EXHIBIT "F"

Application for Amendment to Permit Terms, Conditions, and Time Stipulation
APPLICATION TYPE: AMENDMENT TO PERMIT TERMS, CONDITIONS, AND TIME STIPULATION

DATE: March 13, 2014 VALUATION: $________________

PROJECT NAME: Ameron Hawaii Puunene Quarry

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii requests the transfer of its State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit (SP77-271) and County Conditional Permit (CP 2008/0005) to Ameron Hawaii, LLC.

TAX MAP KEY NO.: 021(por.), and CPR/HPR NO.: ______ LOT SIZE: ______

PROPERTY ADDRESS: Pulehu Road, adjacent to the Central Maui Landfill

OWNER: Alexander & Baldwin, LLC PHONE:(B) 877-5523 (H) _____

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 156

CITY: Kahului STATE: Hawaii ZIP CODE: 96733

OWNER SIGNATURE: See Attachment A.

APPLICANT: Ameron Hawaii, c/o Eric Yoshizawa

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 86

CITY: Puunene STATE: Hawaii ZIP CODE: 96784

PHONE (B): 877-5068 (H): _____ FAX: 877-5060

APPLICANT SIGNATURE: See Attachment B.

AGENT NAME: Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., c/o Erin Mukai

ADDRESS: 305 High Street, Suite 104

CITY: Wailuku STATE: Hawaii ZIP CODE: 96793

PHONE (B): 244-2015 (H): _____ FAX: 244-8729

EXISTING USE OF PROPERTY: Ameron's Puunene Quarry operations

CURRENT STATE LAND USE DISTRICT BOUNDARY DESIGNATION: Parcel 021: Ag

Parcel 001: Ag; Parcel 004: Ag; Parcel 020: Ag; Parcel 001: Ag; Parcel 004: Ag and P/QP; Parcel 020: Ag

COMMUNITY PLAN DESIGNATION: Parcel 021: Ag ZONING DESIGNATION: Parcel 021: Ag

OTHER SPECIAL DESIGNATIONS: _______________________________

Rev. 9/25/03
February 25, 2014

William Spence, Director
Department of Planning
County of Maui
2200 Main Street, Suite 315
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Letter of Authorization for Request for Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii: Puunene Quarry, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii; (TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.); (2)3-8-003:004 (por.); (2)3-8-003:020 (por.); and (2)3-8-003:021 (por.)); (SP77-271; CP 2008/0005)

Dear Mr. Spence:

Alexander & Baldwin, LLC, owner of the subject parcels, hereby authorizes Ameron Hawaii and Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. to prepare, file and process all necessary applications in order to request transfer of Ameron Hawaii’s State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit (SP77-271) and County Conditional Permit (CP 2008/0005) to Ameron Hawaii, LLC relating to the Puunene Quarry located at the subject parcels.

Please contact me at (808) 525-6611 should you have any questions regarding this authorization.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Charles W. Loomis

cc: Erin Mukai, Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
STATE OF HAWAII

CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU

On this 26th day of March, 2014, before me personally appeared CHARLES W.loomis to me personally known, who, being by me duly sworn or affirmed, did say that such person executed the foregoing instrument as the free act and deed of such person, and if applicable, in the capacity shown, having been duly authorized to execute such instrument in such capacity.

Signature: [Signature]

Print Name: SUZANNE K. Mcguigan
Notary Public, State of Hawaii

My commission expires: 5/18/2017

(Official Stamp or Seal)

NOTARY CERTIFICATE (Hawaii Administrative Rule §5-11-8)
Document Identification or Description: Letter of Authorization for Request for Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii: Puunene Quarry, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii (TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.); (2)3-8-003:004 (por.); (2)3-8-003:020 (por.); and (2)3-8-003:021 (por.)); (SP77-271; CP 2008/0005)
Doc. Date: 2/26/14 or □ Undated at time of notarization

No. of Pages: 1

Jurisdiction: First Circuit (in which notarial act is performed)

Signature of Notary: [Signature]
Date of Notarization and Certification Statement: 3/18/14

SUZANNE K. Mcguigan
Printed Name of Notary

(Official Stamp or Seal)
February 25, 2014

William Spence, Director
Department of Planning
County of Maui
2200 Main Street, Suite 315
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Letter of Authorization for Request for Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii: Puunene Quarry, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii; (TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.); (2)3-8-003:004 (por.); (2)3-8-003:020 (por.); and (2)3-8-003:021 (por.)); (SP77-271; CP 2008/0005)

Dear Mr. Spence:

Ameron Hawaii, applicant, hereby authorizes Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. to prepare, file and process all necessary applications in order to request transfer of its State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit (SP77-271) and County Conditional Permit (CP 2008/0005) to Ameron Hawaii, LLC relating to the Puunene Quarry located at the subject parcels.

Please contact me at (808) 877-5068 should you have any questions regarding this authorization.

Sincerely,

Eric Yoshizawa
Vice President of Operations, Maui

cc: Erin Mukai, Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
On this 1st day of March, 2014, before me personally appeared Eric Yoshizawa, to me known to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that he/she executed the same as his/her free act and deed.

Notary Public, State of Hawaii

My commission expires:

8/11/2017
2. Letter Dated April 7, 2014 from the Applicant’s Consultant to the Department Requesting Transfer of CUP 2001/0001
April 7, 2014

William Spence, Director
Planning Department
Attention: Paul Fasi
County of Maui
2200 Main Street, Suite 315
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii Puunene Quarry, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii; (TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.); (2)3-8-003:004 (por.); (2)3-8-003:020 (por.); and (2)3-8-003:021 (por.)); (SP77-271; CP 2008/0005; CUP 2001/0001)

Dear Mr. Spence:

As you know, by letter dated March 13, 2014, our office submitted to the Department of Planning, a request to transfer a State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit ("SUP") (SP77-271) and County Conditional Permit ("CP") (CP 2008/0005) currently under Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii ("Ameron") to Ameron Hawaii, LLC for its Puunene Quarry, also known as Camp 10.

We hereby submit this letter to amend the March 13, 2014 permit transfer request to include transfer of Ameron’s County Special Use Permit ("CUP") (CUP 2001/0001) which was originally granted by the Maui Planning Commission at its meeting held on June 26, 2001. Attached herewith as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the Department of Planning’s CUP approval letter dated June 28, 2001. Most recently, the Maui Planning Commission approved a request for time extension and amendment to the boundaries of the CUP at its meeting on October 28, 2008. Attached herewith as Exhibit "B" is a copy of the Department of Planning’s approval letter dated November 17, 2008, amending the CUP. It is noted that the approval letter dated November 17, 2008 makes reference to TMK (2)3-8-003:004 (por.), however, the boundaries of the CUP includes TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.), (2)3-8-003:004 (por.), 020 (por.), and 021 (por.), as noted in the staff report prepared by the Department of Planning for the October 28, 2008 Maui
Planning Commission meeting. A copy of the Department of Planning’s staff report is provided herewith as Exhibit “C”.

Condition No. 3 of the CUP approval letter dated November 17, 2008 provides as follows:

That the County Special Use Permit shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Commission.

In accordance with the above noted condition, Ameron respectfully requests transfer of its CUP, together with its SUP (SP77-271) and CP (CP 2008/0005) to Ameron Hawaii, LLC. As noted in our letter dated March 13, 2014, upon transfer of the permits to Ameron Hawaii, LLC, Ameron Hawaii, LLC will be responsible for compliance with all terms and conditions specified in the conditions of approval for the CUP, as well as the SUP and CP.

On behalf of Ameron, thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Erin Mukai, Senior Associate

EM:la
Enclosures
cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
     Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
     Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et. al (w/enclosures)
     Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP (w/enclosures)
     Riley Hakoda, State Land Use Commission (w/enclosures)
EXHIBIT “A”

Letter Dated June 28, 2001
from the Department of Planning
Mr. Eric Yoshizawa  
Ameron HC&D  
P. O. Box 86  
Puunene, Hawaii 96784

Dear Mr. Yoshizawa:

RE:  
a. Fourth Amendment of Land Use Commission Special Use Permit No. SP77-271 and a County Special Use Permit to Add 38.5 Acres to the Ameron Puunene Quarry and to Delete Condition Nos. 13 and 15 of the SP77-271, TMK: 3-8-003:004 (Por.) and 021 (Por.), Puunene, Maui, Hawaii (SUP 77/0001) (CUP 2001/0001)

b. Withdrawal of Land Use Commission Special Use Permit SP66-31, TMK: 3-8-003:002, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii

At its regular meeting on June 26, 2001, the Maui Planning Commission (Commission) reviewed State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit SP77-271 and after due deliberation, voted to recommend to the State Land Use Commission approval of the amendments as follows:

1. Deletion of Condition No. 13 relating to the County variance.

2. Modification of Condition No. 15 to delete the requirement for a metes and bounds description as follows:

"Applicant shall clarify the entire acreage that is the subject of LUC Docket No. SP77-271 with the Maui Planning Commission and the Land Use Commission by filing an aerial photographic map with overlay and a site map clearly depicting the proper acreage of SP77-271."
3. Approval of the additional 38.5 acres for the quarry operations subject to the current conditions of approval for SP77-271 and subject to the following new Condition No. 13:

"13. That the applicant shall incorporate improvements to the access to Haleakala Highway, with and without the proposed four lane widening improvements, as reviewed and approved by the Department of Transportation."

The Commission also reviewed the request to withdraw Land Use Commission Special Use Permit No. SP66-31 and after due deliberation, voted to recommend to the Land Use Commission acceptance of the withdrawal.

Please be advised that upon completion of the necessary documentation, we will be transmitting the recommendation of the Commission to the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit for appropriate action.

In addition, the Commission voted to grant approval of the County Special Use Permit for the 38.5-acre addition, subject to the following conditions:

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

1. That the County Special Use Permit shall be valid until August 5, 2007, subject to extension by the Maui Planning Commission upon a timely request for extension filed at least ninety (90) days prior to its expiration. The Commission may require a public hearing on the time extension.

2. That the County Special Use Permit shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Maui Planning Commission.

3. That the applicant, its successors and permitted assigns shall exercise reasonable due care as to third parties with respect to all areas affected by subject County Special Use Permit and shall procure at its own cost and expense, and shall maintain during the entire period of this County Special Use Permit, a policy or policies of comprehensive liability insurance in the minimum amount of ONE MILLION AND NO/100 DOLLARS ($1,000,000.00) naming the County of Maui as an additional named insured, insuring and
defending the applicant and County of Maui against any and all claims or demands for property damage, personal injury and/or death arising out of this permit, including, but not limited to: (1) claims from any accident in connection with the permitted use, or occasioned by any act or nuisance made or suffered in connection with the permitted use in the exercise by the applicant of said rights; and (2) all actions, suits, damages and claims by whomsoever brought or made by reason of the nonobservance or nonperformance of any of the terms and conditions of this permit. Proof of a policy naming County of Maui as an additional named insured shall be submitted to the Department within ninety (90) calendar days from the date of transmittal of the decision and order.

4. That full compliance with all applicable governmental requirements shall be rendered.

5. That the applicant within 90 days of the approval shall submit to the Planning Department for review and approval five copies of a detailed report addressing its compliance with the conditions established with the subject County Special Use Permit. (As amended by Department)

6. That the applicant shall develop the property in substantial compliance with the representations made to the Commission in obtaining the County Special Use Permit. Failure to so develop the property may result in the revocation of the permit.

Further, the Commission adopted the Department Report and Recommendation prepared for the June 26, 2001 meeting as its Decision and Order for the County Special Use Permit. Parties to proceedings before the commission may obtain judicial review of decision and orders issued by the commission in the manner set forth in Chapter 91-14, Hawaii Revised Statutes.
Thank you for your cooperation. If additional clarification is required, please contact Ms. Colleen Suyama, Staff Planner, of this office at 270-7735.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

JOHN E. MIN
Planning Director

JEM:CMS:cmcb

c: Clayton Yoshida, AICP, Deputy Planning Director
Jeffrey Chang, Acting Planning Program Administrator
Aaron Shinmoto, P.E., Planning Program Administrator
Colleen Suyama, Staff Planner
Michael Munekiyo, AICP, Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
LUCA (2)
Brian Minaei, Director, Department of Transportation
Project File
General File
(K:\WP_DOCS\PLANNING\SUP1\SP77-271AmeronHC&D\MPC Action.wpd)
EXHIBIT “B”

Letter Dated November 17, 2008
from the Department of Planning
Ms. Erin Mukai  
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.  
305 High Street, Suite 104  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Ms. Mukai:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION AND AMENDMENT TO INCLUDE 9.5 ACRES OF LAND TO A COUNTY SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF THE AMERON QUARRY, LOCATED IN PU'UNENE, ISLAND OF MAUI, HAWAII, TMK: (2) 3-8-003:004 (POR) (CUP 2004/0001)

At its regular meeting on October 28, 2008, the Maui Planning Commission (Commission) reviewed the above-referenced permit and after due deliberation, voted to approve the amendment to add 9.5 acres of land while withdrawing 41.2 acres of land to the County Special Use Permit (CUP). The Commission also approved a nine (9) year time extension to the CUP, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the County Special Use Permit shall be valid until **August 5, 2017**, subject to extension by the Commission upon a timely request for extension filed at least ninety (90) days prior to its expiration. The Commission may require a public hearing on the time extension.

2. That the applicant shall keep yearly records of the amount of rock quarried at the site. The applicant shall provide the Department of Planning with a report of the amount of rock quarried prior to seeking any future amendments.

3. That the County Special Use Permit shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Commission.

4. That the applicant, its successors and permitted assigns shall exercise reasonable due care as to third parties with respect to all areas affected by subject County Special Use Permit and shall procure at its own cost and expense, and shall maintain during the entire period of this County Special Use Permit, a policy or policies of comprehensive liability insurance in the minimum amount of **ONE MILLION AND NO/100 DOLLARS (1,000,000.00)**.
naming the County of Maui as an additional named insured, insuring and
defending the applicant and County of Maui against any and all claims or
demands for property damage, personal injury and/or death arising out of
this permit, including but not limited to: (1) claims from any accident in
connection with the permitted use, or occasioned by any act or nuisance
made or suffered in connection with the permitted use in the exercise by the
applicant of said rights; and (2) all actions, suits, damages and claims by
whomsoever brought or made by reason of the non-observance or non-
performance of any of the terms and conditions of this permit. Proof of a
policy naming County of Maui as an additional named insured shall be
submitted to the Department within ninety (90) calendar days from the date
of transmittal of the decision and order.

5. That full compliance with all applicable governmental requirements shall be
rendered.

6. That the applicant shall develop the property in substantial compliance with
the representations made to the Commission in obtaining the time extension
and amendment to the County Special Use Permit. Failure to so develop
the property may result in the revocation of the permit.

Further, the Commission adopted the Department Report and Recommendation prepared
for the October 28, 2008, meeting as its Decision and Order for the County Special Use Permit.
Parties to proceedings before the commission may obtain judicial review of decision and orders
issued by the commission in the manner set forth in Chapter 91-14, Hawaii Revised Statutes.

Thank you for your cooperation. If additional clarification is required, please contact Staff Planner Danny Dias at danny.dias@mauicounty.gov or by phone at 270-7557.

Sincerely,

JEFFREY S. HUNT, AICP
Planning Director

xc: Clayton I. Yoshida, AICP, Planning Program Administrator
    Aaron H. Shinmoto, PE, Planning Program Administrator (2)
    Danny A. Dias, Staff Planner
    Robyn L. Loudermilk, Staff Planner
    Project File
    General File

JSH: DAD: nst
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BEFORE THE MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION

COUNTY OF MAUI
STATE OF HAWAI'I

In The Matter Of The Application Of

AMERON HAWAI'I

To obtain a time extension and amendment to a County Special Use Permit for the operation of a Cement Quarry on property located within the State and County Agricultural Districts, at Maui Tax Map Keys
(2) 3-8-003: 004, 020, 021 (por) and
(2) 3-8-001: 001 (por), Pu‘unene, Island of Maui, Hawai‘i

DOCKET NO. CUP 2001/0001

Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. on behalf of

AMERON HAWAI'I

(DD)

MAUI PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO THE MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION

OCTOBER 28, 2008 MEETING

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
COUNTY OF MAUI
250 S. HIGH STREET
WAILUKU, MAUI, HI 96793

County Special Use Permit Time Extension (CUP 2001/0001)

(K:\WP_DOCS\PLANNING\SUP1\SP77-271\AmeronHC&D\2008_TimeExtension\MPCReport.wpd)
BEFORE THE MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION

COUNTY OF MAUI
STATE OF HAWAI’I

In The Matter Of The Application Of

AMERON HAWAI’I

DOCKET NO. CUP 2001/0001

Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. on behalf of

AMERON HAWAI’I

(DD)

APPLICATION

This matter arises from applications for a time extension and amendments to a County Special Use Permit. The requests were filed on May 1, 2008, September 29, 2008, and October 9, 2008, respectively. The applications were filed pursuant to Chapters 19.30A and 19.510, Maui County Code, 1980, as amended; by Mr. Michael Munekiyo, on behalf of Ameron Hawai’i, ("Applicant"). The project site is located on approximately 208.9 acres of land in the State and County Agricultural District, situated at Pu’unene, Island and County of Maui, identified as Maui Tax Map Key No. (2) 3-8-003: 004, 020, 021 (por) and (2) 3-8-001: 001 (por), Pu’unene, Island of Maui, Hawai’i (Exhibits 1 thru 3)

PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATION

The Applicant is requesting a nine (9) year time extension to a County Special Use Permit (CUP) in order to continue the operation of the Ameron Hawai’i quarry. In addition, the applicant is also requesting an amendment in order to include an additional 9.5 acres of land to the CUP, while withdrawing 41.2 acres of land from the CUP. The withdrawal is being done in order to accommodate the expansion of the Central Maui Landfill.

[Please note, the project also requires a State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit (SUP). On July 24, 2007, the Maui Planning Commission recommended that the State Land Use Commission approve a 10-year time extension to the SUP. On December 13, 2007, the State Land Use Commission granted approval of the time extension with an expiration date of August 5, 2017.]
APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

County Special Use Permit:

Within the Agricultural District, a special use may be granted pursuant to Title 19, Zoning, Chapter 19.30A Agricultural Districts, Section 19.30A.060 Special uses; Maui County Code, 1980, as amended. If a use described in section 19.30A.060 also requires a Special Permit pursuant to Chapter 205, Hawaii Revised Statutes, and if the land area of the subject parcel is fifteen (15) acres or less, the State Special Use Permit shall fulfill the requirements of this section.

Pursuant to Section 19.510.070 Special use permits of the Maui County Code, a special use permit shall comply with the criteria established for a special use permit and the policies and objectives of the general plan and community plans of the county, the Hawaii revised statutes, and the revised charter of the county.

A special use permit may be granted by the appropriate planning commission provided the following criteria have been met:

1. The proposed request meets the intent of the general plan, and the objectives and policies of the applicable community plan of the county;
2. The proposed request is consistent with the applicable community plan land use map of the county;
3. The proposed request meets the intent and purpose of the applicable district;
4. The proposed development will not adversely affect or interfere with public or private schools, parks, playgrounds, water systems, sewage and solid waste disposal, drainage, roadway and transportation systems, or other public requirements, conveniences, and improvements;
5. The proposed development will not adversely impact the social, cultural, economic, environmental, and ecological character and quality of the area;
6. That the public shall be protected from the deleterious effects of the proposed use;
7. That the need for public service demands created by the proposed use shall be fulfilled; and
8. If the use is located in the state agricultural and rural district, the commission
shall review whether the use complies with the guidelines established in section 15-15-95 of the rules of the land use commission of the state.

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

1. On July 24, 2007, the Maui Planning Commission (MPC) granted approval of time extensions to both a County Special Use Permit (CUP) and State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit (SUP). The MPC recommended that the State Land Use Commission approve the SUP for a period of ten (10) years, while approving the CUP for a period of one (1) year. The CUP required that the applicant submit a Rock Quantification Study prior to seeking further time extensions. (Refer to Rock Quantification Study and Summary Report, Exhibits 4 & 5)

2. On May 1, 2008, ninety-seven days (97) days prior to the August 5, 2008 permit expiration date, the Maui Planning Department received an application for a extension to the CUP.

3. On September 29, 2008, the Applicant requested an amendment to add an additional 9.5 acres of land to the CUP.

4. On September 30, 2008, the Maui Planning Commission conducted a site visit of the Property and held an informational workshop.

5. By letter dated October 7, 2008, the Planning Department waived the public hearing for the proposed amendment. The Applicant concurred with the waiver on October 9, 2008.

6. On October 9, 2008, the Applicant requested an amendment to withdraw 41.2 acres of land from the CUP.

Please note, the request does not involve an action that triggers compliance to Chapter 343, Hawaii Revised Statutes, relating to Environmental Impact Statements.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Description of the Property

1. The Property, which is approximately 167.7 acres in size, is located adjacent to the Central Maui Landfill and Pulehu Road at Maui Tax Map Keys (2) 3-8-003:004, 020, 021 (por.) and (2) 3-8-001: 001 (por.), Pu'unene, Maui, Hawaii. (Exhibit 6)
2. Land Use Designations
   a. State Land Use District .............. Agricultural
   b. Wailuku-Kahului Community Plan .... Agricultural
   c. County Zoning ....................... Agricultural
   d. Other .......................... Outside of the SMA

3. Surrounding Uses
   North – Agricultural Lands in Sugarcane Cultivation and the Central Maui Landfill
   East – Agricultural Lands in Sugarcane Cultivation
   South – Central Maui Landfill and Pulehu Road
   West – Pulehu Road and Agricultural Lands in Sugarcane Cultivation

4. Ameron Hawaii (Ameron) operates its quarry and concrete batching operations at its Pu‘unene facility (also known as “Camp 10 Quarry”) within an approximately 199.4-acre area identified as TMK (2) 3-8-003:004 (por.), 020 (por.), and 021(por.) (formerly, TMK (2) 3-8-001:001(por.) on the island of Maui. This 167.7-acre portion is permitted under State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit SP77-271. The property is located adjacent to the County of Maui’s Central Maui Landfill, which is approximately four (4) miles southeast of the Kahului Airport. Access to the property is provided via the existing access road, Firebreak Road, located off of Haleakala Highway.

In addition, the subject property is owned by A&B Hawaii, Inc., under which the applicant holds a lease agreement. In addition, Ameron hosts sub-lessee agreements with two (2) asphalt paving contractors, Maui Paving and Sonny Vick’s Paving, and a concrete precaster, Walker Industries. The three (3) sub-lessees conduct their production operations at the subject property.

Existing Services

1. **Water** – The Property is not serviced by the County of Maui water system. Non-potable water for fire and dust control is currently being provided through Hawaiian Commercial & Sugar Company (HC&S). Supplemental water is trucked in when required for such uses as concrete batching.

2. **Sewers** – No county sewer facilities are available to the Property. Domestic wastewater is primarily handled by two (2) approved cesspool locations which are expected to be upgraded to septic system and leach field later in 2008. Contract portable toilet facilities are the primary accommodations for approximately 80
percent of the active employees on the site, due to location of their equipment and work stations.

3. **Drainage** — The Property is located in Flood Zone C as indicate by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps. Zone C identifies areas of minimal flooding. Kalialinui Gulch, an intermittent drainage way, traverses the Property.

4. **Roadways, Curbs, Gutters and Sidewalks** — The Wailuku-Kahului region is served by a roadway network which includes arterial, collector and local roads. Major roadways in the vicinity of the project site include Haleakala Highway, Hana Highway, Dairy Road, Pulehu Road, and Hansen Road.

