1		
2		
3		
4	PUBLIC HEARING	
5	2008/SUP-2 (RY)	
6	Ewa-State Special Use Permit for the	
7	Waimanalo Gulch Sanitary Landfill	
8		
9		
10	Taken at 550 South King Street, Mission Memorial	
11	Auditorium, Honolulu, Hawaii, commencing at 1:36 p.m., on	
12	Wednesday, October 5, 2011, pursuant to Notice.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	BEFORE: ADRIANNE IGE KURASAKI, CSR 388 Registered Professional Reporter	
25	Hawaii CSR #388; California CSR #11470	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	Planning Commission:
4	Gayle Pingree, Chairwoman
5	Kai'ulani K. Sodaro, Vice-Chairwoman Beadie K. Dawson, Member
6	James C. Pacopac, Member Arthur B. Tolentino, Member
7	
8	For the Planning Commission:
9	WINSTON K.Q. WONG, ESQ. Deputy Corporation Counsel
10	Department of the Corporation Counsel 530 South King Street, Room 110
11	Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
12	
13	For the City and County of Honolulu, Department of Environmental Services:
14	DANA MIE OSHIRO VIOLA, ESQ.
15	ROBERT BRIAN BLACK, ESQ. Deputy Corporation Counsel
16	Department of the Corporation Counsel 530 South King Street, Room 110
17	Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	SPEAKERS	
2	NAME	PAGE
3	Raymond Young	5
4	Councilman Tom Berg	15
5	Lee Mansfield	19
6	Edwin Arellano	20
7	Patty Teruya	22
8	Matt McKinney	25
9	Cal Chipchase, Esq.	27
10	Kris Gourlay	28
11	Celeste Lacuesta	29
12	Evelyn Souza	30
13	John Tsukada	31
14	Ian Sandison, Esq.	32
15	Cal Chipchase, Esq.	36
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

-000-

1.8

2.0

First matter on the agenda is the Public Hearing for the Amendment of the Special Use Permit for the Waimanalo Gulch Sanitary Landfill.

Before proceeding, we'd like to read the Planning Rule 2-60A Regarding Public Testimony if this matter becomes a contested case hearing.

2-60A reads, "Public testimony: Any witness who is not a witness aligned under any party, and who would not be called by any party as a witness in the contested case hearings, shall present evidence only during the initial public hearing portion of the proceedings. All witnesses shall present evidence only during contested case portion of the hearing except that the Commission may allow a witness to testify in both proceedings if a witness is to provide substantially different testimony."

So generally speaking, what this rule means is that unless you are sure that you're going to be called as a witness in any contested case hearing, you should testify during public hearing. The only exception would be if your testimony at the public hearing is substantially different from that at any contested case

hearing. Thank you.

DPP?

MR. YOUNG: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. My name is Raymond Young. I'm the staff planner with the City Department of Planning and Permitting representing the Department's position on this request.

Before I proceed with the report, I'd like to point out a couple things that needs to be corrected. In our transmittal letter dated September 9, 2011, we made mention of the Mayor's Selection Committee that the anticipated selection of an alternative site was projected to occur sometime in October 2011. But that has been extended --

MS. DAWSON: By whom?

MR. YOUNG: -- due to additional site selection areas that are being considered by the Committee. So the October 2011 is probably going to get extended to sometime to the end of 2011.

The other thing I wanted to point out was a typo. It mentions July $1^{\rm St}$ as the deadline, but it's actually July $31^{\rm St}$ on the transmittal letter.

Okay. There's no questions.

I'd like to point out where this project is located. We have an area photo here, and the scale is one inch, includes about 250 feet. This area shows,

basically, the Waianae area. Where this red line here is
Farrington Highway. North is straight up on the map. The
various State Land Use districts are determined by the
purple line here. So the area is located within the state
agricultural district; therefore, the need for Special Use
Permit.

Surrounding towards the east is the urban district known as the area proposed for the Makaiwa Hills residential community. Towards the west would be the urban district of where the HECO power plant is. And down towards the south and across Farrington Highway is the Ko'Olina Resort and resort residential area proposed here.

Now, the property is kind of highlighted by this off-white gray area here. So that represents the entire property of the landfill, which is about 200 acres. But the actual landfill area is pretty much just inside here.

Now, the landfill was originally established in 1989 under a Special Use Permit. And since then, there's been a number of decisions that allowed it to remain and also expand. So originally started out at about 16 and a half acres, and then it eventually expanded out to the total site.

The application was sent out to various state, city, and federal agencies for review, and also the community. And we have not received any opposition or

negative comments from the various state or city or federal agencies; however, we did receive from the neighborhood board a position that they oppose it. And that would be the Makakilo-Kapolei Neighborhood Board.

And we did receive about 15 letters and e-mails opposing the proposed deletion of the July 31st deadline to continue accepting municipal solid waste.

1.8

Now, the Department supports the Applicant's request to delete this condition. Essentially, the Director concluded that without the municipal landfill, there is no other place to store the municipal solid waste that would be generated, even with the various recycling and diversion programs that the Applicant already has in place.

There are certain types of special waste that need to go here; things like sewage sludge, animal carcasses, contaminated soil, that sort of stuff. And also very importantly, H-Power ash has to be deposited here.

There's no other site for that to be disposed of. In fact, there's a permit by the Department of Health that requires Waimanalo Gulch Landfill to be in operation to accept the H-Power ash.

And over the years, the Applicant had made great strides in reducing the amount of waste being deposited at Waimanalo Gulch. And you can see that in the report.

It's continually being decreased, the amount of tonnage 2 that actually gets deposited here in terms of municipal 3 solid waste. 4 So, essentially, our conclusion is that if this deadline was not removed, it would create a great problem 5 6 with public health and safety. It would impact the 7 economy of the city and the state. And there's about seven years of lag time for the Department of 9 Environmental Services to find, acquire, and develop a new 10 landfill site. And that would extend far beyond the July 31st, 2012 deadline. 11 12 So, again, the Department supports the applicant's 13 request. That concludes my presentation. 14 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you, Mr. Young. 1.5 MR. YOUNG: Thank you. 16 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Commissioners, questions? 17 MS. DAWSON: Ray, the site selection as originally viewed on October the 11th. Who of the Department made 18 19 a decision to extend that? 20 MR. YOUNG: It's not a Department question. has to deal with -- the Site Selection Committee itself 21 22 decided that it would need to look at alternative sites 23 beyond --24 MS. DAWSON: This committee?

