve as recommended by Staff and then we'll just amend. I think it's gonna be easier to do it that way.

28

Mr. Robinson: But I disagree with some of the things they have inside the conditions so put it in and then take it out?

31

32 Mr. Carnicelli: Yep.

33

34 Mr. Robinson: Okay, Recommend to approval.

35 36

Mr. Carnicelli: Second? Seconded by Commissioner Thompson, so...

37 38

It was moved by Mr. Robinson and seconded by Mr. Thompson to Approve the State Land Use Commission Special Permit

40 41 42

43 Mr. Robinson: I'd like to speak to the motion.

44

45 Mr. Carnicelli: Yeah, the floor is yours.

Mr. Robinson: I'm a old-timer so I went through a couple of these back in the early years and it's really hard you know, people have a property they should be able to use it, but we have to, you know we also have to be cognizant of the neighbors, also the laws and safety. And one of the things I was gonna bring up was when you have a organized activity or a place you have to have a fire marshal come in and decide how many people are allowed to be in the room and what time and what is safe. You know, your occupancy you have numbers on the walls on how many people are allowed in the room and I'm glad that we added that in.

But I've noticed some inaccuracies with testimony today. We have written testimony from our applicant and I'm just gonna go with information that we have, I'm not going to do any opinions or what we have from them but the applicant state in Exhibit D, that in the last 12 years the amount of times we have had over...exceeded by 75 guests can be counted on one hand and then today he said we had a hundred people quarterly. So right there, that's four times in one year. And it's not anybody being called dishonest or stuff it's just inaccuracies and not consistent. The amount of cars, the amount of people on the property, the time, those are the things that I think our commission because it is a special use permit, 'cause this is not made to be used in this property, it's allowed but with a special use permit and with that I'd be comfortable with some restrictions.

My first restrictions are events and events are would consist of more than 25 people. Okay, so that's what I would consider a event. An event of 25 people or more 25 times a year. So that's twice a month they could have a larger event. And as we've heard their events are birthdays, you know, holidays, you know, worship days, full moons, you know so there could be 365 events but let's go 25 people or more, 25 times a year so that's twice a month plus New Year's Eve which they want to do.

 Also, time. I don't think there should any activities after 9:00 p.m., not 10:00 p.m. I think 9:00 p.m. is one thing I'd like to make a condition on. Also, pick a day either Saturday or Sunday there will be no normal events on that day. So if you have your worship days or church days or your activity days if it's always going to be on a Saturday or Sunday I think neighbors should be able to count on day a week where they can plan to have that.

Another condition, I'd like them to set up a website that they would put on their calendar when they knew so the neighbors will know when that event would happen, to where that event would happen to where they will know that event would have the 25 people or more. And I'm sorry, it is not to exceed whatever the Fire Department says is allowed in that building. So if the Fire Department says it can handle a hundred people well then it can handle a hundred people and then that's what the max is. If the Fire Department says it can only handle 75 then that's 75 because we are gonna keep the noise down so tents outside, activities outside is not going to be permissible because they're saying that they're going to keep it under the noise level and that's why they moved from their other property. So that's another condition.

Car safety is because you have a large driveway and it's 30 feet, I think that is very accessible. I think, I think I'll let the Fire Marshal and the Maui Police Department deal with the amount of vehicles of this property and do it that way. I'm not interested in limiting the amount of cars or getting bigger buses or trying to do that way. I think we limit it with the people I think a neighbor

can easily count how many people are at a property compared to how many that is. So I think and part of this condition we need to have how many residents live on your property 'cause 25 guests is not including yourself, okay. I think that's where I'm starting at guys. So if you guys have anything to add or want to take out I'm open. Thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Commissioner Robinson. Comments to his comments. Here's I guess what we'll probably have to do Keaka is an official friendly amendment to your motion to include these things.

Mr. Robinson: Okay.

Mr. Carnicelli: And unfortunately I think Jordan's been diligently scribbling over here, but we have the intent with which you know Commissioner Robinson's going. Any comments on where he's headed with this? Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Galazin: Thank you Chair. If the design purpose is to have a church and the size and scope as represented it may be difficult to put so strict a limitation on the number of people especially when the request is specifically to have you know an average of 40 people for a weekly service. My recommendation is if you want to permit this use and if you think it meets all the criteria then you know setting an event cap would permissible but I would caution again setting a cap so that every service they have could be categorized as an event. You know either allow it or don't allow it but I think that would probably have a practical effect of not allowing the activity. So if the goal is to recommend it but restrict it in some way then I would suggest a different number for that.

Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Robinson.

Mr. Robinson: Thank you. They requested up to 40. They didn't say that it was 40 guaranteed so I'm just moving their request from 40 to 25. It says here in their testimony, that's written testimony that it's common that it's less guests and it's less than you know six to eight cars, you know four people to a car that's 24 people so, and that's an event over 25. So if they have normally 20 people at worship they can come worship at different times. There's lot of churches in Maui, a lot and all over the place and some people can use their facility, some people out grow the facility and have to go to the beach or go to rent a certain area. This person is asking for a special use permit to continue to use an area that their, that their practitioners have outgrown. So they have an option to practice at other places, but we're not restricting what they're gonna do what, but I'm looking at this as not what they're doing or how they're doing, I'm looking at this as an event and as neighbor and as a special use permit. Thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Commissioner Robinson. So let's do this, let's just walk through the conditions. Let's start with Recommendation No. 1 and you know this is kind of a standard condition, June 30, 2024. So Commissioner La Costa.

Ms. La Costa: Thank you Chair. I have an objection to that because of what the neighbors had said previously so if this were to be approved it should be a shorter period and then a renewal.

Mr. Carnicelli: Would you like to amend the motion? 1 2 3 Ms. La Costa: Yes, please. My amendment would be to have the special use permit be valid for 365 days after approval if that occurs. 4 5 6 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, so the change would be instead of valid until June 30, 2024, it would be 7 one year after approval. 8 9 Ms. La Costa: Correct. 10 11 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. Second to that amendment. 12 13 Mr. Robinson: Second. 14 15 Mr. Carnicelli: Second. Any discussion? 16 17 Mr. Hart: Chair? 18 19 Mr. Carnicelli: Deputy Director. 20 21 Mr. Hart: Deputy Director if I could interfere I apologize, considering that the Department is 22 proposing a recommendation that they can't operate until they have C of O's, I would request a consideration that the time clock of operation start after they have their C of O rather than 23 consume their time to operate trying to make sure their building is in compliance. 24 25 26 Ms. La Costa: I will amend my motion. 27 28 Mr. Carnicelli: Amend your amendment. 29 30 Ms. La Costa: Yes please. Thank you. 31 32 Mr. Robinson: Agreed. 33 Mr. Carnicelli: All in favor of the amendment say aye or raise your hand I guess. That's one, 34 two, three, four. Opposed, one, two. It comes to me, I will vote in favor of that amendment. So 35 it has been changed to one year after the Certificate of Occupancy. 36 37 38 It was moved by Ms. La Costa, seconded by Mr. Robinson, then 39 40 VOTED: To Amend Condition No. 1 that the permit be valid for one year after 41 the Certificate of Occupancy is obtained. 42 43 (Assenting – P. D. La Costa, K. Robinson, D. Thompson, S. Castro, 44 L. Carnicelli) 45 (Dissenting – K. Pali, C Tackett)

(Excused – T. Gomes)

Mr. Carnicelli: Any changes to Recommendation No. 2, Condition No. 2 in the recommendation. Seeing none, moving on. Condition No. 3. Okay, Condition No. 4, states that all church and religious activities shall occur between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. Any amplification of sound or music at an event shall not be heard beyond the subject property line. Commissioner Robinson I will go ahead and defer to you to...Do you want to make an amendment to change that then to...instead of 10:00 p.m.—

Mr. Robinson: 9:00 p.m.