Access to the project site is from Firebreak Road, a paved private agricultural access road off Haleakala Highway.

Given the agricultural and industrial uses of the properties around the quarry, nearby roads do not have curbs, gutters, and sidewalks.

5. **Electrical and Telephone** — Electrical and telephone service is available to the Property.

6. **Parks** — There are several parks in the Wailuku-Kahului region that provide recreational opportunities to the community. The nearest park facility is located at Kanaha Beach Park which contain bathrooms, showers, canoe hale, paved parking, beach volley ball courts.

7. **Schools** — The State of Hawai‘i Department of Education (DOE) currently operates nine (9) public schools in the Central Maui area. Elementary Schools include Kahului, Lihikai, Wailuku, Pomaika‘i at Maui Lani, and Waihe‘e. Middle schools in central Maui include Iao Intermediate, Maui Waena Intermediate, with Maui High and Baldwin High Schools providing education to high school students.

8. **Solid Waste** — The Central Maui Sanitary Landfill is located adjacent to the quarry site.

9. **Public Services** — The Kahului Fire Station on Dairy Road is the nearest fire rescue facility and is located approximately 1.5 miles west of the quarry. The Maui Police Department is located in Wailuku Police Station on Mahalani Road. Community Police officers are stationed approximately 1.5 miles away in the Maui Market Place. The nearest hospital is Maui Memorial Hospital located in Wailuku providing acute, general and emergency care services from its 231 bed facility.

**BRIEF HISTORY**

1. A portion of the property was originally established as a quarry and rock crushing
site by the U.S. Navy Seabees in 1939. The quarry operation was later acquired for private use, first by Kahului Railroad Company and later by Concrete Industries, Inc., Ameron’s predecessor company, in 1966.

2. On September 16, 1966 the Land Use Commission (LUC) granted Special Permit SP66-31 to Concrete Industries, Inc. to maintain and operate a rock quarry on approximately 28.19 acres within the State Agricultural District. Permitted uses were to include, but not be limited to: rock crushing and screening, concrete batching, the manufacture of concrete products, including ready mix concrete, cast concrete products, concrete blocks and pipe, and maintenance, storage and office facilities.

3. On August 24, 1977, the LUC approved Special Permit SP77-271, to allow the expansion of the existing quarry operation to approximately 194.5 acres, situated within the Agricultural District. The permit was valid for 20 years from final approval or until August 24, 1997. SP77-271 included a portion of the area identified in SP66-31.

4. On August 29, 1990 the LUC approved the first amendment to SP77-271 to allow sub-lessees or sub-licensees to operate the permitted activities on the property.

5. On June 27, 1997 the LUC approved the second amendment to SP77-271 to delete 59.686 acres from the permit which were lands subject to SP86-359 granted to the Department of Public Works and Waste Management as the site for the Central Maui Landfill. The permit area was reduced to approximately 134.814 acres.

6. On August 5, 1997 the LUC approved the third amendment to SP77-271 to replace lands being deleted from the permit by including 41.2 acres (TMK 3-8-003:por 4) to expand and continue its quarrying operation. The amendment also extended the permit for ten (10) years to August 5, 2007.

7. On October 18, 2001, the LUC approved a fourth amendment to SP77-271 in order to add 38.5 acres to quarry operations.

8. On June 26, 2001, the Maui Planning Commission (MPC) approved a County Special Use Permit (CUP) to allow for the continuation of quarry operations. With the adoption of the Agricultural District Ordinance in 1998, "Mining and resource extraction" was identified as a Special Use in the Agriculture Zoning District, thus requiring a CUP.

9. On July 24, 2007, the MPC approved the first amendment to the CUP which allowed the quarry to operate until August 5, 2008. The MPC included a condition which required the applicant to complete a rock quantification study prior to seeking further time extensions. On the same day, the MPC also recommended that the State Land Use Commission approve a 10-year time extension to the quarry's SUP.
10. On December 13, 2007, the LUC approved a fifth amendment to SP77-271 extending the permit for a period of ten (10) years, ending on August 5, 2017. (Exhibit 7)

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

Ameron operates as a producer of ready-mix concrete, crushed basalt rock products, screened sand, cinder, and topsoil mixes. The basalt rock quarry and crushed aggregate processing plant, main concrete batch plant, asphalt production facilities, precast and prestressed concrete casting operations, maintenance facilities, administrative offices and storage are located within the subject property. (Exhibits 8 thru 12)

The current boundaries of SP77-271, as reflected in the Fourth Amendment approved in June 2001, is shown in Exhibit 13. In recent review of project operations, Ameron determined that an approximately 7.4-acre area used for parking as well as used by sublessee Walker Industries for storage is located outside of the CUP permit area. The 7.4-acre area is owned by A&B Hawaii and identified as a portion of TMK (2) 3-8-003:004 and a portion of (2) 3-8-001:001. This new acreage, formerly in sugar cane cultivation by HC&S, is currently being used for manufacture and product storage of cast concrete products. A portion of the designated area also serves as paved employee parking. Other uses envisioned for the new area include equipment parking and staging.

In addition, it was also determined that approximately 2.1 acres located outside of the current CUP permit area is part of the mine area where processing and storage of rock materials are conducted. The 2.1-acre portion is also owned by A&B Hawaii, within the overall area being leased for quarry operations by Ameron, and is identified as a portion of TMK (2) 3-8-003:004. (Exhibit 14)

To correct the SUP area discrepancy created by the 7.4-acre area along with the 2.1-acre area, Ameron seeks an amendment to CUP 2001/0001 to include the additional 9.5 acres. Ameron also seeks to withdraw a 41.2 acre portion from the CUP 2001/0001 which would allow the Central Maui Landfill to expand into that area. The total area of the quarry site would therefore be 167.7 acres.

REVIEWING AGENCIES

The request for a nine (9) year time extension, amendment to add 9.5 acres of land and withdraw 41.2 acres of land from CUP 2001/0001 is being processed concurrently with permits SUP 2008/0002 and CP 2008/0005. The uses, actions, and properties involving those permits are the same as those for CUP 2001/0001. As such, the Department of Planning did not send the CUP portion of the request to other agencies for comments. This was done in order to avoid confusion and the duplication of comments by those agencies.

After review of the comments provided for SUP 2008/0002 and CP 2008/0005, the
Department of Planning has determined that the responses given are not substantial and
does not affect the request for a nine (9) year time extension and amendment to add 9.5
acres of land while withdrawing 41.2 acres of land from CUP 2001/0001.

Comments from 2007 Time Extension Request

While processing the time extension for CUP 2001/0001 in 2007, the Department
of Planning requested comments from the State Department of Health (DOH), State
Department of Transportation (DOH), and what was then the Department of Public Works
and Environmental Management (DPEWM). The DOH and DPEWM had no comments
while the DOT did not respond. (Exhibits 15 & 16)

ANALYSIS

1. The proposed project, as amended, is in conformance with the goals, objectives
   and policies of the Hawaii State Plan. Its operation will continue to provide
   necessary products to various businesses on island. The operation of the quarry is
   also vital for the continued economic growth of the island.

2. The subject property is in the County Agriculture District. Ameron will continue to
   operate its facility in the same manner it has in previous years. In accordance with
   Chapter 19.30A.060 of the Maui County Code, "Mining and resource extraction" is
   considered an acceptable use within the Agricultural District if a County Special Use
   Permit is acquired.

3. Ameron Hawaii's quarry operations and related uses were established through
   Land Use Commission Special Use Permit SP77-271. The use was determined to
   be consistent with the Agricultural District prior to adoption of the special use permit.

4. Reviewing agencies have not responded with any significant comments regarding
   the proposed time extension and amendment to add 9.5 acres of land while
   withdrawing 41.2 acres of land from CUP 2001/0001. There has been no change
   in use and the general plan and community plan has not changed.

TESTIMONY

As of October 10, 2008, the Department of Planning has received no letters either
in support, opposition, or of concern for the CUP time extension and amendment.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The request for a time extension and amendment was timely filed. There are no
deficiencies or violations that would affect the Applicant's request. Further, the scope of
the project remains the same and the previous Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and
Decision and Order granting the permit are still applicable to the project.
RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to the foregoing the County of Maui Department of Planning recommends approval of the amendment to add 9.5 acres of land while withdrawing 41.2 acres of land to the County Special Use Permit. The Department also recommends approval of a nine (9) year time extension to CUP 2001/0001, subject to all applicable conditions placed on the permit approved on June 26, 2001. Condition No. 1 shall now read as follows (noted in bold):

1. That the County Special Use Permit shall be valid until **August 5, 2017**, subject to extension by the Maui Planning Commission upon a timely request for extension filed at least ninety (90) days prior to its expiration. The Commission may require a public hearing on the time extension.

2. That the applicant shall keep yearly records of the amount of rock quarried at the site. The applicant shall provide the Department of Planning with a report of the amount of rock quarried prior to seeking any future amendments.

3. That the County Special Use Permit shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Maui Planning Commission.

4. That the applicant, its successors and permitted assigns shall exercise reasonable due care as to third parties with respect to all areas affected by subject County Special Use Permit and shall procure at its own cost and expense, and shall maintain during the entire period of this County Special Use Permit, a policy or policies of comprehensive liability insurance in the minimum amount of ONE MILLION AND NO/100 DOLLARS (1,000,000.00) naming the County of Maui as an additional named insured, insuring and defending the applicant and County of Maui against any and all claims or demands for property damage, personal injury and/or death arising out of this permit, including but not limited to: (1) claims from any accident in connection with the permitted use, or occasioned by any act or nuisance made or suffered in connection with the permitted use in the exercise by the applicant of said rights; and (2) all actions, suits, damages and claims by whomsoever brought or made by reason of the non-observance or non-performance of any of the terms and conditions of this permit. Proof of a policy naming County of Maui as an additional named insured shall be submitted to the Department within ninety (90) calendar days from the date of transmittal of the decision and order.

5. That full compliance with all applicable governmental requirements shall be rendered.
6. That the applicant shall develop the property in substantial compliance with the representations made to the Commission in obtaining the time extension and amendment to the County Special Use Permit. Failure to so develop the property may result in the revocation of the permit.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Department of Planning recommends that the Maui Planning Commission adopt the Department of Planning’s Report and Recommendation prepared for the October 28, 2008, meeting as its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Decision and Order and authorize the Director of Planning to transmit said Decision and Order on behalf of the Planning Commission.

APPROVED:

[Signature]

JEFFREY S. HUNT, AICP
Planning Director
Jeffrey S. Hunt, Director
Department of Planning
250 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawai‘i 96793

SUBJECT: Time Extension Request for County Special Use Permit for Ameron Pu‘unene Quarry at TMK (2) 3-8-003:004 (por.) and 021 (por.), Pu‘unene, Maui, Hawai‘i (CUP 2001/0001)

Dear Mr. Hunt:

At its meeting on July 24, 2007, the Maui Planning Commission approved the time extension request by one (1) year to County Special Use Permit (CUP 2001/0001) for Ameron Pu‘unene Quarry operations at TMK Nos. (2) 3-8-003 (por.) and 021 (por.). See Exhibit "A".

Condition No.1 under the County Special Use Permit (CUP 2001/0001) approval states:

"That the County Special Use Permit shall be valid until August 5, 2008, subject to extension by the Maui Planning Commission upon a timely request for extension filed at least ninety (90) days prior to its expiration..."

On behalf of the applicant, Ameron Hawaii, we respectfully request a time extension to the County Special Use Permit (CUP 2001/0001) for an additional nine (9) years from the current expiration date of August 5, 2008 (or valid until August 5, 2017).

We note that at its meeting of July 24, 2007, the Maui Planning Commission recommended approval of the ten (10) year time extension request to the State Land Use Commission (LUC) for its State Special Use Permit SP77-271. The LUC, at its meeting of December 13, 2007, then approved the ten (10) year time extension request enabling SP77-271 to be valid until August 5, 2017.

Therefore, the applicant, Ameron Hawaii, is requesting a time extension of nine (9) years to its County Special Use Permit (CUP 2001/0001) to match the expiration date of its State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit.

Exhibit "1"
Additionally, the one (1) year time extension to CUP 2001/001 granted by the Maui Planning Commission on July 24, 2007, required that Ameron submit a rock quantification study. Specifically, Condition No. 2 of the County Special Use Permit (CUP 2001/0001) approval states:

"That a report be prepared by the applicant for the presentation before the Maui Planning Commission. The report must list the following:

- The amount of rock quarried at the site, year by year, for the last ten (10) years.
- The projected amount of rock anticipated to be quarried at the site for, but not limited to the next ten (10) years.
- A quantification study on the amount of rock available for mining in the vicinity of the Ameron Quarry."

It is noted that the rock quantification study will be submitted under a separate cover.

A time extension fee of $165.00 is enclosed to enable processing of this request.

Should you have any questions or if additional information is required, please contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Erin Mukai, Planner

EM:yp
Enclosure
cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii, Inc. (w/out enclosure)
Jeffrey S. Hunt, Director
Department of Planning
Attention: Danny Dias
250 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendment to County Special Use Permit for Ameron Pu‘unene Quarry at TMK (2) 3-8-003:004 (por.); 020 (por.); 021 (por.) and TMK (2) 3-8-001:001 (por.)

Dear Mr. Hunt:

Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., on behalf of the applicant (Ameron Hawaii), submitted to your department a letter dated May 1, 2008, requesting a time extension to the County Special Use Permit (CUP 2001/0001) for Ameron Pu‘unene Quarry operations at TMK Nos. (2) 3-8-003:004 (por.) and 021 (por.). The letter filed requested an additional nine (9) years to CUP 2001/0001.

It is also noted on July 2, 2008, Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., on behalf of the applicant, Ameron Hawaii, submitted an application for Conditional Permit and Amendment to State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit SP77-271. In part, the application requests an amendment to Ameron Hawaii’s Special Use Permit (SP77-271) boundaries by including approximately 9.5 acres of adjacent lands.

The application for Conditional Permit and Amendment to SLUC SUP SP77-271 filed on July 2, 2008, did not request an amendment to Ameron Hawaii’s County Special Use Permit to encompass the additional 9.5 acres. Therefore, on behalf of the applicant, we respectfully request an amendment to CUP 2001/0001 to encompass the 9.5 acres. See Attachment “A”.

An application form and filing fee of $55.00 are enclosed to enable processing of this request.

It is noted that additional submittal requirements to the enclosed application form including the updated list of the names and addresses of owners of real property situated within 500 feet of the subject property, as well as the completed Zoning and Flood Confirmation form.

Exhibit "2"
were submitted on July 2, 2008, as part of Ameron Hawaii's application for Conditional Permit and Amendment to SLUC SUP SP77-271. Letters of authorization from A&B Hawai‘i, Inc. and Ameron Hawaii will be submitted under a separate cover.

Should you have any questions or if additional information is required, please contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Erin Mukai, Planner

EM:yp
Enclosure
cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawai‘i, Inc. (w/enclosures)
Figure 1
Ameron Pu‘unene Quarry
Regional Location Map

Source: U.S.G.S., Makawao Quad

Prepared for: Ameron Hawaii
August 7, 2007

Mr. Michael Munekiyo, AICP
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Mr. Munekiyo:

RE: Request to Extend the Time Stipulation to a County Special Use Permit for the Continued Operation of the Ameron Quarry, Located on Agricultural Land in Pu‘unene, Island of Maui, Hawai‘i, TMK: (2) 3-8-003:004 (por) and 021 (por) (CUP 2001/0001)

At its regular meeting on July 24, 2007, the Maui Planning Commission (Commission) reviewed the above-referenced permit and after due deliberation, voted to recommend to the State Land Use Commission approval of the amendments as follows (amendments are in bold):

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

1. That the County Special Use Permit shall be valid until August 5, 2008, subject to extension by the Maui Planning Commission upon a timely request for extension filed at least ninety (90) days prior to its expiration. The Commission may require a public hearing on the time extension;

2. That a report be prepared by the applicant for presentation before the Maui Planning Commission. The report must list the following:

   • The amount of rock quarried at the site, year by year, for the last ten (10) years.

   • The projected amount of rock anticipated to be quarried at the site for, but not limited to, the next ten (10) years.

Exhibit "4"
A quantification study on the amount of rock available for mining in the vicinity of the Ameron Quarry.

3. That the County Special Use Permit shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Maui Planning Commission;

4. That the applicant, its successors and permitted assigns shall exercise reasonable due care as to third parties with respect to all areas affected by subject County Special Use Permit and shall procure at its own cost and expense, and shall maintain during the entire period of this County Special Use Permit, a policy or policies of comprehensive liability insurance in the minimum amount of ONE MILLION AND NO/100 DOLLARS ($1,000,000.00) naming the County of Maui as an additional named insured, insuring and defending the applicant and County of Maui against any and all claims or demands for property damage, personal injury and/or death arising out of this permit, including, but not limited to: (1) claims from any accident in connection with the permitted use, or occasioned by any act or nuisance made or suffered in connection with the permitted use in the exercise by the applicant of said rights; and (2) all actions, suits, damages and claims by whomsoever brought or made by reason of the nonobservance or nonperformance of any of the terms and conditions of this permit. Proof of a policy naming County of Maui as an additional named insured shall be submitted to the Department of Planning (Department) within ninety (90) calendar days from the date of transmittal of the decision and order;

5. That full compliance with all applicable governmental requirements shall be rendered;

6. That the applicant within 90 days of the approval shall submit to the Department for review and approval five copies of a detailed report addressing its compliance with the conditions established with the subject County Special Use Permit; and

7. That the applicant shall develop the property in substantial compliance with the representations made to the Commission in obtaining the County Special Use Permit. Failure to so develop the property may result in the revocation of the permit.

Further, the Commission adopted the Department Report and Recommendation prepared for the July 24, 2007, meeting as its Decision and Order for the County Special Use Permit. Parties to proceedings before the commission may obtain judicial review of decision and orders issued by the commission in the manner set forth in Chapter 91-14, Hawaii Revised Statutes.
Mr. Michael Munekiyo, AICP
August 7, 2007
Page 3

Thank you for your cooperation. If additional clarification is required, please contact Mr. Danny Dias, Staff Planner, at danny.dias@mauicounty.gov or by phone at 270-7557.

Sincerely,

JEFFREY S. HUNT, AICP
Planning Director

JSH:DAD:nst
c: Clayton I. Yoshida, AICP, Planning Program Administrator
    Aaron H. Shinmoto, PE, Planning Program Administrator
    Danny A. Dias, Staff Planner
    Paul F. Fasi, Staff Planner
    Project File
    General File
K:\WP_DOCS\PLANNING\SUP1\SP77-271AmeronHC&D\2007_TimeExtension\MPCApprovalCUP.wpd
Subject: Rock Quantification Study and Summary Report  
Conditional and Special Use Permit SP77-271  
To: Maui Planning Commission  
Date: June 6, 2008  

Discussion: This report responds to the request for a ten-year history of mined rock volume on the subject Ameron Maui quarry location and a projection of remaining life of the rock reserve on the site. Future yield projections are based on core-mapping data performed periodically through exploratory drilling and the Company’s expectations of market demand through the period of analysis.

**Ameron Maui Quarry Ten-Year Mining History**  
**Mined Rock Volume in Cubic Yards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Volume (cy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>309,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>369,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>369,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>332,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>358,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>295,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>318,791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>336,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>382,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>461,477</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg 353,413 cubic yards

The mine site is apportioned into: 1) fixed areas for buildings, plant, and manufacturing activity; and 2) mining areas on which rock extraction occurs. Over the course of the quarry lease, the configuration of the mine area changes as new increments of rock deposit are activated and completed areas of mined space are returned for future use as new landfill cells. The bounds of the permitted parcel include both current and future mine areas. Over time, the quarry permit boundaries are revised as the County of Maui successively acquires new phases of expansion area of quarried lands.

Exhibit "5"
The rock quantification study summarizes calculated yields of the designated mining areas depicted in the Special Use Permit and Conditional Use Permit Exhibit Map. Core drill data indicates significant variation in rock depth, density, and yield which are simplified for this study discussion in a composite equivalent average yield depth. Projected future extraction volume is based on the annual average of a twenty-five year market projection for all combined extracted rock products.

The study has determined the projected forward life of the current mapping to be 22 years. Future additions to the mining map are contemplated by way of periodic extensions to the quarry lease and (future use permits) as the extent of the rock deposit on the quarry periphery is significant and extensive.

### Rock Quantification Study Data

**Assumptions and Calculations**

**Area E: Future Mining Site (38.5 Acres) Currently Active**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yield Area: Acres</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Projected Depth: Feet</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction Volume: Cubic Yards</td>
<td>1,950,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Area A: Future Mining Site Portion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance of Yield Area: Acres</th>
<th>40.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Projected Depth: Feet</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction Volume: Cubic Yards</td>
<td>2,080,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current Lease Area: Beyond CUP Designation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yield Area: Acres</th>
<th>64</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Projected Depth: Feet</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction Volume: Cubic Yards</td>
<td>3,570,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total CY under Current Lease**

| 7,580,000 |

**Future Volume Projection:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avg Annual Mined Volume (cy)</th>
<th>340,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Lease Area Yield (years)**

| 22.3 |
Operational Limits of the Central Maui Landfill and Ameron Quarry

Key
- Operational Limits of Ameron Quarry
- Operational Limits of the Central Maui Landfill

Source: R. T. Tanaka Engineers, Inc.
BEFORE THE LAND USE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

In The Matter Of The Petition Of
AMERON HC&D
To Obtain A Fifth Amendment To Land Use Commission Special Use Permit To Amend Condition Number 2 Of The Decision And Order Approving The Fourth Amendment To The Land Use Commission Special Use Permit That Established Quarry Operations On Approximately 199.4 Acres Of Land Within The State Land Use Agricultural District At Pu’unēnē, Maui, State Of Hawai‘i, Tax Map Key: 3-8-03: Por. 4 And Por. 21 (Formerly Tax Map Key: 3-8-01: Por. 1 Included)

DOCKET NO. SP77-271
DECISION AND ORDER APPROVING A FIFTH AMENDMENT TO THE LAND USE COMMISSION SPECIAL USE PERMIT

This is to certify that this is a true and correct copy of the document on file in the office of the State Land Use Commission, Honolulu, Hawaii.

JAN 28 2008
Date
Interim Executive Officer
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BEFORE THE LAND USE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAI’I

In The Matter Of The Petition Of  ) DOCKET NO. SP77-271
) AMERON HC&D
To Obtain A Fifth Amendment To  ) DECISION AND ORDER APPROVING A
Land Use Commission Special Use  ) FIFTH AMENDMENT TO THE LAND
Permit To Amend Condition Number 2  ) USE COMMISSION SPECIAL USE
Of The Decision And Order Approving  ) PERMIT
The Fourth Amendment To The Land  )
Use Commission Special Use Permit  )
That Established Quarry Operations  )
On Approximately 199.4 Acres Of  )
Land Within The State Land Use  )
Agricultural District At Pu‘unēnē,  )
Maui, State Of Hawai‘i, Tax Map Key:  )
3-8-03: Por. 4 And Por. 21 (Formerly  )
Tax Map Key: 3-8-01: Por. 1 Included)  )

DECISION AND ORDER APPROVING A FIFTH AMENDMENT TO THE LAND USE
COMMISSION SPECIAL USE PERMIT

On March 9, 2007, Michael T. Munekiyo of Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc., filed
a written request on behalf of Ameron HC&D ("Petitioner") with the County of Maui
Department of Planning to amend Condition Number 2 of the Decision And Order
Approving The Fourth Amendment To The Land Use Commission Special Use Permit
("Decision And Order") dated April 10, 2002, issued in the above-entitled docket
("Request"), pursuant to section 205-6, Hawai‘i Revised Statutes ("HRS"), and sections
10-year time extension to allow for the continued operation of Petitioner’s quarry operations.