No, no. The Site Selection Committee

MR. YOUNG:

25

1 that was appointed by the Mayor. I think the Applicant's representatives can go 2 3 into details on that, so I'd like to defer that question to them. MS. DAWSON: We don't have anyone here to respond 5 6 to that? 7 MR. YOUNG: I believe the Applicant's counsel is here today. 8 9 MS. VIOLA: Good afternoon, Chair and Members of 10 the Commission. 11 Is this on? Dana Viola, Deputy Corporation 12 Counsel on behalf of ENV, Department of Environmental Services. 13 14 I'm sorry, what was your question? 15 MS. DAWSON: Who made the decision -- who made the 16 decision to extend the site selection deadline from October the 11th to, I guess, the end of the year? 17 18 MS. SODERO: It was members of the Selection Commission. 19 2.0 MS. DAWSON: Your committee? 21 MS. SODERO: It's the Mayor's appointed committee. 22 This is not a -- this is not dictated by anybody within the administration from ENV. This was the decision from 23 the committee itself. 24 25 MS. DAWSON: Okay. Raymond, this is an important

question. Some of the people here may know, starting in 1985, I guess, but mostly in 1989, the decision to find an alternative site has been made again and again and again, each time with deadlines, and each time with a variety of reasons why it couldn't be done.

So this is why we want to know why it is the site selection group has made a decision to delay even further a decision that all of us here on the Commission knew was to be made. And at our previous decision, when this matter came before us, we also made a decision that the fill would end in 2008. And that was accepted by the LUC, accepted by this committee -- by this Commission.

So it's a little disturbing, not just to the people who are testifying, but to the Commissioners when staff or somebody else keeps extending and extending.

It -- without any real reasons why that needs to be done other than the fact that the City seems to not want to move. And I don't know their reasons for it, 'cause they don't -- that's not really been disclosed.

But it would -- because of the many excuses that have come up, it does seem like DPP agrees with that, that we should just keep extending and extending. And your recommendation for today's hearing reflects that attitude.

Can you speak to all of those extensions, Raymond?

MR. YOUNG: Let me clarify a bit about the latest

so-called deadline. There is no deadline for the Site Selection Committee to make a choice. There was only a deadline set by the LUC to begin with the site selection process, which they have met.

So the Mayor created this latest committee, and they're in the process. They have held meetings since the beginning of this year.

Now, with respect to the 2008 site selection process, that was completed. And in fact, City counsel -- after the site selection committee made their recommendations, city counsel issued their resolution calling for the Waimanalo Gulch to be the new site.

So in response to that, the Applicant then came in for a subsequent Special Use Permit which expanded that current site to the entire property and also projected out through their permitting process and as indicated on the EIS that there is at least 15 years of capacity remaining. And as part of that decision, the LUC required that they continue looking at alternative technologies --

MS. DAWSON: But with the 2008 date in mind for the cessation of landfill -- of items coming into the landfill.

MR. YOUNG: Yes, however, that deadline, as you say, was extended to 2009. And then at that time, the Applicant came in for another SUP to consider the entire

property, which the Planning Commission granted without a deadline. However, the Land Use commission then imposed a July 31st, 2012 deadline to prohibit the further use of the site for MSW, municipal solid waste. But the continuation of disposing of H-Power ash and residue was permitted to continue.

But along with that, there's this process to identify, select, and develop another site. So in respect, the Applicant has been complying with the requirements but also following through with looking for new sites and their diversion program. So I think the Applicant has been in compliance with all those requirements, even though it may look as if it's a continued extension and extension.

We have considered that in their application. And at this moment, the best site and the -- based on the circumstances of the need for the landfill, this is the best site. And the only way we can recommend approval of this is because there's no alternative to receiving municipal solid waste.

MS. DAWSON: Yeah. Well, I'm sure you know that this is somewhat of a reflection upon DPP and the mayors, the various mayors who have consistently informed the community that there was going to be an end date in sight and has been set various times. And developments have

taken place in that area -- Aulani is one of them, certainly, but there's the whole Ko'Olina area -- with the understanding that they were -- that this was not going to go on forever.

So this Commission kind of gets a bad rap, let's say, because supposedly we're looking at all of these things and we're just going along with that, it seems, to some people and we're not calling a halt to it. And we feel like, certainly in the last hearing that we had, we felt we were up against the wall. I mean, we can't have a Honolulu that doesn't have a disposal area.

MR. YOUNG: Yes, I'm sure a lot of the people that are impacted by this feel the same. But what is the reasonable alternative? No one has a viable solution by the deadline, the July 31st deadline.

MS. DAWSON: Okay.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Thank you, Mr. Young.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you.

Would the Applicant, Department of Environmental Services, like to testify at this time?

MS. VIOLA: Again, Dana Viola, Deputy Corporation
Counsel. With me is Brian Black, Deputy Corporation
Counsel on behalf of Department of Environmental Services.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: I'm so sorry, can you speak up,

1 ma'am. I didn't get your name. 2 MS. VIOLA: Dana Viola. 3 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you, Dana. 4 MS. VIOLA: And with me is Brian Black. THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 6 MR. PACOPAC: Excuse me, what was Mr. Black's 7 first name? 8 MS. VIOLA: Robert Brian Black. 9 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 10 MS. VIOLA: In light of the other agenda item 11 which is the motion for intervention. The Department of Environmental Services is going to refrain from presenting 12 their case at the point. We don't want to jeopardize our 13 14 case by presenting testimony at this proceeding which 15 would then preclude testimony in the contested case 16 proceeding that I anticipate will probably take place. So, with that understanding, we'll defer any statements at 17 18 this time. 19 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. Thank you very much. 20 We'll begin the public testimony at this time. 21 have a list of those who have signed up. 22 If anyone is interested, there is another list 23 that is available and kindly sign up to testify. 24 The testimony will last for three minutes. You'll 25 hear a bell go off. And at that time, we'll ask you to

kindly summarize your position. And we want as many people to testify as possible, and that is why we place the time limit on it. Thank you.

