July 19, 2017

Mr. Daniel E. Orodenker  
Executive Officer  
State Land Use Commission  
P. O. Box 2359  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96804-2359

Dear Mr. Orodenker:

SUBJECT: Special Use Permit Amendment Application  
File No. 2014/SUP-3 Waipio PV, LLC and  
Land Use Commission Docket No. SP15-405

At its meeting of June 21, 2017, the City and County of Honolulu Planning Commission approved Petitioner's request for amendments to Special Use Permit (SUP) File No. 2014/SUP-3. Attached is the Planning Commission’s Decision and Order approving in part the Petitioner's request to modify conditions of the SUP. As the SUP involves land area exceeding 15 acres, the record of the proceedings before the Planning Commission, including original materials, one paper copy, and a digital copy of the record on digital media is transmitted for your consideration. An index of the record is also included for your use.

I am also transmitting for your information a supplemental comment letter from the State Department of Transportation dated June 29, 2017, and received by the Department of Planning and Permitting on July 10, 2017. This letter is not part of the record of the Planning Commission’s proceedings on the subject SUP amendment application.

If you or your staff have any questions, please contact Raymond Young of the Department of Planning and Permitting at 768-8049.

Sincerely,

DEAN I. HAZAMA, Chair  
Planning Commission
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BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU
STATE OF HAWAII

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) FILE NO. 2014/SUP-3
OF ) FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
WAIPIO PV, LLC ) OF LAW, AND DECISION AND
FOR AN AMENDMENT TO ) ORDER
STATE SPECIAL USE PERMIT

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
AND DECISION AND ORDER

This matter came before the Planning Commission of the City and County of Honolulu for public hearing on June 21, 2017, at the Mission Memorial Conference Room in Honolulu, Hawaii. Based on the record in this matter, the Commission hereby finds as follows:

FINDINGS OF FACT

A. Background

1. On January 21, 2015, the Planning Commission ("PC") approved with conditions Waipio PV, LLC's (formerly known as Walawa PV, LLC and referred to as "Petitioner" or "Applicant") Special Use Permit ("SUP") application to establish a solar energy facility ("SEF") on 308.8 acres within the State Land Use Agricultural District on Land Study Bureau Overall Master Productivity rating Class "B" lands (hereinafter the "Project").

2. On March 25, 2015, the State of Hawaii Land Use Commission ("LUC") approved the SUP application granted conditional approval by the PC, with modifications ("LUC Order").

3. In October 2016, NRG Energy, Inc. acquired Waipio PV, LLC and the Project during the bankruptcy proceedings of the Petitioner's former parent company SunEdison, Inc. filed in April 2016.

4. On December 16, 2016, the Petitioner filed its request to extend the deadline to establish the Project. On February 15, 2017, the Planning Commission approved a two-year extension of the deadline to June 30, 2019. On March 17, 2017, the Department of Planning and Permitting ("DPP") received a letter from the LUC stating that the PC could not extend the deadline to establish the Project without concurrence from the LUC. By letter dated
April 11, 2017, Petitioner's parent company, NRG Renew, LLC, requested that the two-year extension approval by the PC be withdrawn.

5. On April 21, 2017, the Petitioner filed its application to amend the SUP, LUC File Number SP15-405 and DPP File Number 2014/SUP-3.

B. Description of Proposal

6. The Petitioner proposes the following amendments to the SUP:

a. LUC Order Condition No. 5. Condition No. 5 requires the Petitioner to establish the SEF within two years after the date the LUC approved the SUP. Petitioner requests that the two-year deadline to establish the SEF be extended by 30 months from the date the SUP amendment is approved by the LUC. Condition No. 5 also specifies a termination date of the SUP, which is 35 years from the date the LUC approves the SUP. The Petitioner requests that the SUP termination date be 35 years from the date the LUC approves the SUP amendment.

b. LUC Order Condition No. 7. Condition No. 7 allows future major modifications to the SUP to be approved by the PC and subsequently, the LUC. One type of modification which requires PC and LUC review is a significant increase in the number of PV panels proposed. The Petitioner proposes to modify this condition by replacing “number of” to “area covered by” PV panels. The proposed modification removes any reference to the number of panels from Condition No. 7 and adds “area covered by” PV panels as an example of a major modification.