The Land Use Commission ("LUC") has jurisdiction over Petitioner’s Request. Section 205-6, HRS, and sections 15-15-95 and 15-15-96, HAR, authorize the LUC to approve special use permits and amendments thereto for areas greater than 15 acres.

On July 24, 2007, the County of Maui Planning Commission ("Planning Commission") conducted a hearing on Petitioner’s Request. There was no public testimony provided on the Request. After due deliberation, the Planning Commission recommended approval of Petitioner’s Request to the LUC.¹

On August 29, 2007, the LUC received a copy of the decision and a portion of the record of the Planning Commission’s proceedings on Petitioner’s Request. On October 31 and November 26, 2007, the LUC received the remaining portions of the record.

On December 13, 2007, the LUC met in Honolulu, Hawai‘i, to consider Petitioner’s Request. Michael T. Munekiyo and Eric Yoshizawa appeared on behalf of Petitioner. Madelyn D’Enbeau, Esq., and Robyn Loudermilk appeared on behalf of the

¹ At the hearing, an issue was raised as to whether the material that is quarried by Petitioner is considered a mineral, and therefore entitles the State of Hawai‘i to collect royalties from its extraction. As a result, the Planning Commission recommended to the LUC that it “...verify that appropriate royalties for the extraction of minerals, if any, have been applied.”
County of Maui. Bryan C. Yee, Esq., was also present on behalf of the State Office of Planning.

At the meeting, Petitioner noted that the subject property had rock reserves of approximately 12 to 15 years. Petitioner also noted that there remains a continuing need for the quarry within the community to provide concrete products and aggregate for construction and other local uses.²

Following discussion, a motion was made and seconded to approve Petitioner’s Request to amend Condition Number 2 of the Decision And Order dated April 10, 2002, as follows:

2. That the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit shall be valid until August 5, 2017, subject to extension by the Maui Planning Commission upon a timely request for extension filed at least ninety (90) days prior to its expiration. The Commission may require a public hearing on the time extension.

Following deliberation by the Commissioners, a vote was taken on the motion. There being a vote tally of 7 ayes and 2 absent, the motion carried.

ORDER

Having duly considered the complete record of Petitioner’s Request and the oral arguments presented by the parties present in the proceeding, and a motion

---

² In response to the Planning Commission’s recommendation, the LUC staff noted that it had received correspondence from the State Department of Land and Natural Resources which affirmed the State of Hawai’i’s succession to the title and ownership interests of the Hawaiian government in and to the mineral rights on the subject property. Staff also clarified that section 182-1, HRS, specifically exempts rock that is used in general construction from the definition of minerals.
having been made at a meeting on December 13, 2007, in Honolulu, Hawai‘i, and the motion having received the affirmative votes required by section 15-15-13, HAR, and there being good cause for the motion, the LUC hereby APPROVES Petitioner’s Request, subject to the following amendment to Condition Number 2 of the Decision and Order dated April 10, 2002:

2. That the LUC Special Use Permit shall be valid until August 5, 2017, subject to extension by the Maui Planning Commission upon a timely request for extension filed at least ninety (90) days prior to its expiration. The Commission may require a public hearing on the time extension.

All other conditions to the Decision and Order dated April 10, 2002, are hereby reaffirmed and shall continue in effect.
ADOPTION OF ORDER

The undersigned Commissioners, being familiar with the record and proceedings, hereby adopt and approve the foregoing ORDER this _28th_ day of January __________, 2008. This ORDER and its ADOPTION shall take effect upon the date this ORDER is certified and filed by this Commission.

Done at ___Honolulu____, Hawai‘i, this _28th_ day of January __________ 2008, per motion on December 13, 2007.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Diane MacKinn
Deputy Attorney General

LAND USE COMMISSION
STATE OF HAWAI‘I

[Signature]
DUANE KANUHA
Presiding Officer

Filed and effective on JAN 28 2008

Certified by:

[Signature]
Interim Executive Officer
BEFORE THE LAND USE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAI’I

In The Matter Of The Petition Of AMERON HC&D

) DOCKET NO. SP77-271

) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

) To Obtain A Fifth Amendment To Land Use Commission Special Use Permit To Amend Condition Number 2 Of The Decision And Order Approving The Fourth Amendment To The Land Use Commission Special Use Permit That Established Quarry Operations On Approximately 199.4 Acres Of Land Within The State Land Use Agricultural District At Pu‘unēnē, Maui, State Of Hawai‘i, Tax Map Key: 3-8-03: Por. 4 And Por. 21 (Formerly Tax Map Key: 3-8-01: Por. 1 Included)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the Decision and Order Approving A Fifth Amendment To The Land Use Commission Special Use Permit was served upon the following by either hand delivery or depositing the same in the U. S. Postal Service by regular or certified mail as noted:

DEL. MARY LOU KOBAYASHI, Acting Director Office of Planning P. O. Box 2359 Honolulu, Hawaii 96804-2359
BRYAN C. YEE, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
425 Queen Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

JEFFREY S. HUNT, Director
County of Maui, Planning Department
250 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

BRIAN MOTO, Esq.
JANE LOVELL, Esq.
Corporation Counsel
County of Maui
250 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

CERT.

MICHAEL MUNEKIYO
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
395 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dated: Honolulu, Hawaii, JAN 28 2008

Rodney A. Maile
Interim Executive Officer
Figure 3  Ameron Pu'unene Quarry
Current Boundary of SP 77-271
Proposed Amendment to SP77-271 (7.4 Acres)

Proposed Amendment to SP77-271 (2.1 Acres)

Figure 10

Ameron Pu‘unene Quarry
Proposed Amended Limits of SP77-271

Source: R. T. Tsuchiya Engineers, Inc.

Prepared for: Ameron Hawaii
Mr. Jeffrey S. Hunt
Director
Department of Planning
County of Maui
250 South High Street
Wailuku, Hawai‘i 96793

Attention: Danny A. Dias

Dear Mr. Hunt:

Subject: Ameron HC&D Puunene Quarry
TMK: (2) 3-8-003: 004 (por.), 021 (por.) & (2) 3-8-001: 001
CUP 2001/0001

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Ameron Puunene Quarry time extension. We have no comments to offer at this time.

Should you have any questions, please call me at 808 984-8230.

Sincerely,

Herbert S. Matsubayashi
District Environmental Health Program Chief
MEMO TO: JEFFREY S. HUNT, A.I.C.P., PLANNING DIRECTOR

FROM: MILTON M ARAKAWA, A.I.C.P, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

SUBJECT: APPLICATION FOR TEN YEAR TIME EXTENSION FOR AMERON HC&D PUUNENE QUARRY
TMK: (2) 3-8-001:001 and 3-8-003:004 (por), 021
CUP 2001/0001

We reviewed the subject application and have no comments at this time.

If you have any questions regarding this memorandum, please call Michael Miyamoto at 270-7845.

MMA:MM:Is
S:\LUCA\CZMV\Ameron_HC&D_cup_sp77_38001001_074_is.wpd

Exhibit "16"
William Spence, Director
Department of Planning
County of Maui
2200 Main Street, Suite 315
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Authorization of Continued Operations Pending Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii, Puunene Quarry, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii (TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.); (2)3-8-003:004 (por.); (2)3-8-003:020 (por.); and (2)3-8-003:021 (por.); (SP77-271; CP 2008/0005)

Dear Mr. Spence:

As discussed at our meeting of February 26, 2014 with Clayton Yoshida of your department, Ameron International Corporation ("AIC") currently operates a quarry, concrete batching, asphalt production, and concrete casting operations on lands leased from Alexander & Baldwin in Puunene, Maui (collectively, the "Puunene Operations and Facilities") pursuant to State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit ("SUP") (SP77-271) and County Conditional Permit ("CP") (CP 2008/0005). AIC has entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement ("Purchase Agreement") with Pohaku Pa'a, LLC ("Pohaku") providing for the sale to Pohaku of AIC's entire Hawaii business including its Puunene Operations and Facilities. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, AIC intends to transfer the assets, liabilities, and operations of its Hawaii Division to a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, Ameron Hawaii, LLC. AIC will then sell all of the membership interests in Ameron Hawaii, LLC, to Pohaku.

In connection with the sale of AIC's Hawaii business to Pohaku, AIC submitted a request dated March 13, 2014, for approval to transfer the SUP and CP to Ameron Hawaii, LLC (the "Transfer Request"). The closing of the sale is expected to occur before approval of the Transfer Request by all appropriate governmental bodies can be obtained. Accordingly, we respectfully request confirmation that the Planning Department has no objection to the uninterrupted operation by Ameron Hawaii, LLC, of the Puunene Operations and Facilities from and after the closing of the sale of AIC's
Hawaii business to Pohaku, pending final action on the Transfer Request by the appropriate governmental bodies.

It would be appreciated if you could please indicate your agreement to the foregoing by countersigning below and return a copy of this letter to our office following execution.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Erin Mukai, Senior Associate

AGREE:

William Spence
Director, Maui County Planning Department

Date

cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii
    Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii
    Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et al.
    Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP
4. Letter Dated April 10, 2014 from the Applicant’s Consultant Regarding Request for Authorization of Continued Operations; Signed by the Department on April 16, 2014
William Spence, Director
Department of Planning
County of Maui
Attention: Paul Fasi
2200 Main Street, Suite 315
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Authorization of Continued Operations Pending Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii, Puunene Quarry, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii (TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.); (2)3-8-003:004 (por.); (2)3-8-003:020 (por.); and (2)3-8-003:021 (por.); (SP77-271; CUP 2001/0001, CP 2008/0005)

Dear Mr. Spence:

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this letter request for authorization of continued operations. Please note that this letter supersedes and replaces our letter of March 19, 2014.

We submit this letter to respectfully request confirmation that the Planning Department has no objection to the continued quarry, concrete batching, asphalt production, and concrete casting operations in Puunene, Maui pursuant to State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit ("SUP") (SP77-271), County Special Use Permit ("CUP") (CUP 2001/0001), and County Conditional Permit ("CP") (CP 2008/0005) pending approval of the request to transfer made by letter dated March 13, 2014, as amended by the letter dated April 7, 2014.

It would be appreciated if you could please indicate consent by countersigning below and return a copy of the letter to our office following execution.
Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Erin Mukai, Senior Associate

CONSENT:

William Spence, Director  
Maui County Planning Department  

A-16-14  
Date

cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii  
Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii  
Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et al.  
Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP
5. June 10, 2014 Maui Planning Commission Meeting Agenda
AGENDA

DATE: JUNE 10, 2014
TIME: 9:00 A.M.
PLACE: Planning Department Conference Room, First Floor, Kalana Pakui Building, 250 South High Street, Walluku, Maui, Hawaii 96793

Members: Ivan Lay (Chair), John "Keone" Ball (Vice-Chair), Sandra Duvauchelle, Jack Freitas, Wayne Hedani, Richard Higashi, Jason Medeiros, Max Tsai, Penny Wakida

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. PUBLIC TESTIMONY - At the discretion of the Chair, public testimony may also be taken when each agenda item is discussed, except for contested cases under Chapter 91, HRS. Individuals who cannot be present when the agenda item is discussed may testify at the beginning of the meeting instead and will not be allowed to testify again when the agenda item is discussed unless new or additional information will be offered.

C. PUBLIC HEARINGS (Action to be taken after each public hearing.)

1. MR. WILLIAM SPENCE, Planning Director, transmitting Council Resolution No. 14-15 Referring to the Lanai, Maui, and Molokai Planning Commissions a proposed bill to amend Sections 19.12.020 and 19.37.010, Maui County Code, pertaining to Transient Vacation Rentals in the Apartment District. (J. Alueta)
   a. Public Hearing
   b. Action

2. MS. PAULA DAIMAN requesting a Short-Term Rental Home Permit in order to operate the Marlin House Short-Term Rental Home located in the R-1 Residential District at 165 Maoli Place, TMK: 2-6-065: 061, Paia, Island of Maui. (STPH T2013/0018) (L. Callentine)
   a. Public Hearing
   b. Action

D. NEW BUSINESS

1. MS. CHRISTINE CONLON-KEMP, Director of the MILOWAI MAALAEA AOAO requesting an Environmental Assessment determination on the Final Environmental Assessment (DEA) prepared in support of the Shoreline Setback Variance application for the proposed seawall repairs at the Milowai Maalea project, 50 Hauoli Street, TMK: 3-8-014: 022, Maalaea, Island of Maui. (EA 2012/0006) (SM1 2012/0008) (SSV 2012/0004) (K. Scott) (Maui Planning Commission previously reviewed and commented on the Draft Environmental Assessment at its May 28, 2013 meeting.)
The EA trigger is the Shoreline Setback Variance.

The accepting authority of the Final Environmental Assessment is the Maui Planning Commission.

The public hearing on the Special Management Area Use Permit and the Shoreline Setback Variance will be scheduled after the Chapter 343, HRS process has been completed.

The Commission may accept the Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) as a Findings of No Significant Impact (FONSI) or take some other action.

E. COMMUNICATIONS

1. AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION dba AMERON HAWAII requesting a transfer of the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit and the County Conditional Permit from AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION to POHAKU PAA, LLC for the Kihei Concrete Batching Plant located at TMK: 3-8-004: 002 (por.), Kihei, Island of Maui. (SUP2 2005/0001) (CP 2005/0001) (P. Fasi)

The Commission may take action on this request.

2. AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION dba AMERON HAWAII requesting a transfer of the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit and the County Conditional Permit from AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION to POHAKU PAA, LLC for the Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant at TMK: 4-4-001: 108 (por.) and 4-4-003: 001 (por.), Honokowai, Lahaina, Island of Maui. (SUP2 2011/0002) (CP 2011/0003) (P. Fasi)

The Commission may take action on this request.

3. AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION dba AMERON HAWAIi requesting a transfer of the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit, the County Conditional Permit, and the County Special Use Permit from AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION to POHAKU PAA, LLC for the Puunene Quarry at TMK: 3-8-001: 001 (por.), 3-8-003: 004 (por.), 3-8-003: 020 (por.), and 2-8-003:021 (por.), Puunene, Island of Maui. (SP77-271) (CP-2008/0005) (CUP2001/0001) (P. Fasi)

The Commission may take action on this request.

4. MR. SCOTT CRAWFORD, Chair of the HANA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION transmitting the Committee’s recommendation on the request by MS. ANYA PAIVIKKI RUTIZ and RICHARD RUTIZ for a State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit in order to operate Anya’s House Bed and Breakfast, a one (1) bedroom bed and breakfast located in the State Agricultural
District at 41049 Hana Highway, TMK: 1-6-005: 026, Hana, Island of Maui. (SUP2 2013/0024) (G. Flammer)

The Committee may take action on this request.

5. MR. SCOTT CRAWFORD, Chair of the HANA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION transmitting the Committee’s recommendation on the request by GALE and MICHELE NOTESTONE for a Bed and Breakfast Home Permit in order to operate the Hana by the Bay Bed and Breakfast, a three (3) bedroom bed and breakfast home (B&B) located at 4888 Uakea Road, TMK: 1-4-014: 005, Hana, Island of Maui. (BBHA T2013/0002) (G. Flammer)

This matter requires Maui Planning Commission review because there is a permitted Bed and Breakfast operation within 500 ft. of the subject property.

The Commission may take action on this request.

F. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. MR. WILLIAM SPENCE, Planning Director, notifying the Maui Planning Commission pursuant to Section 12-202-17(e) of the Maui Planning Commission’s SMA Rules of his intent to process the following time extension request administratively:

STATE OF HAWAII, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, AIRPORTS DIVISION (DOT-A) requesting a six (6)-month time extension on the Special Management Area (SMA) Use Permit condition to initiate construction of the Kahului Airport Parking Expansion and Airport Access Road at TMK: 3-8-001: 019, Kahului, Island of Maui. (SM1 2008/0022) (P. Fasi) (deferred at the January 28, 2014 meeting)

The Commission shall acknowledge receipt of the request. The Commission may decide whether to waive its review or review the time extension request at a future meeting.

2. MS. ANN FRICKER requesting a Short-Term Rental Home Permit in order to operate the Kuau Point Short-Term Rental Home located in the R-1 Residential District at 115 Aleiki Place, TMK: 2-6-012: 073, Paia, Island of Maui. (STPH T2013/0021) (G. Flammer)

This application is being reviewed by the Maui Planning Commission because there is at least one permitted Short-Term Rental Home operation located within 500 ft. of the subject property.

Public hearing was conducted and the matter was deferred at the April 8, 2014 Maui Planning Commission meeting.

G. WORKSHOP NO. 3 conducted by the Office of the Corporation Counsel
1. Contested Cases
2. Legal training on concepts of nexus and proportionality and their application to the permit process

H. ACCEPTANCE OF THE ACTION MINUTES OF THE MAY 27, 2014 MEETING

I. DIRECTOR’S REPORT

1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19.32.020.C of the Maui County Code, Planned Development, the Planning Director notifying the Commission of the Planning Director’s review of the step III unified site and building program on the following application:


The Commission may waive its review and allow the planning director to take final action on the Planned Development Step III application or review and take final action on the Planned Development Step III application.

2. SMA Minor Permit Report (Appendix A)

3. SMA Exemption Report (Appendix B)

4. Discussion of Future Maui Planning Commission Agendas
   a. June 24, 2014 meeting agenda items

5. June 17, 2014 at 9:30 a.m. - Site Inspection of the following application (please meet at the site.)

STANFORD CARR DEVELOPMENT, LLC on behalf of the HARRY and JEANETTE WEINBERG FOUNDATION, INC. requesting a Special Management Area Use Permit for the development of the proposed Kahoma Village 201-H Project and related improvements located on approximately 21.6 acres on the northeast corner of Front Street and Kenui Street at TMK: 4-5-008: 001 (por.), Lahaina, Island of Maui. (SM1 2012/0007) (D. Dias)

The proposed Project will provide a total of 203 residential units of which 101 will be single-family dwellings and 102 will be multi-family units. The 102 multi-family units shall be residential workforce housing units. Park space is also contemplated within the project.
6. June 26, 2014 contested case hearing at 9:00a.m. on the following appeal:

ISAAC HALL, attorney for JAMES BENDON, ROBERT and MARGARET KAPLAN, CYRUS MONROE, and PETER SIRACUSA submitting an appeal dated December 19, 2013 of the issuance of an SMA Exemption for the milling and resurfacing of Runway 2-20 at the Kahului Airport at 1 Kahului Airport Road, TMK: 3-8-001: 019, Kahului, Island of Maui (APPL 2013/0012) (SM5 2013/0350) (SMX 2013/0324) (P. Fasi) (Matter was previously discussed at the April 22, 2014 Maui Planning Commission meeting)

The Commission and the public were notified of this appeal through the January 14, 2014 Maui Planning Commission agenda.

Pursuant to the Maui Planning Commission’s Special Management Area Rules and its Rules of Practice and Procedure, the parties in the subject appeal are:

a. Appellants - James Bendon, Robert and Margaret Kaplan, Cyrus Monroe, and Peter Siracusa
b. Applicant - State Department of Transportation, Airports Division
c. Appellee - Department of Planning

K. NEXT REGULAR MEETING DATE: JUNE 24, 2014

AGENDA ITEMS ARE SUBJECT TO CANCELLATION

AN EXECUTIVE SESSION MAY BE CALLED IN ORDER FOR THE COMMISSION TO CONSULT WITH THEIR ATTORNEY ON QUESTIONS AND ISSUES PERTAINING TO THE COMMISSION’S POWERS, DUTIES, PRIVILEGES, IMMUNITIES AND LIABILITIES PURSUANT TO SEC. 92-5(a)(4), HRS.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY ANOTHER SPECIFIC PLANNING COMMISSION RULE, ANY PETITION TO INTERVENE AS A FORMAL PARTY IN THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION MUST BE FILED WITH THE COMMISSION AND SERVED UPON THE APPLICANT NO LESS THAN TEN (10) DAYS BEFORE THE FIRST PUBLIC HEARING DATE. (Note: The calculation of time for deadlines ten days or less excludes weekends and State recognized holidays.) THE ADDRESS OF THE COMMISSION IS C/O THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, ONE MAIN PLAZA, 2200 MAIN STREET, SUITE 315, WAILUKU, MAUI, HAWAII 96793. The deadline for filing a timely Petition to intervene for an item where the first public hearing date is on June 10, 2014 was on May 27, 2014.

ORAL OR WRITTEN TESTIMONY WILL BE RECEIVED ON EACH AGENDA ITEM SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 92, HAWAII REVISED STATUTES AND THE COMMISSION’S RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THESE RULES MAXIMUM TIME LIMITS OF AT LEAST THREE MINUTES MAY BE ESTABLISHED BY THE COMMISSION ON INDIVIDUAL TESTIMONY.

WRITTEN TESTIMONY REQUESTED SHOULD BE RECEIVED AT LEAST TWO (2) BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE THE MEETING TO INSURE DISTRIBUTION TO THE BOARD. FIFTEEN (15) COPIES OF WRITTEN TESTIMONY ARE NEEDED IF TESTIMONY IS PRESENTED IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO OR AT THE MEETING.
Testifiers: Please be advised that applications for Community Plan Amendment, State District Boundary Reclassification, Change in Zoning, and Conditional Permit require the approval of the Maui County Council. In order to be notified of future agendas of the Maui County Council please notify the Office of Council Services at (808) 270-7838 or by mail to the Maui County Council, 200 S. High Street, Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793.

DOCUMENTS ARE ON FILE WITH THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT.


THOSE PERSONS REQUESTING SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS DUE TO DISABILITIES, PLEASE CALL THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AT 270-7735 (Molokai) OR 1-800-272-0117 (Molokai) OR 1-800-272-0125 (Lanai) OR NOTIFY THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING IN WRITING AT ONE MAIN PLAZA 2200 MAIN STREET SUITE 315, WAILUKU, MAUI, HAWAII 96793 OR FAX NUMBER 270-7834; AT LEAST SIX (6) BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE THE SCHEDULED MEETING.

ANY FAXES SHOULD BE RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING BY 5:00 P.M. ON THE SECOND WORKING DAY BEFORE THE MEETING TO ENSURE THAT IT IS CIRCULATED TO THE COMMISSION.