The first person is Council Member Tom Berg.
Thank you.

MR. BERG: Mahalo.

1.0

I appreciate all your efforts here. And my harsh words are not directed at you but at the system. So, please take that into account and accord that it's not the job that you're trying to do here today.

As the city councilman whose jurisdiction houses this landfill, our neighborhood boards including Nanakuli and Waianae have taken a formal stand to unite against the proposal to extend the landfill by another 15 years.

I urge you to stop enabling this reckless behavior that condones the broken treaties and is an affront to the economic engine of businesses thriving in West Oahu with the stipulation this landfill was to go away.

Families and economic development ventures have invested their hard-earned dollars into the vicinity of the landfill with the promise the landfill will have found its terminus years ago. For example, when the Dakota Sioux were given bad meat and at times no food at all in violation of treaties made, the government responded to the starving people, and I quote, Let them go and eat

grass, unquote.

Right here, probably in this room another treaty will be broken and not honored. Please do what is just and right and stop turning our coastline on the Leeward Coast into an ashtray for the rest of the island.

And if you look at the back of my comments, I found something from the Hawaii Reporter written by Malia Zimmerman from 2004, and it talks about all the things that happened in the past that you had mentioned,

Ms. Dawson. It's a caption. So it's a summary of what you had just stated that puts it in a text for everyone on the record that you all see here, this continuation of this breach that I call of this treaty.

So when all the folks in Ko'Olina have asked me to fight for them, I'm here on the behalf of all those businesses and entrepreneurs who have taken a risk with their monies to make a viable job growth. And I want to also add that the foliage, the barriers, are not present. So if you're at Disney or Ko'Olina, you see the landfill. There are no tree lines. There is nothing planted to mitigate both noise and visual blight and air quality.

And second of all, the folks in Ko'Olina are really fed up with hearing all the trucks coming to a screeching halt to make that right turn coming -- if you're coming from townbound side. There really needs to

be another lane. So if there's 15 more years of all these residents having to hear the screeching trucks coming to a stop to make a turn into the landfill itself, there needs to be a widening of the road and more of a lane capacity extended where the trucks come to a more -- not an abrupt stop so that the screeching brakes and the noise and disruption doesn't take place for 15 more years.

With that said, I just hope you don't extend this personally. But hopefully, you all have my testimony and know how to get a hold of me. Mahalo for your time.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you very much.

MS. DAWSON: I have question for the councilman.

When this matter has come before the council a number of times, what has been the position of the council in terms of the failure of the Department or the Committee to consistently keep failing to find an alternate site.

This is not new. This has come up many, many times. And I'm wondering what the Council's position is on this.

MR. BERG: Well, if you look at the back of my testimony with the Hawaii Reporter, you'll see the vote of who voted how, and back in '04. And to answer your question, I've been a new member of the council since January of this year.

I can tell you this, though, that I advanced numerous solutions. I had about six resolutions so that

this time of terminus of July 2012, that your jobs would be that much easier. Unfortunately, what I see at the council, not to undermine or talk stink eye about anything in such a way, I couldn't get any one of these resolutions heard.

2.0

As the councilman for District 1 with this landfill in my district and coming forward with solutions, I can't get the Chair of the Public Works Committee to hear one of my resolutions, which tells me that there must be something going on in that building.

And I'm frustrated with the fact that every single one of my solutions, I could -- I had to get -- I wrote one of them three different times to get Councilwoman Kobayashi to hear it -- budget committee because of the inability of the chair of public works to address our solution at the council.

So one person's at the helm in this council, in my opinion, is part of the problem, between your actions, the Mayor's actions, and what the council can and cannot do.

So when we have somebody appointed the chair of a committee who refuses to even digest solutions to make this landfill actually go away, I don't know what other than to tell you that my words here pain me to say this to you, this is not something that I wanted to come up.

I want to be as nice and as professional to you

folks as I can but I'm giving you the honest truth. 1 2 Something is awry. Thank you. 3 MS. DAWSON: 4 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you very much. 5 Lee Mansfield. MR. MANSFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair and 6 7 Commissioners. I'm Lee Mansfield. I'm manager of Hawaii American 8 9 I've come before the Commission to testify in 10 favor of the Department of Environmental Services' request 11 to remove Condition 14. 12 Hawaii American Water owns and operates the 13 wastewater treatment plant that serves the community of Hawaii Kai. We serve 35,000 customers in Hawaii Kai. 14 15 also provide service to the City and County of Honolulu by 16 treating wastewater generated in Kuliouou Valley and 17 Paiko. 18 In this doing, our plant generates seven tons of treated and stabilized wastewater sludge that must be 19 20 disposed of. Presently, the only safe, viable method to 21 dispose of this material is the Waimanalo Gulch Landfill. 22 Therefore, we're asking the Commission to allow this

landfill to continue to accept municipal solid waste and stabilize wastewater sludge. Thank you.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you very much.

23

24

25

1 Matt McKinney. Matt McKinney? 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Stepped out. 3 THE CHAIRWOMAN: He just -- thank you. Thank you 4 very much. 5 Edwin Arellano. 6 MR. ARELLANO: Edwin Arellano on behalf of Hawaii 7 Bio-Waste, who provides service to various clinics and hospitals here in state of Hawaii. And I'm very concerned 8 9 about the closures because we, at Hawaii Bio-Waste, 10 process about two or four tons of regulated medical waste 11 on a daily basis. And without it, what will we do with 12 those waste? Because H-Power does not want to receive 13 those type of waste. 14 So I am very concerned of the safety part where, 15 if we stop picking up those waste, the hospital is only 16 limited in storing those type of waste. That is why I'm 17 here. 18 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. Thank you very much. 19 Excuse me. I'm sorry, Edwin, we have a question. 20 MR. PACOPAC: Just hypothetically, so if we close 21 down the dump, what would you do? 22 MR. ARELLANO: Well, we will have to stop also, 2.3 because we can process them but we can't dispose it. 24 MR. PACOPAC: No, but what would you -- what would 25 you hospitals and everybody do? I mean, you don't have