c. LUC Order Condition No. 3. Condition No. 3 requires a specified amount of funds to decommission the Project. Petitioner requests that the amount specified be an approximate dollar amount.

d. Petitioner requests modifications to specify which Commission the word “Commission” in the UC Order refers to. Condition Nos. 5 and 7 are subject to this modification request. Under Condition No. 5, the Petitioner proposes that the LUC is the decision-making authority on requests to extend the deadline to establish the Project and that filing of requests to extend the period of validity of the SUP be before the PC.

e. Petitioner also requests design changes to include a 6-foot high chain-link parameter fencing in place of 4-foot high hog wire fencing. This does not require amendments to the LUC conditions of approval, but is disclosed to update the Project description and the Findings of Fact of the LUC Order.

f. LUC Order Condition No. 11 be deleted as recommended by the State Office of Planning since the requirements for submittal of draft archaeological assessment approved by the State Historic Preservation Division was satisfied.
7. The Petitioner also requests that the PC withdraw its approval of its request to extend the time frame to establish the Project, which was granted on February 15, 2017.

8. The DPP supports the Petitioner’s proposal except where the Petitioner proposes in LUC Condition No. 3 that the amount of funds specified to decommission the Project be an “approximate” amount. DPP recommends to the PC that the word “approximate” be replace with the term “no less than”. At the PC hearing of June 21, 2017, the DPP stated that DPP’s recommended amendments to Condition No. 1, to address comments submitted by the State Department of Agriculture relating to the potential impacts Project modifications may have on agricultural operations, have been satisfied by the Petitioner and imposition of these amendments were no longer necessary.

C. Procedural Matters

9. The PC received the Report and Recommendation of the Acting Director of DPP on June 13, 2017. The report provides an analysis of the request and a recommendation for approval with modifications. The Acting Director of DPP also recommended that the PC withdraw its approval of an extension of the deadline to establish the Project granted on February 15, 2017.

10. The PC received oral testimony from Aarty Joshi, Senior Manager of Environmental Permitting, NRG Energy, and Wren Wescoatt, Director of Project Development, NRG Energy.

11. Petitioner clarified that the gross capacity of the Project is 47 megawatts, and that there is no change to the permitted area of 308.8 acres. Petitioner represented that the modifications requested were not significant and would not increase the impacts associated with the Project.

12. Petitioner updated its request that LUC Condition No. 5 be amended so that the deadline to complete construction be set for December 31, 2019.

13. Petitioner stated an updated reflectivity study, submitted with the SUP application, confirmed no additional glare would result from the change from fixed-tilt mounted PV panels to PV panels mounted on single-axis tracking racks.

14. Petitioner represented that any increase in noise would be insignificant, as the loudest equipment is the Hawaiian Electric Company’s (“HECO”) substation located in the eastern part of the property and the nearest residential community, the proposed Koa Ridge community, is across the H-2 Freeway and well out of range to hear noise from the Project.

15. The Commission received Petitioner’s Exhibits 10 and 11 into the record. The Petitioner represented that Exhibits 10 and 11 satisfy the requirements proposed by the Acting Director of DPP relating to Condition No. 1. DPP staff testified that Exhibits 10 and 11 satisfies DPP’s recommended modifications to Condition and said proposed amendments to Condition No. 1 are no longer necessary.

16. Petitioner had no objection to the comments submitted by the State Department of Transportation (“DOT”), Highways Division.
17. Petitioner noted that it had received unofficial comments from the DOT, Airports Division, concerning the potential for glint or glare impacts and radio frequency interference. Petitioner stated that the updated reflectivity report, attached as an exhibit to the SUP amendment application, and LUC Order Condition No. 10 adequately addressed concerns regarding glint or glare. Petitioner also represented that concerns regarding radio frequency interference was an issue with older PV panels, but that updated technology of the PV panels and the EMF filters in the inverters removes the interference.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Planning Commission hereby concludes as follows:

1. The Planning Commission has jurisdiction to amend a Special Use Permit granted pursuant to Section 205-6, Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS").

2. Section 2-49 of the Rules of the Planning Commission requires that modifications of conditions imposed by the Planning Commission must be processed in the same manner as the original application of a Special Use Permit.