Mr. Carnicelli: 9:00 p.m. Is that a friendly amendment?

Mr. Robinson: Yes.

Mr. Carnicelli: Friendly amendment. Commissioner Thompson you agree?

Mr. Thompson: Yes.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. So the amendment's made. Then the second sentence, any amplification of sound or music at an event shall not be heard beyond the subject property line. Anyone want to amend that. I guess is when we were talking about this before, my notes and the director's notes were the word, amplification 'cause I know there's other special use permits where we've not allowed amplification.

Mr. Robinson: So Deputy is an event classified as they have allowed allowable decibel level so amplified is something that's plugged in electronically but people could be playing music acoustically right, so...I mean sound is sound. So I mean, the word amplified is I'm as long it's in the decibel level it doesn't matter if it's coming electronically or not.

Mr. Hart: If I could address the issue and maybe talk a little bit broader like as an example a permitted use, you know agricultural machinery there's no, there's no restriction here. In the context of a special use that we're talking about I think the Director's intent of making notes on this was that the Department wouldn't want to be responsible for splitting hairs on whether or not an event that was causing noise disturbance to the neighbors was including a P.A. system or it was let's say instruments, drums, individuals doing whatever they might be doing to cause noise that still causes the same effect but doesn't include equipment.

Ms. Pali: So it's no amplified equipment.

Mr. Hart: No, it wasn't...I think that basically is sound or music basically. The intent is to cause this condition to result in no noise disturbance to the neighbors from the operation.

Mr. Carnicelli: Right. 'Cause if you read it, I mean it says shall not be heard beyond the subject property line. I mean that noise has got to up and drop and at the property line. The neighbors can't hear them.

1 Ms. Pali: Well, if they're there with a recording and they can hear it, then that's a violation.

Mr. Carnicelli: Correct. That's as I would interpret it.

Mr. Hart: And let me just say that...

Mr. Robinson: Yeah, which is realistic.

9 Mr. Hart: So in that context you know the commission is the body to make their own decision.
That was just a issue that was, that was noted as a concern.

Mr. Carnicelli: So my other question to you guys is, it says all church related activities shall occur between 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. Is that going to include traffic in and traffic out?

Mr. Robinson: Is my amendment to the 9:00 p.m. was to include that services will be done at 9:00 because it usually takes half an hour to wrap up and some noises. So I thought 10 o'clock was carry something over past 10 o'clock and I think...that's where I put the event would be 9:00 p.m.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

Mr. Robinson: But if we wanted to clarify that and have half an hour to disburse or to clean up I'd be happy to add that in.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, Deputy Director you need to clarify beyond the verbiage that's here.

Mr. Hart: Sure similar to our prior comment on access, you know the driving to and from it's a result or it happens before the activity with the activity was intended to be the...(inaudible)...on site.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, just trying to get clear. Okay, great. Condition 5 then, that as represented by the applicant services shall occur no more than three times per week plus holiday celebrations and the applicant shall maintain an average of no more than 40 people for weekly services and no more than 100 people for holiday celebrations including employees and staff.

Mr. Robinson: My amendment was 25 events with 25 people or more. There's no limit on-

Mr. Carnicelli: So, so, so but to what Corporation Counsel was talking about is if you say 25 that's three...or instead of three times per week, two times per week.

Mr. Robinson: Twenty-five times a year. They could do it all in one month or they can...they could do it periodically it's all up to them. We don't know when the guy's birthday is so I don't want to...

Mr. Carnicelli: I guess it's the will of the Commission. So then your amendment to this is going to be shall occur no more than 25 per year.

Mr. Robinson: Yes. Mr. Carnicelli: More than 25 times-Mr. Robinson: With a gathering of 25— Mr. Carnicelli: --per year-hang on let's go per year plus holiday celebrations. Mr. Robinson: No. Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, just period. Mr. Robinson: Yeah. Mr. Carnicelli: Not plus the holiday celebrations. Mr. Robinson: Yeah. Mr. Carnicelli: How about this-Mr. Robinson: Okay. Mr. Carnicelli: Plus New Year's Eve, right, this is a big deal to them. Mr. Robinson: That's why I did 25 not 24, seriously. Mr. Carnicelli: Including New Year's Mr. Robinson: Yes. Mr. Carnicelli: Well, but New Year's is gonna go beyond 9:00 a.m., so it's like almost you got, I'm sorry 9:00 p.m., so we're gonna have to accommodate that in some way, shape or form. Maybe it's a separate condition. Mr. Robinson: You gotta talk to the, you gotta talk to the neighbors. Mr. Hart: In the context of you gotta talk to the neighbors I think that if there's a condition that says a specific time and you know, New Year's is an event that's gonna go to the duration that it goes to the Department...the Department can't enforce that the neighbors were talked to, and you know not that we're looking to establish a situation to enforce on, we're looking to establish a situation where it's easy to understand whether or not compliance is happening or not. 

Mr. Robinson: So, so you're recommending that not including New Year's Eve.

46 Mr. Hart: You can do whatever you want but -

1 2

Mr. Robinson: No, I mean...is that your suggestion?

3 4

Mr. Hart: No, my suggestion is that whatever you do please try to make it quantifiable so that when the Department—

5 6 7

Mr. Robinson: I'm open, I'm open guys, it's not a-

8

9 Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Pali.

10 11

12 13 Ms. Pali: Chair this is a...I'm so sorry, and I'm gonna still play the I'm new card from last September but this approach what we're doing now I know it's important to solidify so we can vote, but it's also on the assumption when you're getting us all to get input on the basis that we all agree that we even want to approve it—

14 15

16 Mr. Carnicelli: Oh, I -

17

Ms. Pali: So I'm a little confused right now what I'm voting. I thought me and Chris were voting for like...and then we realized or I realized...so I'm just a little confused right now.

20 21

22

23

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. So this is the Chair's intent is there's a motion on the floor for approval. It's similar to when you know the last one on Omaopio, right we were going like well wait there's a recommendation for denial but then there's these conditions, right. It doesn't mean, is what's gonna happen is eventually this is going to come to a vote.

24 25

26 Ms. Pali: Right.

27 28

Mr. Carnicelli: And if there's not conditions that are at least acceptable then-

29 30

Ms. Pali: No, I get that part, but we're voting on something that potentially we could be ultimately voting no anyway.

31 32

33 Mr. Carnicelli: Oh, correct, right.

34

35 Ms. Pali: Is that good or not good.

36 37

Mr. Carnicelli: We could...(inaudible)...all this work—

38

39 Ms. Pali: --confused.

40

41 Mr. Carnicelli: --and then it could get denied.

- Ms. Pali: Okay, so should we refrain from voting or participate in voting if we have a different opinion of some of the things that have been agreed upon. Counsel that's a question for you.
- Corp. Counsel if we're hearing conditions that we don't agree with or even the overall are we
- still participating in the language or are we not participating, so I'm a little confused.