1 the dump now, where do you go? You ship it out? 2 MR. ARELLANO: Well, that was also done or tried 3 shipping it out and didn't work. Imagine, how can we ship 4 this waste to the mainland, whether treated or untreated. 5 MR. PACOPAC: No, what I'm saying is that if we don't have a municipal dump, now you got to get rid of 6 7 your garbage; right? 8 MR. ARELLANO: Correct. 9 MR. PACOPAC: So how would you get rid of it 10 without this? 11 MR. ARELLANO: We can't. We need a landfill. Ι 12 can't. Then we're going to have to stop. 13 MR. PACOPAC: So you just stop work? 14 MR. ARELLANO: Correct. I mean, that's the 15 reality. That is why I'm here and I'm very concerned. 16 MR. PACOPAC: The only reason I'm asking is that 17 everyone depends on this site 'cause that's the only site 18 we got, yeah. 19 MR. ARELLANO: Correct. 20 MR. PACOPAC: But no one is even looking at 21 alternatives in case we don't have the dump. 22 You guys have not even looked at alternatives? 23 Just say, well, we cannot run business, we don't have the 24 dump? 25 MR. ARELLANO: Yeah, and --

Pretty much? Pretty much? 1 MR. PACOPAC: 2 MR. ARELLANO: We been trying to work with, you 3 know, H-Power for one and any other alternative. this point --4 5 MR. PACOPAC: That's all we got? 6 MR. ARELLANO: Yeah, that's what we got. 7 And for our facility, we do have a paralysis that 8 process and turns it into ash. But that is for 9 pathologicals and trace chemos. And we're very limited in 10 processing them. So, those sharps and solid waste is the 11 majority --12 MR. PACOPAC: Well, in businesses, it's kind of 13 hard to say, well, we don't have the dump, we got to shut 14 down, then. I'm sure there's got to be something else. 15 But anyway, just to put that in your minds that, you know, 16 maybe there is something else but we're just not looking 17 for it. Thank you. 18 MR. ARELLANO: True. Thanks. 19 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you, Edwin. 20 Patty Teruya. 21 MS. TERUYA: Aloha, Planning Commissioners. Welcome to the new commissioners onboard. Didi is an 22 old-timer. 23 24 But we're back again on this subject; correct? 25 Actually, I should kind of -- that speaker who spoke

before, I kind of should've pa'i him because that all was his medical waste that the landfill went into our oceans when we had that overflow. So when I heard that, I think that's kind of ridiculous to say, without this landfill, we can't dispose our medical waste.

But I'm here as a neighborhood board chair for
Nanakuli and Maili. And this is the west side. This is
the West Oahu. And I'm speaking as a messenger from our
neighborhood board as the chair, and I need to allow this
to the Commissioners that this is a whole new hat that the
community has. Some went through years of testimony,
years of extensions and years of where we going to put the
landfill or if they close, they're going to put it in PVT.
So this is very passionate to the community.

And to DPP, Ray, we have the minutes. You said that the boards didn't take positions. The Nanakuli board and the Waianae board took positions of this SUP. And I'm very appalled to know that the selection committee made this recommendation because of a deadline, and to assume that they support this 15 year is ridiculous. The site selection committee should be looking at new landfills out of the West Oahu, not suggesting back to the West Oahu area. The site selection committee that is formed this year should be finding sites not in the same area. That is ridiculous.

So on behalf of the Nanakuli-Maili neighborhood board, in the August meeting we went through this. We had the deputy director from environment came to ask us or request us another 15 years. And you could see the emotions of the community and the board.

2.2

The board unanimously voted this down. And with the emotion and concerns that the city needs to find another location for this sanitary landfill, and also because of the last emergency storm that we had, the disposal that impacted our community. I mean, we need to open our eyes, you know.

And so we voted not to support this extension. I am here as a messenger as the neighborhood board chair to send to the Planning Commission that this was a unanimous vote that enough was enough. I have a lot of statements from the board members, communities that we brought this to the board. And we stand on this motion that we do not support the city, the department environmental, coming back to -- back to you folks again when there's almost closure time another -- you have to make this decision. It's ridiculous to expand this in our community again. Nobody wants it. Then don't have a landfill.

We should look at other technologist. I mean, you just cannot continue to say we have the capacity in the mountain, that they have to keep going higher and higher

1 and higher. I think it's enough for us and for the West 2 Oahu. 3 So this is my statement. Thank you very much for 4 your time. THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you, Patty. 6 Any questions for Patty? 7 MS. DAWSON: No. 8 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you so much. 9 MS. TERUYA: Thanks. 10 THE CHAIRWOMAN: We had earlier called a Matt 11 McKinney. We understood he left the room. 12 Hi, Matt. 13 MR. MCKINNEY: Hi. 14 Thank you for your time today. So, my name is 15 Matt McKinney and I'm the operations manager with 16 1-800-GotJunk. We're just a full-service junk removal 17 company and we been in business here about five years on 18 the island. 19 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Slow down. Slow down. 20 MR. MCKINNEY: Okay. For us, we use the landfill, 21 you know, four to five times a week. I mean, we're in and 22 out of that place, you know, just about every day. For us 23 not to have that access would just put a huge detriment on

have seven to eight full-time employees now, and that's

our small business. We are a small business. You know, I

24

25

just about it.

2.3

We do go to H-Power when we can, but the reality of it is H-Power only takes certain things. They have a much smaller range of items they can take. For example, for me, a big problem is mattresses and box springs. Like I do have donation centers that will take them, but however they won't take all of them. There's just too many mattresses on the island, you know, to take.

So, for example, when the dump closed last

January, you know, we had to basically stop taking those

items. And so with the dumps not being open, we basically
had to, not close our doors, but we really had to

reevaluate everything that we took from homeowners.

That put a detrimental effect on our business. I mean, those months, we lost out big time. I mean, we lost out on just thousands and thousands of dollars simply because the dump was closed.

So as a small business and as a -- in running a small business, that's hard enough as it is already. So if you guys take this away from us, it's just going to have another impact for us long term.

