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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A preliminary engineering report for the proposed Kaloko Makai development was conducted to: (1) review the site infrastructure and utility systems, (2) determine project demands, (3) identify possible constraints, and (4) conceptualize proposed improvements to sanitary sewer, potable water, storm drainage, parking, roadway, electrical, telephone, cable, and data communications systems to support the development.

Sanitary Sewer System: An average dry weather wastewater flow of 2.34 million gallons per day and a design peak wastewater flow of 11.80 million gallons per day are projected for the development. Since the Kaloko Makai property is undeveloped, a wastewater collection system is not available to service the Project site. Proposed wastewater improvements include an on-site wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). The WWTP would provide treatment for wastewater effluent from the Project site to produce R-1 quality water for reuse as irrigation water. An irrigation storage and distribution system will service parks and open space landscaping within the development. Excess R-1 quality water will be disposed of via injection wells located adjacent to the on-site WWTP.

Potable Water System: An average daily potable water demand of 2.18 million gallons per day and a maximum daily storage volume of 3.28 million gallons are projected for the development. Water service and improvements, in the North Kona area are not available to support any new developments. Proposed improvements include new groundwater source development, desalination plant, transmission, and distribution system.

Drainage System: Existing topography within the Project site is characterized by moderate mauka to makai slopes. Site elevations range from about 80 feet above mean sea level to about 700 feet above mean sea level. Drainage of storm runoff can be accommodated through culverts, drainpipes and catch basins, connected to drywells and/or detention basins. Permanent Best Management Practices will be developed and implemented in coordination with the regulatory agencies.
Roadway and Parking System: Primary access to the Project site is proposed along Hina Lani Street off the Queen Kaahumanu Highway and the new Ane Keohokalole Highway. Street parking will be allowed in residential areas, and off-street parking will be developed for commercial areas.

Electrical, Telephone, Cable, and Data Systems: Electrical, telephone, cable TV service, and data line access to the information system for the Project will be provided by Hawaii Electric Light Company, Hawaiian Telcom and Time Warner Cable.

1. INTRODUCTION

Based on the Conceptual Land Use Plan dated June 2012 prepared by SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai, LLC, this Preliminary Engineering Report presents the preliminary engineering assessment of the Project's infrastructure and utility requirements. The objective of the report is to review the existing infrastructure systems, determine the Project demands, identify possible opportunities and constraints based on the projected demands, and conceptualize the improvements relative to wastewater, irrigation, potable water, drainage, roadway, parking, electrical, telephone, cable, and data communication systems. The proposed improvements will be reviewed and revised based on further development of the Project plans and availability of more detailed information. This report is a general summary of the engineering aspects of the Kaloko Makai Project.

SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai, LLC, plans to develop a 1,100-acre property located within the Kaloko-Honokohau area of North Kona, Island of Hawaii (See Figure 1-1). The Tax Map Keys for the Project site are (3)7-3-009: 17, 25, 26, 28, and 63 (See Figure 1-2). In addition, the Project continues to investigate the potential for a potable water source. The current conceptual land use plan for the Project identifies components of the development as follows (See Figure 1-3):

- Natural Zone
- Sub-Urban Zone
- General Urban Zone
- Urban Center Zone
- Industrial Mixed Use
- School
- Hospital
- Fire Station
- Dryland Forest
- Park
- Open Space/Buffer
- WWTP
- Kohanaiki Trail
- Preservation/Buffer Area

Natural Landscape
Detached Homes with Landscaped yards
Mix Single Family and Small Multi-Family Homes with scattered commercial use
Shops and offices mixed with Town Homes and Large Multi-Family
Light Industrial and Mixed Use
Elementary (2) and Middle (1) school
West Hawaii Hospital
Fire and Emergency Response Unit
Wildlife Preserve
Recreational Parks
Screened Buffer Setback
Wastewater Treatment Plant
Multi-use pedestrian and bicycle path representing a historical land to sea trail.
Historic sites recommended for preservation
Residential, urban, and mixed-use zones are anticipated to consist of approximately 5,000 residential units, up to 600,000 square feet of retail/commercial space and 42 acres dedicated for school use. Associated amenities include recreational parks and expansion of Ane Keohokalole Highway (also known as Mid Level Road) through the Project. The entire Project will be separated into 3 total phases that are described as followed (See Figure 1-4):

- **Phase 1** – Phase 1 developments will consist of multi, single, and affordable housing, as well as parks, a school, and a light industrial area. An on-site wastewater treatment plant and electrical substation will also be built during this phase.

- **Phase 2** – Phase 2 developments will consist of multi, single, senior, and affordable housing. There will also be a school, park, and a light industrial area built during this phase.

- **Phase 3** – Phase 3 developments will consist of multi, single, affordable and large lot single family units. There will also be a park, school, and industrial area built during this phase, as well as an on-site fire station and desalination plant.

The Project site is bordered by Queen Kaahumanu Highway to the west and the Kaloko Light Industrial Subdivision to the southwest. The Project site is surrounded by undeveloped lands or other private properties in various stages of developments to the north, south, and east. The curvilinear east-west alignment of Hina Lani Street bisects the Project site. The north-south alignment of the future Ane Keohokalole Highway also bisects the Project site.
NOTE: PARCEL 28 HAS BEEN SUBDIVIDED INTO PARCEL 28 AND PARCEL 63. THE TMK MAP DOES NOT REFLECT THE CURRENT CHANGES.
2. WASTEWATER SYSTEM

The Kaloko Makai Project used to fall within the boundary of the North Kona Improvement District Project Sewer Master Plan (2006) prepared by the Department of Environmental Management (DEM), County of Hawaii. Under an implementation study for the North Kona Improvement District (NKID), the Project site was further evaluated and excluded from the above. The NKID assesses future improvements and additions to the wastewater collection system servicing the Kealakehe Wastewater Treatment Plant (KWWTP) and its north Kona tributary area.

2.1 Existing Conditions

Currently, the Kaloko Makai Project site is undeveloped and there is no county and/or private wastewater collection system servicing the Project area. Properties within the nearby Kaloko Light Industrial Subdivision are serviced by individual wastewater systems consisting of septic tanks with seeage pits, or leach field disposal. Planned developments within Kaloko Light Industrial Subdivision and Lanaihau Properties incorporate individual septic systems for short term use and installation of dry sewers for long term build out of NKID. Current development of the Kaloko Heights Project (located mauka of Kaloko Makai) incorporates a private wastewater treatment system.

2.2 Design Wastewater Flows

Wastewater flows for the Project are derived using the Project’s program requirements provided by SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai, LLC, and generalized simulation of projected demands for similar developments.

Design peak flows were calculated for each of the Project’s parcels using the average wastewater flows, maximum wastewater flows, dry weather infiltration/inflow (I/I), design maximum flows, and wet weather I/I.

The wastewater system for the Project will be designed based on County flow standards. If applicable County standards cannot be established, the City and County of Honolulu standards will be used. The City and County of Honolulu, Department of Wastewater Management Design Standards set forth the criteria to be used to estimate wastewater flows from developments, as shown below:

1. Average Wastewater Flow: The average wastewater flow is the sum of the products of wastewater flow rates multiplied by the number of residential units or areas.

2. Peaking Factor: The peaking factor is determined by using the Babbit equation to determine the maximum hour wastewater flow.

   \[ MF = \frac{5}{P^{0.2}} \]

   Where: \( MF \) = maximum flow peaking factor
   \( P \) = population in thousands

3. Maximum Wastewater Flow: The maximum wastewater flow is determined by multiplying the average wastewater flow by a County adopted flow factor. A flow factor was determined using the cumulative total average daily flows for each phase.

4. Dry Weather I/I: Assuming that the sewerlines will be laid above the normal groundwater table, an additional quantity of 5 gallons per capita per day (gpcy) is used to account for water entering the collection system through pipe and manhole joints.

5. Design Maximum Flow: The design maximum flow is the sum of the maximum wastewater flow and dry weather I/I.

6. Wet Weather I/I: Assuming that the sewerlines will be laid above the normal groundwater table, an additional quantity of 1,250 gallons per acre per day (gad) is used to account for water entering the collection system through pipe joints and sewer structures.

7. Design Peak Flow: The design peak flow is the sum of the design maximum flow and wet weather I/I.

The average dry weather wastewater flow was calculated to be 2.34 million gallons per day (MGD) and the peak wet weather is 11.80 MGD. See Appendix A for wastewater demand calculations.
2.3 Proposed Improvements

2.3.1 Treatment System

2.3.1.1 Alternative 1: On-site Wastewater Treatment Plant

If a connection to the Kealakehe WWTP is not available to the Project prior to Hawaii County Council's approval of the Change of Zone (COZ) for the Project, and no connection to a public WWTP is available to Kaloko Makai, a private on-site WWTP will be developed to serve the Project.

The current Kaloko Makai Conceptual Land Use Plan reserves approximately 20 acres for the development of a private WWTP at the western end of the Project site. The WWTP will be designed to accept sewage flows from the Project site and provide treatment to meet R-1 water quality standards set forth by the State of Hawaii, Department of Health (DOH). The R-1 quality water will be stored in reservoirs on-site for distribution and use by Kaloko Makai and public facilities. It may also be made available for use by private commercial or residential developments as landscape irrigation water.

According to DOH Guidelines for the Treatment and Use of Recycled Water (2002), R-1 water means recycled water that is at all times oxidized, then filtered, and then exposed, after the filtration process, to:

a. A disinfection process that, when combined with the filtration process, has been demonstrated to inactivate and/or remove 99.999 percent of the plaque-forming units of F-specific bacteriophage MS2, or polio virus in the wastewater. A virus that is at least resistant to disinfection as polio virus may be used for purposes of demonstration; and

b. A disinfection process that limits the concentration of fecal coliform bacteria to the following criteria:

1) The median density measure in the disinfected effluent does not exceed 2.2 per 100 milliliters utilizing the bacteriological results of the last seven days for which analyses have been completed; and
2) The density does exceed 23 per 100 milliliters in more than one sample in any 30-day period; and
3) No sample shall exceed 200 per 100 milliliters.

Kaloko Makai Development – Conceptual Wastewater Management System report was prepared by Brown and Caldwell consultants to master-plan the WWTP. A summary of the report is provided below and the entire report is included in Appendix B.

The initial treatment goal will be readily achievable via conventional secondary treatment, followed by coagulant addition, filtration and disinfection with ultraviolet light. The subsequent goal requires an advanced wastewater treatment process. Components of the treatment process consist of the following:

- **Influent Pump Station**: A pump station will be required to lift raw wastewater from the sewer system to the treatment processes.

- **Headworks**: Headworks will include screening systems to remove debris, grit, or inorganic particles (e.g., sand) from the waste stream. Grit and screenings will be disposed at the West Hawaii Landfill.

- **Biological Treatment**: Organic matter and nutrients will be removed using an activated sludge process. The process will incorporate biological nutrient removal (BNR). BNR incorporates aerobic and anoxic zones within the treatment process and recycle flows to facilitate biological reduction of TN (Total Nitrogen) and TP (Total Phosphorus) to 10 mg/L (milligrams/liter) and 2 mg/L, respectively meeting DOH requirements. Clarifiers will be used to separate the activated sludge from the secondary effluent.

- **Coagulant Addition/Flocculation**: Coagulants (such as aluminum sulfate and/or polymer) will be added to the secondary effluent downstream of the secondary clarifiers and mixed to combine small particles into larger "flocs" that can be removed in the filtration process.

- **Filtration**: The filtration process will provide two treatment benefits: turbidity reduction to meet R-1 water recycling requirements, and additional nitrogen removal. Denitrification filters are available and accepted by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) for Title-22 recycled water production and are also capable of reducing TN concentrations to 5 mg/L via denitrification. The DOH allows the use of filtration processes that are accepted by CDPH for R-1 water production. Addition of a carbon source (typically methanol) is required upstream of the filters to facilitate
denitrification process. The DOH requires that R-1 water have effluent turbidity of less than 2.0 Nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) at all times.

**Disinfection:** The effluent will be disinfected with ultraviolet light (UV) to meet R-1 water requirements. The DOH requires that R-1 water have a median density of fecal coliform that does not exceed 2.2 per 100 mL.

**Effluent Pump Station:** An effluent pump station will deliver R-1 water to the R-1 storage tank for reuse, or to the infiltration basins for disposal.

**Aerobic Digester:** Waste solids (sludge) from the biological treatment and filtration systems will be pumped to an aerobic digester system for stabilization and thickening via periodic decanting.

**Mechanical Dewatering:** Aerobically digested sludge will be mechanically dewatered prior to being hauled offsite for disposal or composting at the West Hawaii Landfill.