1 2

Mr. Galazin: Chair if I can?

Mr. Carnicelli: Go ahead.

Mr. Galazin: So thank you. As I understand the Chair's intent here the motion was made and Commissioner Robinson has been asked to provide a couple of friendly amendments to his motion and there's been some discussion to refine those but you are not voting on what the ultimate conditions will be yet. You're just providing input.

Ms. Pali: Provide input.

Mr. Galazin: You can provide input and still vote no on...

Ms. Pali: Okay, I just wanted to...

Mr. Galazin: Thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Oh, yeah. Again, and maybe I need to state it in a different way to be clear is, yeah, this could still be voted down but in the event that it's voted in favorably then there's gonna be okay...'cause there's a lot of concerns from the neighborhood, right that's the whole part of like no different than Omaopio, right. The community wants one thing, the neighbors are—so if this were to pass our job is actually to try to mitigate concerns.

Ms. Pali: Okay.

Mr. Carnicelli: Like that's...so the biggest piece is how can we mitigate concerns in the event that this permit is granted.

Ms. Pali: Okay, so are we on the 9 o'clock issue right now?

Mr. Carnicelli: We can readdress it.

Ms. Pali: Oh. no...veah.

Mr. Carnicelli: Sure. All right what we're on right now is 25 times per year and then we're trying to deal with you know, say instead of plus holiday celebrations, plus New Year's Eve.

Ms. Furukawa: Can I just clarify on the 25 events per year is that including the weekly services that they're intending?

Mr. Robinson: Twenty five events with an amount over 25 people. So if their services are 25—

44 Mr. Carnicelli: But we didn't-

Mr. Robinson: --or less every day they can have an event every day, they can do whatever they want under 25.

Ms. Furukawa: As stated.

Mr. Robinson: Yeah. As was stated in the testimony six to eight cars per day so that's 24 people. So their daily activity shouldn't be affected. It's the events and that's what the neighbors complained about was the...they come with large amounts, large noise and that's what we wanna...that's what I'm trying to limit for the neighbors.

Mr. Carnicelli: So in this, instead of saying holiday celebrations we'll just say period, 25 events per year period. Is that what you're saying?

14 Mr. Robinson: Yes.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. And then new sentence, The applicant shall maintain an average of no more than 40 people for weekly services and no more than 100 people for holiday celebrations including employees and staff. So that goes away?

Unidentified Speaker: Yes,

Mr. Carnicelli: We're deleting that. Okay.

Mr. Hart: Chair if I might?

Mr. Carnicelli: Deputy Director.

Mr. Hart: You know in the context of New Years I think that everybody in Hawaii is familiar that that's a loud late night event religious or not...I mean, some of it is religious, you know some of it is related to people's ethnic beliefs, I really would prefer that there be some provision for that to be a late night event just outright rather than giving them something that you know clearly contradicts something that—

34 Mr. Robinson: ...(inaudible)...

Mr. Hart: Thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Yeah, agreed. So you still want to put 25 people...25 times per year?

Mr. Robinson: Yeah.

Mr. Carnicelli: As long...if it's over 25 people.

44 Mr. Robinson: Yes.

46 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

1 2

Mr. Robinson: That's-

Mr. Galazin: Chair if I may?

Mr. Carnicelli: Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Galazin: Thank you Chair. I would consider perhaps asking the applicant about the weekly services because there's something that was in the application, there's something that was stated in writing but I think we have the applicant right here, it might be best to get that information directly as to what weekly services in his estimation would be and whether you agree with that number or not.

Mr. Robinson: I have written testimony here.

16 Mr. Galazin: Okay, but we also have him right here so it's up to you Chair.

Mr. Carnicelli: Lew.

Mr. Robinson: Chair, it's 12:15, I'm gonna go soon if--

Dr. Abrams: Yes, I appreciate the opportunity to weigh in on this. The weekly services are unlikely to be more than 30 or 40 people. To limit to 25 feels unnecessarily restrictive. I mean initially it probably –

Mr. Carnicelli: If I could just interject.

Dr. Abrams: Okay.

Mr. Carnicelli: It's not that you can't have more than 25 people is the trigger is 25 people. If it's...if your services are 22 people it doesn't count as one of these. If it's 26 people it's one of these events. And how we're gonna monitor that I don't know.

Dr. Abrams: Well, I kind of...I would like to maybe ask my attorney to address that, but just to speak to the weekly services that we have planned we're hoping that it will evolve and that we can invite people to come to our services.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, got you.

Dr. Abrams: I like the idea of the one day a week off that the neighbors can count on there not being anything going on 'cause Sundays we're involved at the Temple of Peace so Sunday on the land would be a natural day of rest for the neighbors the only exception might be if there's a holiday that happens to fall on a Sunday like Christmas or something like that.

45 Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you, okay. Thank you.

1 Dr. Abrams: Okay.

Mr. Carnicelli: We got you. Got it.

Dr. Abrams: But I did want to-

Mr. Carnicelli: Right, the other piece of this just so you is currently if this were to be approved it's just one-year permit anyways, right so when you come back a year from now and your congregation's bigger then some of these conditions can be readdressed. So I'm still just trying to go back to Keaka how we're gonna word this. That as represented by the applicant services shall occur no more than 25...so services of—

Mr. Robinson: Just event, I mean, it's a service, it's a birthday party...

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, events. Events of more than 25 people shall not occur more than 25 times per year period. And that's Condition 5. Okay, so then...and then maybe what we'll do is...and then the next sentence being, New Year's celebration, one New Year's Eve celebration allowed and it's gotta be a different time. We gotta put...like we gotta allow, allow a New Year's Eve and give them a different timeline.

Dr. Abrams: Typically we...we would end the music by 2:00 a.m. It would be nice if we didn't have run everybody off 'cause we have a tradition of sunrise prayer in the medicine ...(inaudible)...but I'm glad to end amplified music by 2:00.

Ms. Pali: If it can't go past the borders...(inaudible)...

Dr. Abrams: Yeah, that issue about it going past the borders is something that I think it's possible to revisit possibly. I understand a decibel level.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, Lew we're in...this is a time for this right now.

Dr. Abrams: Okay, it's not...

Mr. Carnicelli: This is time for us to try to see if we can come up with something that five people will agree to.

Dr. Abrams: Okay.

39 Mr. Carnicelli: Deputy Director.

Mr. Hart: Chair if I might restate what I believe is the proposed condition is that that basically functions of less than 25 people aren't proposed to be regulated by the permit but events including more than 25 people shall not occur more than 24 times a year with the exception of—

Mr. Robinson: Yeah, 24.

1 Mr. Carnicelli: Let's say 24.

2

Mr. Robinson: 24.

4

5 Mr. Hart: --24 with the exception of New Year's Eve which shall not have a specific time 6 limitation.

7

Mr. Robinson: Which follows County Code.

9

10 Mr. Hart: Which follows County Code.

11

Mr. Robinson: I mean we can't give them permission to break the law. We can give them permission to follow County Code.

14

15 Mr. Hart: Thank you.

16 17

7 Mr. Carnicelli: There we go.

18

19 Ms. Pali: Like that.

20

21 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. So you got that?