PLEASE NOTE: If any member of the Commission is unable to attend the scheduled meeting, please contact the Planning Department at least one day prior to the meeting date. Thank you for your cooperation. (S:\all\carolyn\061014).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit #</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Permit Name</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Planner</th>
<th>Completed Date</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>TMK(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SM2 - 20140048</td>
<td>KINNEY, DAVID</td>
<td>KINNEY, DAVID</td>
<td>SECOND FARM DWELLING/POOL/DECK</td>
<td>PETER NIESS</td>
<td>CALLINTINE</td>
<td>05/14/2014</td>
<td>A W/COND-APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS</td>
<td>22900292920000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2 - 20140033</td>
<td>TIM NELSON</td>
<td>TIM NELSON</td>
<td>BUILDING OF NEW HOME/KAUNAKI</td>
<td>TIM NELSON</td>
<td></td>
<td>05/15/2014</td>
<td>A W/COND-APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS</td>
<td>24301406090000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2 - 20140054</td>
<td>MAUI FILM FESTIVAL</td>
<td>MAUI FILM FESTIVAL AT THE</td>
<td>2014 MAUI FILM FESTIVAL TENTS/WAILEA</td>
<td>MAUI FILM FESTIVAL</td>
<td>THACKERSON</td>
<td>05/16/2014</td>
<td>A W/COND-APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS</td>
<td>22100181090000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2 - 20140055</td>
<td>PUKA LANI KAI, LLC</td>
<td>PUKA LANI KAI, LLC</td>
<td>DWELLING ADDITION/REPAIR - PUA</td>
<td>PUKA LANI KAI LLC</td>
<td>SCOTT</td>
<td>05/19/2014</td>
<td>A W/COND-APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS</td>
<td>23800292500002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2 - 20140057</td>
<td>WAILEA MF-11</td>
<td>WAILEA MF-11</td>
<td>SINGLE-FAMILY LOT CONSOLIDATION/WAILEA</td>
<td>CHRIS HART &amp; PARTNERS</td>
<td>DIAS</td>
<td>05/22/2014</td>
<td>A W/COND-APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS</td>
<td>22102800100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YAP, HARRY</td>
<td>YAP, HARRY</td>
<td>Demo Dwelling Bldg in 1937/REBUILD - LAMAI</td>
<td>ATOM KAPRAZYCKI</td>
<td>WADE</td>
<td>05/22/2014</td>
<td>A W/COND-APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS</td>
<td>22102800020000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SKETCHERS</td>
<td>SKETCHERS, THE OUTLETS OF MAUI</td>
<td>SKETCHERS, THE OUTLETS OF MAUI</td>
<td>HONOLULU BUILDERS, LLC</td>
<td>THACKERSON</td>
<td>05/23/2014</td>
<td>A W/COND-APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS</td>
<td>22102800100000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total: 7

* = Shoreline Projects
## PD-Approved SMA Exempt Projects for Maui

**Permit Completion Date:** 05/14/2014 - 05/28/2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit #</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Permit Name</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Planner</th>
<th>Completed Date</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>TMK(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140154</td>
<td>DIENER, ROBERT</td>
<td>NEW DWELLING/GARAGE - HAUKU</td>
<td>ROBERT DIENER</td>
<td>SCOTT</td>
<td>05/14/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>2270360330000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140157</td>
<td>DODSON, MATTHEW</td>
<td>UNIT/102B - CONSTRUCT DENTAL OFF/KIHEI</td>
<td>DODSON, MATTHEW</td>
<td>CABAIS</td>
<td>05/23/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>2390020830000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140158</td>
<td>MAUI BEACHFRONT TWO</td>
<td>DEMO DWELLING/SHED BUILT 1948/LAHAINA</td>
<td>EMPIRE USA</td>
<td>05/14/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>2440010290000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140159</td>
<td>JUAN, FERNANDO &amp; EST</td>
<td>1ST DWELLING W/CARPORT - WAILUKU</td>
<td>FERNANDO &amp; ESTER JUAN</td>
<td>SCOTT</td>
<td>05/14/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>2340280270000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140160</td>
<td>HONOLULU COOKIE STOR</td>
<td>UNIT B 27 / RETAIL RENOVATION/KIHEI</td>
<td>DKKY ARCHITECTURE STUDIO, INC</td>
<td>AZBILL</td>
<td>05/14/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>2210080740000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140161</td>
<td>KIHEI ASSEMBLY OF GO</td>
<td>NEW TRELIS/KIHEI</td>
<td>WAYNE FUJIMOTO</td>
<td>05/19/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>2390219047000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140162</td>
<td>NEWELL FAMILY TRUST</td>
<td>GUARDRAIL REPLACEMENT/WAILEA</td>
<td>PAUL DAVALLE</td>
<td>AZBILL</td>
<td>05/19/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>22102300010107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140163</td>
<td>BOSS FROGS</td>
<td>RE-ROOF / LAHAINA</td>
<td>PHILLIP &amp; MARY LYNN KASPER</td>
<td>WADE</td>
<td>05/20/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>2460090250000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140165</td>
<td>ALEXANDER RENOVATION</td>
<td>ALEXANDER RENOVATION/LAHAINA</td>
<td>MARIE KIMMEY</td>
<td>SCOTT</td>
<td>05/23/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>2440010420001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM5 - 20140166</td>
<td>GARNAS WALL</td>
<td>GARNAS WALL</td>
<td>DONALD M. GARNAS</td>
<td>CABAIS</td>
<td>05/23/2014</td>
<td>A-APPROVED</td>
<td>2390600410000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total:** 10

* = Shoreline Projects
6. Department Memorandum and Recommendation, Dated June 10, 2014, to the Maui Planning Commission
MEMORANDUM

TO: Ivan Lay, Chair
   and Members of the Maui Planning Commission

FROM: William Spence, Planning Director

SUBJECT: AMERON HAWAII REQUEST FOR PERMIT TRANSFERS TO NEW OWNER

Background

Ameron International Corporation, a Delaware corporation, doing business as Ameron Hawaii ("Ameron") has entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Pohaku Pa'a, LLC, a Hawaii based limited liability corporation for its entire operation and facilities statewide. Ameron is requesting that the titles to the following permits be transferred from Ameron International Corporation to Ameron Hawaii, LLC, in order to complete the business deal:

Approval from the Maui Planning Commission (Commission) for State Land Use Commission Special-Use Permits (SUP) & County Special Use Permit (CUP):

SUP2 2011/0002 Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant
SUP2 2005/0001 Kihei Concrete Batching Plant
CUP 2001/0001 Puunene Quarry

Recommendation of Approval from the Commission to the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permits:

Docket No. SP77-271 Puunene Quarry

Waive Review for Conditional Permits (CP):

CP 2011/0003 Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant
CP 2008/0005 Puunene Quarry
CP 2005/0001 Kihei Concrete Batching Plant

Attached are three (3) letters from Ameron's representative, Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc. requesting the title changes and the capacity to continue daily operations:

Exhibits 1 & 1a Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant
Exhibits 2 & 2a Kihei Concrete Batching Plant
Exhibits 3, 3a, 3b Puunene Quarry
Action by the Maui Planning Commission

Upon evaluation by the Department of Planning (Department), the Department finds the request to be non-substantive and a normal business transaction. The new owners will accept and abide by the terms and conditions of all permits. The Department recommends that the Commission approve and expeditiously act upon the requests as listed above so that the parties may conclude the title transfer without interruption.

Attachments
xc: Paul F. Fasi, Staff Planner (PDF)
   Erin Mukai, Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
   Carolyn Takayama-Corden, Secretary to Boards and Commissions (PDF)
   General File
WRS:PFF:aj
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William Spence, Director  
Planning Department  
County of Maui  
2200 Main Street, Suite 315  
Wailuku, Hawai’i 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii, Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant, Honokowai, Maui, Hawaii (TMK (2)4-4-001:108 (por.) and (2)4-4-003:001 (por.)); (SUP2 2011/0002; CP 2011/0003)

Dear Mr. Spence:

Ameron International Corporation, a Delaware corporation based in Houston and doing business as Ameron Hawaii ("Ameron"), operates a concrete batching plant in Honokowai, Maui. The batching plant is located on approximately 1.66 acres of land identified by TMK (2)4-4-001:108 (por.) and (2)4-4-003:001 (por.) and leased from Kaanapali Land Management Corp., formerly known as Kaanapali Development Corp. In order to operate its batching plant, Ameron currently holds a State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit ("SUP") (SUP2 2011/0002) and a County Conditional Permit ("CP") (CP 2011/0003).

Ameron has entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with Pohaku Pa'a, LLC ("Pohaku") providing for the sale to Pohaku of Ameron's entire Hawaii business which involves manufacturing and supplying ready-mix concrete and aggregates to the construction market in the State of Hawaii. Pohaku is a Hawaii limited liability company owned by Bill D. Mills, the founder and chairman of The Mills Group, a Hawaii based company.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Ameron intends to transfer the assets, liabilities and operations of its Hawaii Division to a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, Ameron Hawaii, LLC. SUP2 2011/0002 and CP 2011/0003 are among the assets which Ameron intends to transfer to Ameron Hawaii, LLC. Ameron will then sell all of the membership interests in Ameron Hawaii, LLC, to Pohaku. No significant changes in management, personnel or operations are being contemplated. Ameron Hawaii will
continue to operate its business in the ordinary course following the acquisition by Pohaku.

The SUP was approved by the Maui Planning Commission at their meeting of February 14, 2012 and CP was approved by the Maui County Council by Ordinance No. 3966, Bill No. 65, effective August 4, 2012. A copy of the Department of Planning’s letter dated March 8, 2012 approving the SUP is attached herewith as Exhibit “A” and a copy of Ordinance No. 3966 is attached herewith as Exhibit “B”.

Specifically, Condition No. 3 of the SUP provides as follows:

That the subject State Land Use Commission SUP shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Commission. However, in the event that a contested case hearing preceded issuance of said State Land Use Commission SUP, a public hearing shall be held upon due published notice, including actual written notice to the last known addresses of parties to said contested case and their counsel.

Section 2, Condition No. 3 of Ordinance No. 3966 of the CP provides as follows:

That the Conditional Permit shall be nontransferable, unless the Council approves the transfer by ordinance.

In accordance with the aforementioned conditions, Ameron respectfully requests transfer of its SUP and CP to Ameron Hawaii, LLC. Upon the transfer of the permits to Ameron Hawaii, LLC, Ameron Hawaii, LLC will be responsible for compliance with all terms and conditions specified in the conditions of approval for the SUP and CP.

It is noted that the Maui Planning Commission approved the SUP without a contested case hearing. Accordingly, pursuant to Condition No. 3 of the SUP, Ameron respectfully requests the Maui Planning Commission review of the permit transfer request without holding a public hearing. We also understand that under certain circumstances the Director of the Department of Planning has the discretion to approve certain transfers relating to CPs without a hearing before the County Council.

Pursuant to Maui County Code Chapter 19.40.080, Conditions, amendments, modifications:

The planning director may approve amendments to the conditional permit if the amendments are not substantive and do not result in significant impacts above what would result from the approved conditional permit. Before approving any such amendments, the planning director shall notify
the respective planning commission of the proposed non-substantive amendments. The commission may review the proposed non-substantive amendments and take action or waive review.

In this regard, we respectfully request consideration that the transfer of the CP be approved by the Director of the Department of Planning.

In order to facilitate your review of this request, we attach herewith a completed application form for an Amendment to Permit Terms, Conditions, and Time Stipulation. See Exhibit “C”.

Under the Purchase Agreement, Pohaku's acquisition of Ameron's Hawaii business is planned for the Spring of 2014. Accordingly, we would appreciate whatever the Department can do to process the transfer requests as expeditiously as possible.

On behalf of Ameron, thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Erin Mukai, Senior Associate

EM:la
Enclosures
cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
    Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
    Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et al. (w/enclosures)
    Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP (w/enclosures)
William Spence, Director  
Department of Planning  
County of Maui  
Attention: Paul Fasi  
2200 Main Street, Suite 315  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Authorization of Continued Operations Pending Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii, Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant, Honokowai, Maui, Hawaii (TMK (2)4-4-001:108 (por.) and (2)4-4-003:001 (por.)); (SUP2 2011/0002; CP 2011/0003)

Dear Mr. Spence:

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this letter request for authorization of continued operations. Please note that this letter supersedes and replaces our letter of March 19, 2014.

We submit this letter to respectfully request confirmation that the Planning Department has no objection to the continued operation of the concrete batching plant in Honokowai, Maui pursuant to State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit ("SUP") (SUP2 2011/0002) and County Conditional Permit ("CP") (CP 2011/0003) pending approval of the request to transfer made by letter dated March 13, 2014.

It would be appreciated if you could please indicate consent by countersigning below and return a copy of the letter to our office following execution.
William Spence, Director  
April 10, 2014  
Page 2  

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Erin Mukai, Senior Associate

CONSENT:

[Signature]  
William Spence, Director  
Maui County Planning Department

4-16-14  
Date

EM:la

cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii  
Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii  
Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et al.  
Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP
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William Spence, Director  
Planning Department  
County of Maui  
2200 Main Street, Suite 315  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii, Kihei Concrete Batching Plant, Kihei, Maui, Hawaii; (TMK (2)3-8-004:002 (por.)); (SUP2 2005/0001; CP 2005/0001)

Dear Mr. Spence:

Ameron International Corporation, a Delaware corporation based in Houston and doing business as Ameron Hawaii ("Ameron"), currently holds a State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit ("SUP") (SUP2 2005/0001) and County Conditional Permit ("CP") (CP 2005/0001) to operate a concrete batching plant in Kihei, Maui. The batching plant site is located on approximately 2.002 acres of land identified by TMK (2)3-8-004:002(por.) and leased from Alexander & Baldwin, Inc.

Ameron has entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with Pohaku Pa'a, LLC ("Pohaku") providing for the sale to Pohaku of Ameron's entire Hawaii business which involves manufacturing and supplying ready-mix concrete and aggregates to the construction market in the State of Hawaii. Pohaku is a Hawaii limited liability company owned by Bill D. Mills, the founder and chairman of The Mills Group, a Hawaii based company.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Ameron intends to transfer the assets, liabilities and operations of its Hawaii Division to a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary, Ameron Hawaii, LLC. SUP2 2005/0001 and CP 2005/0001 are among the assets which Ameron intends to transfer to Ameron Hawaii, LLC. Ameron will then sell all of the membership interests in Ameron Hawaii, LLC, to Pohaku. No significant changes in management, personnel or operations are being contemplated. Ameron Hawaii will continue to operate its business in the ordinary course following the acquisition by Pohaku.

March 13, 2014
The Maui Planning Commission approved the SUP at its meeting held on June 28, 2005. Enclosed herewith as Exhibit “A” is a copy of the Department of Planning’s SUP approval letter dated July 5, 2005. Most recently, the Maui Planning Commission approved a time extension request to the SUP at its meeting held on June 26, 2012. Enclosed herewith as Exhibit “B” is a copy of the Department of Planning’s SUP approval letter dated July 6, 2012.

The CP was approved by the Maui County Council by Ordinance No. 3330, Bill No. 88, effective November 7, 2005. A copy of Ordinance No. 3330 is enclosed herewith as Exhibit “C”. Subsequently, the CP was extended by Ordinance No. 4015, Bill No. 11, effective March 4, 2013. A copy of Ordinance No. 4015 is enclosed as Exhibit “D”.

Standard Condition No. 3 of the July 6, 2012 SUP approval letter provides as follows:

That the subject State Land Use Commission SUP shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Commission. However, in the event that a contested case hearing preceded issuance of said State Land Use Commission SUP, a public hearing shall be held by the appropriate Commission upon due published notice, including actual written notice to the last known addresses of parties to said contested case and their counsel.

Section 3, Condition No. 3 of Ordinance No. 3330, as amended by Section 2 of Ordinance No. 4015, provides as follows:

That the Conditional Permit shall be nontransferable unless the Council approves the transfer by ordinance.

In accordance with the aforementioned conditions, Ameron respectfully requests transfer of its SUP and CP to Ameron Hawaii, LLC. Upon the transfer of the permits to Ameron Hawaii, LLC, Ameron Hawaii, LLC will be responsible for compliance with all terms and conditions specified in the conditions of approval for the SUP and CP.

It is noted that the Maui Planning Commission approved the SUP without a contested case hearing. Accordingly, pursuant to Condition No. 3 of the SUP, Ameron respectfully requests the Maui Planning Commission review of the permit transfer request without holding a public hearing. We also understand that under certain circumstances the Director of the Department of Planning has the discretion to approve certain transfers relating to CPs without a hearing before the County Council.
Pursuant to Maui County Code Chapter 19.40.080, Conditions, amendments, modifications:

The planning director may approve amendments to the conditional permit if the amendments are not substantive and do not result in significant impacts above what would result from the approved conditional permit. Before approving any such amendments, the planning director shall notify the respective planning commission of the proposed non-substantive amendments. The commission may review the proposed non-substantive amendments and take action or waive review.

In this regard, we respectfully request consideration that the transfer of the CP be approved by the Director of the Department of Planning.

In order to facilitate your review of this permit transfer request, we attach herewith a completed application form for an Amendment to Permit Terms, Conditions, and Time Stipulation. See Exhibit "E".

Under the Purchase Agreement, Pohaku's acquisition of Ameron's Hawaii business is planned for the Spring of 2014. Accordingly, we would appreciate whatever the Department can do to process the transfer requests as expeditiously as possible.

On behalf of Ameron, thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Erin Mukai, Senior Associate

EM:la
Enclosures
cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
    Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
    Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et al. (w/enclosures)
    Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP (w/enclosures)
William Spence, Director
Department of Planning
County of Maui
Attention: Paul Fasi
2200 Main Street, Suite 315
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Authorization of Continued Operations Pending Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii, Kihei Concrete Batching Plant, Kihei, Maui, Hawaii (TMK (2)3-8-004:002 (por.)); (SUP2 2005/0001; CP 2005/0001)

Dear Mr. Spence:

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this letter request for authorization of continued operations. Please note that this letter supersedes and replaces our letter of March 19, 2014.

We submit this letter to respectfully request confirmation that the Planning Department has no objection to the continued operation of the concrete batching plant in Kihei, Maui pursuant to State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit (“SUP”) (SUP2 2005/0001) and County Conditional Permit (“CP”) (CP 2005/0001) pending approval of the request to transfer made by letter dated March 13, 2014.

It would be appreciated if you could please indicate consent by countersigning below and return a copy of the letter to our office following execution.
Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Erin Mukai, Senior Associate

CONSENT:

[Signature]

William Spence, Director
Maui County Planning Department

EM:ia
cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii
    Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii
    Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et al.
    Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP

A-16-14
Date
William Spence, Director
Planning Department
Attention: Paul Fasi
County of Maui
2200 Main Street, Suite 315
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation,
dba Ameron Hawaii Puunene Quarry, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii;
(TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.); (2)3-8-003:004 (por.); (2)3-8-003:020
(port.); and (2)3-8-003:021 (por.)); (SP77-271; CP 2008/0005; CUP
2001/0001)

Dear Mr. Spence:

As you know, by letter dated March 13, 2014, our office submitted to the Department of
Planning, a request to transfer a State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit
(“SUP”) (SP77-271) and County Conditional Permit (“CP”) (CP 2008/0005) currently
under Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii (“Ameron”) to Ameron
Hawaii, LLC for its Puunene Quarry, also known as Camp 10.

We hereby submit this letter to amend the March 13, 2014 permit transfer request to
include transfer of Ameron’s County Special Use Permit (“CUP”) (CUP 2001/0001)
which was originally granted by the Maui Planning Commission at its meeting held on
June 26, 2001. Attached herewith as Exhibit “A” is a copy of the Department of
Planning’s CUP approval letter dated June 28, 2001. Most recently, the Maui Planning
Commission approved a request for time extension and amendment to the boundaries
of the CUP at its meeting on October 28, 2008. Attached herewith as Exhibit “B” is a
copy of the Department of Planning’s approval letter dated November 17, 2008,
amending the CUP. It is noted that the approval letter dated November 17, 2008 makes
reference to TMK (2)3-8-003:004 (por.), however, the boundaries of the CUP includes
TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.), (2)3-8-003:004 (por.), 020 (por.), and 021 (por.), as noted in
the staff report prepared by the Department of Planning for the October 28, 2008 Maui
Planning Commission meeting. A copy of the Department of Planning’s staff report is provided herewith as Exhibit “C”.

Condition No. 3 of the CUP approval letter dated November 17, 2008 provides as follows:

That the County Special Use Permit shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Commission.

In accordance with the above noted condition, Ameron respectfully requests transfer of its CUP, together with its SUP (SP77-271) and CP (CP 2008/0005) to Ameron Hawaii, LLC. As noted in our letter dated March 13, 2014, upon transfer of the permits to Ameron Hawaii, LLC, Ameron Hawaii, LLC will be responsible for compliance with all terms and conditions specified in the conditions of approval for the CUP, as well as the SUP and CP.

On behalf of Ameron, thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

Erin Mukai, Senior Associate

EM:la
Enclosures
cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
    Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
    Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et. al (w/enclosures)
    Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP (w/enclosures)
    Riley Hakoda, State Land Use Commission (w/enclosures)
William Spence, Director  
Department of Planning  
County of Maui  
Attention: Paul Fasi  
2200 Main Street, Suite 315  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Authorization of Continued Operations Pending Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii, Puunene Quarry, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii (TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.); (2)3-8-003:004 (por.); (2)3-8-003:020 (por.); and (2)3-8-003:021 (por.); (SP77-271; CUP 2001/0001, CP 2008/0005)

Dear Mr. Spence:

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this letter request for authorization of continued operations. Please note that this letter supersedes and replaces our letter of March 19, 2014.

We submit this letter to respectfully request confirmation that the Planning Department has no objection to the continued quarry, concrete batching, asphalt production, and concrete casting operations in Puunene, Maui pursuant to State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit ("SUP") (SP77-271), County Special Use Permit ("CUP") (CUP 2001/0001), and County Conditional Permit ("CP") (CP 2008/0005) pending approval of the request to transfer made by letter dated March 13, 2014, as amended by the letter dated April 7, 2014.

It would be appreciated if you could please indicate consent by countersigning below and return a copy of the letter to our office following execution.
William Spence, Director  
April 10, 2014  
Page 2

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Erin Mukai, Senior Associate

CONSENT:

[Signature]

William Spence, Director  
Maui County Planning Department

A-16-14  
Date

cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii  
    Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii  
    Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et al.  
    Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP

X:\DATA\Cades Schutte\Ameron General\DP Continuation Operations\Auth\Presanti\Quarry fr REV2.docx
William Spence, Director
Planning Department
County of Maui
2200 Main Street, Suite 315
Wailuku, Hawai‘i 96793

SUBJECT: Request for Permit Transfer of Ameron International Corporation, dba Ameron Hawaii, Puunene Quarry, Puunene, Maui, Hawaii; (TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.); (2)3-8-003:004 (por.); (2)3-8-003:020 (por.); and (2)3-8-003:021 (por.); (SP77-271; CP 2008/0005)

Dear Mr. Spence:

Ameron International Corporation, a Delaware corporation based in Houston and doing business as Ameron Hawaii ("Ameron"), currently operates its quarry, concrete batching, asphalt production, and concrete casting operations at its Puunene facility (also known as "Camp 10" and "Puunene Quarry") on lands leased from Alexander & Baldwin, LLC.

In order to operate its quarry and related uses in Puunene, Ameron holds a State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit ("SUP") (SP77-271) for TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.), (2)3-8-003:004 (por.), 020 (por.), and 021 (por.). For its concrete batching, asphalt production, and concrete casting operations on TMK (2)3-8-001:001 (por.), (2)3-8-003:004 (por.), and 021 (por.), Ameron holds a County Conditional Permit ("CP") (CP 2008/0005).

Ameron has entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with Pohaku Pa'a, LLC ("Pohaku") providing for the sale to Pohaku of Ameron's entire Hawaii business which involves manufacturing and supplying ready-mix concrete and aggregates to the construction market in the State of Hawaii. Pohaku is a Hawaii limited liability company owned by Bill D. Mills, the founder and chairman of The Mills Group, a Hawaii based company.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Ameron intends to transfer the assets, liabilities and operations of its Hawaii Division to a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary,
William Spence, Director  
March 13, 2014  
Page 2

Ameron Hawaii, LLC. SP77-271 and CP2008/0005 are among the assets which Ameron intends to transfer to Ameron Hawaii, LLC. Ameron will then sell all of the membership interests in Ameron Hawaii, LLC, to Pohaku. No significant changes in management, personnel or operations are being contemplated. Ameron Hawaii will continue to operate its business in the ordinary course following the acquisition by Pohaku.

The State Land Use Commission ("LUC") approved SP77-271 at its meeting held on August 24, 1977. Attached herewith as Exhibit "A" is a letter dated August 31, 1977 providing a summary of the LUC's approval sent by Gordon Furutani, Executive Officer of the LUC to the Maui Planning Commission. Attached herewith as Exhibit "B" is the Maui Planning Commission's July 20, 1977 letter recommending approval of SP77-271. It is noted that SP77-271 has subsequently been amended six times. We have attached herewith copies of the Third and Sixth amendments, which are the amendments relevant to this request, as Exhibits "C" and "D", respectively.