The Kaloko Makai WWTP will be designed to reduce nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations in the treated effluent to: TN to a concentration of <10 mg/l, and TP to a concentration of <2 mg/l (anoxic/aerobic sand filters to perform denitrification, or comparable technology.) Installation of the private WWTP shall be subject to conditions of approval by the DOH, including any lower concentrations of TN and/or TP in the effluent, and Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR) Chapter 11-62.

2.3.1.2 Alternative 2: Off-site Wastewater Treatment Plant

The DEM is considering development of a decentralized treatment plant. The tributary area considered for the decentralized treatment plant includes the Kaloko Makai property. The decentralized WWTP will likely be located on State land north of Kaloko Makai and next to Queen Kaahumanu Highway. Detailed planning of the decentralized treatment plant with respect to treatment process, flow capacity, and land area requirements have not been determined.

DEM is also considering a new County WWTP to be located in the Kaloko Makai vicinity. This plant will be designed to the extent feasible to utilize a natural treatment system that can double as an open space feature.

A natural wastewater treatment plant or system is also known as a "constructed wetland" or a "constructed ecosystem," and is an alternative to mechanical wastewater treatment systems, which are methods conventionally used to treat and purify wastewater. Constructed wetlands simulate natural wastewater treatment systems, using flow beds to support water-loving plants. The roots of these plants help provide an aerobic environment to aggressively break down contaminants. However, concerns have been raised as to the proposed location of this new wastewater facility, especially its proximity to the Kona International Airport.

If a connection to either the Kealakehe WWTP or a proposed natural wastewater treatment system is available to the Project prior to the change of zone (COZ), Kaloko Makai will develop a system of dry sewer lines within the Project to be used for the connection into the Kealakehe WWTP or natural WWTP.

Currently, the DEM is planning to build a portion of NKID in conjunction with the next phase of the Queen Kaahumanu Widening Project. DEM proposes to install a dry gravity force main along Queen Kaahumanu Highway from Hina Lani Street to a future pump station. Prior to becoming active, DEM needs to implement at least two more projects to connect to the Kealakehe WWTP.

2.3.2 Collection System

A proposed gravity sewer system will collect wastewater from areas located mauka of the WWTP and within the Kaloko Makai development. For areas located makai of the WWTP, a proposed gravity sewer system and pump station force mains will collect and convey wastewater to the WWTP. Three sewer pump stations are required as shown in Figure 2-1 where topography limits use of a gravity sewer system.

Design of the sewer system will follow DEM guidelines and criteria. Pipe sizes will vary from 6-inch to 24-inch based on the quantity of wastewater flow (see Figure 2-1). Lateral pipe sizes, force main, and pump station requirements will be determined during the design phase of the Project. The development of the sewer system is described as followed:
- **Phase 1** — Phase 1 will have approximately 16,200 LF of sewer lines designed to accommodate a peak flow of approximately 4.42 MGD of wastewater. The sewer lines will be installed along Hina Lani Street, as well as a majority of the Spinal Roadway. Phase 1 sewer lines will service a hospital, fire station, offices, single and multi-family homes, as well as the Light Industrial area and parks 2, 3, and 4. There will also be a sewer forcemain and pump station installed below park 4 in the Cul-De-Sac Road. A WWTP will be designed during phase 1 in the makai portion of the Project site which will be able to accommodate a total peak flow of approximately 11.80 MGD of wastewater.

- **Phase 2** — Phase 2 will have approximately 11,000 LF of sewer lines designed to accommodate a peak flow of approximately 4.11 MGD. Phase 2 sewer lines will be installed along Collector Roads 1, 2, 3, and the Spinal Roadway. They will service the Elementary and Middle school, as well as offices, and single and multi-family homes. Phase 2 will tie back into the Kananu Street extension and Spinal Roadway intersection, as well as in the mauka portion of the Spinal Roadway.

- **Phase 3** — Phase 3 will have approximately 15,000 LF of sewer lines designed to accommodate a peak flow of approximately 3.26 MGD. It will service an Elementary school and a desalination tank, as well as offices, and single and multi-family homes. There will be 2 sewer forcemains and pump stations installed during phase 3. The first forcemain and pump station is located in the eastern portion of the project site near the elementary school and parcel T3-9. The other forcemain and pump station is located below the desalination plant. Phase 3 sewer lines will tie back into the 15-inch sewer line along Hina Lani Street, as well as in the mauka portion of the Spinal Roadway.
2.4 Wastewater Reuse

The information provided below summarizes Kaloko Makai Development – Conceptual Wastewater Management System, a report prepared by Brown and Caldwell Consultants. The report is an assessment on the recycled water system. The report in its entirety is included in Appendix B.

Based on the report prepared by Brown and Caldwell Consultants, an average R-1 quality water flow rate of 2.37 MGD was estimated. This projection has the potential to provide irrigation water for approximately 273 acres without routine supplemental water addition. The DOH has restrictive requirements for R-1 irrigation on property located above the Underground Injection Control (UIC) line including groundwater or vadose zone monitoring; no excess irrigation for salt flushing below the root zone. Brown and Caldwell recommends R-1 reuse be limited to properties located below the UIC line at approximately 620-foot elevation.

The Kaloko Makai development includes 45 acres of parks and 30 acres of schools located below the UIC Line that will likely be the largest users of the R-1 water. Based on preliminary estimates, approximately 60 acres of the total park and school acreage will ultimately be irrigated using R-1 water. Other users of R-1 water include landscaping for the hospital, light industrial, multi-family and commercial areas.

At full build out, the total demand of non-potable R-1 water for the Project site is 0.57 MGD (see Appendix A – Potable/Non-Potable Water Demand) which will be stored in a 1.0 million gallon (MG) tank located adjacent to the WWTP (see Figure 2-2). Phase 1 for the Kaloko Makai Development will require approximately 0.30 MGD of non-potable water, phase 2 will require approximately 0.19 MGD of non-potable water, and phase 3 will require approximately 0.08 MGD of non-potable water. The 1.0-MG tank will provide additional storage when R-1 supply is not sufficient to meet irrigation demands.

The project is divided into 2 pressure zones based off topography (See Figure 2-2). A high pressure 12-inch non-potable transmission water line will be installed during phase 1 to supply R-1 water to a 0.5 MG tank located at Park-2. A pressurized system will provide landscape irrigation for the adjacent middle school, Park-2, and multi-family housing. Landscaping for the parks, elementary school, commercial, hospital, light industrial and multi-family properties will be irrigated by a system of appropriate pipe sizes and fittings. Other appurtenances will be installed to facilitate the system for irrigation use. Other recycled water users can be added to the system if they are located near the distribution lines that service the largest users.

A gravity system using class 250 pipe and fittings will irrigate properties below the 0.5 MG tank located at Park-2. A reduced pressure backflow preventer will be installed to provide the appropriate pressure needed for irrigation use.

The amounts of wastewater produced by the developments in phase 1 will likely not produce enough R-1 water after treatment to meet parks and schools irrigation requirements. Supplemental water source (potable) will be required to satisfy the irrigation demands. On the other hand, during periods of wet weather, there will be excess R-1 water which will be disposed of via deep injection wells.
3. POTABLE WATER SYSTEM

3.1 Existing Conditions

Kaloko Makai Project site is undeveloped and not serviced by the County of Hawai‘i, Department of Water Supply (DWS).

The DWS operates and maintains potable water supply facilities in the vicinity of the Project. The DWS facilities include three water reservoirs along Hina Lani Street, each with a capacity of 1.0 MG, and each supplying a different pressure zone. The 20-inch transmission main along Hina Lani Street, connects the water reservoirs extending the water system from Queen Kaahumanu Highway to Mamala‘o Highway, and completing the Hina Lani water supply system. The Hina Lani water system provides water to the Kaloko Light Industrial subdivision and the County housing project off of Hina Lani Street.

Of the three existing water reservoirs, the makai reservoir (Control Tank #1) has been abandoned by DWS and will be dedicated to DEM for use as an R-1 water storage reservoir.

DWS currently has three projects planned in the vicinity of Kaloko Makai.

1. DWS is participating with the State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation, Highways Division’s (DOT) in the Queen Kaahumanu Highway Widening Project, Phase II to install a new 16” water main in parallel with an existing 12” water main along Queen Kaahumanu Highway.

2. A water tank has been constructed by a private developer at 1200-feet elevation for the Kaloko Heights Project. DWS is still awaiting the transfer of ownership of the water tank.

3. The third project is another water tank along Hina Lani Street, which is the last water tank developed for the Hina Lani water system.

3.2 Projected Potable Water Demands

Potable water demand for the Project is derived using the Project’s program requirements provided by SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai, LLC, and generalized simulation of projected demands for similar developments.

Based on demand factors shown in the Water System Standards 2002, Department of Water Supply, County of Hawaii, an average daily potable water demand of 2.18 MGD is projected for the Project. The projected maximum daily consumption is approximately 3.28 MGD. The anticipated required storage volume for the entire Project is approximately 3.27 MG. (See Appendix A)

3.3 Proposed Improvements

3.3.1 Alternative Sources for Potable Water

DWS indicated that due to delays in planning and construction of the Ane Cochokalole Highway (Mid-Level Road), water service in the North Kona area is not adequate to support new developments. The Project needs to develop a new water source and provide a new potable water system which includes treatment, storage, transmission and distribution facilities. Currently, the Hina Lani water system has been adequately designed to accommodate the Project and its associated water facilities.

It should be noted that source development, which is subject to Commission on Water Resources Management (CWRM) review, should be a priority. According to DWS, suitable and reliable potable water source is more favorable if located above the 1,700-feet elevation, up-slope of the Project site.

In consultation with Tom Nance Water Resources Engineering (TNWRE) and Hoakuleana, LLC, Kaloko Makai is investigating the following four alternatives to meet its potable water needs. TNWRE’s report is included in its entirety as Appendix B.

1. On-site wells at 710-foot elevation – Preferred Alternative
2. On-site wells at 710-foot elevation with Reverse Osmosis (RO) Treatment
3. Off-site wells approximately 3-4 miles south of Kaloko Makai
4. Desalination of On-site Saline Groundwater
In providing sources of potable water for the Project, Kaloko Makai will comply with applicable laws and regulations. As necessary, Kaloko Makai will undertake additional research to assess the potential impacts and appropriate mitigation measures of the selected systems.

3.3.1.1 On-site Wells at 710-foot elevation
A deep exploratory borehole at 710-foot elevation at the eastern end of the Project site will be undertaken to determine if fresh groundwater can be found at depth and to determine the feasibility of its development. If successful, three production wells would be developed in the area. Under this preferred alternative, the possibility of developing potable quality wells at 710-foot elevation is suggested by the discoveries of two deep monitor wells (state Nos. 3858-01 and 3959-01), both of which encountered fresh groundwater under artesian pressure at a depth below the saline groundwater table which is hydrologically disconnected from the basalt lens.

The potable source facility developed would be integrated into the DWS system, with two-thirds of their capacity allocated to supply the Project.

The Project will consist of the following elements:
- Three (3) production wells each of 1150 GPM production capacity and driven by a 350 horsepower motor
- Pump control building
- Security fencing
- Access road improvements
- Three (3) 1-2 MG concrete reservoir, as required by DWS
- New 16- to 20-inch transmission lines connecting to an existing 16-inch water main on Mānaiako Highway

3.3.1.2 On-site wells at 710-foot elevation with Reverse Osmosis Treatment
If artesian groundwater is found below the saline groundwater table and its salinity at the projected draft rates do not meet drinking water standards, the RO treatment process will be considered. In addition to producing drinking quality water this treatment process will produce a wastewater stream, referred to as a concentrate that will be disposed of via injection wells located at the Project's on-site WWTP at the makai end of the Project site. Due to the operating costs of the RO treatment, DWS may not accept the wells and RO treatment plant for dedication. In that case, the capacity of the wells, as feedwater sources for RO treatment, will be sized to provide the Project's maximum daily use in a 24-hour pumping day assuming 65%

product recovery in the RO process. As a private system, provision of standby well pumping capacity will be required. At full build-out, the disposal of concentrate will be 1.15 MGD as a year-round average. The cost of construction will be borne by Kaloko Makai.

The Project will consist of the following elements:
- Four (4) production wells of 1,170 GPM capacity each and each well driven by a 350-horsepower motor with standby.
- Pump control building
- Security fencing
- Access road improvements
- Three (3) 1-2 MG concrete reservoir, as required by DWS
- Transmission lines connecting to an existing water main on Hina Lani Street
- Desalination Treatment Plant
- RO Concentrate disposal wells

An on-site desalination plant will be constructed in the event the water does not meet DOH standards for domestic use (i.e., too saline). The on-site desalination facility will include storage, transmission and a distribution system. The desalination plant will be situated in the vicinity of the mid-level water tank in Kaloko Makai. Feed lines from the wells to the treatment facility will follow along Hina Lani Street. The desalination facility will treat the water through a reverse osmosis (RO) process.