22

23 Mr. Hart: I got it.

24

Mr. Carnicelli: To be able to-

25 26

Ms. Pali: And within that same number I think because we're talking about number of events I think you should also piggyback the website for public transparency for the neighbors. Don't you think they go hand in hand with the number of events, no?

30 31

Mr. Carnicelli: Well, I think the...well, the intent here-

32 33

Ms. Pali: Okay, okay.

34 35

Mr. Carnicelli: --is for the neighbors to know it's coming.

36 37

Ms. Pali: Okay.

38

Mr. Carnicelli: So just 'cause...you know you could post it on the website an hour and a half before the event so what's the notice. I mean I think the intent is notice has to be given to the neighbors prior to an event. So what's the notice that you want to give?

42 43

Ms. Pali: The time frame.

44

45 Mr. Carnicelli: What's the-

1 Mr. Robinson: 72 hours.

2

Mr. Carnicelli: 72 hours. Okay, so-

4 5

Ms. Pali: And how are they going to do that?

6 7

Mr. Carnicelli: So maybe in Paragraph 5 then, said events shall be notified to neighbors...abutting neighbors, adjoining neighbors, neighbors within 500 feet.

8

10 Mr. Robinson: It's on a website, people can go on, people can look.

11

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, shall be posted on a community website 72 hours prior to any event.

13

14 Mr. Robinson: Yeah.

15

16 Mr. Carnicelli: You got that Jordan? You need more paper.

17

18 Mr. Hart: So I got 72-hour notice to neighbors.

19 20

Mr. Robinson: Minimum 72 hours.

21 22

22 Ms. La Costa: Excuse me Chair, I need to take a break for just a minute.

23 24

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, yeah, yeah go ahead.

25

26 Ms. Pali: Me too.

27

28 Mr. Carnicelli: We still have quorum.

29 30

Mr. Robinson: Five minute break, I gotta too.

31

32 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, five minute recess.

33 34

A recess was called at 12:23 p.m., and the meeting was reconvened at 12:28 p.m.

35

Mr. Carnicelli: Commission meeting of June 25<sup>th</sup> is now back in session. Jordan do you know where we are? I mean, are we done with 6, I mean 5?

38

Mr. Hart: Chair I don't have the number for it, but I was working on a condition which said a minimum of 72-hour notice to neighbors for events greater than 25 people will be provided via website available to the public maintained as current and readily accessible.

42

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, everybody okay with that. Yep. All right.

43 44 45

Mr. Hart: And point of clarification on the numbering.

Mr. Carnicelli: Let's just make it Number 11,

Mr. Hart: 11.

Mr. Carnicelli: Let's make it 11 'cause the Certificate of Occupancy is 10, yeah. Moving onto 6, That the church and farm shall be subject to periodic inspections by the County enforcement personnel at reasonable times upon presentation of appropriate credentials. Everybody cool with that? Yep. That full compliance with all applicable government requirements shall be rendered including compliance with the requirements of the Agricultural District pursuant to Chapter 19.30A, Maui County Code for uses of the property that are not related to the church and the State Department of Health requirements related to the individual waste water systems and private water system. Everybody okay with that? Okay, That applicant shall submit to the Department a detailed report addressing its compliance with these conditions, two hard copies, one digital copy by a compact disk or similar means shall be submitted. Compliance report shall be submitted to the Department with the request for time extension.

Ms. La Costa: When is that due please? Is it due 30 days, 90 days, there is no time frame here. I need to have a time frame.

Mr. Carnicelli: 90 days.

Ms. La Costa: Thank you. I'd like to add please to this condition.

Mr. Galazin: Commissioner La Costa that's in Condition Number 1. It's already covered.

Mr. Hart: Chair if I might? The timely request for extension is 90 days prior to expiration.

Ms. La Costa: This, this talks about compliance and letting them know that the compliance is being done so is that due within 90 days of prior to expiration or is that due 90 days after—

Mr. Hart: It's, excuse me, sorry for...so it's due with the request for time extension and the timing on that item is established in Condition Number 1 which is 90 days before expiration you have to submit and that submittal by Condition Number 8 shall include the compliance report. So you have to combine them both but they're—

Ms. La Costa: ...(inaudible)...thank you very much.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, everybody all right with that one? All right. That the applicant shall develop the property in substantial compliance with the representations made to the planning commission in obtaining the special permit. Failure to so develop the property may result in the revocation of the permit. Everybody okay with that? Okay. The one thing we haven't addressed in this here at all is traffic.

Mr. Robinson: Oh, there's one more thing Chair, there be no activities on Sundays except for Easter, I guess except for Easter Sunday.

1 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

2

Mr. Robinson: Christmas and New Years it does not fall on a Sunday this year.

4 5

Dr. Abrams: ...checked the dates.

6

Mr. Robinson: Yes, I did.

7 8

9 Dr. Abrams: That's fine.

10

11 Mr. Robinson: And....(inaudible)....with that.

12

13 Ms. La Costa: And that that service will be within the time frames already established.

14

15 Mr. Robinson: All events have to be in that.

16

17 Ms. La Costa: There's some sunrise services on Sunday mornings so I want to be sure that 18 it's

19

20 Mr. Robinson: 8:00 a.m.

21

Mr. Hart: Chair, point of clarification. There shall be no events on Sunday except for Easter or New Years.

24

25 Mr. Robinson: Easter. New Year's next year is not, but yeah you could put that—

26

Mr. Hart: Thank you.

27 28

29 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

30

31 Mr. Robinson: Yeah.

32

Mr. Carnicelli: All right so is that all of...so just clarification for the record those are all friendly amendments made by Commissioner Robinson to his main motion, does the second agree with the friendly amendments?

36 37

Mr. Thompson: Agree.

38

39 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, so those are all amended. Commissioner La Costa.

40

Ms. La Costa: Thank you. For clarification, so if Christmas, New Year, Easter always fall on Sunday so Christmas, New Year, Yom Kippur, the other holidays if they fall on a Sunday is that in addition to the 25 or is that including the 25 except for New Years?

44

Mr. Robinson: It's including, you got 24 a year.

1 Ms. La Costa: Okay.

Mr. Robinson: 24 a year no matter how you name it and what you use it for.

Ms. La Costa: Okay, then I think that the wording needs to reflect that 'cause it said except for yeah, yeah, yeah.

Mr. Robinson: And a point of clarification Chair is on the maximum amount of people do we have in that it's gonna be on what the Fire Department's Certificate of Occupancy is gonna say.

11 Mr. Hart: I do.

13 Mr. Robinson: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Will you please state that?

Mr. Hart: So I have for clarification this is Condition Number 5, that events more than 25 people will not be limited...sorry, events less...events less than 25 people will not be limited. Events greater than 25 people shall not exceed 24 times per year to the maximum occupancy established by the Fire Department with the exception of New Year's which shall comply with County noise ordinance.

Ms. La Costa: Pass it to him.

Mr. Tackett: Mr. Hart.

27 Mr. Hart: Oh, thank you very much.

Ms. La Costa: You ran out of paper.

Mr. Hart: Oh no, I'm trying to keep it in the same document.

Ms. La Costa: Okay.

Mr. Hart: Thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, so I guess at this point any further discussion on this motion? So now I'm gonna give you the unenviable task as to restate what it is that we're voting on.