3. The proposed Special Use Permit amendment does not adversely affect the Planning Commission’s Decision and Order to grant the Special Use Permit to allow establishment of the Project and therefore reaffirms its prior conclusion that the Project is an “unusual and reasonable” use as set forth in Section 205-6, HRS, and satisfies the five guidelines established by the Planning Commission, pursuant to Section 2-45 of the Rules of the Planning Commission.

4. The proposed amendments does not change the availability of portions of the site for compatible agriculture in accordance with Section 205-4.5(a)(21)(A), HRS.

5. The proposed amendments would not be contrary to the objectives sought to be accomplished by the State Land Use Law.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Pursuant to the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Planning Commission hereby APPROVES (1) Petitioner’s request to withdraw the February 15, 2017, approval of a two-year extension of the Project completion deadline to June 30, 2019 and (2) the amendment of Condition Nos. 1, 3, 5, and 7, and the deletion of Condition No. 11, of the LUC’s Order dated March 25, 2015 as follows (additional text is underlined, deleted text is bracketed):

“1. Usable lands of the Petition Area, including areas under PV panels, shall be made available for compatible agricultural use at a lease rate that is at least 50 percent below the fair market rent for comparable properties, as long as the Project is in operation. Compatible agricultural operations shall be established, or Applicant shall be actively seeking to have such operations established, within one year of the start of commercial power generation. Extensions to this deadline may be granted by the Director of the DPP for unforeseen extenuating circumstances. Prior to the start of commercial power generation, the Applicant should affirm, through a separate study or other competent means, that the proposed change
from fixed-tilt racks to single-axis tracking racks, and the proposed change from hog wire fencing to chain-link fencing, will not restrict or impede compatible agricultural uses within the Petition Area available for compatible agricultural uses. Also, the Applicant shall provide, in writing, a letter from Tin Roof Ranch stating that the proposed amendments to the SUP will not have any adverse effect on its planned ranching operation within the Project Area."

"3. Within one year of the completion of construction or prior to the closing of the building permit for the solar energy facility, the Applicant shall submit to the DPP proof of financial security, such as a posted letter of credit or similar mechanism from a creditworthy financial institution, in favor of the owner of the land subject to the SUP, in the amount of no less than four million dollars ($4,000,000.00) which security shall remain in place for the duration of the operation of the Project, with evidence of same provided to the Director of DPP on an annual basis, to decommission the Project and restore the Petition Area to substantially the same physical condition as existed prior to the development of the SEF.

The Applicant shall decommission the SEF within twelve (12) months following the termination of operation of the SEF, with the exception of the HECO switchyard, which may remain within the Petition Area after termination of the SUP.

A change in Project ownership or a change in ownership of the land subject to the SUP, which warrants a new proof of financial security to decommission the Project, shall be submitted to DPP for processing through the Planning Commission, within three months of the ownership change."

"5. The Applicant shall establish the Project no later than December 31, 2019 [within two years of the date of the State Land Use Commission’s (LUC) Decision and Order approving the SP]. Requests for future extensions of this deadline shall be submitted to the Director of the DPP prior to the expiration of the deadline. The LUC [Commission] may grant [an] extensions to the deadline to establish the Project due to unforeseen circumstances that were beyond the control of the Applicant. This SUP shall be valid for a period of thirty-five (35) years [from the date of the State LUC’s Decision and Order approving the SUP], subject to further extensions upon a timely request for extension filed with the Planning Commission at least one-hundred twenty (120) days prior to the SUP's expiration.

The 35-year validity period of the SUP shall begin on the date the LUC approves the first amendment request."

"7. Major modifications to: (1) the Project plans, including but not limited to significant increases in the area covered by [number of] PV panels; (2) amendments to the conditions of approval; (3) significant expansions of the approved area; or (4) change in uses stated herein, shall be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Commission and the LUC. Minor modifications including minor additions to accessory uses and structures, and new incidental uses and structures in the approved area are subject to review and approval by the Director of the DPP."

"11. [Petitioner shall obtain approval of the draft archaeological assessment, dated August 2014, from the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) prior to commencement of construction of the solar farm.]"

All other conditions remain unchanged and are in full effect.
Dated at Honolulu, Hawaii this 19th day of July, 2017.

PLANNING COMMISSION
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

By
DEAN I. HAZAMA, Chair
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