Condition No. 4 of the Third Amendment of SP77-271 provides as follows:

_The subject Land Use Commission Special Permit shall not be transferred without the prior written approval of the Land Use Commission. The Maui Planning Commission shall make a recommendation to the Land Use Commission. However, in the event that a contested case hearing preceded issuance of said Land Use Commission Special Permit, a public hearing shall be held by the Maui Planning Commission upon due published notice, including actual written notice to the last known addresses of parties to said contested case and their counsel._

Separately, the CP was approved by Ordinance No. 3757, Bill No. 44, effective July 27, 2010 ("Ordinance No. 3757"), a copy of which is attached herewith as Exhibit "E".

Section 2, Condition No. 3 of Ordinance No. 3757 of the CP provides as follows:

_That the Conditional Permit shall be nontransferable, unless the Council approves the transfer by ordinance._

In accordance with the aforementioned conditions, Ameron respectfully requests transfer of its SUP and CP to Ameron Hawaii, LLC. Upon the transfer of the permits to Ameron Hawaii, LLC, Ameron Hawaii, LLC will be responsible for compliance with all terms and conditions specified in the conditions of approval for the SUP and CP.

We understand that the LUC approved SP77-271 without a contested case hearing. Accordingly, pursuant to Condition No. 4 of SP77-271, Ameron respectfully requests
that the Maui Planning Commission issue its recommendation to the LUC regarding the transfer of SP77-271 to Ameron Hawaii, LLC without a public hearing. We also understand that under certain circumstances the Director of the Department of Planning has the discretion to approve certain transfers relating to CPs without a hearing before the County Council.

Pursuant to Maui County Code Chapter 19.40.080, Conditions, amendments, modifications:

   The planning director may approve amendments to the conditional permit if the amendments are not substantive and do not result in significant impacts above what would result from the approved conditional permit. Before approving any such amendments, the planning director shall notify the respective planning commission of the proposed non-substantive amendments. The commission may review the proposed non-substantive amendments and take action or waive review.

In this regard, we respectfully request consideration that the transfer of the CP be approved by the Director of the Department of Planning.

In order to facilitate your review of this request, we attach herewith a completed application form for an Amendment to Permit Terms, Conditions, and Time Stipulation. See Exhibit “F”.

Under the Purchase Agreement, Pohaku’s acquisition of Ameron’s Hawaii business is planned for the Spring of 2014. Accordingly, we would appreciate whatever the Department can do to process the transfer requests as expeditiously as possible.
On behalf of Ameron, thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 244-2015.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Efin Mukai, Senior Associate

EM:la
Enclosures
cc: Eric Yoshizawa, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
    Linda Goldstein, Ameron Hawaii (w/enclosures)
    Joel Kam, Esq., Schneider Tanaka et al. (w/enclosures)
    Calvert G. Chipchase, Cades Schutte, LLP (w/enclosures)
    Riley Hakoda, State Land Use Commission (w/enclosures)
7. Department Approval Letter, Dated June 18, 2014, to the Applicant’s Consultant
June 18, 2014

Ms. Erin Mukai, Senior Associate
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.
305 High Street, Suite 104
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Ms. Mukai:

SUBJECT: MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL OF AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION’S (DBA AMERON HAWAII) REQUEST FOR PERMIT TRANSFERS TO AMERON HAWAII, LLC; TMKS: (2) 4-4-001:108 AND (2) 4-4-003:001 (SUP2 2011/0002)

At its regular meeting on June 10, 2014, the Maui Planning Commission (Commission) unanimously approved the title transfer of all permits under the County’s jurisdiction to Ameron Hawaii, LLC and recommended approval to the State Land Use Commission of its Special Permit, Docket No. SP77-271. The affected permits are:

Approved for title transfer by the Commission:

- SUP2 2011/0002 Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant
- SUP2 2005/0001 Kihei Concrete Batching Plant
- CUP 2001/0001 Puunene Quarry
- CP 2011/0003 Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant
- CP 2008/0005 Puunene Quarry
- CP 2005/0001 Kihei Concrete Batching Plant

We note that the Commission waived review of (CP 2011/0003), (CP 2008/0005) and (CP 2005/0001), pursuant to Chapter 19.40.080(D) of the Maui County Code, thereby allowing the Planning Director (Director) to approve the transfer. Accordingly, the title transfer of said conditional permits to Ameron Hawaii, LLC, is hereby approved.

Recommendation of Approval for title transfer to Ameron Hawaii, LLC, by the Commission to the State Land Use Commission:

Docket No. SP77-271 Puunene Quarry
Thank you for your cooperation. If additional clarification is required, please contact Staff Planner Paul Fasi at paul.fasi@mauicounty.gov or at (808) 270-7814.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM SPENCE
Planning Director

xc: Clayton I. Yoshida, AICP, Planning Program Administrator (PDF)
    John S. Rapacz, Planning Program Administrator (PDF)
    Paul F. Fasi, Staff Planner (PDF)
    State Land Use Commission (PDF)
    Development Services Administration
    Project File
    General File

K:\WP_DOCS\PLANNING\SUP2011\0002_AmeronHonokowalConcrete\MPCappvl.doc
8. Department Amended Approval Letter, Dated June 20, 2014, to the Applicant’s Consultant
Ms. Erin Mukai, Senior Associate  
Munekiyo & Hiraga, Inc.  
305 High Street, Suite 104  
Wailuku, Hawaii 96793

Dear Ms. Mukai:

SUBJECT: MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION AMENDED APPROVAL OF AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION'S (DBA AMERON HAWAII) REQUEST FOR PERMIT TRANSFERS TO AMERON HAWAII, LLC;

At its regular meeting on June 10, 2014, the Maui Planning Commission (Commission) unanimously approved the title transfer of all permits under the County's jurisdiction to Ameron Hawaii, LLC and recommended approval to the State Land Use Commission of its Special Permit, Docket No. SP77-271. The affected permits are:

Approved for title transfer by the Commission:

SUP2 2011/0002  Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant  
SUP2 2005/0001  Kihei Concrete Batching Plant  
CUP 2001/0001  Puunene Quarry  
CP 2011/0003  Honokowai Concrete Batching Plant  
CP 2008/0005  Puunene Quarry  
CP 2005/0001  Kihei Concrete Batching Plant

We note that the Commission waived review of (CP 2011/0003), (CP 2008/0005) and (CP 2005/0001), pursuant to Chapter 19.40.080(D) of the Maui County Code, thereby allowing the Planning Director (Director) to approve the transfer. Accordingly, the title transfer of said conditional permits to Ameron Hawaii, LLC, is hereby approved.

Recommendation of Approval for title transfer to Ameron Hawaii, LLC by the Commission to the State Land Use Commission:

Docket No. SP77-271 Puunene Quarry
Ms. Erin Mukai, Senior Associate
June 20, 2014
Page 2

Thank you for your cooperation. If additional clarification is required, please contact Staff Planner Paul Fasi at paul.fasi@mauicounty.gov or at (808) 270-7814.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

WILLIAM SPENCE
Planning Director

xc: Clayton I. Yoshida, AICP, Planning Program Administrator (PDF)
    John S. Rapacz, Planning Program Administrator (PDF)
    Paul F. Fasi, Staff Planner (PDF)
    State Land Use Commission (PDF)
    Development Services Administration
    Project File
    General File

K:\WP_DOCS\PLANNING\SUP2\2011\0002_AmericanHonokowaiConcreteMPCappvl.doc
9. Maui Planning Commission Regular Minutes of June 10, 2014; Approved by the Maui Planning Commission at their Meeting of July 8, 2014
A. CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting of the Maui Planning Commission was called to order by Chairperson Ivan Lay at approximately 9:10 a.m., Tuesday, June 10, 2014, Planning Conference Room, First Floor, Kalana Pauki Building, 250 South High Street, Wailuku, Maui.

A quorum of the Commission was present. (See Record of Attendance.)

Chairperson Lay: ...bore quorum today. It's June 10th and it's about 10 after 9:00. We're gonna open up with public testimony right now. So if anyone wishes to testify on an agenda item that's coming up because they have to leave early, please step up to the mic and you have three minutes to testify. But remember if you do testify at this time, you'll be unable to testify when the agenda item does come before us later on. We have Chris Thivenard do you wish to testify at this time?

B. PUBLIC TESTIMONY - At the discretion of the Chair, public testimony may also be taken when each agenda item is discussed, except for contested cases under Chapter 91, HRS. Individuals who cannot be present when the agenda item is discussed may testify at the beginning of the meeting instead and will not be allowed to testify again when the agenda item is discussed unless new or additional information will be offered.

The following individuals testified at the beginning of the meeting:

Christine Thivenard - item F-2, Unfinished Business, Ms. Ann Fricke, STPH
Dave DeLeon - item C-1, Public Hearing, Council Resolution 14-15

Their testimony can be found under the item on which they testified on.

Chairperson Lay: Does anyone else wish to testify at this time? Seeing no one, public testimony is closed and moving onto our first agenda item.

Mr. Spence: Good morning, Commissioners. We're at Item No. C-1, Public Hearing, and this is moi, transmitting a Council Resolution No. 14-15 referring to Planning Commission's proposed bill to amend Sections 19.12.020 and 19.37.010 and Staff this morning is Mr. Joseph Alueta.

C. PUBLIC HEARINGS (Action to be taken after each public hearing.)

1. MR. WILLIAM SPENCE, Planning Director, transmitting Council Resolution No. 14-15 Referring to the Lanai, Maui, and Molokai Planning Commissions a proposed bill to amend Sections 19.12.020 and 19.37.010, Maui County Code, pertaining to Transient Vacation Rentals in the Apartment District. (J. Alueta)

Mr. Joe Alueta: Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Joe Alueta. I'm Administrative Planning Officer. Quick refresher on the process of how you amend Title 19. There's two methodologies. One is a Director or Administrative initiated in which I would come before you with the Department's proposal to amend Title 19. The other methodology is via Reso from the Maui County Council in which by Charter it requires that the three commissions review all of the changes being proposed before transmitting back to the County Council. As in with both methodologies, you are making a recommendation to the County Council on a proposed bill.

Today we have a resolution that was initiated by the Maui County Council. I believe at the request of the...as the Real Estate Agents of Maui to clarify this. I've kinda outlined, I'm not gonna read my report verbatim. It's pretty short. I'm sure all of you have spent many nights reading this report. It's pretty straightforward. There was a bill back in 1989 that clarified on and restricted the use of apartments to being long-term rentals, okay. They made it clear that these long-term rentals that this ordinance that restricted units to being long-term occupancy whether rented or lived in did not apply to existing structures or projects that either had a building permit for that structure, a Planned Unit Development, right, heard that term before, and we've seen it mentioned several times before recently, but Planned Unit Development, and/or it was a Special Management...a valid Special Management Area Permit for that structure. So the Department has been administering that change in the law or that exclusion I guess from the requirement in the law that structures within the Apartment District be used for long-term occupancy only. And we basically, every time somebody comes in, we go through and research when was this structure built? Was it built prior to this date? Did it have a valid building permit or SMA or Planned Unit Development?

So this law basically clarifies, it doesn't change any methodology...doesn't change anything within our Department as far as processing. If somebody comes to get clarity, can they do a short-term rental on this property? We would then research to see whether or not this property was built, had an SMA or was in the Planned Unit Development project and was zoned...and this again, for only Apartment zoned lands.

We also take it as, in the enforcement of it is that you can't take...if you have the right to do a short-term rental in an apartment building you can convert short to long-term at any time. You cannot expand the short-term rental meaning if you have...currently have a 12-unit apartment complex and you want to add on another 10 units, you can add the 10 units if your density allows but those units would not be allowed to be converted to short-term rental, okay. If the building burnt down in Apartment District, you would be rebuilding with a brand new building permit, you would not be able to continue the short-term rental operation, okay. If you were in a Planned Unit Development and it was zoned Apartment and the structure burnt down, right, you could rebuild and continue the short-term rental because as long as it showed on the site plan in that Planned Unit Development that those were, those apartment units were located there on the property. Okay, so there's a slight difference and I explained it in my staff report how we interpret each one of those.

So we also do not allow for...the way we interpret this ordinance and some people have asked for this to be clarified within the ordinance, some of our Staff Planners is that if the use or the short-term use of a short-term rental can only be for habitable structures. So if you have an old building, an old apartment and there is an office or reception area, you cannot convert that reception area to a short-term rental. Or if you had a laundry facility, a building or storage, you could not convert that to short-term rental even thought it was built prior to 1989. It only applies to habitable structures at the time. That's how we, the Department has, and some people have asked that that be clarified within this bill also.
Again, as indicated all this does is make it clear within 19.12 which is the Apartment District under what conditions and basically tolerates what’s said...the exclusion that was done in 1966 under that previous resolution.

The Department also is recommending that 19.32 on the Planned Unit Developments and if some of you may remember we had a recent amendment, you know, basically it’s called the Puamana Bill that amended to make it clear that short-term rentals were allowed within Planned Unit Developments and if pretty much applied to I believe only Puamana at the time. And that’s on our Page 2 of the memo report and incorporates that Puamana Bill but also incorporates the language that’s occurring here for the exception on Apartment zoned property. So that’s the Department’s recommendation. We are recommending supportive of the bill but we’re also recommending a small amendment be also be done to 19.32 to be clear. So again, there’s three areas of the Code that is currently being proposed. The Council is making recommendations that 19.12 which is the Apartment District to be amended to clarify on short-term use within older apartment buildings, 19.37 which is the restriction on TVRs that also be amendment, but also we’re recommending that 19.32 also be amended as an add-on to the Council’s bill. And that’s pretty much all I have. Is there any questions?

a) Public Hearing

Chairperson Lay: At this time, I’m gonna open up to public testimony. Does anyone wish to testify on this agenda item?

The testimony was received at the beginning of the meeting:

Chairperson Lay: We have David DeLeon.

Mr. David DeLeon: Aloha, I’m Dave DeLeon representing the Realtor’s Association of Maui on item No. 14-15. This proposal is about notice and clarity in Chapter 19 of the County Code. Its goal is to make it clear that the Code...that in the Code both short-term and long-term uses are allowed in certain Apartment zoned properties that have been entitled to those land uses since 1966. You refer to these properties as Minatoya properties because in 2001, Corporation Counsel opinion by Richard Minatoya reconfirmed this entitlement for these properties. This proposed action is not about new entitlements or changing any existing entitlements. It will not take away or give any new entitlements or rights to any property. If you’re short-term, if you’re currently allowed to do short-term in Apartment zoned on that property you will continue to do, and if you’re not allowed to do short-term after this you will continue to do. Likewise, the condominium CCR’s will not be affected by this action. So in a sense this is a housekeeping measure and we basically see a puka in the law and we seek...see it for clarity and for notice purposes to fix it. So that’s why we’re bothering with this.

Resort grade condominiums are a key real estate commodity in Maui County. Real estate agents handle these transactions on a daily basis. One of the concerns raised by buyers is when they look in the County Code under the Apartment zoning, they do not see the short-term rental or resort uses permitted. When they would seek clarity from the Department, depending on when in the last two decades they asked, they got different answers. That’s because the actual history of this issue has gotten buried over time and because the County Code does not address it. We believe it's important to address this issue because it clarifies the status of over 53 condominiums, condominium properties in Maui County. They amount to one-third of the County's condominium stock and includes thousands of units. Because of their allowed short-term use, these properties actually generate more vacation related business than our hotels do. As such there are an important element of our visitor economy and a large part of Maui County's property tax base. They're such an important element of economy we believe it's imperative that the status is clearly stated in the County Code.

The history of this issue is well-known and documented but 50 years from now these relatively obscure actions of a County Council in 1969--

Ms. Takayama-Corden: Three minutes.

Mr. DeLeon: --may not be so easy to research and document. RAM believes it important to clearly set out in the County Code the status of this important element of our economy for the buyers, departments, and anybody else in the public can easily ascertain these allowed land uses.

Chairperson Lay: Please conclude.

Mr. DeLeon: As noted, this is about notice and clarity. Mahalo.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioners, any questions for the testifier? Seeing none, thank you very much.

Mr. DeLeon: Thank you.

This concludes the testimony received at the beginning of the hearing.

Chairperson Lay: Seeing no one, public testimony is closed. Commissioners, questions?

Mr. Ball: You know, you were talking about if it burned down or whatever, that doesn’t apply to a single unit though, right? Let’s say there was a fire at--

Mr. Alueta: No. If they wanted to renovate.

Mr. Ball: Kamaole Sands or something, and it was just a single unit that was totally gutted. So that would not...and then they rebuild it, that wouldn’t apply to?

Mr. Alueta: Yeah, I think Kamaole Sands is zoned Hotel.

Mr. Ball: Huh?

Mr. Alueta: Isn’t it zoned Hotel?

Mr. Ball: I think it’s Apartment.
Mr. Alieta: Oh, it is.

Mr. Ball: But in your scenario let's say a single unit was.

Mr. Alieta: Right. No, it would have to be the whole structure. So repair and maintenance would still be allowed under it. It's just if there was a hurricane or—

Mr. Ball: Remodel and all that kind of stuff?

Mr. Alieta: Yeah, correct.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Ball?

Mr. Ball: Under the long-term definition, I don't know if we wanna address this now, but most of the long-term rentals become semi illegal because they go to month to month and under the definition of long-term it has to be six months or longer?

Mr. Alieta: Yeah, 180 days I believe is the term that's required. I think the way the County has viewed it is like, you know, if there's a six-month lease that's pretty much gonna cover them. Some people have like if you have a long-term rental say you start out with a one-year lease and then you already had that one year and then they kinda roll over into a month to month, that's normally considered acceptable because of the—

Mr. Ball: Previous.

Mr. Alieta: —because they already had been occupying it for more than 180 days already. I don't... I think it's if you start out with trying to rent it as a month to month only and signed leases for a month to month, but most people, and as a realtor you know the loophole has always been you sign a six-month lease. So that's, that what's, that's what this law was done, was trying to in 1989 they clarified that in the Apartment District the use had to be a long-term rental.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioners, any more questions? Seeing none, thank you. Can we get the Department's recommendation at this time?

b) Action

Mr. Alieta: We are recommending support for the proposed amendment with the inclusion of the Department's addition of amending 19.32. So No. 2, approval with the proviso with amendments to the Maui County Council.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Ball?

Mr. Ball: Motion to approve as recommended by the Staff.

Mr. Medeiros: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Ball, seconded by Commissioner Medeiros. Any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, we'll call for the vote. Oh, let's get the Department's... motion.

Mr. Spence: The motion is to recommend approval to the County Council.

Chairperson Lay: Call for the vote. All those in favor?

Mr. Spence: That's five ayes.

Chairperson Lay: Motion carries. Thank you.

Mr. Alieta: Thank you very much.

It was moved by Mr. Ball, seconded by Mr. Medeiros, then

VOTED: To Recommend Approval of the Proposed Bill to the County Council with Amendments,

(Asenting - K. Ball, J. Medeiros, J. Freitas, M. Tsai, I. Lay)

(Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvauchelle, R. Higashi)

Mr. Spence: Commissioners, the second public hearing item. Ms. Paula Daian. I'm trying to pronounce her name right.

Ms. Callentine: Paula Daian believe is how she pronounces it. Will.

Mr. Spence: Okay, requesting a Short-Term Rental Home Permit in Paia and our Staff Planner this morning is Ms. Livit Callentine.

2. MS, PAULA DAIAN requesting a Short-Term Rental Home Permit in order to operate the Marin House Short-Term Rental Home located in the R-1 Residential District at 165 Maoli Place, TMK: 2-6-065: 061, Paia, Island of Maui. (STPH T2013(06)18) (L. Callentine)

Ms. Livit Callentine: Good morning, Commissioners. Livit Callentine for the Maui Planning Department. What I just handed to you with a notation that it's for item C-2 is a letter from a Ms. Tina Hoening, who lives in Maui Lani in Kahului. So she has expressed concern about this particular short-term rental, but she doesn't appear to have a property within 500 feet nor even in the same community. So I'm not quite sure what her connection to the property is and then the second letter is on the backside of that same letter and this is a letter from Mr. Paul McLean dated June 9th, received yesterday and is a follow up to his earlier letter of August 12, 2013 and he expressed some concerns about a gazebo on the property that is not shown on the site plan. And people using the gazebo and causing disturbances in the early morning and late evening. I had the opportunity to speak at length with the applicant last night and also with Mr. McLean and I believe we have come to a resolution on this issue which I can probably present to you in...as we go through the application a little bit. But just to let you know these have been passed out and that there has been further, further discussion on them which I will share with you.
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So this is a three-bedroom, a proposal for a three-bedroom short-term rental home. Molii Place is a cul de sac of similar older single-family homes on smaller sized lots. This lot is 6,365 square feet. That's the common size in that area.

There is one permitted short-term rental home operation within 500 feet of the property which is why you are reviewing it today. That one is at 33 Kupono Street. As of the date of this report there are between 20 and 30 short-term rental home operations in the community plan region. I actually was not able to verify whether it was 20 or 30 and it can change day to day depending upon what other planners' working on an approval that I don't happen to know about. So approximately 32 percent of the 88 permits that have been the number of maximum number of short term rental home permits in this community plan region have been granted.

You can see in Exhibit, Exhibit 5 shows you all of the short-term rental home permits and proposed permits and the B&B's all show Exhibit 5 if you haven't had a chance to look at that yet.

The application meets the restrictions and standards of Title 19, Chapter 19.65.060 and the criteria that you use to review this type of application are as you know, first the number and distance from the subject parcel to other permitted short-term rentals and as I mentioned there's one approved short-term rental within the 500-foot which you can see on Exhibit 6.

The number and substance of protests for the short-term rental home application and protests related to the cumulative short-term homes in the larger neighborhood or area. So there are two protests that have been received and a second letter from one of the two protests initially. This Mr. McLean lives directly behind and abutting this property and his neighborhood is a zero lot line neighborhood. So he's actually placed his rear of his house about six feet from his rear property line. This gazebo that he raises an issue about is approximately seven feet from the adjoining property line on the property that is the subject of this short-term rental home permit. It is not the gazebo. Mr. McLean was correct this was not shown on the site plan. I received an amended site plan this morning. I have that to show you. And if you look at Exhibit 8 that is...shows you a testimony map. Also on Exhibit 8 within the 500-foot boundary there's another property by an owner named Greg Mebel who...it's not shown on the map 'cause I just got the letter this morning but it is a letter of support which will go into the record.

Mr. Ball: Where does that person live?

Ms. Callentine: I wish I had a...if you look at the loop road that is in the center of this subdivision, it's up on the boundary. Can you see this at all this dark black square?

Mr. Ball: Uh huh.

Ms. Callentine: Okay, here's right up here. So in short, I spoke at length as I said to Mr. McLean. By the way the applicant is here along with her sister and she doesn't really wish to say anything unless you have any questions for her. She did ask me to convey that the, the reason that she wants this short-term rental home permit is that she and her husband has an extensive family that live all over outside of the state of Hawaii and even outside of the country and they come to visit frequently. She's got young kids and her father wants to come and visit his grand kids. And so this home is primarily a home for her family to visit. And when they are not visiting, she would like to be able to rent the home. That's why a B&B wouldn't actually work for her because she doesn't live in this home. But if she...also if she, if she were to rent out this home long-term rental it wouldn't be available for her family and it would dissuade the family from being to spend time together. So I wish to convey that on her behalf. So, Ms. Dalian is willing to remove the gazebo and the other thing that Mr. McLean referred to was the barbeque grills that had been installed and were right along the property line and that people were congregating and speaking there. So she is perfectly willing to remove both the gazebo and the barbeque grill which I have an amended site plan which I can show you. So this would be as it existing. And in addition to her providing that site plan this morning she also wrote a letter which is dated today to Mr. McLean and she is reiterating how much she wants to be a good neighbor that she...and I believe her. I've talked with her at length. She has no desire to have any disharmony in the neighborhood and wants nothing but the best between her and her neighbors. So, I have both this letter and the letter from Greg Mebel to distribute to you. So I'm gonna do the same thing which is I'm gonna pass 'em around as two separate piles and ask that you take one of each.