3.3.1.2.1 Reverse Osmosis Treatment Process
Feedwater from the wells are initially pre-treated prior to RO process to remove particles that can negatively affect the RO membranes. This pre-treatment will reduce damage and wear to RO membranes, which increases the RO process performance and life span of the system.

After pre-treatment, high pressure created by pumps will force the pre-treated water through the RO membranes filtering and reducing total dissolved solids. The water is then conditioned for pH adjustment and disinfection. The water is then used as "potable" drinking water.

The wastewater of the RO process is a concentrated saline brine solution which will be discharged in on-site deep injection wells at depths below the feedwater source and the basal groundwater, in an area with 30 parts per thousand (ppt) salinity. The injection wells will be situated below the Underground Injection Control (UIC) line.
Since the concentrate would have a greater density than the surrounding saline groundwater, the concentrate will flow seaward without rising above the surrounding saline groundwater and will not rise to the basal lens. It will then be discharged into the ocean offshore at a substantial depth and distance from the shoreline.

In addition to potable water and brine concentrate, the desalination process will also produce small amounts of reject water from the pre-treatment process and from membrane cleaning and membrane cleaning solution. These by-products will be properly treated and processed at the on-site wastewater treatment facility or disposed of in the same injection wells used for the concentrated brine solution.

The proposed desalination system is subject to regulation as a public water system and needs to meet conditions of the DOH, including HAR Chapter 11-20, 11-21, and 11-25. The desalination water system will have no impact on potable or brackish groundwater. Likewise, it will not affect nearshore waters. This includes groundwater used by neighboring projects or anchialine pools and fishponds in the area, including nearby Kaloko-Honokōhau National Park.

### 3.3.1.3 Off-site wells to the south of Kaloko Makai

In the event that neither of the two on-site well alternatives described above is feasible, development of potable wells approximately 3-4 miles to the south will be undertaken. The exact locations of these wells will depend on land acquisition costs and additional costs for DWS infrastructure required to transmit this well supply north to the Project site. In general, however, there are two distinctly different possibilities, wells above Mamalahoa Highway tapping the high level groundwater directly, or wells in the near vicinity of the State's deep monitor well tapping the high level groundwater at depth below saline groundwater.

The Project will consist of the following elements:
- Three (3) production wells with 1,120 GPM or four 840 GPM pumps and appurtenances
- Pump control building
- Improvements to DWS transmission/distribution system
- Security fencing
- Access road improvements
- Three (3) 1-2 MG concrete reservoir, as required by DWS
- Transmission line connecting to existing water main on Hina Lani Street

Cost of construction would be borne by Kaloko Makai. The water tanks may be dedicated to DWS and an agreement negotiated with the DWS for use of the water.

Each mid-level well is anticipated to yield from 1.0-2.0 MGD. This amount is not significant in terms of the remaining sustainable yield of the aquifer. No adverse groundwater effects have been observed from any of the existing wells in the regions, individually or cumulatively.

#### 3.3.1.4 Desalination of On-site Saline Groundwater

In the event that none of the alternatives previously mentioned are feasible, raw water supply wells and a desalination plant will be installed near existing Kaloko Water Tank #1 along Hina Lani Drive. The raw water supply wells will draw saline groundwater from beneath the basal lens at depths between 260 and 350-feet below sea level, requiring total well depths at about 710-feet. Water recovery from this saline feedwater supply is approximately 40%.

The Project will consist of the following elements:
- Three (3) treatment trains each of 750 GPM capacity supplied by a 1900 GPM raw water supply well.
- Pump control building
- Security Fencing
- Access road improvements
- Three (3) 1-2 MG concrete reservoir, as required by DWS
- Transmission lines connecting to an existing water main along Hina Lani
- Desalination treatment plant
- RO Concentrate disposal wells

Pressure transfer devices would be installed to recover energy from the RO's concentrate stream. The concentrate will be hypersaline and amount to 4.9 MGD at full build out. The cost of construction will be borne by Kaloko Makai.

#### 3.4 Storage and Distribution

During the preliminary planning stages, water source development and plans for water storage for the Project should be studied, investigated, determined, verified, and confirmed. The transmission lines vary in size from 16-inch to 20-inch based on the demand and will be integrated with the existing DWS water system (See Figure 3-1)
The project site has been divided into 3 different pressure zones based on topography. The desalination plant, reservoir size and locations, booster pumps, and on-site and off-site water system will be determined during the design phase based on these pressure zones and in consultation with TNWRE. The on-site potable water distribution system will be determined once the Project layout and roadway scheme are approved by the County Department of Public Works.

The preferred alternative for the potable water system for the Kaloko Makai development will consist primarily of gravity flow (See Figure 3-1). During phase 1, an on-site well will be drilled at elevation 710 feet, which will supply potable water for proposed Water Tank #2 at elevation 630 feet. A new 20-inch gravity waterline will connect at Water Tank #2 to accommodate a flow of 1161 GPM and to service zone 2. Pressure release valves will be installed below Ane Keohokalole Street to reduce pressures gained due to the drop in elevation. Internal pipe pressures shall follow the Water System Standards 2002. There will also be a new 20” transmission line installed during phase 1 to transmit water from Water Tank #2 to Water Tank #1 at elevation 343 feet. A new 16” gravity waterline will connect from Water Tank #1 to accommodate a flow of approximately 343 GPM and to service zone 1. During phase 2, a booster pump will be installed at Water Tank #2 to transmit water to Water Tank #3 at elevation 840 feet through the existing 20-inch water line along Hina Lani Street. A new 16-inch gravity waterline will connect from Water Tank #3 to accommodate a flow of approximately 515 GPM and to service zone 3.

The existing DWS water system includes the required fire flow capacity. Additional fire flow capacity has not been included in sizing of the new water tanks. Fire protection requirements for the Project will be analyzed and will consist of appropriate improvements and/or upgrades and waterline extension to the various parts of the Project site. The need for any additional pressurized system for the Project will be determined by the mechanical or other consultants during the design phase of the Project.

The Fire Department will be consulted during the design phase of the Project to determine the need for on-site roadside fire hydrants and to review fire truck access requirements.
4. DRAINAGE SYSTEM
This section reviews the existing topography and drainage conditions of the Project site. It also describes proposed improvements to meet County Best Management Practice (BMP) requirements. The proposed improvements are subject to change based on the refinements of plans and availability of more detailed information.

4.1 Existing Conditions
There are currently no existing BMP’s to filter and treat storm water runoff prior to disposal into drywells. The County of Hawaii currently operates and maintains a series of swales and drywells along Hina Lani Street. Typically, the V-type swales are 6’ wide running along roadside shoulders. Drywells are located to intercept runoff collected in the swales and are approximately 5-feet in diameter, 20-feet deep, and capped with a catch basin/grated inlet drywell cover. Drywells allow collected storm water runoff to percolate into the ground to replenish ground water source.

4.1.1 Topography and Soils Condition
The Project site is located along the west coast of the Island of Hawaii, mauka of Queen Kaahumanu Highway. Based on information shown on the USGS maps, existing topography is characterized by moderate mauka to makai slopes. Site elevations range from approximately 90 feet above Mean Sea Level (MSL) along Queen Kaahumanu Highway to approximately 700 feet above MSL along the mauka boundary. (See Figure 4-1).

According to the U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service’s (NRCS) Soil Survey of the Island of Hawaii, State of Hawaii (1972), the Project site is largely comprised of four soil types designated by the (see Figure 4-2):

- Punalu‘u Extremely Rocky Peat, 6 to 20 percent slope (rPYD) – This soil is low on the leeward side of Mauna Loa. Rock outcrops occupy 40-50 percent of the surface. In a representative profile the surface layer is black peat about 4 inches thick. It is underlain by pahoehoe lava bedrock. The peat is rapidly permeable. The pahoehoe lava is very slowly permeable, although water moves rapid through the cracks. Runoff is slow, and the erosion hazard is slight. This soil is used for pasture. (Capability subclass Vlls, non-irrigate; pasture group 3)

- Lava Flow, Pahoehoe, (rLW) – Pahoehoe lava has been mapped as a miscellaneous land type. This lava has a bellowy, glassy surface that is relatively smooth. In some areas however, the surface is rough and broken, and there are hummocks and pressure domes. Pahoehoe lava has no soil covering and is typically bare of vegetation except for mosses and lichens. (Capability subclass Vlls, non-irrigated)

- Lava Flow, Aa, (rLV) – Aa lava has been mapped as a miscellaneous land type. This lava has practically no soil covering and is bare of vegetation, except for mosses, lichens, ferns, and a few small ohia trees. Aa is rough and broken, and is a mass of clinkery, hard, glassy, sharp pieces piled in tumbled heaps. (Capability subclass Vlls, non-irrigated)

- Kaimu Extremely Stony Peat, 6 to 20 percent slope (rKED) – This soil is at low elevations on Mauna Loa. In a representative profile the surface layer is very dark brown extremely stony peat about 3 inches thick. It is underlain by fragmental Aa lava. Permeability is rapid, runoff is slow, and the erosion hazard is slight. This soil is not suitable for cultivation. Most of it is in native woodland. Small areas are used for pasture, macadamia nuts, papaya, and citrus fruits. (Capability subclass Vlls, non-irrigated; pasture group 5)
4.1.2 Existing Hydrology
Surface runoff Mauka of the Project sheet flows toward the Project site and percolates into the ground. The existing Project area consists of about 5,043 acres of off-site and on-site drainage. The existing area can be categorized into eleven (11) distinct drainage basins, based on approximate ridgelines and natural topography (see Figure 4-3). Runoff from the eleven existing drainage basins was calculated for a 100 year – 24 hour storm event (see Table 4-1).

Drainage Basins 1 and 2. Drainage Basins 1 and 2 encompass approximately 156 acres and 880 acres, respectively. These areas are covered with slight to moderate vegetation and lava rock with very few paved areas. Runoff generated in these basins flow in a westerly direction toward the ocean and percolates through the ground. These drainage basins can be considered off-site.

Drainage Basins 3, 4, and 5. Drainage Basins 3, 4, and 5 encompass approximately 152, 64, and 74 acres, respectively and are on-site. These basins contain only slight to moderate vegetation and lava rock with no paved areas. Surface flow travels in a westerly direction toward the ocean and percolates through the ground.

Drainage Basins 6, 7, and 8. Drainage Basins 6, 7, and 8 encompass approximately 1,674, 148, and 13 acres, respectively. These drainage basins contain mostly slight to moderate vegetation and lava rock with Drainage Basin 6 also having some residential paved areas. Runoff generated in these drainage basins flow in a westerly direction toward the ocean and percolates through the ground. Drainage Basin 8 is on-site while Drainage Basin 7 is off-site. Drainage Basin 6 is both on and off-site.

Drainage Basins 9 and 10. Drainage Basins 9 and 10 encompass approximately 11 and 132 acres, respectively and are completely on-site. Both drainage basins consist of slight to moderate vegetation and lava rock. Hina Lani Street is the only pavement crossing the drainage basins. Runoff generated flow toward the ocean in a westerly direction and percolates through the ground.

Drainage Basin 11. Drainage Basin 11 encompasses approximately 1,732 acres and consists of more development (residential uses and Hina Lani Street) than any other drainage basin. This drainage basin also contains slight to moderate vegetation and lava rock. Runoff generated flows in a westerly direction toward the ocean and percolates through the ground.
4.1.3 Flood Zone

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Community Panel 155166 0692C revised April 02, 2004, the Project site is within Zone “X”. “Areas determined to be outside of 500-year flood plain.” The other FIRM panel 155166 0684C covering the Project site, is determined to be in Zone X. (See Figure 4-4)

4.2 Peak Runoff Rates

The peak runoff rates for the drainage area were calculated using the Rational Method, with a recurrence interval of 100 year-24 hour storm event in conformance with the County of Hawaii, Department of Public Works Storm Drainage Standard.

Increase in storm water runoff is generally related to the type of development or improvements undertaken to previously undeveloped areas. This increase of storm water runoff is created by changes in a number of factors including soil infiltration characteristics (soil permeability), relief (slope of ground), vegetation cover (percentage of vegetation cover over the drainage area), and development type (industrial, residential, agriculture, others). Of these factors, development type is the most significant characteristic that affects storm water runoff. The rate at which surface runoff flows (measured in cubic feet per second, cfs) will change and the quantity of runoff (measured in acre-feet, ac-ft) will also change resulting from the altered landscape.
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4.3 Post Development Improvements

The natural drainage pattern will be modified due to on-site development, including roadways, residential lots, and commercial areas. Runoff from the off-site mauka areas is not expected to increase and will be redirected away from the Project site through drainage channels located on the eastern and northern borders of the Project site. However, the proposed drainage system improvements will maintain or reduce the rate of runoff discharged off the Project site to equal or less than the existing rates, in compliance with County BMP Requirements. The use of detention basins, and natural swales or channels will store and filter the storm runoff, removing pollutants via percolation (see Figure 4-5).