Mr. Hart: Okay.

42 Mr. Carnicelli: Oh, okay. Lew, would you like to make a comment...or is if this were to be 43 approved are you okay with it?

Dr. Abrams: I think most of this, almost all of it is very doable and I can, we can live with it. I am a little concerned about the pragmatics of the sound issue being asked to not be heard at all

beyond the boundary. I mean, if I just stood on the deck of that building and sang just myself with nothing amplified it could be heard, you know, through across the gulch. Isn't there some kind of already existing standard about decibel levels so it's something empirical rather than... otherwise a neighbor could just say, oh I heard your music, you know, and I would rather if it's possible to have that sound issue be related to a decibel reading.

Mr. Carnicelli: You realize that that was actually in the original conditions that you were okay with?

Dr. Abrams: It was in the Planning report, yes, but I'm just thinking about the practicalities of it of how to empirically define...enforce that, yeah.

13 Mr. Carnicelli: Deputy Director.

Mr. Hart: Sure. I'm not gonna be able to quote numbers but there are decibel limitations...let me think for a moment the way to word that.

Ms. Pali: And could I jump in?

Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Pali.

 Ms. Pali: I thought that the activities were going to be in a building. Are we now talking about...I mean, are we talking...I'm a little confused now, so...'cause if you're in a building that's gonna sort of bounce a lot of the sound anyway. So now you're kinda more talking about the outdoor activities, right which you could be concerned about. And so there's...we have to distinguish between the two and I think for us to try to find a happy medium which by the looks of your neighbors in the seats behind you this might not even appease them but just to try to find a happy medium with both this is kind of a real big deal breaker or deal maker. So just, so you know.

Mr. Carnicelli: Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Galazin: Thank you Chair. I do happen to...the State Department of Health does maintain a decibel limitations for ag and they are pretty easy to look up. I know that this Commission's considered them before so that is something that as a body you could put in.

Mr. Carnicelli: Is it for agricultural uses, decibel levels for agricultural uses or is it decibel levels on Ag land?

Mr. Galazin: It's decibel levels on Ag land as far as I understand it.

Mr. Carnicelli: So, so Lew you're okay with everything except for that one sentence of any sound or music at an event shall not be heard beyond the subject property lines.

Dr. Abrams: I'm concerned about that 'cause that's not even at a particular time. It could be time during...(inaudible-not speaking into mic)...

1 2

Mr. Carnicelli: Well, no it's tied to the events or your 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m.

3

Mr. Robinson: ...(inaudible-not speaking into mic)...amplified sound that's...(inaudible-not speaking into the mic)...

5 6 7

Mr. Carnicelli: So like...

8

9 Mr. Robinson: 'Cause a regular noise disturbance won't pull his permit it just be a complaint.

This is...

11

Mr. Carnicelli: Yes, so Lew is, is Commissioner Robinson brings up a good point. Is the original language was any amplified...amplification of sound or music at an event shall not be heard beyond the subject property line. So if you're on your deck singing your neighbors might be able to hear you. You got a good voice?

16

17 Dr. Abrams: I do like to sing.

18

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, well liking to sing and having a good voice are two different are two different things. My daughter loves to sing, she got a horrible voice.

21

22 Ms. Pali: Strike that from the record.

23

Mr. Carnicelli: Strike...she's the cutest kid on the planet though. So Commissioners what if it was any amplified...amplification of sound or music at an event shall not be heard beyond the subject property lines.

27

28 Mr. Robinson: I'm comfortable with that if the applicant is.

29

Dr. Abrams: Yeah I think that is doable. Yeah, I'm glad to turn it down or turn off amplifications, we can still make our prayers.

32

33 Mr. Carnicelli: Got you.

34

35 Mr. Galazin: And just make sure you're into the microphones so we capture it all.

36

Dr. Abrams: So I'm just clarify again what I'm agreeing to about this. The amplified music will not be heard and so if we turn off the amplification and someone's singing and they can still hear that that wouldn't be a legitimate complaint. I know you were kinda moving away from distinguishing between amplification and...(inaudible)...

41

42 Mr. Hart: Chair if I might? I was trying to explain that that exact issue becomes complicating
43 because let's say you have 200 people on New Year's Eve past an appropriate time to be out
44 and you're all singing together without amplification I think that that your suggestion on the
45 decibel level is relevant. I believe the State Department of Health has guidelines and so we

could add a condition or add language that says something to the effect of consistent with the established standards by the State Department of Health.

2 3 4

1

Dr. Abrams: Yeah, that would be...I would prefer that. That would be...(inaudible)...

5

6 Mr. Robinson: I'm fine with that.

7

8 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

9

10 Dr. Abrams: Is there any discussion possible about that 25 number that was...

11

12 Mr. Carnicelli: I thought you just said that you were okay with it?

13

Dr. Abrams: I, I'm concerned with it but I'm willing to accept it, yes.

15

16 Mr. Robinson: Smart man.

17

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. The other part too guys, is and maybe Deputy Director as your scribbling and doing your thing right here, did we put in there that the maximum number is by whatever the Certificate of Occupancy is?

21

22 Mr. Robinson: That's what I asked him. Number 5 is in there.

23

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. So that's...maximum number is going to be determined by what the Certificate of Occupancy allows, maximum number of people at an event.

26

27 Mr. Robinson: Thank you.

28

29 Mr. Carnicelli: Do you need time to write all this up to be able to put it on the record?

30 31

Mr. Hart: I do need a moment for the sound item.

32 33

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, and then...all right, we're just gonna go to quick recess while he drafts up everything and then we'll come back, it will be read into the record and we will vote.

34 35

36 Dr. Abrams: Thank you Commissioners.

37 38

A recess was called at 12:41 p.m., and the meeting was reconvened at 12:47 p.m.

39

Mr. Carnicelli: Maui Planning Commission of June 25, 2019 is back in session. So do we have any more friendly amendments to the main motion? Seeing none, I think maybe at this point in time we'll go ahead and have the Deputy Director, I don't think you need to read verbatim all of that but just like maybe the changes and just sort of...so we get a...so we know what we're voting on.

Mr. Hart: Thank you, Chair. So Item Number 1 is amended, Condition Number 1 is amended to establish the day...the duration of the permit to be 365 days and that time period would begin after the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy for church buildings.

4 5

Mr. Carnicelli: Got it.

6 7

Mr. Hart: Condition-

8

9 Mr. Carnicelli: Conditions 2 and 3 stay-

10

11 Mr. Hart: Are unchanged.

12

13 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

14

Mr. Hart: Condition 4 is that all church and religious activities shall occur between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. Any amplification of sound or music at an event shall not exceed established standards by the State Department of Health for the Ag District with the exception that...excuse me...

19

Mr. Carnicelli: Ag District period.

20 21 22

Mr. Hart: Well, I need to revisit the New Year's Eve item.

23 24

Mr. Robinson: Yeah.

25 26

Mr. Hart: With the exception that New Year's Eve shall comply with—

27 28

Mr. Robinson: County Code.

29

Mr. Hart: County Code. Okay, Number 5, events less than 25 people will not be regulated. Events of more than 25 people shall not occur more than...more frequently than 24 times per year in addition to a New Year's celebration. Events held shall not exceed the building occupancy established by the Maui Fire Department.