Ms. Dalian had accounted, recounted to me last night when I called her as soon as I got this second letter from Mr. McLean, I was surprised because I thought that all the issues had been resolved. So I called her right away. She was very responsive. She explained that she had no idea that this gazebo was causing a problem. She had invited...she had gone to see, personally gone to see Mr. McLean shortly after I provided her with his letter and she said that she spoke with him and offered to remove the gazebo or offered to remove the lights on the gazebo so that it wouldn't be used at night in the dark and that he told her, well no, you don't need to take it down, that's okay. So I...bus she did go ahead and follow up and take out the lights anyway. So I did wanna follow up with Mr. McLean to just to verify that was indeed the...what he had heard in the conversation. And he did actually agree with me, agree with her that she did offer, but he felt bad about making her take something down. So he didn't want to make her take it down. She also is very interested in having anyone call who has a problem with anything on the property and so far she hasn't received any phone calls from any of her neighbors or anyone else complaining about any issues on the property. And her point of view from there is, how can fix something if I don't know if it's a problem? So with that, I would turn it back over to you for discussion. Thank you very much.

a) Public Hearing

Chairperson Lay: At this time, I'm gonna open up for public testimony. If anyone wishes to testify please step forward. You have three minutes, identify yourself. Seeing no one, public testimony is closed. Commissioners, questions? Commissioner Freitas?

Mr. Freitas: Question. Was the gazebo illegally built or was there a permit for the gazebo or was it on the property prior to their purchasing?

Ms. Callentine: I'm going to ask that the applicant answer that question.

Ms. Paula Dalian: Yes, hello. My name is Paula Dalian. Good morning. Yeah, we built the gazebo for the purpose of having shade in the garden that's the main purpose for the gazebo. And actually at the beginning we put a structure...we wanted to put some plants because we didn't have like a tree to give us shade. And then later on we put a little roof so as to have a little bit more like cool down. But because it's a 9 for 9 I didn't do any permitting for that. It's a 9 for 9 structure. Yeah.
Mr. Freitas: But you had electricity. So had to have to put--

Ms. Dailan: No, we put Christmas lights on. It came from the house. So that is what we disconnected. But there's no electricity in the gazebo. It was only like to make... the main purpose was to put shade in the garden. It's really hot during the day, and yeah, we through it was nice if we have a nice vine with flowers on.

Mr. Freitas: Thank you.

Ms. Dailan: Yeah.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioners, any more questions? Commissioner Tsai?

Mr. Tsai: Where do you reside?

Ms. Dailan: I live in Haiku. Haiku area. I'm like eight minutes away from the house.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Freitas?

Mr. Freitas: Is the house now rented long-term?

Ms. Dailan: No, no, no. I have family come in. So that house is occupied by my family.

Mr. Freitas: So the house is vacant now or--

Ms. Dailan: Well, yeah, and I have family coming actually tomorrow. So, yeah, it's not vacant. And like Livit, I explain Liv it and you know, we have big family and they live really far away. And I want to say this because this is important for me. That house I want to keep it for my parents. My dad was in a big depression that me and my sister we move here. And now he's doing really happy, I have grand-- he has grand kids and I want to keep that house for them. And if they are not here, I'm going to put family or I'm going to keep it vacant, but that is going to be a house for my family. So yeah, no matter what is the decision today.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Tsai?

Mr. Tsai: Ma'am you had said that you wanna keep this for your family.

Ms. Dailan: Yeah.

Mr. Tsai: But you also wanna rent out short-term. So what do you--

Ms. Dailan: Well, I want to do both because they come like five months a year my family and you know, it would be great if I can rent it out legally and do it like short-term and give them the flexibility for them to come whenever they can. I'm not... (inaudible)... okay, you know, I want them to feel free to say okay, you know we are willing to go this time and that time and have them open for them.

Ms. Tsai: My question, actually you kinda answered it, so you're planning to rent out basically half the time. So you're saying if they come five, you're gonna rent out seven months out of a year?

Ms. Dailan: Yeah, yeah. It would be between like five to seven months I'm going to rent it out, but because they come, and sometimes they come twice a year. Most of the year they come twice a year, you know. It's important for me to have the flexibility for them so they can come whenever they feel so if they are willing to do the big sacrifice already to travel all the way.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Freitas?

Mr. Freitas: How long have you owned the property, ma'am?

Ms. Dailan: Sorry?

Mr. Freitas: How long have you owned the property?

Ms. Dailan: Since my daughter was born so, it's like four years.

Mr. Freitas: Thank you.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioners, any more questions? Commissioner Medeiros?

Mr. Medeiros: Have you ever lived on that property at any time or I guess for Livit?

Ms. Dailan: Me?

Mr. Medeiros: Yeah.

Ms. Dailan: Not me, but my sister yes. She lives there sometimes. I live with my husband and my family in Haiku. So yeah.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Ball?

Mr. Ball: What is the occupancy? What would be the max occupancy for this structure?

Ms. Dailan: Thank you.

Ms. Callentine: So the maximum occupancy of a short-term rental home is two persons per bedroom. So there are three bedrooms so that would be six persons and let me just double check 'cause the short-term rental is different from the B&B. So that is six guests including children over the age of two years old. So I guess there's no restriction in the Code for any children under the age of two years.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Tsai?

Mr. Tsai: Another question for the applicant, please? Hi,
Ms. Dalain: Hi.

Mr. Taal: What is your plan as far as minimum stay or I mean, are you looking for, do you have a target market?

Ms. Dalain: Actually my main purpose here is I want that place available for my parents whenever they come, and yeah, and then you know, it's like I don't really have a like what's my minimum or you know? I prefer to have people stable as much as possible, so maybe rent it to other family that come to visit, other family members or since like that, but yeah, I want to have that house like ...(inaudible)... for my family.

Mr. Taal: I think, I think the concern here too is that making sure if you were approved to do this that you know you gotta be a good neighbor and make sure I guess—

Ms. Dalain: I am a good neighbor.

Mr. Taal: But also as far as the kind of people you rent to. I mean, are you gonna personally interview them and making sure that they're... you know, you're gonna have house rules, quiet rules times and all that?

Ms. Dalain: Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah for sure. I want to have good people. My mom and my dad is living in that house so for sure I want to have people that are nice. We take care of that home like our home. So, it's my parent's home so for sure we want to have good people there.

Chairperson Lay: Director?

Mr. Spence: Thank you. Just add to Commissioner Taal's comments. The ordinance requires that there be house rules with certain quiet times and that's universal across all short-term rentals. If the Commission, not saying you should approve or not, but if you choose to approve this rental, you could make a requirement that you know, guest sign the house rules as a part of the rental or meaning that they, you know, they've read it. Or you could make the requirement, I don't know how we would follow up on it, but you could make the requirement that the owner, you know, inform everybody personally to, you know, as far as the neighbors in being considerate and all those kinds of things.

Mr. Taal: We currently have a standard template for house rules regarding quiet hours and—

Mr. Spence: Yes, there's a standard provision by the law, but then, you know, individual owners can add to that. I mean, what's in the law is like a minimum then adding certain house rules maybe.

Ms. Callentine: May I make a suggestion? So the applicant is required to post the house rules and they have been posted as I verified with a recent site inspection. I'm just checking to see where the condition is stating that the house rules have to posted. We may not have actually made that a condition.

Mr. Spence: It's already a requirement.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner, any more questions? Seeing none, can we get the Department's recommendation?

b) Action

Ms. Callentine: Yes, I have not gotten clarity from you as whether you would like the condition, a condition added regarding removal of the gazebo?

Mr. Spence: They can do that...(inaudible)...?

Ms. Callentine: Okay, but just, yeah we gotta cover that. So the Department finds that the application complies with the applicable standards for a short-term rental home in compliance with 19.65.035 of the Maui County Code and which is listed in the accompanying Department report for this project. The Maui County Planning Department recommends approval based on 23 standard conditions which I won't read since you've seen them so often. If you so choose, I have developed a potential wording for a condition 24. When the Chair asks I will share that reading with you.

Mr. Freitas: I move to deny the application.

Chairperson Lay: We have a motion of denial.

Mr. Ball: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Seconded by Commissioner Ball. Discussion on the motion? Commissioner Medeiros?

Mr. Medeiros: I will support the motion mostly because short-term rentals to my knowledge was brought together so that it could help people supplement their income and you know be able to, you know, survive on Maui the high cost. But she already said that no matter what the decision here is they will keep the property. So I see no reason to allow for them to have a short-term rental because there basically isn't the need for it.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Freitas?

Mr. Freitas: I made the motion to deny on couple issues. One is we do not have enough long term rentals on the market especially in that area. There is people that's paying what you call $1,000 for one room to live in and basically on the applicant's testimony where she said that this property is primary for their parents and for their parents and they just wanna rent intermittently. I believe that on her testimony this is primarily for family and it's gonna sit vacant if it's not rented. I felt that
the need for long rental is much greater than the need for short-term rental.

Ms. Callentine: May I speak Mr. Chair?

Chairperson Lay: Go ahead.

Ms. Callentine: One thing I’d like everyone to keep in mind is that I need to know the basis of if you do vote to deny I will need to know exactly what the basis according to the Code. If this home sits empty half of the year, it’s not achieving the goal of long term rental. It’s sitting empty and it’s being used by the family the rest of the time, so that… I actually absolutely agree with you that the… that there is a shortage of long term rental on the island. Everyone that I know that rents tells me about it. And I agree that I’d like to see the County approaching it in some way, but to deny one property owner on that basis when they’re… when they’ve applied for and meet the criteria for a short-term rental home permit is not something I’m very comfortable with.

So, another point that was actually brought up between myself and the applicant and then when I spoke with one of the protesters was that regardless of who occupies the home that kind of family noise where you’re sitting out gathering and talking… talking story, drinking a little beer, going on and on, you know, whatever, you know, people congregate and they have families and they talk, they have friends over, they have parties, we all do, so that could happen regardless of who it is. So I’m questioning whether that impact in of itself is… we have no evidence that that impact would be greater…

Mr. Hopper: Commissioners, I just have a… this is… we’re in deliberation right now. I think something that would assist is reading the standards again to maybe provide some additional information for Staff in addition to what’s been stated. There are a couple sections right to look at. There’s a section of the Short-Term Rental Home Permit Law that states, short-term rental homes shall conform to the character of the existing neighborhood in which they are situated. Prior to issuing a permit the Department or applicable planning commission shall consider the following, one of them are the CC&Rs of the area, another is existing land use entitlements and uses, another is the applicable community plan, another is community input, and another is potential adverse impacts including excessive noise, traffic and garbage.

In addition, there is another subsection that says, the Department or appropriate planning commission may consider but is not limited to the following factors in reviewing and approving or denying an application: the number and distance from the subject parcel to other permitted short-term rental homes, the number and substance of protests for the short-term rental home application, and protests related to the cumulative short-term homes in the larger neighborhood or area, existing or past complaints about rental operations on the property, existing or past noncompliance with government requirements in the degree of cooperation by the applicant to come into compliance and correspondence received by the Department in the form of protests.

Again, this is some guidance for the Commission to assist Staff in addition to reasons given that I think if some more was put on the record that would further assist Staff in potentially preparing a denial order if that’s what the Commission’s vote is.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Freitas?

Mr. Freitas: Yes, there was no letters supporting this. There was two letters, that what do you call, opposed to this. One was cleared up, what do you call, from the direct neighbor.

Ms. Callentine: This morning you did receive Commissioner, a letter of support from someone within 500 feet.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Tsai?

Mr. Tsai: Well, this is kind of a hard decision for me. With the motion on the floor I think that based on the circumstance I’m hard for me to envision this being a viable long-term rental because like the applicant says she’s only going to be renting out five to seven months a year where I don’t know how is that gonna help with the, you know, local rental situation. That itself I think presents a problem. And then also, I think by outright denying this application, I’m not comfortable with that. So, I think I’m gonna vote against the motion.

Mr. Spence: Yeah, so probably what we should do Commissioners is I would recommend you take a vote and if it fails then we can have a motion. If it fails, you can have a motion for deferral.

Chairperson Lay: Call for the vote. All those in favor of the motion?

Mr. Spence: Let me restate the motion.

Chairperson Lay: Yeah, go ahead.

Mr. Spence: The motion is to deny the permit.

Chairperson Lay: Let’s call for the vote. All those in favor of denying this proposal?

Mr. Spence: That’s three ayes.

Chairperson Lay: And there’s one no.

Ms. Callentine: That’s not enough.

Mr. Spence: Yeah, so long as there’s one no.

Mr. Tsai: ... (inaudible)...

Chairperson Lay: Motion fails.

It was moved by Mr. Freitas, seconded by Mr. Medeiros, and

The Motion to Deny the Application FAILED.

(Assenting - J. Freitas, J. Medeiros, K. Ball) (Dissenting - M. Tsai) (Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvauchelle, R. Higashi)
Mr. Ball: Motion to defer this item till a future meeting.

Mr. Medeiros: Second.

Mr. Hopper: Wanna take a recess?

Mr. Ball: Yeah.

Ms. Callentine: In the midst of a motion? Okay.

Chairperson Lay: Yeah, let’s take a 10-minute recess at this time.

A recess was called at approximately 10:05 a.m., and the meeting was reconvened at approximately 10:15 a.m.

Chairperson Lay: ...order. We have a motion on the floor to defer. Any discussion on the motion? Corp. Counsel?

Mr. Hopper: You needed to have five members vote to take action approval or denial and since that’s not possible, item’s going to need to be deferred until the next meeting. So that’s where we’re at right now.

Chairperson Lay: Let’s call for the vote. At this time I’m going to call for the vote deferring this to our next meeting, available meeting. All those in favor?

Mr. Spence: That’s five yes.

It was moved by Mr. Ball, seconded by Mr. Medeiros, then

VOTED: To defer the Matter to the Next Available Agenda.

Assenting K. Ball, J. Medeiros, J. Freitas, M. Tsai, I. Lay

(Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvuichelle, R. Higashi)

Ms. Callentine: Can I please read into the record who the second on that motion. I do not have that on my notes.

Ms. Callentine: Thank you. Thank you, Commissioners.

Chairperson Lay: Our next agenda item?
the breakwall. These photos show the length of the seawall looking towards Kihei and then back towards the harbor.

In the Draft EA we discussed four alternatives and during our presentation at the Commission last time, the revetment had the most discussion and the preferred alternatives so we’ll discuss those two in more detail.

With the revetment there was a significant need for a large footprint on the property. It also involved excavating potentially some of the land in the ocean that is the jurisdiction of the State and we just felt it was a much more costly alternative and also much more disruptive than repairing the existing seawall. The Commission asked us to look at the Mahana revetment wall. Here’s a few photos that I look. These were taken in October of 2013. And you can see some of the area above the revetment is exposed from the high wave action they get there and here they’ve put up some wooden panels and some fencing to try and stop the erosion from coming over top the revetment.

Again, this is our preferred alternative to repair the existing seawall. Here’s a cross section of what the repair plan is. We’ve got a layer of urethane grout that will be injected underneath the wall. There’s a wap hole that will help with drainage from behind the wall. This is will be a reinforced concrete and then this will be a fill material and then eventually a cap on top.

Primarily the comments that we got on the Draft EA were related to shoreline access and so the applicant has agreed to propose in putting up signage along the shoreline. And that concludes our presentation. If you have any questions, we’re here to answer and again, the structural engineer and the coastal engineer and the applicant are also here. Thank you.

Chairperson Lay: At this time, I’m gonna open up to public testimony. Does anyone wish to testify at this time? Seeing no one, public testimony is closed. Commissioners, questions? Commissioner Ball?

Mr. Ball: There was a fairly good photo up there, I’m looking at Page 11, I guess or a photo of...yeah, that one, so I guess at the final...when this is completed it’s gonna look like this, right? I mean, we’re not pulling it back or pushing it forward or putting sand in front of it where those rocks are or anything like that?

Mr. Jordan Hart: That’s correct. This same wall is just gonna be basically bolstered and reinforced in the same location. And so just to add, that’s why the rock revetment isn’t an ideal alternative because that requires demolition, excavation and then replacement with boulders where this plan just requires the stabilization and firming up the existing wall that’s primarily in place.

Mr. Ball: Because my primary concern would be that the surf break right out there because we don’t wanna change anything that change that, so...

Mr. Hart: No, that’s another reason that we didn’t want to get into the revetment section is that we’ll be able to work primarily behind the wall on the proposed scope, but with the revetment scope we’d have to be getting into the water. Now we wouldn’t be getting two freight trains or anything like that at all, but we wouldn’t even wanna try to go make any further than we’re doing.

Mr. Ball: On the shoreline access, there’s a shoreline access from this complex in, right?

Mr. Hart: There has been no previous requirement. Basically what was asked for was lateral access and so what the applicant is offering is to call it out, but it had never been something that was supposed to be given and was not being given. It just...the property...this is their lawn up to the wall which was existing, you know, prior to the construction of the condo complex and so there was just a number of comments asking to basically delineate lateral access which the applicant is happy to do.

Mr. Ball: So let’s say on this photos where would that sign go and then where would they expect people to walk laterally on the rocks or on that wall or on the grass?

Mr. Hart: Yeah, it’s assumed that it would basically be...you can see that there’s a rock structure there, you know, something around that vicinity basically a line of signs just depicting that there’s a lateral access way. There’s a section between the wall and the signs on the lawn area where people are welcomed to walk. And currently they do use this area and the association has a policy of not kicking people out but there’s fishermen and various people that use this area up and down the shoreline and they don’t have any warning signs or telling people to get out and so on. It just, it says nothing currently, but now they’ll put signs in.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Freitas?

Mr. Freitas: No.

Chairperson Lay: I have a question. How wide are we talking about from that wall there out? Are we talking seven feet coming back into our lawn area or just to give a general idea?

Mr. Hart: Excuse me, were asking the width of the lateral access or the wall?

Chairperson Lay: Yes.

Mr. Bob Wood: Bob Wood, Board President. We’re happy to place the signs wherever you would like them to be. Currently we have we have no restriction at all on people coming. You can’t see it from here but there’s access on this side. It’s actually a drainage ditch which people walk down. And then on the other side, it’s our building and the next building and so people park on the street and will access between those two buildings. And the property on that side actually has a sign stating something and the property on this side of us states something about not going through their property, but our property we’ve never had a sign and we have fishermen out there and people use the steps right there, sometimes. It’s pretty jagged but some of the surfer people use that. And then they also, this way there’s a little area, you see it in one of the pictures where the jetty comes in, where the surfers will come and will go out there. And then right there, they get right down in there. Right now we have this one sign and we put that up because the hole got to be about...right now...and I measured it. It’s about 24 inches. The wall has pulled away and that’s why we put that sign up and then we put that plastic material to try and...because at night and during the day people are out there all the time. Of course, the park is right...you see those two trees, and that's
the little park that's on the lawn and that's used on a regular basis. People come and go and often times they'll park in the parking lot and then just walk down to it and so we've never put a restriction on anybody's access one way or the other. Everybody believes themselves and it's never been an issue. We used to have the Water Front Restaurant, and that was right, just past that sign was where the restaurant was, of course, that's been closed now for three years, and it doesn't look like it's going to open. I mean, not the Water Front of course, but any restaurant I doubt will, it may, but...So anyhow, that area there is just...this last Sunday there were people on the grass and on their chairs and we don't...as long as people aren't destroying anything or you know, causing any problems we've never said a word to them. So we're happy to put up some signs but as of now, people know, the locals know very much they can come down.

Mr. Hart: If I could add to that all, none of the agencies specifically commented or requested a width or anything like that too, so we're just...this is just being added on the fly and so we're just replying to it.

Chairperson Lay: The only thing I'm worried about is if change of ownership and that sort of thing where that might be denied in the later years where someone else takes over then we lose that public access to that area.

Mr. Hart: Okay, well you know, we're not opposed to having that be a condition or anything like that and we're proposing, we're making the representations before the Planning Commission that the signs will be added so they'll be required to keep them. So that's how we're addressing them.

Chairperson Lay: Okay, we're gonna have to recess right now, five-minute break, losing quorum.

A recess was called at 10:30 a.m., and the meeting was reconvened at 10:37 a.m.

Chairperson Lay: We've got bare quorum today, so if someone has to leave, an emergency just give me a little notation, you gotta use the restroom or phone that way we can get ready for it. Okay, do we have any more questions on the agenda item? Can we have the Department's recommendations?

Mr. Scott: Yes, the Department's recommendation is to accept the Environmental Assessment, the Final Environmental Assessment and issue a FONSI. Note that approval or issuance of the FONSI does not approve the project. They still need to go through the Shoreline Variance and other procedures.

Mr. Tsai: So move.

Mr. Freitas: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Tsai, seconded by Commissioner Freitas. Any discussion on the motion? Commissioner Medeiros?

Mr. Medeiros: Yeah, I'll be supporting the motion mostly because these people are you know good neighbors to the community and there allowing people to ...(inaudible)...access to the property unlike their neighbors, you know. I personally want to send a message you know, if you're gonna be good neighbors the community and keep this Commission smiling you will leave this hearing smiling too.

Chairperson Lay: Can we get the Director to repeat the motion?

Mr. Spence: The motion is to accept the Final EA and make a Finding of No Significant Impact.

Chairperson Lay: Call for the vote. All those in favor?

Mr. Spence: That's five ayes.

Chairperson Lay: Motion carries. Congratulations.

It was moved by Mr. Tsai, seconded by Mr. Freitas, then

VOTED: To Accept the Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) as a Findings of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

(Assenting - M. Tsai, J. Freitas, J. Medeiros, K. Ball, I. Lay)

(Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hodani, S. Duvavchelie, R. Hijashi)

Mr. Hart: Thank you very much.

Mr. Spence: Commissioners, we're on item E, Communications. Items 1 through 5, oops, excuse me. 1 through 4, okay, back up one again, 1 through 4 are Ameron International Corporation requesting to transfer State Land Use Commission Permits and Conditional Permit from Ameron to Po'ohaku Paa, LLC and Mr. Paul Fasi will go over these requests.

E. COMMUNICATIONS

1. AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION d/ba AMERON HAWAII requesting a transfer of the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit and the County Conditional Permit from AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION to POHAKU PAA, LLC for the Kihei Concrete Batch Plant located at TMK: 3-8-004: 002 (por.), Kihei, Island of Maui. (SUP2 2005/0001) (CP 2005/0001) (P. Fasi)

2. AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION d/ba AMERON HAWAII requesting a transfer of the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit and the County Conditional Permit from AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION to POHAKU PAA, LLC for the Honokowai Concrete Batch Plant located at TMK: 4-4-001: 108 (por.) and 4-4-003: 001 (por.), Honokowai, Lahaina, Island of Maui. (SUP2 2011/0002) (CP 2011/0003) (P. Fasi)

3. AMERON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION d/ba AMERON HAWAII requesting a transfer of the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit, the County Conditional Permit, and the County Special Use Permit from AMERON
Mr. Paul Fasi: Good morning, thank you. This item was before the Planning Commission when it originally had its approval. So today basically what we’re doing is we’re transferring the permit from the Puunene Quarry at TMK: 3-8-091: 091 (por.), 3-8-086: 094 (por.), 3-8-086: 014 (por.), and 2-8-003:021 (por.), Puunene, Island of Maui. (SP 77-271) (CP 2006/0005) (CUP 2001/0001) (P. Fasi)

Mr. Paul Fasi: Good morning, thank you. This item was before the Planning Commission when it originally had its approval. So today basically what we’re doing is we’re transferring the permit from a Delaware-based company to a Hawaii-based company.