4.3.1 Proposed Hydrology

As the Kaloko Makai Development is built, the runoff pattern defining the existing eleven drainage basins will be modified by the construction of roadways and drainage facilities. To calculate the peak runoff rates for the proposed condition, the existing eleven drainage areas were further divided into 21 drainage areas (see Figure 4-6). Runoff from the proposed project was calculated for a 100 year – 24 hour storm event (see Table 4-2).

Drainage Basin 1. Drainage Basin 1 encompasses approximately 24 acres and located on the northeast corner of the Project Site. This drainage basin will consist of large single family lots.

Drainage Basin 2. Located on the east side of the Project Site, Drainage Basin 2 is roughly 102 acres and consists of large single family lots.

Drainage Basin 3. Drainage Basin 3 encompasses approximately 126 acres located on the southeast corner of the Project Site. This drainage basin will consist of single family lots, large single family lots, multi family lots, a park, and elementary school.

Drainage Basin 4. Drainage Basin 4 has an area of approximately 15 acres and is located on the north side of the Project Site. This area will consist of mixed single and multi family lots.

Drainage Basin 5. At approximately 60 acres, Drainage Basin 5 is located near the northeast corner of the Project Site and will consist of single family, multi family, and mixed use lots all make up this drainage basin.

Drainage Basin 6. Located near the east side of the Project Site, Drainage Basin 6 is approximately 26 acres. It is planned to be used for both single family and multi family lots.

Drainage Basin 7. Drainage Basin 7 is approximately 228 acres and is located near the southeast corner of the Project Site. Most of this basin consist of the native dryland forest while the rest will be used for a park, elementary school, and single family residential lots.

Drainage Basin 8. Drainage Basin 8 is located on the north side of the Project Site and is roughly 27 acres. This drainage basin will consist of mixed use, affordable housing, and for single and multi family lots.

Drainage Basin 9. This drainage basin encompasses approximately 47 acres. Situated at the northern end of the Project site, Drainage Basin 9 will consist of a middle school, park, and mixed use and multi family lots.

Drainage Basin 10. Drainage Basin 10 is centrally located and encompasses approximately 91 acres. This drainage basin will consist of various uses including medical offices, a transit station, hospital, lodge, and business center.

Drainage Basin 11. This drainage basin is near the north end of the Project Site and approximately 25 acres. It is planned for mixed use lots.

Drainage Basin 12. Located on the north end of the Project Site, Drainage Basin 12 runs parallel to Hulikoa Drive. It is approximately 56 acres and will consist of single and multi family lots as well as affordable housing and live-work lots.

Drainage Basin 13. Drainage Basin 13 is situated near the center of the Project Site and is roughly 54 acres. It will consist of a shopping center, legal offices, multi family lots, a transit station, and a senior independent living center.

Drainage Basin 14. This drainage basin is located near the center of the Project Site and is about 13 acres. It will consist of single and multi family lots.

Drainage Basin 15. Drainage Basin 15 encompasses approximately 68 acres and will consist of single family, multi family, and live-work lots. It is located along the southern border of the Project Site.
**Drainage Basin 16.** Approximately 72 acres make up this drainage basin located at the northwest corner of the Project Site. This basin will consist of light industrial and mixed use, multi family residential lots, affordable housing, and live-work areas.

**Drainage Basin 17.** Drainage Basin 17 is situated near the southwest corner of the Project Site. It is approximately 39 acres and will include single and multi family lots, affordable housing, and live-work areas.

**Drainage Basin 18.** Located in the southwest corner of the Project Site, Drainage Basin 18 is about 40 acres. It will contain a park and water tank site.

**Drainage Basin 19.** This drainage basin runs along the western border and northwestern corner of the Project Site and is approximately 74 acres. It includes a waste water treatment plant.

**Drainage Basin 20.** A fire station, desalination plant, water tank, live-work and mixed use lots, multi family residential areas, and affordable housing will all make up the nearly 60 acres of this drainage basin. It is located on the southern end of the Project Site.

**Drainage Basin 21.** Situated near the center of the Project Site, this drainage basin is planned to contain single and multi family residential areas, live-work lots, senior housing, a park, and elementary school. It is approximately 62 acres.

---

**Table 6: Proposed Improvements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Condition</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Improvement</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>1555.5</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (USD)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1/1/19</td>
<td>2/2/19</td>
<td>3/3/19</td>
<td>4/4/19</td>
<td>5/5/19</td>
<td>6/6/19</td>
<td>7/7/19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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1. **Off Site Surface Runoff**
   - Off site drainage areas mauka of the site will remain undeveloped. Drainage runoff will be collected in cut-off-ditch and to natural drainage path and allowed to percolate.

2. **On Site Runoff**
   - The proposed development of the site will increase the storm water runoff volume due to the additional impervious areas.

3. **Drainage Pipe System**
   - On site runoff will be diverted to drain inlet structures and piped through a series of drainlines throughout the project site to proposed basins.

4. **Retention Basin/Underground Retention System**
   - Basins will be provided to capture the additional runoff volume created by the new development. The basins will "retain" the increased runoff volume and allow to percolate.

5. **Downstream Properties**
   - The runoff volume draining the projects site will be reduced, due to the on site proposed improvements. The proposed Kaloko development will not adversely affect the existing runoff conditions and the aquaduct downstream properties. There will be no increase in surface runoff.
4.3.2 Future Runoff Volume Calculations

In order to accommodate the additional flow, detention basins will be provided to retain the increased drainage runoff volume. The Area-Duration method was used with a 100-year-24 hour storm event to determine the required capacity of each detention basin. This calculation method uses the runoff coefficient (C) of the existing and proposed conditions to determine the increase of storm water runoff volumes. Basin volumes are measured in acre-feet and Table 4-3 illustrates the volumes of the pre development and post-development conditions.

4.4 Proposed Improvements

The natural drainage pattern will be modified due to on site development, including roadways, residential lots, and commercial areas. Runoff from the off site mauka areas is not expected to increase and will be redirected away from the project site through drainage channels located on the eastern and northern borders of the project site. However, the proposed drainage system improvements will maintain or reduce the rate of runoff discharged off the project site to equal or less than the existing rates, in compliance with County BMP Requirements.

4.4.1 Temporary Best Management Practice (BMP)

During construction, temporary BMP’s will be designed, installed, and maintained by the contractor for each phase to limit the amount of sediments and other pollutants generated from the Project. Some temporary BMP’s may include stabilized construction entrance/exit, geotextiles, silt fences, storm drain inlet protection, and temporary swales. NPDES permits will be applied for should storm water discharge into any water under jurisdiction of the United States of America.

4.4.2 Permanent Best Management Practice (BMP)

Permanent BMP’s will be designed for each phase of construction and will include ultimate build-out. Drain inlets and catch basin filters may be used to accommodate roadway drainage, as well drainage for school, parks, and other properties. Hydrodynamic devices may be used to separate pollutants such as grease and oils in storm water runoff before discharge. Low impact development structures such as bioretention and bioswales can also be used to treat storm water runoff. All permanent BMP’s will meet County BMP standards to filter first flush sediment and other pollutants prior to discharge off-site, into injection wells, or percolation into the ground.

4.4.3 Detention Basins

A drainage basin approximately 4-7 feet deep will be placed at each park located throughout the Project site (see Figure 4-7). Approximately 30% of the park is assumed useable as a drainage basin due to the natural topography and usage of the park. Any additional storm water runoff will be stored in an underground retention system located throughout the park. Storm water collected in the drainage basin and underground retention system will percolate through the ground. At each drainage basin, a spillway will be constructed to allow any excess storm water to overflow.

4.4.4 Drainage Pipe System

Depending on the type of development proposed, use of an underground storm drainage system consisting of drainlines, drain inlets, and storm drain manholes will be required to collect and convey storm drainage runoff to the drywells and/or detention basins. The proposed drainage system will be designed to minimize the impacts to near shore coastal waters. The actual number of drywells and size of detention basins will be determined during the design phase of the Project. A geotechnical engineer should be retained during the design and construction phase of the Project to verify percolation results of the drywells to confirm discharge capacities. Drywells will require an underground injection Well Permit from the State Department of Health.

The storm water utility line sizes, inlet locations, drywell requirements and detention/retention basin sizes will be determined during the design phase of the Project.

4.4.5 Open Channels

An open drainage channel will be constructed along the eastern boundary (mauka) of the Kaloko Makai development to redirect any off-site drainage away from the Project site and into the natural drainage paths flowing through the Project site (see Figure 4-7). A second drainage channel will be constructed along the eastern boundary of the dryland forest to prevent any on-site drainage from entering the dryland forest. A third and fourth drainage channel will be built along the northern boundary of the Kaloko Makai development to capture any redirect generated uphill from flowing into the Project site.
4.4.6 Roadway Culverts

Proposed roadway culverts will be provided throughout the Project Site to divert runoff under roadways, which will prevent excessive flooding on the roadways. Roadway culvert plans and profiles will be finalized during the final design of the Project.

In addition, bioswales, landscape elements designed to remove silt and other pollutants, along roadways may be an option where appropriate.
5. ROADWAY AND PARKING SYSTEM
The review of the roadway and parking system is based on the review of the Conceptual Land Use Plan prepared by SCC-TSA Kaloko Makai, LLC. This section will concentrate on on-site related roadway and parking system issues.

5.1 Existing Conditions
Access to the Project Site is provided by Queen Kaahumanu Highway and Hina Lani Street. Queen Kaahumanu Highway, located along the western boundary of the Project Site is a two-way, two-lane State highway, currently being widened to a 4-lane divided arterial road.

Hina Lani Street is a two-way, two-lane County roadway with paved shoulders and no curb, gutter, or sidewalk. Hina Lani Street bisects the Project Site in an east-west alignment, and connects to Queen Kaahumanu Highway to the west, Mamalahoa Highway to the east, and Ane Keohokalole in the center.

5.2 Projected Demands
On-site parking requirements for the Project will be based on information provided by SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai, LLC. The current site plan allows street parking in residential areas and parking lots in commercial areas.

5.3 Proposed Improvements
The primary access to the Project site will be provided by Queen Kaahumanu Highway and Hina Lani Street. The Ane Keohokalole Highway (Mid-Level Road) will provide a secondary access to the Project Site.

The third access point to the Project site will be from Mamalahoa Highway via Hina Lani Street.

Based on the Conceptual Land Use Plan prepared by SCD-TSA Kaloko Makai, LLC, the internal roadway system for the proposed Project will include extending Kamanu Street. The Ane Keohokalole Highway (Mid-Level Road) is a secondary arterial being developed by the County of Hawaii bisecting the Project site in a north-south alignment and will be developed as part of the Project road improvements.

5.4 Roadway Types
There will be five (5) major types of roadways within the Project site as described below (see Figures 5-1 to 5-6). Sidewalks will be provided to meet ADAAG requirements unless it is technically infeasible. Industrial roads will be designed for WB-40 vehicles and all other roadways will be designed for SU vehicles.

1. Primary Arterial – There are three (3) primary arterial roadway sections for the development. The existing mid-level roadway (Ane Keohokalole Highway) alignment bisects the Spinal Roadway.
   a. Spinal Roadway – The Spinal Road bisects the Project site in an east-west alignment. This roadway will consist of a 94-foot right-of-way with four travel lanes (one 14-foot lane and one 12-foot lane), 22-foot curbed median, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 8-foot sidewalk on both sides of the roadway.
   b. Future Ane Keohokalole Highway (Phase 2) – The existing Ane Keohokalole Highway will be extended to the north through the Project Site. This roadway will consist of a 120-foot right-of-way and will initially be built with two 12-foot travel lanes, one 6-foot bike lane, 2-foot curb and gutter, and an 8-foot wide sidewalk on one side of the street. As traffic demand increases the roadway will ultimately be expanded to six travel lanes (one 14-foot lane and two 12-foot lanes), 12-foot curbed median, two 6-foot bike lane, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 8-foot wide sidewalk on both sides of the street.
   c. Hina Lani Street Extension (Phase 1) – Initially this extension will not connect to the existing Hina Lani Street and will only provide access to the industrial area. This roadway will primarily be designed as an industrial roadway to include two 18-foot travel lanes, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 10-foot sidewalk. As the capacity of the roadway increases, the roadway will be widened to six travel lanes and provide access from Hina Lani Street to the future Queen Ka‘ahumanu Highway interchange.