34 35

Let's see, Number 6, was basically subject to periodic...unchanged. Number 7, is unchanged. Number 8, is unchanged. Number 9, is unchanged.

37 38

Number 10 is the addition that a Certificate of Occupancy for church structures shall be obtained before the initiation of operation.

39 40

Number 11 is that a minimum 72-hour notice to neighbors for events greater than 25 people will provided via website available to the public and maintained as current and readily accessible.

43

44 And Item Number 12...oh, excuse me, no events on Sunday except for Easter or New Years.

45 46

Mr. Carnicelli: Lew you're okay with that?

Dr. Abrams: Yeah it's really...and it's something we can live that.

Mr. Carnicelli: Let the record reflect he said that's something we can live with. You didn't talk into the mic.

Dr. Abrams: Oh, it's something we can live with and I just wanted to revisit the issue about being able to have a small gift shop for our community of people when they come. Yeah, it's a book store that was mentioned in our first presentation. I just...I think we did include that.

Mr. Hart: Chair if I may address the way permits are approved. Basically there's a condition relates to the representations that were made so what that means is the materials that you submitted and the statements that you made are your authorization and the conditions are your limitations. So what is documented or discussed today is specifically what you're not going to be permitted to do of the things that you requested permission for.

Dr. Abrams: I see.

Ms. Pali: So in other words we didn't address that in our conditions.

Mr. Hart: The point of clarification Chair, if there's a question about whether or not the Commission limited the use of the gift shop, the Commission has not so far limited the use of a gift shop.

Ms. Pali: Okay.

Ms. Furukawa: It was just brought up as an amendment to the scope of the permit at the last meeting, but nothing was ever acted on.

Mr. Hart: Point of clarification it was...thank you very much, it was, it was presented to the Commission and established as something that the applicant wanted to conduct on site.

Ms. Furukawa: Yes.

Mr. Hart: Thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Is there anybody that would like to address that? No. Okay so we have a motion on the on the floor now. Who would like to speak to the motion? For or against, in support? No, we're all good? So okay...Ms. La Costa.

Ms. La Costa: Thank you Chair. I am going to speak in opposition to this motion. I have seen too many things that are contradictory. I have heard too many things that are contradictory. I have had...many times I have read this three times and looked at the position of the neighbors and as with the prior case it is not what you want, but it's what is best for the neighborhood and people who have lived there for a long time and also spent a lot of money there. So I am...while the amendment was made for a one-year review, on the whole I just cannot support this.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you. Would anybody else like to speak to the motion? Seeing none, all those in favor of the motion as read into the record by the Deputy Director please raise your hand. That is two ayes. Those opposed? That is four. Motion fails.

It was moved by Mr. Robinson, seconded by Mr. Thompson, and

The Motion to Approve the State Land Use Special Permit, FAILED.

(Assenting – K. Robinson, D. Thompson) (Dissenting - K. Pali, C. Tackett, S. Castro, P. D. La Costa) (Excused – T. Gomes)

Mr. Carnicelli: So we now need another motion on the floor please. We have two options, we have denial or deferral I guess at this point.

Ms. La Costa: I would like to make a motion to deny the permit as presented to this Commission.

Mr. Carnicelli: We have a motion to deny by Ms. La Costa. Seconded by Commissioner Tackett.

A Motion was made by Ms. La Costa and seconded by Mr. Tackett to Deny the State Land Use Permit.

Dr. Abrams: Is it possible to-

Mr. Ickovitz: Am I allowed to speak for two minutes.

Mr. Carnicelli: Sure if you would like two minutes I will give you two minutes.

Dr. Abrams: Thank you.

Mr. Ickovitz: Okay. I would like to remind this Commission...

Unidentified Speaker: Use the mic.

Mr. Ickovitz: I would like to remind...my name is Leslie Ickovitz, I'm an attorney representing Ahimsa, I would like to remind the Commission that the First Amendment and the RLUIPA requires the government not to impose a substantial burden on the practice of a religion and to deny a permit in a situation where the record is clear that the Mr. ...Dr. Abrams and his wife have complied, there have not been anything in the record since 2016, they have a right to have a church on their property and we do not want to get into litigation with the Commission, but we

do know that the Hale O Kaula case cost the Maui County over \$600,000 in legal fees in a case that was far less obvious as far as deserving a SUP than this one.

2 3 4

We have neighbors who ignored the right to intervene, they ignored the time limits, they submit...I exaggerated, there weren't 200 pages, there were 125 pages of exhibits most of which really haven't even looked at because you didn't print them out because they're meaningless. So you're saying the two neighbors, one of which lives over a quarter mile away, the other one lives 1,100 feet away have a right to deny these two people who treat the earth as a sacred obligation. They have been ordained ministers for 20 years. They bought a 25-acre farm to make sure they would not disrupt their neighbors. So tell me what could they possibly do with regard...if you have neighbors don't want a church next door so does that mean they have a right to deny people the right to practice their religion?

But the one thing you have not looked at is the substantial burden that you are placing on this group of people to deny them their right to practice their religion. The 9:00 p.m. quite frankly is the Sabbath services aren't even going to start to 7:30 or 8:00 until after dinner, 25 people they already 30 people coming on a regular basis. And how do you make planning for...to build a church on a one-year permit? No business can do that. But the bottom line is one thing you're not looking at and you have not discussed is the substantial burden that you're placing on this group of spiritual dedicated people to practice their religion and the First Amendment gives them the right, RLUIPA gives them the right. So you can, you know, Dr. Abrams is agreeable to restrictions which quite frankly I don't think he has to be, but he is, and one year gives you the ability to give him that opportunity to...just give him the opportunity, but to deny him a chance just because two neighbors make up stuff, they exaggerate and say stuff that to inflame this Commission.

Give me a chance before you vote, let me cross-examine those neighbors about what they submitted. They wrote you letters, they lied in those letters. They submitted things that irrelevant, inappropriate. Give me an opportunity...if you're relying on those neighbors to deny this permit, give me a chance to cross examine those neighbors, that's the least I...Dr. Abrams should be entitled. We have spent millions of dollars on this project, years working on this, we...you've seen him go to the neighbors and try to be accommodating. So just to say the neighbors are the most important, actually the First Amendment is critical here and RLUIPA is critical here and I think you're ignoring that and unless you address that and say how this is not burdening this group of people from practicing religion you're just...you're violating the First Amendment. That's all I have to say.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Leslie. Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Galazin: Just so the members are aware that the applicant does not have a right to have a church on his property. And so the applicant is aware, the government can pose a substantial burden on the exercise of his religious observance. The government is not precluded from doing that, have to have a compelling interest, but there's no right to go ahead and conduct this activity. There's a Special Use Permit that needs to be obtained. Sir, no. So if you have questions about your legal duties and responsibilities under that I'm more than happy to go into executive session discuss it in more detail. But I'm the one advising you, not him. Thank you.

Mr. Ickovitz: Right and the only...and the restrictions that the government can apply has to be...there has to be a compelling governmental interest to deny this permit. And what is that compelling...and the restrictions that you impose on it have to be the least restrictive means to achieve that compelling governmental interest.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you-

Mr. Ickovitz: So tell me what is the compelling governmental interest to deny this permit?

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you. So I believe we have a motion to deny and a second. Discussion on the motion? Go ahead Commissioner Tackett. Thank you.