We’re doing three things today. We need an approval from the Maui Planning Commission on two Special Use Permits. The State Land Use Commission Special Use Permits and a County Special Use Permit. The second thing we’re doing is we need a recommendation of approval from this body to the State Land Use Commission, and the third thing we’re doing is we’re requesting that this body waive review of the Conditional Permits so the Director can administratively make change of titles from Amano to Pohaku Paa. And the Department considers these modifications nonsubstantial and it doesn’t affect the permits in any way nor the operations going to change. They’re not requesting any other amendments other than a title change. We do have Mike Munekiyo, the applicant’s representative here as well as Eric Yoshizawa from Amano here. If there are no questions for the Department, thank you.

Chairperson Lay: At this time, I’m going to open up to public testimony. Does anyone wish to testify at this time please speak up, come up to the mic and you have three minutes. Seeing on one, public testimony is closed. Commissioners, questions? Commissioner Ball?

Mr. Ball: Just for clarification, I guess. They’re basically selling to this other company or are they changing the name of their company to this?

Ms. Fasi: It is being sold entirely, operations and facilities.

Mr. Ball: I guess I have another question.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Ball?

Mr. Ball: This new entity are they in that business or are they what’s the make up of that?

Ms. Fasi: I’m gonna defer that to the applicant.

Mr. Munekiyo: Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Mike Munekiyo. I think Eric Yoshizawa is very familiar with the nature of the new owners. I’ll have him respond if that’s okay?

Mr. Yoshizawa: Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Eric Yoshizawa speaking on behalf of Amano Hawaii. In answer to your question, Commissioner Ball, Amano Hawaii has been a wholly owned subsidiary of Amano International. And the Hawaii Division is being part of a sale or ownership transfer. The transferee is Pohaku Paa, a Limited Liability Company In Hawaii. Let me just say that Pohaku’s headquarters are in Honolulu. The owner of Pohaku is Bill Mills. Bill
Chairperson Lay: Call for the vote. All those in favor?
Mr. Spence: That's five ayes.
Chairperson Lay: Motion carries.

State Land Use Commission Special Use Permits and County Special Use Permit

It was moved by Mr. Medeiros, seconded by Mr. Freitas, then

VOTED: To Approve Transfer of the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permits (SUP2 2011/0002, SUP2 2005/00011) and the County Special Use Permit (CUP 2001/0001), as Recommended by the Department and Recommend Approval of the Transfer of the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit (SUP7-271) to the State Land Use Commission, as Recommended by the Department.

(Assenting - J. Medeiros, J. Freitas, K. Balli, M. Tsai, I. Lay)
(Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvauchelle, R. Higashi)

Mr. Spence: So would the Commission wanna deal with the...make a recommendation to the County Council on the Conditional?
Mr. Medeiros: So move.
Mr. Freitas: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Medeiros, seconded by Commissioner Freitas?
Ms. Fasi: Director what was that motion?
Mr. Spence: For the Conditional Permit. Making a recommendation to the Council.
Ms. Fasi: This body is waiving its review of the Conditional Permit so the Director may issue the title transfer administratively. So the Department's requesting a waiver of the Conditional Permit review.
Mr. Spence: Okay, my apologies. We don't normally deal with this many permits all in one.
Mr. Fasi: Five of them.
Chairperson Lay: Maker of the motion agrees and second too? Any discussion on the motion on the floor? Seeing none, can we get the Director to repeat that.
Mr. Spence: The motion on the floor is for the Commission to waive review of the Conditional Permit and let the Director administratively do that.
Chairperson Lay: Call for the vote. All those in favor?

Mr. Spence: That's five ayes.

Chairperson Lay: Thank you very much.

**Conditional Permit**

It was moved by Mr. Medeiros, seconded by Mr. Freitas, then

**VOTED:**

To Waive Review of the Transfer of Conditional Permits (CP 2005/0001, CP 2011/0063, CP 2008/0005) and Allow the Director to Administratively Approve.

(Assenting - J. Medeiros, J. Freitas, K. Ball, M. Tsai, I. Lay)

(Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvauchelle, R. Higashii)

Mr. Spence: So the last one would be the Land Use Commission Special Use Permit that the Land Use Commission Approves. So we would making a recommendation.

Chairperson Lay: To approve.

Mr. Freitas: So move.

Mr. Medeiros: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Freitas, seconded by Commissioner Medeiros.

Mr. Hopper: Just to clarify that the Land Use Commission looks at it as a decision. They do require your approval. They will be reviewing your decision. They cannot have any conditions that are any less restrictive, but they don't consider it a recommendation. They consider it a decision and they also have to make a decision. So just a note that I don't that changes anything, but just a note for the record so when this gets to the Commission, they're clear on the action.

Mr. Spence: So this actually approving the transfer...(inaudible)... 

Chairperson Lay: The maker of the motion and secondor of the motion approve that?

Mr. Freitas: Yeah, done.

Chairperson Lay: Let's call for the vote. All those in favor?

Mr. Spence: That's five ayes.

Chairperson Lay: Thank you.

**Transfer of State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit**

It was moved by Mr. Freitas, seconded by Mr. Medeiros, then

**VOTED:**

To Recommend Approval of the Transfer of the State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit (SP77-271) to the State Land Use Commission, as Recommended by the Department.

(Assenting - J. Freitas, J. Medeiros, K. Ball, M. Tsai, I. Lay)

(Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvauchelle, R. Higashii)

Mr. Fasi: Thank you for your efficiency.

Mr. Murekiyo: Thank you, Commissioners.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioners, it's about 10 to 11:00 anybody need a break? Okay, moving onto our next agenda item.

Mr. Spence: Commissioners, Item E-4, Mr. Scott Crawford, the Chair of the Hana Advisory Committee to the Planning Commission transmitting the Commission's recommendation for Anya Paivikki Rutzi and Richard Rutzi for a Land Use Commission Special Permit for a bed and breakfast. And Staff Planner's Ms. Gina Flammer.

4. MR. SCOTT CRAWFORD, Chair of the HANA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION, transmitting the Committee's recommendation on the request by MS. ANYA PAIVIKKI RUTZI and RICHARD RUTZI for a State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit in order to operate Anya's House Bed and Breakfast, a one (1) bedroom bed and breakfast located in the State Agricultural District at 41649 Hana Highway, TMK: 1-6-005: 026, Hana, Island of Maui. (SUP2 2013/0024) (G. Flammer)

Ms. Gina Flammer: Hi, good morning. I'm gonna show you a power point but I'm gonna pass something out. So I'm gonna put up the power point then give you some handouts.

Okay, I've got three different items today. This is for this afternoon's item but it gives you a chance over lunch to take a look at the maps. What we're doing today is a different permit. This is a State Land Use Special Use Permit for a bed and breakfast home in Hana called Anya's House.

So just a show you where it is, you can see down by the national park. In your staff report is a map that shows you where the TMK parcel is and then I'm gonna show you some photos of it. It's a one-bedroom. Not a whole lot of photos of the inside. There's not much to it. Let you see the view that's in the packet as well. And then what we're really here for is the Ag permit, the State Land Use Special Use Permit. So this is a copy of their farm plan. The red area shows kinds where the project area is up in the corner, but you can see everything on the property is planted out or it's in pasture. And what's really important here is your Exhibit 6 that shows you the different types of agriculture. I know you're used to seeing tables but the numbers kinds struck me especially it was a long tour of the property but you know, we're looking at 35 avocado trees, 40 plus coconut trees, 32 papaya, lots of melon, 78 citrus, you've got four acres of grazing. The total area under cultivation is 10.4 acres. It's quite a bit.
And then just to show you some pictures. Again, it’s really hard when you have such a large space and so much to really show you what’s there, but I did my best with the photos. There’s also receipts that are in or there’s some income also with this property. They sell to Ono Farms out there. And as I did the tour it was really nice to hear them talk about diversified agriculture and planting what sells and planting for the future too. So that was...the Hana Advisory Committee said this is probably the best application they’ve seen in terms of agriculture and they’d like to see more of it. So in addition, they’ve just started some beekeeping also.

Okay, and this is one of the few applications I’ve had where there’s been no comments from any of the agencies including the State Office of Planning. Same with the Police. There were no comments, no public comments. There were no protest letters. There were four letters of support. There were three testifiers in support at the hearing. Two of them were neighbors and the applicants told me later they weren’t sure why the neighbors were there. They were really kind of nervous. And then the neighbors got up and said, oh they’re so wonderful. It turned out to be a very good experience for them.

So we went out to Hana on February 20th, and the Hana Advisory Committee reviewed this application. Again, they said they’d like to see more agriculture like this and then they voted unanimously to recommend approval. In your letter I describe a little bit more of the discussion that went on with it. That’s basically here, I have Anya in the audience should you have any questions for her.

Chairperson Lay: At this time I’m gonna open up to public testimony. Does anyone wish to testify on this agenda item? Seeing no one, public testimony is closed. Commissioners, questions? I’d like to comment. The Hana Committee is pretty ruthless and for them to say, you know, it’s looking good on their part, it’s one of the better agriculture developments there it’s a good...to me, it’s a good thing. That Ward Mardfin out there, he’s pretty precise on everything. Commissioner Medeiros?

Mr. Medeiros: I agree with you. Hana Advisory Committee is a lot more brutal than we can be, and if they’re okay with it, I’m good with it too.

Mr. Tsai: Move to approve as recommended.

Mr. Freitas: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Tsai, seconded by Commissioner Freitas. Any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, can we get the Director to repeat the motion?

Mr. Spence: The motion is to approve as recommended.

Chairperson Lay: Call for the vote. All those in favor?

Mr. Spence: Thats five ayes.

Chairperson Lay: Motion carries. Congratulations.

It was moved by Mr. Tsai, seconded by Mr. Freitas, then

VOTED: To Approve the State Land use Commission Special Use Permit as Recommended by the Hana Advisory Committee and the Department. (Assenting - M. Tsai, J. Freitas, J. Medeiros, K. Ball, I. Lay) (Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Davauchelle, R. Kagashii)

Ms. Flammer: For your next item, you guys are blowing through your agenda this morning. They are at about Paia right now. But we do have F-2, the applicants for that is here right now if you’d like to go ahead with that?

Mr. Spence: Mr. Chairman, would you like to take Item F-2? The next one, Item No. 5, the other one from Hana they haven’t arrived yet.

Chairperson Lay: Is that all right with the Commission?

Commission Members: Yes.

Chairperson Lay: That’s fine.

Mr. Spence: So Commissioners, this is Item F-2, falls under Unfinished Business.

F. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

2. MS. ANN FRICKER requesting a Short-Term Rental Home Permit in order to operate the Kulu Point Short-Term Rental Home located in the R-4 Residential District at 115 Aleiki Place, TMK: 2-4-012: 073, Paia, Island of Maui. (STPH T2013/0021) (G. Flammer)

This application is being reviewed by the Maui Planning Commission because there is at least one permitted Short-Term Rental Home operation located within 500 ft. of the subject property.

Public hearing was conducted and the matter was deferred at the April 8, 2014 Maui Planning Commission meeting.

Ms. Gina Flammer: Actually I was gonna say a couple words. The applicant’s doing the presentation this time. I just wanna remind you that this was discussed at the April 8th meeting. We had a deferral at that time. What I passed out today, since that time we’ve had two B&B applications come in for the neighborhood. That’s why I gave you an updated sheet so you can see everything that’s going on. At the time that we discussed it on April 8th, one of the permitted short-term rentals was expired in the neighborhood. When it goes through renewal, you’re gonna hear all about this on July 8th when we go over the Short-Term Rental Bill and process. We have a process where the permits are issued for one-year and the Department Initiates a renewal. Often that takes longer than the permit expiration date. We’ve realized there’s many, many steps so some of our permits show expired in the computer but they’re not. They’re still active, they’re waiting for the Department to finish that up. That’s what happened with one of them. So went
ahead and included it on that map so you can see. So there are currently three permitted short-term rentals. There are no B&Bs in the neighborhood and there are two pending bed and breakfast homes in this neighborhood. The applicant is going to come up and give you a power point and then we can questions afterwards.

Ms. Ann Fricker: Hi, it's nice to be here again. Yes, I'm Ann Fricker and I'm the applicant, but I really represent, my husband and I represent our family. My parents first came to Hawaii 50 years ago and fell in love with it and 32 years ago bought the property that we're discussing. They really loved the beauty of Maui. They are... my father's a lifelong photographer, my mother a painter, and my brother has become a photographer also and is actually very involved in taking photographs of Hawaii as my husband will explain.

We're a fairly large family and we would really love to share the house as my parents wished that we could. We won't be able to do it without a Short-Term Rental Permit and my husband will help explain why that is. We had somebody come this morning as you know who lives in the same neighborhood and we're very aware of the problems that the neighbors, some of them anyway are feeling about this and I'm very sympathetic actually to the person who spoke. I wanted to make it clear, I know that these permits are just for a year and I think obviously that there's a lot of transition going on into how it's all going to work this neighborhood.

I just wanted to tell you that we greatly admire and respect the neighborhood. It's most important to us that we not upset it in any way. And we are committed to feedback from our neighbors and doing everything we can to maintain the aloha spirit of the neighborhood. Thank you.

Mr. Skip Sandberg: I'm Skip Sandberg, Ann's husband. We wanted to talk about the project and talk about the neighborhood. It's an older neighborhood, newcomers, all income brackets. It has a public access for windsurfing so there's some of the new faces that people might see are a lot of windsurfers who launch from there. And local residents had become accustomed to the windsurfers. Probably all of you know Kuau, but for the slide, it's right off the Hana Highway, you turn at the Kula Highway and it's a no outlet area. There are three streets that go down to the ocean and on the ocean is Alalai where we are. It's a closed neighborhood, people walk around, we walk around, we get to know our neighbors.

Chris who spoke this morning is, I think he's in the house with the white car on the right and the two new bed and breakfast signs that came up are directly across the street. Our house is at the end of the road and our signs have been up for 10 months when we started the application process in September. Those signs just came up for bed and breakfast I think within the last three weeks. On Alalai our house is behind the wall. Before we ever considered a vacation rental permit the first thing that we did was we talked and met with all of our immediate neighbors and explained what we were doing and got their blessing. And in the letters of support, there are letters of support from our immediate neighbors. Our house is private, off the street, it's on almost a half of an acre. You can see our sign up on the right that's been up for 10 months. After we decided to go through with the project, Ann and I would walk the neighborhood to explain what we're doing to our neighbors. We're in the middle, all the green dots are people that we explained it to and didn't have a problem.

One of our neighbors, the red dot, Richard Ferrar sent a letter in concern that we were investors and that... he didn't like that. We talked to him subsequently, we didn't meet him. He is off the island. Ann had a long talk with him and explained that the house has been in the family for 32 years and we hope to use it as a family. He said, he didn't have a problem with it, so that's the way it was. One of those green dots is from Chris' house. We understood from Tim Chen that they didn't have a problem with it. Across the street, the two white empty areas are for the bed and breakfast. We didn't run into them.

Our house is one-story, it's 2,000 square feet, concrete block. The way mom and dad liked it. We're on the ocean. There's a constant roar from the sea so people that might stay there it's a muffled sound. There's another view of our house. It's a 100 feet setback from the street so there's privacy and it makes it quiet. And within our almost half an area there's ample parking and then a garage, driveway, and we've augmented the lawn so there's parking for seven cars. There would be opportunities for plenty of parking within the area. Our house is one that we think is ideal for families and that would be our target, a target tenant would be hopefully families, maybe grandma and grandpa and mom and dad and kids or something like that. But we're looking for families. And this is more on the inside of the house.

I wanna point out that it has never been a short-term rental. It's never been an illegal short-term rental. In the background for the house as Ann mentioned, mom and dad got it as a retirement home 32 years ago. Mom passed away in 2006, and dad passed away. And basically the house is, has been what they have left to our family which is three children, Ann and her brother and she has a sister. Mom and dad when they got the place. So our situation and why a vacation rental is that we are now three families with the house and between us and Ann and I have two children and her brother and wife have two children. And she has a sister and husband who have a fear of flying. It's an extreme fear of flying and they've never been on an airplane in 45 years. They live in California and for us to share the house so that the three families can have some benefit from it, as a short-term rental our family could have the house for four months, Jeff's family could have it for four months and her sister, Janny could have the benefit of four months of rental from it. And without that, they would have absolutely no benefit from the legacy that mom and dad had passed on.

We've introduced ourselves with our children on the left. And her brother Jeff and Sandy Machida's family on the right. Some of you may know of Jeff. Jeff is a photographer who has done considerable work in Maui. He was interested in the beauty of the sugar mills years ago and he spent 20 years photographing the sugar mills and the water systems in Maui. He photographed the Paia Mill before it was dismantled and sent to Asia. He photographed Puunene, the works in Puunene in the mill, the workers in the field, and he photographed the camp that used to be there. He photographed the water works. And he also thought it important to document what was remaining of the old mills. He photographed the Wailuku Mill before it as all paved over, ...[inaudible,]... Haleiwa Mills before they were covered with graffiti and many of the other mills, Kula and others around the Island. His work has been displayed at the MACC and the art critic for The Maui News described it as a polynesian exhibit important to the past and the present and the future of Maui. His work is in the Honolulu Contemporary Museum in their permanent collection and Library of Congress, and I say this to give background that we're not investors that are in this and we're not, we're not just visitors to Hawaii.

One of the interesting questions one of the Commissioners asked me when we were here in April was do you plan to move to Maui surprised me and I gave a very honest answer that my wife and I talk about it every time we're here, but we don't have current plans to move here. And as I
reflected on it, we think of ourselves as living here part-time. Last year, I spent five months here. This year, we've spent four months here. Our car is here, our clothes are here. It's home away from home. And I get confused when I go back to California is that home away from home or is it here? So our...as Ann mentioned, our strong feeling is to do this in a way that has a consideration for the neighbor, neighborhood. We wanna rent the house as a house. This isn't a bed and breakfast. It's not something that's gonna have...we wanna minimize the turnover, seven-day minimum. We have found an experienced local property manager, Norm and Cathy Clark who are experienced in screening tenants. We want to be careful who this is rented to and they have total discretion. We're not looking for this to be a party house. We have no swimming pool, no hot tub, no sound system. So that's our intention. Our family has it four months, we hope to use it as much as we can. Jeff's family has it for four months. And her sister Jenny's will never be able to stay here. So mahalo for your attention. Thank you.

Chairperson Lay: Okay, at this time, I'm going to open up to public testimony. Does anyone wish to testify at this time, please step forward?

The following testimony was received at the beginning of the meeting:

Ms. Christine Thevenard: Good morning, how's everyone?

Chairperson Lay: Good morning.

Ms. Thevenard: My name is Christine Thevenard, and I'm a 30-year home owner and resident of Kuau, Paia and I am here now just to mention I think it's "F" on your agenda. And I'm here to basically ask you not to hand out any more transient vacation rental permits in my neighborhood. The character of my neighborhood has been drastically changed and a large part of it due to the inability of the County to actually enforce against the nonconforming high density homes as well as illegal and legal transient rentals in the neighborhood. And with all of this kinds rolled up into having subpar streets, no parking, very little parking, high traffic, and no sidewalks, it just...you know, there's a lot of strangers in the neighborhood and the community is definitely impacted or I should say who's left in the community. So much of the time I just think of myself as a grumpy old neighbor at this point because I've seen so much change. But in all fairness I think handing out more permits in the neighborhood really frays the community. Or you might wanna consider rezoning our small community into resort to kinds level the playing field so everyone has the right to make a go of a lucrative business in our neighborhood without having just the few first people that have come to apply, the ones that are able to have lucrative business. It's a pretty drastic one or the other thing but it's really driven me to come down here to testify about what's happened in the neighborhood. Believe me, that's the short version. I've been writing pages and pages of letters for weeks now and was more impacted just because there's two more transient vacation rentals that are just applied right across the street from me and I already have an existing legal permitted rental right behind me that has a home and a cottage. So, I mean, I've been there a long time and have all these transient rentals around me and it's nothing that I really thought that I'd bought into that neighborhood when I did buy. So I just want you to consider when you do handout any more permits in little Kuau community, the little community behind the Kuau store that you'll maybe take a ride through the neighborhood--

Ms. Takayama-Cordari: Three minutes.

Ms. Thevenard: --or you know, just give it some consideration. Thank you so much for all your time. Good to see those that I haven't seen in a long time.

Chairperson Lay: One moment. Commissioner Freitas a question?

Mr. Freitas: In relationship to your property. Where is this property located?

Ms. Thevenard: The one that's up for today?

Mr. Freitas: Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Thevenard: It's probably, okay, let me count. One, two, three, four, five properties away.

Mr. Freitas: Thank you.

Ms. Thevenard: Yeah, and I'm open to any questions that anyone has. I feel like I'm pretty well versed in my neighborhood.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioners, any more questions for the testifier?

Mr. Ball: I have a question.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Ball?

Mr. Ball: What is your main concern? Is there a lot of noise or is there just a lot of...?

Ms. Thevenard: It's no community. It's like a lot of strangers in the neighborhood as well as the noise. I mean, I'm sure you guys have heard it all with vacation rentals. And I do understand that we have a level of control by filing complaints, but we're in Hawaii and pretty sure everyone already knows that very, very few people file complaints. I don't file complaints. This is the best I can do and it took a lot of courage for me to come up here. But no one wants to turn in their neighbors here. I mean, this is Hawaii. We have a lot of aloha for our neighbors here. So for...it's a tough catch-22 to have to rely on the citizen or the neighbor to monitor a neighborhood. That's...and I'd have to say traffic's a huge concern in the neighborhood and the density. I mean, so many other things have lead up for me to come here to this point but a lot of it is nonconforming residents which has really made our neighborhood very high density. And some of these are doing illegal vacation rentals. And from...I mean, and some of the legal vacation rentals are even, you know, as soon as the County is there and gone, you know, the lock offs are, you know, a couple of kitchens here, couple kitchens there.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Freitas?

Mr. Freitas: Yes, young lady. Do you have a ohana on your property?

Ms. Thevenard: I have a single-family residence on a 12,000 square feet. We're zoned R-1 and I've been there a long time. It was zoned interim and it just voted into R-1 versus like building very 12,000 square foot. So yeah, I'm probably one of the few homes that is like under developed.
Mr. Freitas: Yeah, one of the few. Thank you.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioners, any more questions for testifier? Seeing none, thank you very much.

Ms. Thavenard: Okay, thank you. You’re welcome.

This concludes the testimony received at the beginning of the meeting.

Chairperson Lay: Seeing no one, public testimony is closed. Commissioners, questions? Commissioner Freitas?

Mr. Freitas: I like the concept, I like the property, I like how you folks have inherited the property and you folks are keeping it in the family and it’s a long-term deal. I am a person that is totally, not totally, but I object to short-term rentals and the reason is there, you heard in the past that we don’t have enough... (inaudible)... but this property here I can support and because how it was presented and what haven’t. For my information generally, I hear of a lot of short-term rentals, what is the rental rate you’re going for if you would be kind enough to answer?