2. Secondary Arterial – There are two (2) secondary arterial roadway sections for the development.
a. **Kamanu Street Extension** – Kamanu Street is aligned in a north-south direction. The existing Kamanu Street will be extended to the north intersection with Hulikoa Road. This roadway segment will consist of an 82-foot right-of-way with four travel lanes (one 14-foot lane and 12-foot lane), two 6-foot bike lane, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 7-foot sidewalk on both sides of the street.

b. **Secondary Arterial Roadway** – This roadway section will consist of an 82-foot right-of-way with a 62-foot travel way, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 8-foot sidewalk on both sides of the street.

3. **Collector Roads** – There are three (3) collector roadway sections for the development. The Collector Roads connect to Hina Lani Street or bisect Ane Keohokalolo Highway.

   a. **Collector Road 1** – This roadway section will consist of 60-foot right-of-way with two 18-foot travel lanes, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 10-foot sidewalk on both sides of the street.

   b. **Collector Road 2** – This roadway section will consist of a 94-foot right-of-way with two 35-foot travel ways, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 10-foot sidewalk on both sides of the street.

   c. **Collector Road 3** – This roadway section will consist of a 82-foot right-of-way with two 29-foot travel lanes, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 10-foot sidewalk on both sides of the street.

4. **Industrial Road** – This roadway section will consist of 60-foot right-of-way with two 18-foot travel lanes, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 10-foot sidewalk on both sides of the street.

5. **Minor Roads and Cul-De-Sacs** – The minor streets for the development will consist of a 50-foot right-of-way with two 16-foot travel lanes, 2-foot curb and gutter, and 7-foot sidewalk on both sides of the street.
6. ELECTRICAL, TELEPHONE, CABLE, AND DATA SYSTEMS

6.1 Background

Electrical, telephone, data line access to the Internet, and cable TV service for the Project will be provided by Hawaii Electric Light Company (HELCO), Hawaiian Telcom and Time Warner Cable.

6.2 Existing Conditions

The existing electrical, telephone, and cable systems in the vicinity of the Project site consists of overhead lines located along Queen Kaahumanu Highway.

6.3 Projected Demands

The Project will need to contact HELCO to confirm the availability of service in the area as well as identify any other constraints or items that may be of concern.

6.4 Proposed Improvements

Electrical, telephone, cable TV service, and data line access to the Internet for the Project, if available, will be provided through Hawaii Electric Light Company, Hawaiian Telcom and Time Warner Cable.

7. OTHER UTILITIES

7.1 Fuel Systems

If propane or diesel fuel service is required, an on-site tank system would be required since no gas or fuel lines exist near the Project site. Sizing and locations of the various fuel tanks will be determined during the design phase of the Project.
8. REFERENCES


Department of Public Works County of Hawaii. Storm Drainage Standard, October 1970.


APPENDIX A

- Projected Wastewater Demand Calculations
- Projected Water Demand Calculations
## Projected Wastewater Demand Calculations

### Equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R&amp;D(T)</th>
<th>D(T)</th>
<th>G(T)</th>
<th>H(T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Key

- **A** Average Wastewater Flow = Contribution x Capacity (GPD)
- **B** Max Flow Factor
- **C** Max Flow (GPD)
- **D** Net Wastewater Infiltration/Inflow at 1250 GPD (GPD)
- **E** Design Average Flow (GPD)
- **F** Design Max Flow (GPD)
- **G** Design Peak Flow (GPD)

### Sewer Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel</th>
<th>Type of Use / Unit</th>
<th>SRR Amount</th>
<th>Area (Acres)</th>
<th>TRIB. AREA</th>
<th>CPA/Acre</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>CPU/Acre</th>
<th>CPA</th>
<th>Capital</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Total Wastewater Flow Computation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Note

- Wilson Creek Corporation
- Job No.: 7469-04
- File: 7469-04 Sewer Computation 12/06/04
- Revised: 8/0/12

---

**Average Wastewater Daily Discharge**

- **Total Design Average Flow** = 816,302 GPD

**Total Design Maximum Flow** = 3,907,010 GPD

**Total Design Peak Flow** = 4,420,225 GPD
### Projected Wastewater Demand Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel Type</th>
<th>TRB. AREA (Acres)</th>
<th>Contribution Gallons/Cap/Day</th>
<th>CPA Cap/Unit</th>
<th># Units</th>
<th>Wastewater Flow Calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>56,320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>20,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail/Office Space</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-12</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>275,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-13</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>19,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-17</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail/Office Space</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>127.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>127.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail/Office Space</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior, Live Work</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail/Office Space</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail/Office Space</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>152.7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>152.7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>162.8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>162.8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail/Office Space</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>162.8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-19</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>212.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>212.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Space</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>231.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wastewater Flow Calculation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Average Wastewater Daily Discharge** = 765,185

**Total Design Average Flow** = 817,048

**Total Design Maximum Flow** = 3,072,339

**Total Design Peak Flow** = 4,111,820

---

Wilson Okamoto Corporation
Job No.: 7469-04
Page 2 of 3

FIC 7469-04 Sewer Computation 1200004
Revised: 8/01/12
## Projected Wastewater Demand Calculations

### PHASE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel</th>
<th>SEWER LOCATION</th>
<th>TRIB. AREA (Acres)</th>
<th>CPA Cap.</th>
<th># Units</th>
<th>CPU Unit</th>
<th>CPU Capita</th>
<th>WASTEWATER FLOW COMPUTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Industrial**

- Light Industrial: 100.0
  - T3-1: 100.0, 25.0
  - T3-2: 25.0
  - T3-3: 25.0
  - T3-4: 25.0
  - T3-5: 25.0
  - T3-6: 25.0
  - T3-7: 25.0
  - T3-8: 25.0
  - T3-9: 25.0

**Residential**

- Multi-Family: 1.0
  - MF: 1.0

**School**

- School: 1.0

**Commercial**

- Commercial: 1.0

**Mixed Use**

- Mixed Use: 1.0

**Parks and Open Space**

- Parks: 1.0

**Transportation**

- Transportation: 1.0

**Land Use**

- Land Use: 1.0

### GPD

- Average Wastewater Daily Discharge = 600.276
- Total Design Average Flow = 642.947
- Total Design Maximum Flow = 2,876.606
- Total Design Peak Flow = 5,292.962
- **OVERALL TOTALS**
  - Average Wastewater Daily Discharge = 2,115.763
  - Total Design Average Flow = 2,327.011
  - Total Design Maximum Flow = 10,545.865
  - Total Design Peak Flow = 11,784.048

**Assumptions:**

- 4 persons per Single Family Dwelling and Hotel/Bed & Breakfast
- 2.5 persons per Multi-Family Dwelling/Hotel Use Unit
- 1.5 persons per Public Nuisance Use Unit
- 0.5 persons per Other Use Unit

**Notes:**

- 1,000,000 gpd for Park areas
- 1,000 gpd for Industrial areas
- 1,000 gpd for Commercial
- 1,000 gpd for Multi-Family
- 1,000 gpd for School
- 1,000 gpd for Mixed Use
- 1,000 gpd for Transportation

**References:**

- Based on Keliko Maki - Business Plan User-Utility Spreadsheet, as of 5/11
- Design Standards for the Department of Water Supply, 3rd Edition, City and County of Honolulu
### Projected Water Demand Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T4-15</td>
<td>MU</td>
<td>Live-Work, Market, SF</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Split Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-16</td>
<td>MU</td>
<td>Mixed Use, Market, SF</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>Potts/Non-Potts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-10</td>
<td>MU</td>
<td>Shopping Center, Legal</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>Potts/Non-Potts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Offices, Transit Station, Senior Independent Living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL PHASE 1 UNITS**

306.3

17922 271 1281 217500 213500

Potts/Non-Potts

**Split (Units)\**

- Potts: 0.050
- Non-Potts: 0.10

**Potts (MACO)**

- 0.002

**Non-Potts (MACO)**

- 0.002

---

**File:** Water Demand

**Revision:** 12/17/12

**Job No.:** 7469-04

**Wilton Okumoto Corporation**
### Projected Water Demand Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHASE 2</td>
<td>INDUSTRIAL</td>
<td>Light Industrial</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.10</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>MF, Live-Work, Affordable</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.12</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.13</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.6</td>
<td>Park</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENTIAL School</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.11</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Multi Family &amp; Single Family</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.13</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Multi Family &amp; Single Family</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.14</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Multi Family &amp; Single Family</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.16</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>MF, Senior Live-Work</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.17</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.18</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Mixed Use, Affordable Housing</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.19</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Mixed SF and MF</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.20</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Mixed SF and MF</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.21</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Mixed SF and MH</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.22</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Mixed SF and MF</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.23</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Mixed SF and MF</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.24</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.25</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.26</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>MF, Senior</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4.27</td>
<td>Res./MU</td>
<td>Multi Family</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PHASE 2 UNITS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>239.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Retail Space</th>
<th>Office Space</th>
<th>Water Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Split (Units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;Potable / Non-Potable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>127 SF &lt;400G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 Commercial H &lt;83/37&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>171 SF &lt;400G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60 SF &lt;200G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4000/6400/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4000/6400/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39 SF &lt;400G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102 SF &lt;400G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 Commercial H &lt;83/37&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35 Commercial H &lt;83/37&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 Commercial H &lt;83/37&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>155 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 Commercial H &lt;83/37&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67 SF &lt;400G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93 SF &lt;400G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33 SF &lt;400G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17 SF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 Commercial H &lt;83/37&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64 SF &lt;400G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 Commercial H &lt;83/37&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64 SF &lt;400G&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151 MF &lt;276/124&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Projected Water Demand Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Split (Units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHASE 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2000/2000&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-1</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Large Lot Single Family</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19 / 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-2</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Large Lot Single Family</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19 / 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-3</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Large Lot Single Family</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19 / 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-4</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Large Lot Single Family</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19 / 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-5</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19 / 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-6</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19 / 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-7</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19 / 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-8</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19 / 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-5</td>
<td>Res/MU</td>
<td>Mixed SF and MF</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-6</td>
<td>Park</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-7</td>
<td>School</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-8</td>
<td>Res/MU</td>
<td>Mixed SF and MF</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-9</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-10</td>
<td>Res/MU</td>
<td>Mixed Use, MF, Affordable</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-11</td>
<td>Bus/MU</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-12</td>
<td>UNIMEDIC</td>
<td>Fire Station</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-13</td>
<td>DETAIL-PLANT</td>
<td>Desalination Plant</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PHASE 3 UNITS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>233.8</td>
<td>92.60</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY FOREST</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Dryland Forest</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEN SPACE</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROADWAYS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ALL 3 PHASES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1109.6</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04 / 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Commercial Component Total**

- Projected Water Demand (MGD): 2.162
- Max Day Demand (MGD) (Average Demand x 1.5): 3.273
- Peak Hour Demand (MGD) (Average Demand x E): 10.033

*File: Water Demand*  
*Revised: 12/17/12*
APPENDIX B

Meeting Notes (Department of Water Supply)
Date: July 20, 2007

1. Presented the Kaloko Makai, Draft Conceptual Land Use Plan, Dated June 22, 2007. Discussed the TSA/Stanford Carr Development partnership and mentioned that full project is anticipated to continue for approximately 20-30 years.

2. Discussed the status of the North Kona Improvement District (NKID). The study has been put on hold and will remain at draft status. DEM is pursuing Improvement District (ID) funding to finalize the study and construct the project. DEM is also looking into the possibility of incorporating NKID with the North Kona Community Development Plan (CDP) and utilizing Community Facilities District (CFD) funding. Both ID and CFD support a government-private owner cost-sharing model, however, CFD also considers project phasing and the immediate benefit to adjacent properties at each phase. To date, DEM has not been able to appropriate funds to construct NKID.

3. DEM currently has only one construction project for North Kona. DEM is proposing work as part of the Department of Transportation, Highways Division's (DOT) Queen Kaahumanu Highway Widening Project, Phase II. The proposed scope of work includes installing a gravity sewer line along Queen Kaahumanu Highway from the future pump station to Hina Lani Street. The project also proposes to install ER-1 piping from the vicinity of the pump station to the vicinity of the Kohanaki Development. The Queen Kaahumanu Highway Widening Project, Phase II is scheduled to be bid in August 2007 and awarded in November 2007. Both the sewer line and the ER-1 line will be ‘dry’ lines. Prior to the sewer and ER-1 lines becoming active, DEM needs to construct two more projects. One project, scheduled for construction in FY09-10, will connect
the sewer and ER-1 from the highway to the Kealakehe Wastewater Treatment Plant (KWWTP). The second project, scheduled for FY10-FY11 will expand the KWWTP to produce ER-1 Water. DEM has funds to construct their portion (non-par) of the highway widening project. DEM is pursuing additional funds as needed for the highway project. Funding for the future phases has not been identified.