I think from the employees' standpoint, I don't know if you even know if we'd be able to -- you know, even keep our doors open. So, from a small business perspective, we really need a landfill and there's no

other really place that we can take -- we already do 60 to 70 percent of the stuff we pick up, anyway, we already recycle. We already pull all the metal out. We already recycle and donate everything we possibly can. So the stuff that goes to Waimanalo Landfill, that stuff has already been sorted through and just deemed rubbish. We can't donate it anymore. We can't recycle it any more.

So if it were to go away and H-Power can't take these other items, you know, unfortunately, we just don't have anywhere else to go. That's it.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. Thank you very much. Cal Chipchase.

Just as a reminder, if you could kindly share your name please before your testimony.

MR. CHIPCHASE: Sure.

My name is Cal Chipchase. I am the very recently retained counsel for Ko'Olina and the Ko'Olina Community Association and for Senator Shimabukuro.

You have before us our petition to intervene in these proceedings. In light of corp counsel's earlier remarks about the likelihood of this becoming a contested case, and with your leave, I would reserve my comments until a later time.

The next gentleman on your public testimony agenda is Ken Williams. He is the representative of the

Association and he would likewise reserve his comments. 1 2 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Is Ken available? 3 MR. CHIPCHASE: He's right here, yes. 4 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. 5 MR. CHIPCHASE: Thank you. 6 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 7 Kris Gourlay. 8 MR. GOURLAY: Good afternoon, Planning Commission. 9 My name is Kris Gourlay. I'm the vice president of 10 RollOffs Hawaii. We're a refuse hauling and recycling 11 service provider for the island of Oahu. I'm here to 12 support the Department of Environmental Services 13 application to delete No. 14. That's allowing for the 14 continued use of the landfill. 15 Until there is a sensible option for another 16 disposal site, at this point, we have to continue using 17 Waimanalo Gulch. It's a very sensitive issue, I know, for 18 the entire community. But the process to get an 19 alternative has got to be in place before we can look at 20 disposing anywhere else. Thank you. 21 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 22 Any questions? 23 Thank you very much. 24 I have run out of names as far as testimony. 25 want to make sure that there's -- if anyone else is

interested, that they please sign up.

Would anyone like to come forward?

3 | Celeste Lacuesto.

2.0

2.3

MS. LACUESTA: Aloha, everyone. My name is

Celeste Lacuesta. I just want to tell you that I oppose
the extension of the Waimanalo Gulch. And the reason for
that -- first of all, I understand, even to put a bus
shelter in a residential area, you would need to send a
letter within the radius of 300 feet to everyone within
that particular residence, within that area to let them
know if they want a bus shelter in that area.

In this particular situation, for the extension, did you guys send any letters out to the residents within this vicinity and how many miles out? Because that particular -- all the -- how shall I say -- all those dust in the area and everything, it travels for miles.

So did you guys ever send letters out to the residents at Ko'Olina if they want the extension? Or people in the Maili or Honokai Hale, did you guys ever send that? Because I really don't think you guys did because my family has not received anything.

So if a bus stop is needed -- a letter is needed to send within the radius of 300 feet, I am pretty sure that these people that live nearby this particular landfill should receive a letter from the City to see if

they want the landfill there or if they want an extension of the landfill.

This is the people's choice. This is not a politics choice. No political, no nothing. This is a people's choice. And just to let you know, there is a lot of people that is being affected by this particular landfill. So I sure hope you guys think about sending letters out to the people in that area. Thank you.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you very much.

Evelyn Souza.

1.1

2.0

MS. SOUZA: Good afternoon. Thank you for hearing me. I am Evelyn Souza. I live in Makakilo. I've been there about 40 years and I've seen this birth of the landfill. And the landfill is an unnecessary evil.

But what I find very discouraging and very egregious is that the landfill has been actually pushed upon this community against their will for extended period of time. If we intended to ever move it, one would have to ask how come we don't have an alternate site already in place. What we've done is just keep pushing it back and pushing it back. And some of our elected officials have actually been called liars because they said they were going to stop it.

It's a disproportionate amount of opala, wastewater, the CD -- C&D and now the MSW has been imposed

upon this community, I'm talking from Makakilo to Waianae.
And I find it very, very disturbing.

If in earnest we were wanting to share the responsibility of the collection of MSW, we surely would have found a place already because it's blue-ribbon panels that cost the citizens \$500,000. What for? They'll look towards the west side. Very, very disturbing.

And I wish you would reconsider that once a deadline is given, a sunset date is given, it is given.

And if we need to move it elsewhere, at least have it already in the works that we know where it will be in the next two or three, four years, rather than digging into an existing mountain to make room for more rubbish.

And I thank you for listening.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you. John Tsukada.

MR. TSUKADA: Good afternoon. My name is John Tsukada. I'm the division general manager for Island Commodities. We process all the grease trap waste that's picked up from the restaurants on Oahu. We typically dispose of 14 tons per week to the landfill.

Without the landfill open, we have no alternative but to shut our operations down; and thus, it would become a public health issue, because the grease will then clog the drains and back the system up on this island.

And, yes, we looked at alternatives. We tried 1 2 H-Power. The sludge waste that we have is a little too wet for them to accept. And I tried to talk to the 3 Menehune corporation that does the composting, and they said they're not allowed to take our waste as well. 5 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Ouestions? 6 7 Thank you very much. Thank you. Would anyone else like to testify this afternoon, 8 9 without having to sign up? It appears to be the end of public testimony as no 10 one else would like to come forward. 11 12 What we will be doing is taking a ten-minute break 13 and we'll resume in ten minutes and continue on with the 14 agenda. Thank you. 15 (A recess was taken from 2:20 p.m. to 2:28 p.m.) 16 THE CHAIRWOMAN: We're back on the record. 17 Can you hear me okay? Okay. We're back on the 18 record. Thank you. 19 Next on the agenda is to take up the Petition for 2.0 Intervention by Schnitzer Steel Hawaii Corporation. 21 MR. SANDISON: Good afternoon, Members of the 22 Commission. I'm Ian Sandison with Carlsmith Ball 23 representing the petitioners, Schnitzer Steel Hawaii 24 Corporation. With me here today is Larry Snodgrass, 25 general manager of Schnitzer Steel's Hawaii operations.