Mr. Sandberg: That’s a good question. We haven’t set the rate. Our target is to attract families. Our ideal people will be mom and dad and grandparents and the kids that currently go to Wailea and they are a family that needs to have two suites, two rooms in a Wailea hotel. And they’re looking for another completely different experience in Maui to live in the north shore and to have a different feel for it. For those people that are accustomed to getting two rooms in a Wailea hotel the average for Wailea hotel rooms is 400 a piece. So if we’re attracting them, they should be thrilled with a place like ours that would be comparable at 600 a night. So that’s kinda the rule of thumb. We know that we would make adjustments for people that would be there longer term and probably adjustments for the holiday season, so...

Mr. Freitas: Thank you.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioners, any more questions? Commissioner Medeiros?

Mr. Medeiros: I think I was the one that asked you if you ever intended to move to Maui. You know, like I Jack I support the project and everything. However, again I would like to ask you to move to Maui because if we’re gonna have people coming to Maui, you’re the type of people we want, okay. So Just move ever here full-time.

Ms. Fricker: Thank you.

Mr. Sandberg: Thank you.

Chairperson Lay: Any more questions, Commissioners? If not, can we get the Department’s recommendation?

Ms. Flammer: Okay, the Planning Department recommends approval subject to the following 23 conditions that are listed in your recommendation. So with that in mind, in consideration of the
DIVISION (DOT-A) requesting a six (6)-month time extension on the Special Management Area (SMA) Use Permit condition to initiate construction of the Kahului Airport Parking Expansion and Airport Access Road at TMK-3:8-001:019, Kahului, Island of Maui. (SM1 2008/0022) (P. Fasi) (deferred at the January 28, 2014 meeting)

Mr. Paul Fasi: Thank you. This item was reviewed by this body on January 28th. It was deferred due to a concern regarding the lack of a connection between Aialele Street and the new bypass road and there was some discussion over the lack of an overpass, underpass at the Hana Highway intersection.

The applicant is going to amend their request from a six-month request to a one-year request. The Department would like to amend that request and ask this body to increase that time extension from perhaps 18 months to a year. This project has been in the queue since 2009. Five years ago and nothing’s happened yet. So if you could grant them the a 18-month to two-year time extension to initiate construction I won’t have to come back in six months to do this all over again.

There are some representatives here from DOT Airports, as well as Karlynn Fukuda from Munekoya & Hiraga representing the applicant. Also, we have David Goode from Public Works here and I think we have all the appropriate personnel here to expedite this decision making process. Thank you.

Chairperson Lay: At this time, I’m gonna open up to public testimony. Does anyone wish to testify? Seeing no one, public testimony is closed. Commissioners, I know we have a number of things on our agenda, so we’re gonna have to pick it up ‘cause we might be losing one of our Commissioners after lunch which means we won’t have quorum. So going with that, moving on. Questions, Commissioners? Seeing none, can we get the--

Mr. Ball: I have a question.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Ball?

Mr. Ball: So with that are we not...I mean, I know it’s not their issue, but I can never get whose issue that these connections are? We have...that we have request for information on and so we...if we grant this extension then it goes to the Director for review or are we just saying...?

Mr. Fasi: No, the extension is granted by you and it ends and stops right there. You are approving the time extension.

Mr. Ball: So if they don’t get here, if they don’t get it started in 18 months they’ll be back?

Mr. Fasi: Then we’ll come back for the third time extension approval.

Mr. Ball: Okay, and so what’s the, what’s the reason for the extension now?

Mr. Fasi: I’m gonna leave that up to Karlynn to answer that question. It’s probably related to contract signing and financing, but I’ll leave it to Karlynn to answer that. Thank you.
Ms. Fukuda: I believe it's a two-way intersection but let me confirm that with DOT. Yes, it is a two-way intersection.

Mr. Ball: Okay, so while we have somebody here from DOT maybe semi-unrelated matter, let's talk about Hana Highway and Old Hanaakula Highway. It's my understanding that Old Hanaakula Highway is going to be eliminated at some point anyway. Sinking a lot of money into that underpass there and once again, the underpass should be at Hana Highway not a fairly area where it services a limited number of vehicles as opposed to Hana Highway which we're creating another Dairy Road there now with the multiple light situations. So DOT can answer that cause no one seems to be able to give me an answer for that Hana Highway new airport access roadway.

Ms. Fukuda: So the portion that's under construction right now that includes the Hana Highway intersection that is being done by the Highways Division of DOT. The portion that is beyond that and heads to the airport that's being done by the Airports Division.

Mr. Ball: Of DOT.

Ms. Fukuda: Of DOT. So there are two different pots of money that are being utilized in order to construct the roadway. So the portion that includes the intersection to...at Hana Highway is being done by the Highways Division and that is Federal Administration funds.

Mr. Ball: And I understand that, and I don't really care about where the money's coming from I care about that who's to answer to that intersection 'cause I never gotten that and I've never talked to anybody about that and they're still under the heading DOT.

Ms. Fukuda: Right.

Mr. Ball: Whether they're the Airports Division...I mean, somebody's gotta be talking to somebody saying let's get together on this?

Ms. Fukuda: Right. Ifs the Highways Division and that...the reason why the pots of money are important is because they can't co-mingle. They can't be mixed up. So, you know, what DOT Highways got for the construction of the first phase of the airport access road is what they could get from the Feds. You know, it wasn't for the underpass or you know it was...

Mr. Ball: I will guarantee you a parent that's sitting there at 5 o'clock in the afternoon going through those lights could care less about where the money is coming from okay. They're gonna care that I gotta stop here because they built the underpass over at Old Hanaakula Highway 'cause it's ridiculous so that's what I'm looking at is planning for the future, right? Why are we working all these stop lights. It's Maui's answer put a stop light and then we'll deal with later, later, later, later. We're building a major new road here and we're putting lights on it, intersecting with a major other road. I know its not your problem, but I'm trying to get to somebody that can answer to that. How they came up with that solution and where was the input from--

Ms. Fukuda: So if I might suggest you know if the Commission so desires you could send a letter to the Director of DOT and request information on that portion although it's not part of this project, you know, to get the clarification that you're seeking.
Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Freitas, seconded by Commissioner Tsai. Any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, can we get the Director to repeat the motion?

Mr. Spence: The motion is to recommend approval of the time extension, 18 months or two years?

Chairperson Lay: Two years.

Mr. Spence: Two years.

Ms. Fukuda: Call for the vote. All those in favor?

Mr. Spence: That's five ayes.

It was moved by Mr. Tsai, seconded by Mr. Freitas, then

VOTED: To Recommend Approval of a Two (2)-Year Time Extension of the Special Management Area Use Permit as Recommended by the Department.

(Assenting - M. Tsai, J. Freitas, J. Medeiros, K. Ball, L. Lay)
(Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvachelle, R. Higashi)

Mr. Fasi: Thank you.

Ms. Fukuda: Thank you very much.

Mr. Spence: We're back to Item E-5, Mr. Scott Crawford, Chair of the Hana Advisory Committee to the Maui Planning Commission transmitting their recommendation regarding Gale and Michele Notestone for a BB&B in Hana. And the Staff Planner is Ms. Gina Flammer.

5. MR. SCOTT CRAWFORD, Chair of the HANA ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION transmitting the Committee’s recommendation on the request by GALE and MICHELE NOTESTONE for a Bed and Breakfast Home Permit in order to operate the Hana by the Bay Bed and Breakfast, a three (3) bedroom bed and breakfast home (BB&B) located at 4888 Uakea Road, TMK: 1-4-014: 005, Hana, Island of Maui. (BIBHA T2013/0002) (G. Flammer)

This matter requires Maui Planning Commission review because there is a permitted Bed and Breakfast operation within 500 ft. of the subject property.

Ms. Gina Flammer: Okay, good morning. I know we're not in Hana so I wanna introduce our applicants. I know our Director just read their names, Gale and Michele Notestone. This is our Fire Captain in Hana and the paramedic in Hana. So you won't see that on the record but they wanna keep those, those people in Hana.

Okay, so today we're looking at a Bed and Breakfast Permit for three bedrooms. Oh, it's right here. It's along Uakea which is kinda the heart of Hana. It's on the way to the bay and I'll talk a little bit more about this as we go on. I'm gonna go into a lot of detail. It's the same presentation I gave the Hana Advisory, but it's also a good example of how to look at an application when you have more than one within 500 feet. It's probably the only time you're gonna see all these different pieces come together like they do quite with this one.

So the application is for two bedrooms in their main house and for their one-bedroom ohana. I've got some pictures just to give you an idea what it looks like, and here's the cottage. Okay, this is Uakea Road it goes right along the water and then it ends up, you can take a left and go right down to the bay. It's a neighborhood that is actually talked about in the community plan as being the urban area of Hana.

So how do you define the character of the neighborhood? How does the Department, how do you guys take a look at that when you're reviewing applications? Well, if you look at the law it says that the BB&B home can't create any greater impact than what was there before and that's where you deal with the trash and the noise and the traffic impacts. And it has to conform to the character of the neighborhood. So when you take a look at the character of the neighborhood here, we see that the neighborhood has existing permitted and nonconforming legal vacation rentals. It has a mix of zoning and a mix of community plan designations right there. In the Maui Island Plan it's a Directed Growth Boundary, a small town and then the community plan itself speaks to rentals in this area.

I put in here, it's a fancy map. It was made for a special presentation. You don't have a copy in your staff report cause it's in color, but you can see all the different types of zoning. Our community plan designations that are going on in this area. They're Service Business Residential which is kind of a blend. For some of the parcels you do have to do a community plan amendment for that. Some of the parcels were designated but then they have to continue to go through the process, and then you also see some multi-family that's right along this street.

So in the Hana Community Plan policy one of the objectives is to discourage transient rental accommodations uses outside of the Hana urban area. Now we've talked about this with the Advisory Committee. I mean, does that mean you don't want them in other areas, does that mean you want them all in the urban area? It's a little bit unclear, but at least for application we know that they're talking about this particular area.

And then I wanted you to see the Small Town Growth Boundary as well. And then here's kinda an overview where you can see the parcel. So you can see where the ocean is, you can see where the apartment building is. There's a church...owned by the church, farther down the way there's an existing nonconforming. So you have the Hana Kai Maui Resort Condominiums. They're all legal. It's A-1 zoning. It's got a Multi-Family community plan designation. You can see where it is
right there. You got Joe's Place which is one of the nonconforming legal ones. It's gone back prior to the 90's they've been renting right there down the way.

And then we talked about this earlier, what are the specific criteria for B&Bs? They're a little different than short-term rentals. We've gotta update laws as we go on through the year, but right now it gives you these four that are in your staff report. And then I went through and kind of analyzed these. There were no protests. There are two other B&Bs within 500 feet. There were no protests. This is not an existing rental. There's no violations on the property building or other. So those are the two permitted B&Bs within the 500 feet. You can see where they are. It's the Bamboo Inn and then the Hana Bay Bed and Breakfast. The Hana Bay's been there forever. They did come in for a permit, but they probably would have qualified for a legal nonconforming. I think Council members used to stay there years ago. And then there's also two short-term rentals that are right along the road, but outside of the 500-foot circle, and that's those right there.

Okay, the Hana Advisory Committee, they like to know what's going on. So I always tell 'em what's going on in the whole community plan region and I give 'em an update. So that's what you have right here for both the B&Bs and the short-term rentals. Again, this one had no agency comments. It didn't get routed to State Office of Planning because it's not Ag. And then we did have with the public comments you know, there's two neighbors... to mailings that go out to the neighbors. They were no protests that came back and there were two letters of support that came in. And then again, that same meeting February 25th, we went to the Advisory Committee. As you'll notice as you read the minutes or the letter there was a lot of discussion about a lot of different things, but not the application. So we had some good discussions about stop signs, things in the public right of way. What did matter to this is they did ask the applicant's you know, Ward always ask them why aren't you renting long-term, and they explained they've been renting long-term. They've had problems collecting rent. They wanna stay, I mean, they can't put their own mortgage in jeopardy so that's why they're turning to this, and then they're putting two bedrooms inside their own house as well. The Committee unanimously recommended approval with this one as well. Thank you.

Chairperson Lay: At this time, we're gonna open up to public testimony. Does anyone wish to testify at this time? Seeing no one, public testimony is closed. Commissioners, questions? Okay, can we get the Department's recommendation on this then?

Ms. Plummer: The Department recommends approval subject to the conditions that are listed in the staff report.

Mr. Medeiros: So move.

Mr. Ball: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Medeiros, seconded by Commissioner Ball? Any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, can we get the Director to repeat the motion?

Mr. Spencer: The motion is to approve the B&B Permit as recommended by staff.

Chairperson Lay: Call for the vote. All those in favor?

Mr. Spencer: That's five ayes. Congratulations. Sorry about the drive.

It was moved by Mr. Medeiros, seconded by Mr. Ball, then

VOTED: To Approve the Bed and Breakfast Home Permit as Recommended by the Hana Advisory Committee and the Department.

(Assembling J. Medeiros, K. Ball, J. Freitas, M. Teal, J. Lay)

(Excused - P. Waskida, W. Hedani, S. Duvauchelle, R. Higashi)

Mr. Hopper: ... (audible) ... wanna do, if we're gonna lose quorum instead of training, I can do the training another day. It's up to you.

Mr. Spencer: I thought training would be better if everybody was here? I few more.

Mr. Hopper: Well, that too, and also I don't want you to lose items that you gotta take action on. Yeah, why don't you do that, 'cause it's a recommendation.

Chairperson Lay: Okay.

G. WORKSHOP NO. 3 conducted by the Office of the Corporation Counsel

1. Contested Cases
2. Legal training on concepts of nexus and proportionality and their application to the permit process

Mr. Spencer: Commissioners, we're on item 4. This is Mr. Edward T. Dewey of The Shops at Wailea requesting Planned Development Step III Approval for construction of the Island Country Market at the Shops at Wailea, and our Staff Planner is Mr. Danny Dias.

I. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19.32.020.C of the Maui County Code, Planned Development, the Planning Director notifying the Commission of the Planning Director's review of the step III unified site and building program on the following application:


Mr. Danny Dias: Thank you, WILL. Good morning, Chairman Lay and Members of the Maui Planning Commission. I'll take just a minute. This is pretty simple. The Department is asking the Commission to waive Planned Development Step III Approval for the Island Country Market for two reasons. One, you guys just reviewed this project I believe it was on February 25th. Second
reason is nothing has changed. So this is the exact same project that you felike reviewed and so for efficiency purposes we would appreciate it if you could waive PD3 review. Thank you.

Chairperson Lay: Open up to public testimony. Does anyone wish to testify on this agenda item? Seeing no one, public testimony is closed. Commissioners, any questions? Seeing none, can we get the Department's recommendation?

Mr. Dias: We ask that the Commission waive Planned Development Step III Approval for the Island Country Market project. Thank you.

Mr. Medeiros: So move.

Mr. Ball: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Medeiros, seconded by Commissioner Ball. Any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, can we get the Director to repeat the motion?

Mr. Spence: The motion is for the Commission to waive review of the Step III Approval.

Chairperson Lay: Call for the vote. All those in favor?

Mr. Spence: That's five ayes.

Chairperson Lay: Motion carries.

It was moved by Mr. Medeiros, seconded by Mr. Ball, then

VOTED: To Waive review and Allow the Planning Director to Take Final Action on the Planned Development Step III Application.
   (Assenting - J. Medeiros, K. Ball, J. Freitas, M. Tsai, I. Lay)
   (Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvauchelle, R. Higashi)

Mr. Ball: H.

Mr. Spence: Acceptance of the Action Minutes for May 27th.

H. ACCEPTANCE OF THE ACTION MINUTES OF THE MAY 27, 2014 MEETING

Mr. Freitas: So move.

Mr. Ball: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Freitas and Commissioner Ball acceptance of the minutes. All those in favor raise your hand?

Mr. Spence: Five ayes.

It was moved by Mr. Freitas, seconded by Mr. Ball, then

VOTED: To Accept the Action Minutes of the May 27, 2014 Meeting.
   (Assenting - J. Freitas, K. Ball, J. Medeiros, M. Tsai, I. Lay)
   (Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvauchelle, R. Higashi)

Mr. Spence: Okay, Commissioners, Directors Report, SMA Minor Permit Report and Exemption Report. Those are just for you to acknowledge receipt.

1. SMA Minor Permit Report (Appendix A)

2. SMA Exemption Report (Appendix B)

Mr. Medeiros: So move.

Mr. Ball: Second.

Chairperson Lay: Motion by Commissioner Medeiros and Commissioner Ball. All those in favor?

Commission Members: Aye.

Chairperson Lay: Motion carries.

It was moved by Mr. Medeiros, seconded by Mr. Ball, then

VOTED: To Accept the SMA Minor and Exemption Reports.
   (Assenting - J. Medeiros, K. Ball, J. Freitas, M. Tsai, I. Lay)
   (Excused - P. Wakida, W. Hedani, S. Duvauchelle, R. Higashi)

4. DISCUSSION OF FUTURE MAUI PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA ITEMS

   a. June 24, 2014 meeting agenda items

Mr. Spence: Commissioners, future Planning Commission regular agenda items for June 24th. Item A: you're gonna have a public hearing for Stanford Carr Development on behalf of Harry and Jeanette Weinberg Foundation for an SMA Permit on Kahome... the Kahome Village 2014 project. You do have a petition to intervene on it. The second one, General Growth Properties requesting an SMA Permit for the renovation of Whaler's Village.

Mr. Ball: Can I ask a question on that on the petition?

Mr. Spence: Oh, on the petition to intervene?

Mr. Ball: Yeah. What is that group PPKAA? What's their interest in that?

Mr. Hopper: This is a petition that they've filed with the Commission?
Mr. Spence: Correct.

Mr. Hopper: Yeah, that's a contested case, I'd recommend that we... I mean, the Commissioners should be given copies of that petition.

Mr. Ball: Okay.

Mr. Spence: You'll be getting your copy.

Mr. Hopper: And yeah, and then during the hearing you can certainly ask any of the questions of those parties that you would like.

Mr. Ball: Sounds good.

Mr. Spence: And then the third public hearing item is Mr. Timothy John Haheman of HeMan Services requesting a Special Use, State Land Use Commission Special Use Permit and Conditional Permit to operate a landscaping baseyard in the Ag District.

And No. 4, Dennis and Cynthia Hudson requesting a B&B Permit for the Maui Hale Ohina Bed and Breakfast.

Chairperson Lay: We have a site visit on the 17th too, huh?

5. June 17, 2014 at 9:30 a.m. - Site Inspection of the following application (please meet at the site.)

STANFORD CARR DEVELOPMENT, LLC on behalf of the HARRY and JEANETTE WEINBERG FOUNDATION, INC., requesting a Special Management Area Use Permit for the development of the proposed Kahoma Village 201-H Project and related improvements located on approximately 21.6 acres on the northeast corner of Front Street and Kenai Street at TMK: 4-5-608; 001 (por.), Lahaina, Island of Maui. (SM 2013/0122) (D. Dias)

The proposed Project will provide a total of 203 residential units of which 101 will be single-family dwellings and 102 will be multi-family units. The 102 multi-family units shall be residential workforce housing units. Park space is also contemplated within the project.

Mr. Spence: Yes, you have a site visit for that Kahoma Village 201H project.

Mr. Ball: I will not be attending that.

Mr. Tsai: I will not be attending that either.

Mr. Freitas: I will not.

Chairperson Lay: Gonna have to check on quorum for that one.

Mr. Freitas: You got three no.

Mr. Spence: We'll check on the quorum.

Mr. Tsai: You don't need a quorum for that, right?

Mr. Freitas: I don't think you need a quorum.

Mr. Hopper: But before you take action you would need to have a report back from the Commissioners that were at the meeting. But you might as well... I wouldn't have the site visit unless you have a quorum. I would schedule the site visit to a time that you have a quorum otherwise why would you do the site visit.

Mr. Freitas: Penny's going.

Mr. Spence: Okay, we'll poll the Commissioners, the other Commissioners.

Chairperson Lay: Can we email on that one?

Mr. Spence: I believe we can.

6. June 26, 2014 contested case hearing at 9:30 a.m. on the following appeal:

ISAAC HALL, attorney for JAMES BENDEN, ROBERT and MARGARET KAPLAN, CYRUS MONROE, and PETER SIRACUSA submitting an appeal dated December 19, 2013 of the issuance of an SMA Exemption for the milling and resurfacing of Runway 2-20 at the Kahului Airport at 1 Kahului Airport Road, TMK: 3-8-001: 019, Kahului, Island of Maui (APPL 2013/0021) (SMS 2013/0335) (SM 2013/0324) (P. Fusi) (Matter was previously discussed at the April 22, 2014 Maui Planning Commission meeting)

The Commission and the public were notified of this appeal through the January 14, 2014 Maui Planning Commission agenda.

Pursuant to the Maui Planning Commission's Special Management Area Rules and its Rules of Practice and Procedure, the parties in the subject appeal are:

a. Appellants - James Benden, Robert and Margaret Kaplan, Cyrus Monroe, and Peter Siracusa
b. Applicant - State Department of Transportation, Airports Division
c. Appellee - Department of Planning

Mr. Spence: Then 5. June 26 contested case hearing, Mr. Isaac Hall on the appeal for the resurfacing of the airport runway.

Mr. Freitas: There's no injunction on that, right? They're still going ahead with it am I right?
Mr. Spencer: My understanding is that they've reach some settlement. So that will be coming back. That's my understanding. I can't--

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Freltas?

Mr. Freltas: I have a question for the Director. Years ago on these contested cases, they always...the Commission ruled that there was a settlement agreement was supposed to be brought before the Commission and the last one that was done they said no. Could somebody check to that and see if that's in our rules as far as the settlement agreement so that we know what is being done?

Mr. Hopper: I can answer that. It depends on the context of the agreement. If it's for a SMA violation like there's fines being paid, the Commission has to approve that, and we've had those come before the Commission before. If it's a settlement in a contested case, the rule's a bit different. It talks about the Commission...I think it says to inform the Commission of the settlement, but if a...as part of the settlement that person dismisses the case before the Commission, there's nothing else before the Commission at that point. It's kind of a withdraw of the settlement agreement.

Mr. Freltas: I understand. This happened maybe six years, six, seven years ago maybe even longer and there was a contested case where there was quite a bit of money paid to be settled and the Commission at that time ruled that Commission rules that when there would be monetary payment they would be informed of what was...who was paid and how much.

Mr. Hopper: Well, some of the difference also could be if it's like an appeal if it's not something...an appeal versus like an intervention. Sometimes you have a permit that you have to decide on and there's an intervention, the intervention's resolved but then the Commission still has to decide the permit and do conditions and in that context the Commission still has it, and I think had a report to it in one of the cases years ago, had a reporting requirement that it put in think I as a condition of that granting that permit if said, you've gotta report back to the Commission. I don't know if it was quarterly or yearly or something like that. That's a little different than a case where there's an appeal, someone files an appeal where the Commission never decided on a permit, if it was like a director decision and there's an appeal filed and then as part of that settlement, the person who filed the appeal withdraws the appeal. It's very difficult for the Commission to be able to review the settlement agreement if the appeal is dismissed and there's nothing more before the Commission.

Chairperson Lay: Commissioner Freltas?

Mr. Freltas: I think you're misunderstanding it. We reach a settlement agreement we are gonna pay Max $2 million to settle their agreement. Now when that agreement is settled, we get a copy that Max was paid $2 million and that was the final settlement. That's what was done back then when I watched this Commission meeting. I'll try to get the date and when this thing was taking place and when the ruling was made because I think the Commission voted that any monetary settlement that was made that the Commission would be informed that the amount that was paid out.

Mr. Spencer: Yeah, I remember that...(inaudible)....
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