4. DEM also mentioned that the County is committed to developing decentralized treatment. DEM has identified an area that includes the entire Kaloko Makai property as an 'ideal' area for possible development of decentralized treatment. Funding for decentralized treatment has not been identified.

5. DEM would like to be advised of project phasing and number of units as the information becomes available.

Brian A. Lock

cc: DS, AS, TF

Enclosure: Kaloko Makai: Conceptual Land Use Plan, 06-22-2007
APPENDIX C

- Kaloko Makai Development – Conceptual Wastewater Management System, Brown and Caldwell

July 25, 2011

Mr. Peter Phillips
Stanford Carr Development
1100 Alakea Street, Suite 2700
Honolulu, HI 96813

Subject: Kaloko Makai Development – Conceptual Wastewater Management System

Dear Mr. Phillips,

Stanford Carr Development is proposing to develop the 1040 acre Kaloko Makai project (project) north of Kailua-Kona on the Big Island. The land uses will include residential, retail, commercial, office, light industrial, a hospital, schools, parks, and open space. The project is located outside of the service area for the County of Hawaii’s Kealakehe Wastewater Treatment Plant; therefore a wastewater management system must be developed. We have developed a wastewater management system concept for the development, based on information forwarded by Wilson Okamoto Corporation.

Estimated Wastewater Flow

The State of Hawaii Department of Health (DOH) requires that wastewater systems be designed based on county flow standards, and if applicable county standards are not established the City and County of Honolulu standards are to be used. The County of Hawaii (County) has not yet established wastewater flow standards. Based on City and County of Honolulu flow standards, the average dry weather flow from the development at buildout is 2.37 million gallons per day (mgd).

Wastewater Management System Concept

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of the conceptual wastewater management system. Wastewater from the development would be delivered to a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) that will be located within the project boundaries. The WWTP will be designed to produce R-1 recycled water suitable for irrigation reuse within the project boundaries. The WWTP will also be designed to reduce nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations in the treated effluent to address concerns over nutrient impacts to the adjacent Kaloko-Honokohau National Historical Park and the near shore reef environment. The treated effluent will be pumped to an R-1 storage tank and will be used to irrigate parks, school fields, streetscape, and other allowed uses for R-1 recycled water. Supplemental groundwater will be added to the R-1 storage tank as needed to ensure that the irrigation demands of the users can be met at all times. Excess recycled water will be disposed via infiltration basins.
Each element of the wastewater management system is described in more detail below.

Wastewater Treatment System

The WWT will be designed to achieve two simultaneous goals:
1. Produce R-1 recycled water in accordance with DOH regulations;
2. Reduce nutrient concentrations in the effluent to address potential impacts to the adjacent National Historical Park and near shore reef environments.

The first treatment goal is readily achievable via conventional secondary treatment, followed by coagulant addition, filtration, and disinfection with ultraviolet light. The second goal requires an advanced wastewater treatment process. The nearby Ooma project successfully negotiated with the National Park Service (NPS) to reach an agreement for effluent limits of 5 milligrams per liter (mg/L) of total nitrogen (TN) and 2 mg/L of total phosphorus (TP). The description that follows assumes that the NPS agrees that similar nutrient removal objectives are appropriate for the Kaloko Makai project.

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of the wastewater treatment concept. The wastewater treatment plant would include the following processes:

**Influent Pump Station:** A pump station will be required to lift raw wastewater from the sewer system to the treatment processes.

**Headworks:** The headworks would include screening systems to remove debris and grit removal to remove inorganic particles (e.g., sand) from the wastewater. Grit and screenings will be disposed at the West Hawaii Landfill.

**Biological Treatment:** Organic matter and nutrients will be removed using an activated sludge process. The process will incorporate biological nutrient removal (BNR). BNR incorporates aerobic, anaerobic and anoxic zones within the treatment process and recycle flows to facilitate biological reduction of TN and TP to 10 mg/L and 2 mg/L, respectively. Clarifiers will be used to separate the activated sludge from the secondary effluent.

**Coagulant Addition/Flocculation:** Coagulants (such as aluminum sulfate and/or polymer) will be added to the secondary effluent downstream of the secondary clarifiers and mixed to combine small particles into larger “flocs” that can be removed in the filtration process.

**Filtration:** The filtration process will provide two treatment benefits: turbidity reduction to meet R-1 water recycling requirements, and additional nitrogen removal. Denitrification filters are available that are accepted by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) for Title-22 recycled water production and are also capable of reducing TN concentrations to 5 mg/L via denitrification. The DOH allows the use of filtration processes that are accepted by CDPH for R-1 recycled water production. Addition of a carbon source (typically methanol) is required upstream of the filters to facilitate the denitrification process. The DOH
requires that R-1 recycled water have effluent turbidity of less than 2.0 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) at all times.

**Disinfection:** The effluent will be disinfected with ultraviolet light (UV) to meet R-1 recycled water requirements. The DOH requires that R-1 recycled water have a median density of fecal coliform that does not exceed 2.2 per 100 mL.

**Effluent Pump Station:** An effluent pump station will deliver recycled water to the R-1 storage tank for reuse, or to the infiltration basins for disposal.

**Aerobic Digester:** Waste solids (sludge) from the biological treatment and filtration systems will be pumped to an aerobic digester system for stabilization and thickening via periodic decanting.

**Mechanical Dewatering:** Aerobically digested sludge will be mechanically dewatered prior to being hauled offsite for disposal at the West Hawaii Landfill.

### Water Recycling System

Potential acceptable uses for R-1 recycled water in the Project are listed in Table 1. Note that irrigation of single family residential parcels is not allowed by DOH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Irrigation</th>
<th>Industrial</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Commercial and public landscaping</td>
<td>Toilets and initial flushing in buildings with dual water systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School yards</td>
<td>Industrial cooling</td>
<td>Decorative fountains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic fields</td>
<td>Industrial process water</td>
<td>Street sweeping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road sides and medians</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dust control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial property (if managed by an irrigation supervisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family residential property (if managed by an irrigation supervisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The DOH has restrictive requirements for R-1 irrigation on property located above of the Underground Injection Control (UIC) line (e.g., groundwater or vadose zone monitoring, no excess irrigation to flush salts below the root zone allowed), and therefore we recommend that R-1 reuse be limited to properties located below the UIC line. It appears that most of the development is located below the UIC line, however park P-1 and the upper elementary school may be located above the UIC line and should be irrigated with other water sources.

Based on other Kona-area evaluations, an average R-1 recycled water flow rate of 2.37 mgd has the potential to provide irrigation water for up to approximately 273 acres without routine supplemental water addition. The Kaloko Makai development concept includes 5.0 acres of parks and 30 acres of schools located below the UIC line that will likely be the largest users of recycled water. Only a portion of the park and school acreage will be irrigated; our preliminary estimate is that approximately 60 acres of the total park and school acreage will ultimately be irrigated. Additional recycled water users (e.g., streetscapes, multi-family residential, etc.) can be added to the system if they are located near the distribution lines that carry the water to the largest users.
The required R-1 storage tank size will be based on the peak day demand of the recycled water uses.

Supplemental Water
There may be times when R-1 supplies are not sufficient to meet the irrigation demands of the parcels that are connected to the R-1 distribution system. For example, in the first development phase there will likely be not enough R-1 water to irrigate the parks and schools that are slated for construction. Therefore, addition of supplemental water (potable or non-potable) to the R-1 storage tank will be required to ensure the irrigation demands can be met.

Disposal System
There will be times when there is more R-1 water available than the irrigation users require; for example, during periods of extended wet weather. The DOH requires that a disposal system be provided for when recycled water supply exceeds the demand. The United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey indicates that A'a lava is present at the proposed wastewater treatment plant location. A'a lava is typically extremely porous, and significant volumes of water can be disposed in a relatively small area via percolation basins. Percolation basins are currently used at the Kea'au Wastewater Treatment Plant and at the Kailua Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant for disposal purposes.

Please call me at (808) 442-3301 if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Brown and Caldwell

Craig C. Lekven, PE (Hawaii License No. 13003, Expires 4/30/12)
Supervising Engineer
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INTRODUCTION
This report provides an assessment of the potential impact of the proposed Kaloko Makai project on water resources. It has been prepared to support the project's Supplemental EIS being prepared by others.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT
Project Site and Proposed Development
Location of the 1113-acre project site is shown on Figure 1. The site is on both sides of Hina Lani Drive and extends from Queen Kaahumanu Highway on its makai end to about 760-foot elevation at its highest point. The project is to be developed in three phases and would be comprised of a mix of residential, commercial, industrial, and public uses, the latter including a 150-acre dry land forest (Figure 2).

Projected Water Supply Requirements
Separate potable and non-potable systems are proposed to supply the project's water requirements. Projected supply requirements have been prepared by Wilson Okamoto Corporation (2012) and are summarized on Table 1. At full build out, projected average potable use would be 2.18 MGD. The average non-potable use would be 0.58 MGD.

Alternative Sources of Supply for the Potable System
The potable system's well pumping capacity must provide the maximum day use (defined as 1.5 times the average use) in a 24-hour pumping day. If the wells are dedicated to and incorporated into the County Department of Water Supply (DWS) system, one-third of the well capacity would be allocated to DWS and two-thirds would be allocated to the developer. Four alternative sources for potable supply are being considered: onsite wells at about 710-foot elevation at the upper end of the project; 710-foot onsite wells with desalination; wells several miles to the south tapping the high level groundwater body; and desalination using onsite saline groundwater as the feedwater supply. These are described in the paragraphs following.

Onsite Wells at 750-Foot Elevation Within the Project Site. The possibility of developing potable quality wells onsite at 710-foot elevation is suggested by the discoveries of two deep monitor wells (State Nos. 3858-01 and 3959-01), both of which encountered fresh groundwater under artesian pressure at depth below saline groundwater and far below and hydrologically disconnected from the basal lens. The phenomenon is discussed in detail later in this report. A deep exploratory borehole at 710-foot elevation at the upper end of the project site will be undertaken to determine if fresh groundwater can be found at depth and to determine the feasibility of its development. If successful, three production wells would be developed, each of 1150 GPM capacity and driven by 300 horsepower motors. These wells would be integrated into the DWS system, with two-thirds of their capacity allocated to supply the Kaloko Makai project.
### Table 1
Modification of the Water Supply Projections With Multi-Family Parcels Irrigated by the Non-Potable System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Phase</th>
<th>Projected Supply Requirements</th>
<th>Wastewater Generator for Potential Reuse (MGD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potable (MGD)</td>
<td>Non-Potable (MGD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8558</td>
<td>0.3044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7382</td>
<td>0.1938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6987</td>
<td>0.0856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.1837</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.5838</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** 400 GPD/Unit water use for multi-family parcels distributed 276 GPD for potable and 124 GPD for non-potable based on Honolulu BWS Guidelines.

---

**710-Foot Orison Wells With Reverse Osmosis (RO) Treatment.** In the event that artesian groundwater is found below saline groundwater but its salinity at projected draft rates would not meet drinking water standards, the alternative of RO treatment of this water would be considered. This treatment process would produce a wastewater stream, referred to as a concentrate, that would be disposed of injection wells located at the project's wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) at the makai end of the project site. Due to the operating costs of the RO treatment, DWS may not accept the wells and RO treatment plant for dedication. In that case, the capacity of the wells, as feedwater sources for RO treatment, would be sized to provide the project’s maximum demand of 1,170 GPM in a 24-hour pumping day assuming 65 percent product recovery in the RO process. As a private system, provision of standby well pumping capacity would be required. These criteria translate to four 1,170 GPM wells, one as standby. At full build out, the disposal of concentrate would be 1.15 MGD as a year-round average.

**Wells in the South of the Project Site.** In the event that neither of the two onshore well alternatives described above are feasible, development of potable wells several miles to the south, would be pursued. The exact locations of these wells will depend on land acquisition costs and the costs of additions to DWS' infrastructure required to transmit this well supply north to the project site. In general, however, there are two distinctly different possibilities, wells above Māmaioa Highway tapping the high level groundwater directly or wells in the near vicinity of the State’s deep monitor well tapping the high level groundwater at depth below saline groundwater. In either case, these wells would be incorporated into DWS’ system. Depending on their location, three 1,120 GPM or four 840 GPM wells would be required. Improvements to DWS’ transmission/distribution system would also be required for this alternative.

