



Makila Rural Community EISPN

Mark Seymour

to:

luc

12/03/2015 11:12 AM

Cc:

mariah

Hide Details

From: Mark Seymour <mseymour81@gmail.com>

To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov

Cc: mariah@westmauland.com

**District:** WEST MAUI

**Project Name:** Makila Rural Community

**Docket Number:** A15-799

**Subject:** EISPN

**TMK:** (2) 4-7-013:001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008, 0098, 010, 011 and 012

**Applicant:** Makila Land Co., LLC

305 East Wakea Avenue, Suite 100

Kahului, HI 967632

**Contact:** Ms. Heidi Bigelow

To whom it concerns,

I am a home and lot owner in Mahanalua Nui Homeowners association. Our properties at 200 Awaiku and 1033 Kai Hele Ku St were purchased under MARMOR LLC. My wife and I are the only 2 members of MARMOR LLC.

I oppose the planned changes to the subject land being proposed by the land developers for the following reasons:

1) This plan does not conform to the Maui Island Plan's requirement for Open Space. How will it be changed to conform?

(<http://www.co.maui.hi.us/index.aspx?nid=1503> see plan Chapter 8 page 8-62 for info on the Maui Island Plan)

The Maui Island plan includes a minimum of 50% of this development to be designated parks and open space. Makila Land Co. is achieving this 50% by including parts of lots they intend to sell. So yes, they are counting people's backyards in the 50% number. Open space belongs to everyone and should not be part of someone's backyard. This inclusion of people's yards in the 50% was publicly confirmed to us by a consultant to Makila Land Co. You can bet it was not the intent of the Maui Island Plan to include portions of private lots in the open space designation.

2) This plan has an urban core of 40 acres. This urban core was not in the Maui Island Plan.

3) The developer is stating that we should have all known about this development because of public outreach they conducted during 2006-2008. I first purchased in Mahanalua Nui Phase 4 in June 2011. It was never disclosed to me that the land (Makila Ranches) was going to be anything other than 11 Ranches zoned AG. In subsequent land purchases in Mahanalua Nui in 2012 and 2015, no mention was made of pending change to the zoning for Makila Ranches away from AG.

4) Section 3 of the Makila EISPN states "there are no known environmentally sensitive areas, wetlands,

Critical Habitat, or endangered plant or animal species on the Property."

I have seen Nene geese flying up from the direction of the fields below. Nene are a federally recognized endangered species. There is certainly a question as to whether Nene habitat is affected.

The Newell's shearwater and the Hawaii dark-rumped petrel are also believed to be nesting in the West Maui Mountains. These are also endangered species and may be affected.

5) Except for the drainage gulches (and only the drainage gulches!) this area of land has been designated as "good soil", having the 2<sup>nd</sup> highest productivity rating classified by the state. It is state policy NOT to take good soil out of AG use. So in this plan, why are they taking "good" soil out of agricultural use?

PLUS only 10% of AG land falls into the top two productivity levels. Why are we taking some of our best land in West Maui out of AG?

6) In addition, in the EISPN, Makila Land state that the current use of the land is undeveloped and vacant and not currently used for agriculture. That is untrue. It has been supporting cattle since I first moved into the neighborhood in 2011. The only reason the land is not being used for agriculture is because the land owners/developers have chosen not to use it, not because it is inferior or poor AG land.

7) Emergency Evacuation- With the likely tripling in density, how are the proposed roads going to enable quick and safe evacuation from the abutting subdivisions in the event of another Launiupoko fire?

8) Will there be adequate water? We are facing water shortages (as seen by the note that we all received this past summer asking us, on behalf of Launiupoko water company, to conserve water) so a likely tripling of the population will affect the water supply.

9) The Makila Plan includes a sewage treatment plant on the property. There is the issue of smell wafting up from the sewage treatment facility, but also the proximity to the sea and the potential effect of any sewage flooding/overflow during storms.

10) Road congestion and safety. In addition to the issue of fire evacuation, currently the lights at the bottom of Kai Hele Ku Street are a bottleneck with only one road in and out of our development from the Highway. Even if a second road goes in, (currently not in the plan), with a tripling of population this is unlikely to solve the resulting congestion problem.

11) The development may have a detrimental effect upon the coral reefs below it. Dr. Eric Brown and many other marine biologists and researchers voiced their concerns before the General Plan Advisory committee and the Maui Planning Commission in 2008 and 2009 (regarding the development of Olowalu). They asked that the mauka lands not be urbanized so that the reefs and water quality are not put at risk. The same inherent problems face the reefs below the Makila Rural Development.

12) There is likely to be an increase in both light and noise pollution. We all live up slope. How will the noise carry? How will this be addressed?

13) An increase in population is likely to mean an increase in crime, in paramedic callouts, in house fires, etc. How will the project address the increased need for emergency service coverage?

14) Urban density (rural) should be clustered close into existing cities/towns to prevent sprawl. There is an abundance of land closer into Lahainatown that can be developed where rural zoning currently exists.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Mark Seymour