You have before you, I believe, a copy of our petition and the memorandum in support. I'd like to briefly summarize our arguments for the petition, if that's okay with you.

Since Schnitzer meets the tests that are necessary to be granted intervenor status, it is immediately affected by the Planning Commission's decision on the issue before it today. And it is distinguishable from the general public. Moreover, it will not unduly burden the Planning Commission's deliberations.

Schnitzer's interest are that -- it is a -- it's the largest scrap metal recycler in the state of Hawaii. It processes approximately 120,000 tons of waste scrap metal every year. And in so doing, produces approximately 20,000 tons of recycling waste that can only be disposed of in Waimanalo Gulch Landfill.

Schnitzer relies on the continued viability of the disposal at the Waimanalo Gulch Landfill to remain in business; that it would severely jeopardize Schnitzer's business operations if it did not have a place to dispose of its waste. And Schnitzer's permit to operate, which is granted by the State Department of Health, the solid waste management permit, requires it to dispose of its waste effectively in the Waimanalo Gulch Landfill. So it is both necessary for its business operation and it's

required by law to dispose its waste in the Waimanalo

Gulch.

Schnitzer will not unduly complicate these

proceedings. It will limit its testimony and briefing

proceedings. It will limit its testimony and briefing to its waste and the impacts that it has on Waimanalo Gulch and the necessity for operation and it will -- and testimony and participation in this process will aid the Commission in its deliberations and that it will provide additional background information on the issues regarding the Waimanalo Gulch. And we are -- we have timely met the application -- the petition process and believe it is appropriate for this Commission to grant intervenor status. Thank you.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.

Questions? Thank you very much.

Department of Environmental Services?

MS. VIOLA: Dana Viola, Deputy Corporation

Counsel, on behalf of Department of Environmental

Services. And the position of this Department is to

21 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.

support this motion to intervene.

Did I cut you off, Dana? I'm sorry.

MS. VIOLA: No, you didn't.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Are you done?

MS. VIOLA: Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

```
1
             THE CHAIRWOMAN:
                              Thank you.
                                          Thank you very much.
 2
             Any discussion? Discussion?
 3
             MR. PACOPAC: No.
 4
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: No discussion. Okay.
 5
             May I have a motion?
 6
             MS. SODERO: Motion to approve the Petition for
 7
     Intervention by Schnitzer Steel Hawaii Corporation.
             MR. PACOPAC:
                           Second.
 8
 9
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: We have a second.
10
             All in favor, say aye.
11
             MS. DAWSON: Would you repeat the motion.
12
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: Please repeat the motion.
                                                          Repeat
13
     it, please.
14
             MS. SODERO: Sure. The motion at hand,
15
     Commissioner Dawson, is to grant Schnitzer Steel Hawaii
16
     Corporation as an intervenor.
17
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: The motion has been seconded?
18
             MR. PACOPAC: Yes.
19
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you, Commissioners.
20
             All in favor, say aye Hawaii.
21
             IN UNISON: Aye.
22
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any oppose?
23
             Thank you. So granted.
                                      Thank you very much.
24
             We'll move on to the next item on the agenda, and
    that's the motion to recognize Ko'Olina Community
25
```

Association and Maile Shimabukuro as parties.

2.0

MR. CHIPCHASE: Thank you again. I'm Cal
Chipchase of Ko'Olina Community Association and for the
Senator. As I mentioned, I'm a very recently retained
counsel. I was retained yesterday. I've done my best to
read a lot of material in very short order.

If the Commission were inclined to deny the petition to intervene, I would ask, as a matter of due process and fundamental fairness, to continue the hearing so that I might be more fully prepared. But I do have some comments that I'm prepared to share with you and I think the record, if you look at it like I do, is more than clear enough to grant the petitions based on the filings.

I'd like to make one observation. It seems a bit incongruous to me for corporation counsel to support Schnitzer Hawaii's application to intervene when Schnitzer has the same viewpoint, but to oppose Ko'Olina and the Senator's petition to intervene because their viewpoints are different.

The fact is this Commission granted intervention to the same parties on the prior petition, on a prior application to amend, which is really the application that's before you. It's to amend a condition in that special use permit. The application is the same. The

interests are the same. Indeed, if anything, the interests are more pronounced after the unfortunate spill of medical waste this Ko'Olina Community Association and its members suffered in January of this year; medical waste washing in on their properties, washing into their lagoon. They're directly across the street from the landfill. No other individual landowner suffer -- or have as direct an interest in the landfill as these individuals do.

The Senator's interests are also pronounced.

They're pronounced because she has been elected to represent her community, to represent this community, and to speak for them when they cannot. They're also individualized as she presents in her petition. She drives by it every day. She's familiar with it. It affects her. She also lives very near to Pokai Bay and if you'll recall from that same medical spill, medical waste was found in Pokai Bay. So this is affecting her in her own neighborhood.

The standard for granting petition or for leave to intervene is very broad. It should be freely granted unless special circumstances -- two special circumstances, really, suggest an intervention would be a waste of time or would be unnecessary. Plainly neither of those circumstances are present here.

1 There is no other party seeking to intervene, so 2 far as I'm aware, that would express this viewpoint; that would help shed light on the issues before the Commission 3 and help the Commission make its decision. And so for 4 those reasons, I would ask that the Commission grant their 5 6 applications. 7 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 8 MR. CHIPCHASE: Thank you. 9 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any questions? 10 MS. SODERO: Could I just request clarification --11 MR. CHIPCHASE: Sure. 12 MS. SODERO: -- to counsel? 13 Is it a joint intervention or are they separate 14 intervention? 15 MR. CHIPCHASE: We would ask that we jointly 16 intervene and I am counsel for both of them. 17 MS. SODERO: Right. All right. 18 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any other questions? 19 MS. DAWSON: No. 20 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Discussion? 21 Thank you very much. 22 Department? 23 MS. VIOLA: Dana Viola on behalf of Environmental 24 Services. The Department will rest on their motion, on 25 their written motions that we've already submitted to the

Commission.