**Desalination of Orison Saline Groundwater.** In the event that none of the three alternatives described above are feasible, desalination of saline groundwater would be undertaken. The raw water supply wells and desalination plant would be located next to or below DWS’ existing 363-foot tank along Hina Lani Drive. The raw water supply wells would draw saline groundwater from beneath the basalt lens. Tentatively, the depth from which this supply would be withdrawn would be between 250 and 350 feet below sea level, requiring total well depths on the order of 710 feet. The expected product recovery from this saline feedwater supply is 40 percent, meaning that 2.8 gallons of saline groundwater would be required for each gallon of fresh product water produced. As a private system with redundant capacity, this would translate to four 750 GPM treatment trains with each treatment train supplied by a 1,500 GPM raw water supply well.

To reduce the RO power requirements, pressure transfer devices would be installed to recover energy from the RO's concentrate stream. The concentrate itself would be hypersaline [salinity on the order of 60 parts per thousand (ppt) compared to seawater at 35 ppt]. Its disposal, amounting to 4.0 MGD at full build out, would be in three deep wells located at the project’s WWTP.

**Wastewater Generation, Treatment, and Reuse or Disposal.**

A 20-acre site for the project's WWTP would be located at the makai end of the project site (refer to Figure 2). The WWTP would produce R-1 quality effluent which would be the source of supply for the project's non-potable system. The last two columns of Table 1 list wastewater generation amounts, both as design amounts compiled by Wilson Okamoto Corporation and as expected average amounts.
compiled for this report. The expected wastewater amount substantially exceeds potential irrigation reuse in the project's non-potable system. The excess would be disposed of in injection wells at the WWTP if other uses for this water can not be found.

Rainfall-Runoff Generation and Disposal

According to the 2011 Rainfall Atlas of Hawaii (Giambelica and Others, 2011), average annual rainfall across the project site varies from 15 to 26 inches a year. The land surface of the project site consists of unweathered and very permeable lavas with sparse deposits of ash soils and no defined drainageways. Essentially no rainfall runoff leaves the site in its present condition, even during intense storm events. It is either lost to evaporation or percolates to the underlying groundwater.

Development of the project will create impervious surfaces which will locally create surface runoff, All of this runoff would be directed into seepage pits and drywells such that no runoff will leave the site after it is developed.

DESCRIPTION OF THE HYDROGEOLOGIC ENVIRONMENT IN THE VICINITY OF THE KALOKO MAKAI PROJECT SITE

General Overview

The Kaloko Makai project site and the land upslope from it are comprised of unweathered lava flows with little or no soil cover and extraordinarily high permeability. Except where the natural ground has been converted to impervious surfaces by development, continuous flow of surface runoff during storm rainfall does not occur. The rainfall percolates downward rather than flowing over the ground surface for any significant distance downslope. Because of this, the description of the hydrogeologic environment within and around the Kaloko Makai project site focuses exclusively on groundwater. Surface water resources are non-existent.

Groundwater in North Kona area occurs into two different modes, Along the coastline and for several miles inland, groundwater occurs as a brackish basal lens floating on saline groundwater beneath it and in hydraulic contact with seawater at the coastline. High level groundwater, the other mode of groundwater occurrence in North Kona, was first discovered in 1990 with a well drilled in Keauhou at 1620-foot elevation above Hualalai Highway. Since then, more than 20 high level wells have been developed from Kalako on the north and Kealakekua to the south. Many of these wells have been located above Hualalai Highway. Nine of the wells are currently in use, eight of which are owned and operated by the Hawaii County Department of Water Supply (DWIS). DWIS is about to put one more high level well (State No. 4168-03) into production in the near future.

Basal Groundwater Occurrence

Basal Water Levels. In the Keahole to Kailua area of north Kona which includes the Kaloko Makai site, basal groundwater levels near the shoreline are about one foot above sea level and slowly increase moving inland to between two and three feet above sea level at the mauka end of the project site. These water levels move up and down in response to the semi-diurnal tide with the tidal response increasingly lagged and damped with distance inland. At the three monitor wells in the Kaloko Honekohau National Park (KAHO), which are 1500 to 3500 feet from the shoreline, the tidal variation is about 50 percent of the ocean's tidal amplitude and is lagged by less than one hour. Further inland and within the Kaloko Makai project site at Well 4160-02, the tidal amplitude is about 15 percent and the lag is a little more than three hours (TNYRE 2002, page 10).

Figure 3 is a salinity and temperature profile through the water column of onsite Well 4160-02. It illustrates two relatively anomalous characteristics of the underlying basal groundwater, given the areal extent of the potentially contributing upland watershed. First, the 65.8°F temperature in this top portion of the basal lens is four to six degrees colder than in upgradient wells which tap the high level groundwater. Second, the salinity is substantially higher than would otherwise be expected. These two characteristics are found in all basal wells from Keahole Point to at least as far south as the old Kona Airport. The subsurface geology which appears to be responsible for this occurrence is discussed in detail in subsequent sections.

Flowrate Through the Basal Aquifer. The most recent, sophisticated, and therefore most reliable computations of groundwater recharge for Hawaii Island are presented in Engott, 2011. The area within and around the Kaloko Makai project site is a part of the 167-square mile area delineated by the State Commission on Water Resource Management (CWRM) as the Keahole Aquifer System. Its computed recharge in Engott, 2011 is 152 MGD. Reducing this by 14 MGD, the current groundwater pumpage from the aquifer system, leaves an average groundwater flowrate of about nine MGD per coastal mile across the aquifer's shoreline width. If all the rainfall-recharge into the high level aquifer were to discharge into the downgradient basal lens, this flowrate would create a robust basal groundwater body which could be developed for irrigation use and possibly even for potable supply.

This is decidedly not the case for the basal groundwater in the area from the Old Kona Airport to Keahole Point. Indications are that the actual basal flowrate is less than one-third of what might be expected if all of the discharge from the high level groundwater was into the inland margin of the basal lens, As noted above, the substantially lesser actual flowrate through the basal lens is reflected in water levels that are lower than otherwise expected, salinities which are too high for irrigation use, and anomalously cold temperatures.

Deep Monitor Well Results. Results of two deep monitor wells provide insight into the possible geologic feature creating the high level groundwater occurrence tapped by wells above Hualalai Highway and the resulting anomalous flowrate, salinity, and temperature in the basal lens. These two wells are the State's Keaukohi Monitor Well (No. 3858-01) and the Kamakana Monitor Well (No. 3959-01). Both were drilled through the basal lens, continued through the underlying saline groundwater, and then encountered fresh and confined groundwater at depth. Results of Well 3858-01 are described in Water Resources Associates, 2007. Since encountering fresh water at depth was unexpected at this location, the exact depth that it occurred in the borehole during drilling is not known and has not been determined with video logs and other measurements. During drilling of the Kamakana Monitor Well, salinity and temperature of the water in the borehole were closely monitored, enabling the different groundwater regimes penetrated by the borehole to be accurately documented. These are described below and illustrated on Figure 4.
Initially, a thin, relatively saline (5 PPT) and cool (86° F.) basal lens was encountered. The water level was typically about 2.5 feet (MSL) according to the available surveyed elevation. However, the mid-point of the transition zone of this basal lens is between 36 and 40 feet into water, suggesting that the actual water level relative to sea level may actually be less than two feet.

Below the brackish basal lens is a thick transition zone which extends to a depth of 490 feet below sea level. In this transition zone, the water temperature steadily declines to 62° F. at 320 feet below sea level and then the temperature trend reverses, increasing to 67° F. at 490 feet below sea level.

Below this thick transition zone, extending from 490 to more than 1020 feet below sea level, the salinity is essentially that of seawater and the temperature steadily declines with depth to about 59° F.

At 1080 feet below sea level, fresh water was encountered. It was about eight degrees warmer than the saline groundwater immediately above it and had a piezometric head subsequently determined to be about 32 feet above sea level. The fresh water rushed up the borehole, mixing with the saltwater above for the first 150 feet, moving up the borehole for the next 720 feet with little or no mixing, and then mixing into the transition zone above that (Figure 5).

The freshwater zone turned out to be less than 40 feet thick. At 1100 feet below sea level, warmer (70° F.) and slightly hypersaline (35.4 PPT) groundwater was encountered. Drilling was continued for another 120 feet with no salinity or temperature change.

Using inflatable packers to isolate the fresh water zone from the saline groundwater above and below it, short term air lift pumping was done to see if this narrow stratum containing fresh water could provide a developable supply. At low rates of pumping (120 GPM), however, the salinity of the pumped water became brackish and did not stabilize. Somewhat surprisingly, the freshwater zone had a substantial response to ocean tidal variations (Figures 6 and 7), significantly greater than the tidal response in the basal lens directly above. Comparative tidal lags and amplitudes for the respective periods of recording were as tabulated below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groundwater Zone</th>
<th>Tidal Lag (Hours)</th>
<th>Tidal Amplitude (% of Ocean Tide)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh Water Zone</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackish Basal Lens</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of the two deep monitor wells provide insight on the relationship between high level and basal groundwater and the anomalies in the basal water body, at least in the near vicinity of these two monitor wells. These conclusions are:
The feature confining the fresh water at depth is a thick sequence of poorly permeable lava flows, in the aggregate hundreds of feet thick (the zone of vertical flow in the Kamakana borehole with essentially no mixing identified on Figure 5).

That poorly permeable lava flows are the mechanism creating the high level groundwater in Kona is also supported by the results of two high level wells located above Mahaloha Highway (Hualalai, State No. 4258-03 and Keopu, State No. 3597-05). During the construction and testing of both wells, water levels rose and yields were increased by drilling deeper.

The confined fresh groundwater at depth, with an apparent hydraulic connection to seawater at depth offshore, suggests that at least some of the inland high level groundwater may discharge at depth offshore rather than flow into the basal lens at its inland margin.

With limited amounts of warmer, high level groundwater flowing into the inland margin of the basal lens, the source of the colder temperatures in the basal lens is seawater at depth moving inland in a saltwater circulation pattern beneath the basal lens. Figure 8 compares the temperature profile through the water column of the Kamakana borehole with that of the Kamakana borehole with the ocean water directly offshore. Temperatures in the Kamakana borehole to a depth of 320 feet below sea level reflect the movement of saline groundwater below the basal lens which originated as seawater from more than 600-foot depth offshore. Deeper than 500 feet below sea level in the Kamakana borehole, the zone of poorly permeable lava with little groundwater movement on Figure 4, the temperature appears to be simply the result of cooling by the ocean water at similar depths offshore.

Groundwater Quality

Water quality analyses of samples from wells, anehaline ponds, and other locations within and near the Kailua Kai- makai coast are compiled in Table 2. Samples from high level groundwater wells, with very low salinity levels and nutrient concentrations reflecting only natural, rather than man-made input, are at the top of the table. Below that are samples from basal wells with significantly higher salinities and widely varying nutrient concentrations. Toward the bottom of the table are samples from the upper end of Honokohau Harbor and the discharge of the R-2 quality wastewater effluent from the Kealakehe Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). The effluent discharge occurs into an excavated pit which is located on the mauka side of Queen Kahanamoku Highway and about 3700 feet nominally upgradient of Honokohau Harbor (its location is shown on Figure 1). Nutrient removal from the WWTP effluent as it moves to and discharges into upper end of Honokohau Harbor is quantified in section following.

Nutrient Removal by Natural Processes in the Groundwater Environment

The ongoing discharge of 1.3 to 1.7 MGD Kealakehe WWTP effluent into a pit which is nominally upgradient of Honokohau Harbor and the groundwater discharged into the back end of Honokohau Harbor provide an opportunity to quantify natural nutrient removal in the groundwater environment. The inland excavation of the harbor acts as a point sink for groundwater discharge, including the addition of the WWTP effluent into the groundwater. Travel from the pit disposal to the upper end of the harbor...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Site</th>
<th>Date Sampled</th>
<th>Salinity (ppt)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Flow (cu ft/sec)</th>
<th>Nitrate (mg/L)</th>
<th>Phosphorus (mg/L)</th>
<th>Total TKN (mg/L)</th>
<th>Total TP (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Level Potable Quality Wells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3607-04</td>
<td>11-22-11</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4007-01</td>
<td>11-22-11</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4007-02</td>
<td>11-22-11</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4007-03</td>
<td>11-22-11</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Basal Wells**

| 385-01 | 11-22-11 | 729 | 78 | 11 | 3 | 0.04 | 4.6 | 0.03 |
| 266-06 | 11-22-11 | 730 | 78 | 11 | 3 | 0.04 | 4.6 | 0.03 |

**Groundwater Quality Within and Near to the Kakilo Makai Corridor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Site</th>
<th>Date Sampled</th>
<th>Salinity (ppt)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Flow (cu ft/sec)</th>
<th>Nitrate (mg/L)</th>
<th>Phosphorus (mg/L)</th>
<th>Total TKN (mg/L)</th>
<th>Total TP (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-22-11</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4007-01</td>
<td>11-22-11</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4007-02</td>
<td>11-22-11</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4007-03</td>
<td>11-22-11</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>11-22-11</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All samples collected by Tom Nance Water Resource Engineering (Tom Nance) and Marine Research Consultants (Steve Dollar) and analyzed by Marine Analytical Specialists.