Mr. Tackett: So I'll speak to my second. What I see here is I'm in favor of what you're doing. I think a lot of people here are in favor of what you're doing, I think a lot of what the earth needs is what you're talking about doing. But other people bought properties in that area not to be next to a church and other people have already represented that the things that you have been doing today that are similar to what you're talking about doing in the future have already adversely affected their lives and that's why I'm not for it. It's not the theory, it's not the basis of what you guys are doing that I'm against, it's the fact that other people have the right to enjoy their properties the same why you have the right to enjoy the property and if they did a business that impacted your property and you weren't doing a business that impacts their property I would protect you the same way. So that's, that's why I'm not in favor of it.

Dr. Abrams: Can I respond?

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Commissioner Tackett.

Dr. Abrams: I wonder is it possible to adjust the conditions--

Mr. Carnicelli: Lew, no. Lew, no, Lew...

Dr. Abrams: --to make it more acceptable-

Mr. Carnicelli: No, Lew is that, that's already done. We have a different motion on the floor and when there's a motion on the floor basically it's just for us commissioners to speak to that motion. So right now we have Kellie Pali has the floor to speak to the motion to deny.

Ms. Pali: Yeah, I think there's a lot of different ways people can go about living out their dreams the way they choose to. There's a lot of buyers that will go and say my life's work is this so before they even put contract to a paper and pen and make offers on properties they say sometimes do what they call buyer's due diligence and they go ahead and scope out. If this is my five-year plan, ten-year plan let me make sure that I get a property where it might be a good future plan to have church type commercial activities on the property and maybe you didn't get a chance to do that, but you had the right just like any other buyer and there are other properties that you could potentially look to continue your life's work.

I'm just not particularly comfortable like P. Denise, Commissioner P. Denise. There's been a lot of different numbers thrown around with...first we were talking about you know less than 20 people and now we're talking about 30, 40 people and now we're talking about certain days and now we're talking about almost daily and just the inconsistencies in the information has been difficult for me. Like I mentioned earlier I want to find a happy medium but I think in the end if there are neighbors that feel like there is safety concerns with the type...the driveway and the turn, if there's traffic pollution, I do so appreciate that you tried to mitigate some of the areas like I was very impressed with you saying you went and put like built up this bank for the lights like that really does to me that speaks more than all these words that you had done those things.

And so, but unfortunately when it comes down to the safety, the number of traffic and then the noise is a big one for me because I'm just thinking like I'm at home I have a little Chihuahua and my daughters and if I heard someone's drums and I just had to tolerate it that used to not be there I could be bitter, I could be bitter about that. And so just respecting other people's wishes and if they didn't buy into the property with the drums already there I don't know that that's fair to impose that on them either. So we were just trying to create conditions that could give you what you want and also compromise with the neighbors, but it seems like you still might have restrictions and so after listening to everything I am comfortable with supporting the denial at this time.

Mr. Carnicelli: Corporation Counsel.

Mr. Galazin: Thank you Chair. So for the movant and to anyone who would speak to the motion I would point you to Pages 10 and 11 on your initial staff report and that contains the conditions for granting a special use permit, State Special Use Permit under HRS 205-6 and there are criteria that must be met. A is that the use shall not be contrary to the objectives sought to be accomplished by Chapter 205 and 205A and the rules of the Land Use Commission. B, the desired use will not adversely affect surrounding property. C, the use would not unreasonably burden public agencies to provide roads and streets, sewers, water, drainage, and school improvements. D, unusual conditions, trends and needs have arisen since the district boundaries and rules were established. And E, the land upon which the proposed use is sought is unsuited for the uses permitted within the district. So if you look at those five things, if you think there are...if there's evidence that's been put into the record that one more of these criteria are not met I would ask you please specify which one and provide what evidence is backing that up. Thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you Corporation Counsel. Commissioner Robinson.

Mr. Robinson: Chair, I make a...I'd like to make a motion to go into executive session before we vote on this.

Mr. Carnicelli: We have a motion to go into executive session.

45 Mr. Castro: Second.

Mr. Carnicelli: Seconded by Commissioner Castro. Any discussion on the motion? By the way, this is to discuss legal rights and responsibilities of the commission with Corporation Counsel. All those in favor of the motion? Unanimous six. We're going to go into executive session. This meeting is in recess.

It was moved by Mr. Robinson, seconded by Mr. Castro, then

VOTED:

To Go Into Executive Session.

(Assenting – K. Robinson, S. Castro, D. Thompson, K. Pali, C. Tackett, P. D. La Costa)

(Excus

(Excused – T. Gomes)

(The Planning Commission recessed the regular meeting at approximately 1:03 p.m. to enter into Executive Session and adjourned at 1:49 p.m. The Planning Commission reconvened the regular meeting at approximately 1:57 p.m.)

 Mr. Carnicelli: The Maui Planning Commission meeting of June 25, 2019 is back in session. Thank you everybody for being patient with us and allowing us to consult with our attorney. So to go where we left off there is a motion on the floor to deny the permit. Ms. La Costa would you like to speak to that motion.

Ms. La Costa: At this juncture I would like to rescind my motion to—

Ms. Pali: Deny.

Ms. La Costa: Thank you, deny. It's the blond you know.

Mr. Carnicelli: And Commissioner Tackett do you withdraw your second?

Mr. Tackett: I withdrawn my second.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, thank you. So where we are right now is Director McLean—

Ms. McLean: Yes?

Mr. Carnicelli: If you could speak to the Land Use Commission.

Ms. McLean: If the Commission is not going to take action today then Staff will follow up with the State Land Use Commission on any questions relating to the acreage that is subject to this application to determine whether it does fall within County authority or whether the State Land Use Commission believe its within their authority.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, thank you. Leslie.

46 Mr. Ickovitz: Yes.

1 Mr. Carnicelli: If you would please come forward?

Mr. Ickovitz: Yes sir.

5

Mr. Carnicelli: So do you have exactly who you would like to cross examine?

Mr. Ickovitz: Sure Michelle Drewyer and Jerome Labat.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, those two people specifically?

11 Mr. Ickovitz: Yes.

13 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

Mr. Ickovitz: Those are the ones who submitted information to this...that we'd like to challenge before you would accept it.

Mr. Carnicelli: Are either of those people present?

Mr. Ickovitz: Yeah, they both are.

22 Mr. Carnicelli: They both are.

Mr. Robinson: Chair could I?

26 Mr. Carnicelli: Commissioner Robinson.

Mr. Robinson: Chair, I think, I think if somebody was to come in and to do testimony and not be in planning for it, I think that might be not fair to them. I suggest with what we hear from the Director about LUC, if us not really being 100 percent clear that we should hear this case and with the applicant's attorney asking for requests maybe he could request for those people to come at a later date to be cross examined to give them time to prepare as well as giving us time to hear from the LUC. Thank you Chair.

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you. So, I guess, Carolyn do we have the schedule as far as if we were to defer and/or recess this when we could next put it on the agenda?

Ms. McLean: Here's the memo in the packet.