We would like to point out that the nature of the motion by KoCA and (inaudible) was to recognize them as ongoing parties to this present action. But Department's position is that this is a new application and the previous action has already been completed. And there was a final order of this action that has been subject to appeal, which is now before the Supreme Court and pending deliberation and consideration.

So this would be a new application. I think it would be more accurate if you characterize it as a motion to intervene by the parties. It is my understanding that, based on Mr. Chipchase's presentation, that this is a joint motion so that the parties — if the Commission is inclined to grant the motion to intervene, that the parties would be represented by Mr. Chipchase alone and wouldn't be separate parties to this petition.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.

Ouestion? Beadie?

MS. DAWSON: Why don't you call for a motion to -- perhaps an intervenor status.

THE CHAIRWOMAN: I think we need -- excuse me. I think we need some clarification.

Honolulu, Hawaii (808)524-2090

MS. SODERO: Yes.

1 THE CHAIRWOMAN: As far as Ko'Olina, you're coming 2 in, of course, as an intervenor. Is that in combination 3 with Maile Shimabukuro, Senator? 4 MR. CHIPCHASE: Yes, it is. 5 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Would you be testifying as 6 separate parties or as one? 7 MR. CHIPCHASE: Well, they would -- the Association and Ms. Shimabukuro have their own interests, 8 9 and they are in some ways distinct. And so I would not 10 suggest that neither will testify or one would testify and 11 one would not. However, I am counsel for both of them. 12 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Okay. Thank you. So, we have to be very careful -- off the record. 13 (Discussion held off the record.) 14 15 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Beadie, any questions? 16 Discussion? 17 MS. DAWSON: No. 18 MR. PACOPAC: Discussion: Since corp counsel 19 brought this up, are they applying for a new intervenor or are the status from the old? I don't know who I ask, 20 21 corp. counsel or Winston? Do we apply their application 22 as a new --23 MR. WONG: Commissioner Pacopac, there's the 24 motion in the alternative. So there's a motion to 25 recognize them as a -- continue to recognize them as

```
1
     intervenors from the previous case; or in the alternative,
 2
     to intervene in this case.
 3
             MR. PACOPAC: So we can do either?
             MR. WONG: Excuse me?
 4
             MR. PACOPAC: We can do either or we vote on two?
             MR. WONG: You need to vote on two.
 6
 7
             MR. PACOPAC: On each?
             MR. WONG: On each. I'm sorry, on each.
 8
 9
             MR. PACOPAC: So you can vote on the first one and
10
     then on the second one?
11
             MR. WONG: Yes.
12
             MR. PACOPAC: That's what I want clarification on.
13
             MR. WONG: Yes. You need to vote on each.
14
             MR. PACOPAC: Okay. Great. Thank you.
15
             THE CHAIRWOMAN:
                              Thank you very much for that
16
    clarification.
17
             Do I need a motion? Are we ready for a motion?
18
             May I have a motion, please, on the first issue to
19
    recognize --
20
             MS. DAWSON: Go ahead.
21
             MR. PACOPAC: I'll move to approve the motion
22
    filed by Ko'Olina Association and Maile Shimabukuro for
23
    continued recognition as the party intervenors, the first
24
    one.
25
             We can't do both.
```

```
No, that's why we have to
 1
             THE CHAIRWOMAN:
 2
     separate it.
 3
             MR. PACOPAC: It has to be separated? I thought
 4
     you said we got to vote on two.
             MR. WONG: They're not consistent. That's why
 5
 6
     it's in the alternative.
             MR. PACOPAC: Okay. Let me read you the motion.
 8
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: Please do.
 9
             MR. PACOPAC: I'd like to deny the motion filed by
10
     Ko'Olina Community Association and Maile Shimabukuro for
11
     continued recognition as party intervenors.
12
             MS. SODERO: Second.
13
             MS. DAWSON:
                         And choose to deny them?
14
             MS. SODERO:
                          I second.
15
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: We have a second.
16
             All in favor, say aye.
17
             IN UNISON: Aye.
18
            THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any opposed?
19
            May I have a second motion?
2.0
            MR. PACOPAC: Okay. Let's try this. Move to
21
    approve the motion filed by Ko'Olina Community Association
    and Maile Shimabukuro as intervenors in this new case, as
22
23
    the joint intervenors.
24
            MS. SODERO: Second.
25
            THE CHAIRWOMAN: All in favor?
```

1	IN UNISON: Aye.
2	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any oppose?
3	Thank you. So granted.
4	Thank you very much, Commissioner, for that.
5	Thank you.
6	I'm going to call for a motion to close the public
7	hearing at this time.
8	MR. PACOPAC: So moved.
9	MR. TOLENTINO: Second.
10	THE CHAIRWOMAN: All in favor?
11	IN UNISON: Aye.
12	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any oppose?
13	Thank you. Good job. Thank you.
14	Have the parties agreed upon any mutual date for
15	contested case hearing?
16	MS. VIOLA: Dana Viola on behalf of Department of
17	Environmental Services again.
18	THE CHAIRWOMAN: I'm so sorry, I'm having a hard
19	time hearing you. I apologize.
20	MS. VIOLA: It's Dana again.
21	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Hi Dana.
22	MS. VIOLA: I would recommend, if the parties are
23	in agreement, to schedule a prehearing conference so we
24	can consider possible dates. I haven't had an opportunity
25	to talk to the other parties to determine when everyone

1 would be available. 2 And I would like to also ask for permission to 3 consider written submissions for our proceeding as well. 4 So I'd like to discuss these matters in a prehearing 5 conference, if possible. 6 MS. DAWSON: Wait. What is she asking for? 7 MR. SANDISON: We'd like to join with the City in 8 requesting a prehearing conference. We'd like to have it 9 scheduled as quickly as possible. We'd also request that 10 there be an order for a prehearing conference statement 11 that would set forth the issues and briefing schedule and 12 similar matters that are discussed in the applicable rule. 13 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 14 MR. CHIPCHASE: We likewise agree with that 15 request. 16 THE CHAIRWOMAN: I beg your pardon? 17 MR. CHIPCHASE: I'm sorry. This is Cal Chipchase 18 again for the Association and the Senator, and we likewise 19 agree with that request. Thank you. 20 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. Discussion? 21 MS. DAWSON: No, that's all right. 22 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Okay. Do you have a date in 23 mind? A time in mind? 24 MR. SANDISON: We're prepared to meet next week.

I have a question.

MS. DAWSON:

25

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Beadie, you have a question?

MS. DAWSON: I have a question. If we have a

preconference hearing or discussion, are we going to have some kind of a status report from the Commission on selection sites?

I mean, right now we have zilch, and that's such a critical issue for us. So if you can't give us a complete report, can we have that preconference at least with that, some kind of a report or a -- in mind or a status report?

MS. VIOLA: That would be an issue that we'd probably bring up during the case in chief from Department of Environmental Services. We wouldn't be discussing this in a prehearing conference. I don't believe that that would be appropriate.

So what I do represent to Commissioner that the City will be providing updated information on the selection process.

MR. PACOPAC: Beadie, why don't you make a recommendation that the Environmental Services come back with a report. I don't know, while we go through this contested hearing, I don't know how long it's going to take. But they should have a report for us ready at some date.

MS. DAWSON: Okay.

MR. PACOPAC: Give them a date.

1 MS. DAWSON: I will make that in the form of a 2 recommendation for the preconference discussion that the Environmental Services Department and the Committee or 3 Commission, whatever it is, for site selection be required 4 5 to give a report, either with their findings or with a 6 status of their efforts to bring their selection to fruition. 8 MS. VIOLA: If I may clarify? This is not an ENV 9 This is a mayor's appointed site selection 10 committee, so it would be for the committee to make the 11 report or do the presentation to the Commission. It's not 12 something under ENV's control at this point. 13 MS. DAWSON: How many people are on that 14 selection, site commission? 15 MS. VIOLA: I believe there are 12 members. 16 MS. DAWSON: How many? 17 MS. VIOLA: Twelve. I believe there has been a resignation since the original committee was composed. 18 So 19 I think it may be 11 now. 20 MR. PACOPAC: You don't have a representative from 21 environmental services? 2.2 MS. DAWSON: Yeah. I would like to have the 23 members of that site selection committee named at the time 24 you give a report.

Okay.

MS. VIOLA:

25

1 MS. DAWSON: Okay. 2 MS. VIOLA: Again, but I'd like to just clarify 3 that I will not be giving a report. Corporation counsel and Department of Environmental Services -- this is an 4 5 independent committee. Thank you. 6 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you very much. 7 Any other discussion? Questions? So what we need to do is to determine a date that is suitable for 8 9 everyone, not only your -- of course your areas, but the Commission's as well. 10 11 Yeah, we have a calendar actually. Well, I'm 12 going to tell you when the auditorium is available --13 excuse me, the hearings officer room is available. October 25th, November 9th, and November 23rd. 14 15 MS. VIOLA: I'm sorry? 16 THE CHAIRWOMAN: How is October 26? 17 MS. DAWSON: That's not good for me. How is it 18 for other people? THE CHAIRWOMAN: Let us have a short recess and 19 20 we'll pull a date together for you. Okay? 21 MS. VIOLA: All right. 22 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 23 (A recess was taken from 2:50 p.m. to 2:53 p.m.) 24 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you very much for the time. 25 In our complicated schedules, we'd like to propose

```
or what we need to propose is October 12<sup>th</sup>, which is
 1
 2
     Wednesday, 1:30. So, the same time that we have it today.
     On the seventh floor, Department of Planning conference
 3
     room.
 5
             Jeanne, are you okay with that? That's a very
 6
     important person.
             MS. SUMIDA: What date was that, I'm sorry?
                              October 12<sup>th</sup>, Wednesday. Can
             THE CHAIRWOMAN:
 8
 9
     we reserve the conference room, please, 1:30?
10
             MS. VIOLA: Excuse me, Commissioner. If I may
11
     suggest, I'm not sure if the entire Commission would be
12
     required to be present at the prehearing conference.
13
    According to Planning Commission Rule 2-66, the presiding
14
     officer or the chief planning officer, as directed by the
15
    presiding officer --
16
             THE CHAIRWOMAN:
                              We know that. Thank you.
                                                          But we
17
    have a very interested Commission, and so we're trying to
18
     get as many people in there as possible.
19
             MS. VIOLA: All right.
20
             THE CHAIRWOMAN:
                              Thank you.
21
             Thank you so much, Dana. Thank you.
22
             Jeanne, does that look okay for you?
23
             MS. SUMIDA:
                          Wednesday?
24
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: If not, we'll be sure to give you
25
    ample notification.
```

```
1
             MS. VIOLA: One more thing. The parties are in
 2
     agreement to submit prehearing conference statements the
 3
     day before. So that would be October 11, if that's
     sufficient -- if that's agreeable with the Commission?
 4
 5
             MS. DAWSON: That's fine with me.
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: That's fine. Thank you.
 6
 7
             MS. VIOLA: Okay. Thank you.
 8
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you very much.
             Okay. So, October 12<sup>th</sup>, unless we hear
 9
10
     otherwise from the Department of Planning. Again, that's
11
     the seventh floor at 1:30 p.m. and we'll hold our
12
     prehearing conference in their conference room. All
13
     right.
14
             Any other questions from the commissioners?
15
     Beadie?
16
             I'm going to close. May I have a motion to close?
17
             MR. PACOPAC: Motion to adjourn.
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: That was a fast move to adjourn.
18
19
             MR. TOLENTINO: Second.
20
             THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you, Art. Art second.
21
             Thank you. Meeting is closed. Thank you. We're
22
    adjourned.
23
24
                (Proceedings concluded at 2:56 p.m.)
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	State of Hawaii, do hereby certify:
4	
5	foregoing matter on Wednesday, the 5th day of October,
6	
7	That the proceedings were taken down in computerized machine shorthand by me at the time and place stated herein, and thereafter reduced to print under my supervision; that the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter;
8	
9	
10	
11	I further certify that I am not counsel for any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in the caption.
12	
13	
14	
15	Dated this 6th day of November, 2011 in Honolulu, Hawaii.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	