Mr. Carnicelli: So I do have a question for the two people that have been named that are here in attendance. Mr. Labat if you could come forward? This is kind of out of nowhere. He's asking to cross examine you, you do not have to do that today if you're not prepared to, you don't want to, you don't have to. If you...if you're okay with it, then you know we could potentially allow that. But there's literally, you do not have to do this. This is just like you know, coming out of nowhere toward you so...and I want to be fair to you to be able to be you know, know that something like this is coming not just like being like oh hey, I got an attorney that

wants to cross examine you, you know out of nowhere. So, but if you're okay with it then we could do that today, and if not, it's there's no pressure literally.

Mr. Jerome Labat: I would like to prepare.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, fair enough. Thank you. I appreciate your honesty. Then I don't even need to ask you since we're already going to do that, but you can come forward and say what you'd like to. You can speak to this if you care to.

Ms. Michelle Drewyer: Hello everyone. My name is Michelle Drewyer. I agree with Mr. Labat, I'd like to prepare. I have prepared but I didn't prepare to testify.

Mr. Carnicelli: Agree. I totally understand so thank you.

15 Ms. Drewver: Thank you.

17 Mr. Carnicelli: Yeah, I appreciate it.

Ms. Drewyer: And I also would appreciate, I also do appreciate that you're looking into the issue of the LUC, what I've read ....(inaudible)...but thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, thank you. So at this point I think without objection we can go ahead and or Corporation Counsel we will let you speak.

Mr. Galazin: Thank you Chair. So Dr. Abrams, I would suggest that pending whatever action the Commission decides to take if this...if any action is somehow continued or goes onto another date you know, you have the people here whom your attorney has requested to cross examine you can certainly request that they be here and submit to that. You know, if it's a request they don't obligated to so I would, I would just urge you if you want to submit something in writing that also speaks in rebuttal to it as you another course to just to make sure that that's covered so you don't feel as though you've been denied any opportunities. Just want to make sure you're...

Dr. Abrams: ....(inaudible-not speaking into a mic)...another course?

Mr. Galazin: If they don't come to be cross examined, but you still want to submit something that says here's why I think they were wrong that would be the time to submit something. You can't say once they don't show up, oh well I want to submit something else in writing. You've only got one shot.

41 Dr. Abrams: Okay.

43 Mr. Galazin: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: So at this point in time I think we'll go ahead and entertain a motion to recess this item, do we have a date that might work?

1 2

Ms. Takayama-Corden: July 9th ...(inaudible)...

Mr. Carnicelli: Right. You want to put it on July 9th?

Ms. Takayama-Corden: Or July 25th.

Mr. Carnicelli: Oh, it's already been publicly noticed, right? July 9th.

Mr. Robinson: That's too soon.

Mr. Carnicelli: Let's do the second meeting in July then. Is there room on that agenda? One public hearing item.

 Ms. McLean: Commissioners in your packet is the memo that lists the items that are currently slated for July 9th, that's Old Business, the Lahaina Cannery, the PenaVega short-term rental home and the New Business, a Public Hearing for a Special Use Permit for a bed and breakfast. This shouldn't make a difference but I will not be here on July 23rd, I will be here on July 9th so Jordan can see you through if you choose to do it. I think it could fit on either agenda. It's really up to the commission if you want to see it at the next meeting or give more time.

Mr. Carnicelli: Are you gonna be gone?

Mr. Robinson: I don't know.

Mr. Carnicelli: You gotta see.

28 Mr. Robinson: Up to you.

Ms. La Costa: I'm here until September.

Mr. Carnicelli: Do either of those dates work for the two of you?

Ms. Drewyer: I am here...(inaudible-not speaking in a mic)...

Mr. Robinson: How about August? I'm open in August too Chair.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay. Yeah, if—

Ms. Furukawa: I'm a little worried that the Land Use Commission may not be able to get back to us within a week.

43 Mr. Carnicelli: Agreed.

45 Ms. McLean: No, I...I think we can.

1 Mr. Carnicelli: Maybe. You can do the 23<sup>rd</sup>, but she cannot.

2

Ms. Drewyer: ...(inaudible-not speaking into a mic)...

7

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, actually would you guys step to the mic just so we can get this on the record? Thank you.

7 8

Mr. Labat: I am going to be here on the 23rd.

9

10 Mr. Carnicelli: You will be here on July 23rd. July 21st I am here with my family.

11

Ms. Drewyer: And I arrive back into Maui the evening of the 23rd.

13

Mr. Carnicelli: So July 23<sup>rd</sup> isn't going to work. How about the second week in August, second Tuesday in August, 13<sup>th</sup>.

16

17 Ms. Drewyer: I'm here. Make that work.

18

19 Mr. Labat: I'm okay. I'll make it work.

20

21 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, Lew? August 13th work for you?

22

23 Dr. Abrams: I guess so.

24

25 Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, so I guess we'll entertain a motion to continue the hearing on 26 August 13, 2019.

27

28 Mr. Galazin: at 9:00 a.m.

29

30 Mr. Robinson: So move.

31

32 Mr. Carnicelli: At 9:00 a.m.

33 34

Mr. Robinson: So moved by Commissioner Robinson.

35

36 Ms. La Costa: Second.

37

38 Mr. Carnicelli: Seconded by Commissioner La Costa. Any discussion on the motion?

39

Mr. Robinson: I just encourage the applicant to not wait to the 13<sup>th</sup> to reach out and try to kumbaya everything.

42

43 Dr. Abrams: I will.

44

45 Mr. Robinson: Thank you.

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay.

Mr. Galazin: And Chair, and again, thank you. I would just reiterate you know make sure that everything that you want to bring to the table, you know this hearing is continued so we can't accept more evidence from you and I guess that's it. Thanks

Mr. Carnicelli: Okay, all in favor of the motion please raise your hand. That is six ayes, unanimous. So this is continued till August 13th. We will see you all then.

It was moved by Mr. Robinson, seconded by Ms. La Costa, then

VOTED: To Continue the Matter to the August 13, 2019 agenda at 9:00 a.m.

(Assenting – K. Robinson, P. D. La Costa, K. Pali, D. Thompson, C. Tackett, S. Castro)
(Excused – T. Gomes)

Mr. Carnicelli: Thank you for your patience and time in the planning process. I gotta find my...so I guess we're off to E, Item E. Deputy Director. Let the record show that Director McLean is now here.

## E. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

1. Reports from Commissioners who attended the June 7, 2019 Native Hawaiian Law Training on Oahu.

Ms. McLean: Thank you Chair we're under Director's Report. The first item is reports from Commissioners who attended the June 7, 2019 Native Hawaiian Law Training on Oahu. Were there any Commissioners here who attended that training and are able to give a report?

Mr. Carnicelli: Go, go ahead.

Ms. La Costa: The day was incredibly informative. Riparian water rights and all of the Hawaiian, see I'm hungry, I'm sorry, all of the Hawaiian spiritual applications that go with that I got a very in-depth education on. Also about the iwi kupuna and how that is handled in the many places here on Maui where they have been desecrated and not handled properly and how that actually should happen and the commission that overlooks that. So those were the two biggest things that I took away. That and a six dollar ticket.

Mr. Carnicelli: I also attended the training class and I thought it to be extremely fascinating because Hawaii is unique like no other place. Like we have certain protocols, laws, just things that need to happen and don't happen that are just solely unique to Hawaii. All the way like you say from water, title and iwi kupuna. So that's news, the part that was really interesting, it was a lot of information, and I think as more people get educated on that it will be easier to process. So that was my takeaway.