**Groundwater Discharge into Honokohau Harbor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Date Sampled</th>
<th>Flow (cu ft/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honokohau Harbor</td>
<td>20-02-10</td>
<td>23,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kealakehe WWTP Effluent</td>
<td>20-02-10</td>
<td>23,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Kealakehe WWTP Effluent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Sampled</th>
<th>Flow (cu ft/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06-23-10</td>
<td>23,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All samples collected by Tom Nance Water Resource Engineering (Tom Nance) and Marine Research Consultants (Steve Dollar) and analyzed by Marine Analytical Specialists.

**Estimates of the Project's Potential Impact on Basal Groundwater**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact on Basal Groundwater</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Note:** All samples collected by Tom Nance Water Resource Engineering (Tom Nance) and Marine Research Consultants (Steve Dollar) and analyzed by Marine Analytical Specialists.

**Groundwater Discharge into Honokohau Harbor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Date Sampled</th>
<th>Flow (cu ft/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honokohau Harbor</td>
<td>20-02-10</td>
<td>23,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All samples collected by Tom Nance Water Resource Engineering (Tom Nance) and Marine Research Consultants (Steve Dollar) and analyzed by Marine Analytical Specialists.

**Kealakehe WWTP Effluent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Sampled</th>
<th>Flow (cu ft/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06-23-10</td>
<td>23,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All samples collected by Tom Nance Water Resource Engineering (Tom Nance) and Marine Research Consultants (Steve Dollar) and analyzed by Marine Analytical Specialists.

**Estimates of the Project's Potential Impact on Basal Groundwater**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact on Basal Groundwater</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Note:** All samples collected by Tom Nance Water Resource Engineering (Tom Nance) and Marine Research Consultants (Steve Dollar) and analyzed by Marine Analytical Specialists.

**Groundwater Discharge into Honokohau Harbor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Date Sampled</th>
<th>Flow (cu ft/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honokohau Harbor</td>
<td>20-02-10</td>
<td>23,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All samples collected by Tom Nance Water Resource Engineering (Tom Nance) and Marine Research Consultants (Steve Dollar) and analyzed by Marine Analytical Specialists.

**Kealakehe WWTP Effluent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Sampled</th>
<th>Flow (cu ft/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06-23-10</td>
<td>23,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All samples collected by Tom Nance Water Resource Engineering (Tom Nance) and Marine Research Consultants (Steve Dollar) and analyzed by Marine Analytical Specialists.
Table 3

Computed Removal Rates of Nitrogen and Phosphorus From Kealakekua WWTP Effluent Arriving at the Upper End of Honokohau Harbor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Station in Honokohau Harbor</th>
<th>Sample Date</th>
<th>Computed Nutrient Removal Rates (%)</th>
<th>All WWTP Effluent</th>
<th>4:1 Groundwater:Effluent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 1</td>
<td>11-09-11</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-21-11</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station 2</td>
<td>11-09-11</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-21-11</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Salinity, nitrogen, and phosphorus values for Kealakehe WWTP effluent from the November 9, 2011 sample (bottom of Table 1).
2. Ambient groundwater salinity of nutrient concentrations based on Well 4061-01 in Table 1.
3. Seawater mixed in the sample assumed to be 35.0 PPT salinity; total nitrogen of 7.0 µM, and total phosphorus of 0.50 µM.
4. Discharge into the upper end of Honokohau Harbor based samples of Stations 1 and 2 in Table 2.

Ambient Quality of the Underlying Basal Groundwater. The salinity and nutrient levels in samples taken from onsite Well 4100-02 (Table 2) are assumed to represent the ambient quality of the underlying basal groundwater. These averages are: salinity of 1.75 PPT; total nitrogen of 80 µM or 1.12 mg/l; and total phosphorus of 5.6 µM or 0.17 mg/l.

Potable Supply Alternatives. Two of the four potable supply alternatives would drill wells at the inland end of the project site. If successful, these two alternatives would tap fresh artesian groundwater which exists at depth below the basal lens and saline groundwater. One or the other of these options would only be pursued if it can be demonstrated, initially by testing in the exploratory borehole and subsequently by testing in the finished production wells, that pumping this water will have no impact on the basal lens above. Rather, it would simply be tapping groundwater than flows beneath the basal lens and does not leak into it.

The third potable alternative being considered would tap the high level groundwater at locations several miles or more to the south of the project site. For the purposes of this assessment, it is assumed that these offshore locations would either be to the north and south of DWS' existing Waiakea well (State No. 3857-04) or just north of the State's Kepuhi deep monitor well (State No. 3858-01). These locations are four and three miles to the south of the Kaloko Makai project site, respectively.

The fourth alternative, desalinization of saline groundwater, would utilize onsite wells designed to draw water from a substantial distance below the basal lens where the salinity may be on the order of 30 PPT. Extensive testing would be undertaken in the development of these wells to affirmatively demonstrate that such pumping can be done without adversely impacting the overlying basal lens.

A key requirement of all four of the supply alternatives being considered is that none would utilize water from the brackish basal lens flowing beneath the project site. Of the three alternatives that would draw from the high level groundwater body, none would impact basal groundwater in the project's mauka-to-makai corridor or elsewhere in North Kona. However, all three of these alternatives would result in a 1:1 reduction of fresh groundwater ultimately discharged into the marine environment offshore.

Landscape Irrigation Return Flow. Landscape irrigation will be supplied by both the potable and non-potable systems, the former occurring primarily in single family residential areas which will not be supplied by the non-potable system. The use of potable water for this purpose at full build out is estimated to be 0.46 MGD, calculated as an average of 4000 GPD/acre on 115 acres throughout the project site. Irrigation using R-1 effluent as the source of supply is estimated to be 0.88 MGD (Table 1). That means the excess of R-1 for disposal in injection wells at the project's WWTP site would be about 0.90 MGD as a year-round average at full build out. For the calculations herein regarding the percolation of excess applied irrigation water to the underlying basal lens, three assumptions are made. First, 12 percent of the water applied for irrigation will be in excess of crop requirements and percolate to the basal groundwater below. Second, total nitrogen and total phosphorus in the R-1 effluent are assumed to be 1500 and 200 µM, respectively, based on levels of these nutrients in the effluent from the County's Kealakehe WWTP (Table 2). Third, fertilizer levels where landscape irrigation is supplied by R-1 effluent will be far less than where the supply is the potable system. Assumed fertilizer applications are:
- In areas irrigated with potable water, nitrogen and phosphorus in fertilizers will be applied at 3.0 and 0.6 pound/year/1000 ft², respectively.
- In areas irrigated where R-1 effluent, nitrogen and phosphorus in fertilizers would be applied at 10 percent of the rate applied in areas with potable water irrigation.
- Ten (10) percent of the applied nitrogen and two (2) percent of the applied phosphorus will be carried in the excess applied irrigation water below the plant root zone.

**Onsite Rainfall-Recharge.** As an approximation, it is assumed that 60 percent of the project site's 20 inches of average annual rainfall percolates to and becomes groundwater recharge. Over the 1113-acre site, this amounts to an average of 1.0 MGD. Drainage features of the development will capture surface runoff and direct it to seepage basins and drywells, resulting, as a first order approximation, in no change in the amount of onsite rainfall ultimately becoming groundwater recharge. However, it is also assumed that nutrient levels in the post-development rainfall percolating to groundwater will be increased by 20 µM and 2 µM for nitrogen and phosphorus, respectively.

**Natural Removal of Nutrients Originating From the Project Site.** Earlier in this report, computed nitrogen and phosphorus removal from Kealakehe WWTP effluent disposed of in a pit 3700 feet nominally upgradient of Horokohou Harbor were given. Selecting values slightly lower values than these computed rates, removal rates of 88 and 92 percent of nitrogen and phosphorus are assumed for the calculations herein.

**Calculated Changes to Basal Groundwater Flowing Beneath the Kaloko Makai Project Site**

Table 4 incorporates all of the foregoing assumptions to approximate possible changes to the brackish basal lens flowing beneath the project site as a result of its proposed development. The resulting approximations are: a 6.2 percent increase in the flowrate; a 5.1 percent reduction in salinity; and nitrogen and phosphorus increases of 5.2 and 2.1 percent, respectively.

**OTHER CHANGES TO THE GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE INTO THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT**

All of the foregoing calculations were for estimated changes to the brackish basal groundwater flowing directly beneath the project site. Three other aspects of the project's development, although not having an impact on this basal lens, will affect the ultimate discharge of groundwater into the marine environment. Each of these is described and quantified below.

**Consumptive Use of Potable Quality Groundwater**

Three of the project's four potable supply alternatives under consideration would draw water from what is referred to as the high level groundwater, either as it exists at depth below the basel lens at the inland end of the project site or at a location to the south where it can be accessed directly. The project's ultimate potable water use would be 2.18 MGD as a year-round average. Wells developed for such use would actually draw 3.23 MGD, one-third of which would be used by DWS to serve other customers in its service area. If RO treatment is necessary (Alternative 2), the draft would be slightly greater at about 3.3 MGD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Flowrate (MGD)</th>
<th>Salinity (PPT)</th>
<th>Nitrogen (lvs/day)</th>
<th>Phosphorus (lvs/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present Groundwater Flow</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Applied Irrigation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As Potable Water + Fertilizer</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As R-1 Treated Wastewater + Fertilizer</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Local Rainfall-Recharge</td>
<td>No Change</td>
<td>No Change</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Development Totals : Amount</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>19.652</td>
<td>2.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>: % Change</td>
<td>+ 62%</td>
<td>- 5.1%</td>
<td>+ 5.2%</td>
<td>+ 2.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. The area irrigated with potable water is 115 acres. The amount of water used for this purpose is 0.48 MGD.
2. The area irrigated with R-1 effluent is 165 acres. The amount of R-1 used for this purpose is 0.58 MGD.
Based on the Keauhou Aquifer recharge calculations in Engott (2011), this total draft for the project and DIWD would represent a two to three percent reduction of the total groundwater discharge into the marine environment offshore of the aquifer. Essentially all of this change would be occurring at substantial depth and distance offshore with no significant impact.

Disposal of Excess R-1 Treated Effluent in Onsite Injection Wells

As a long-term average, it appears that the amount of R-1 treated wastewater effluent will exceed its onsite irrigation reuse by about 0.90 MGD. If other uses can not be found for this water, this excess would be disposed of in injection wells located at the project’s WWTP. That excess could amount to about half the present disposal by the County’s Kealakehe WWTP into a pit inland of Honokohau Harbor. The disposal wells would be designed to deliver the excess effluent at depth below the basal lens so as not to impact the lens itself. To accomplish this, disposal would be at and below where the receiving groundwater salinity is 30 PPT or greater and below poorly permeable lava flows identified in the process of drilling. This may require the injection wells to deliver the water at depths of 300 feet or more below sea level. However, the extraordinary disposal depth is warranted to avoid having a significant impact on basalt groundwater moving through the Kaloko-Honokohau National Historical Park or to the Park’s nearshore waters. The effluent disposed of would be significantly less dense than the receiving basal groundwater. The tendency for the disposed effluent to rise up due to its lesser density would be offset by several factors: (1) disposal beneath one or more poorly permeable lava flows; (2) the substantial vertical to horizontal anisotropy in the lava flows; and (3) progressive mixing of the effluent into the receiving groundwater, causing its density to increase. Wastewater disposal in this manner is being done at the Mauna Lani Resort without adverse impact.

Potential Disposal of RO Concentrate

Two of the four drinking water supply alternatives being considered would involve desalination. An inevitable part of this process is the production of a wastewater stream, referred to as the concentrate. For the desalination alternative using slightly brackish water extracted at depth below the basal lens, the expected concentrate amount assuming 65 percent product recovery would be 1.17 MGD. If it is assumed that the salinity of the feedwater supply is between 2.0 and 3.0 PPT, the salinity of the concentrate would be in the range of 5.3 to 8.2 PPT. This would be too salty for irrigation reuse and would require disposal in wells located on the project’s WWTP site. The disposal wells would be designed to deliver the concentrate to below the midpoint of the transition zone between basaltic basal water and saline groundwater below in order to avoid impacting the overlying basalt lens.

For the desalination alternative using onsite saline groundwater as the feedwater source, the hypersaline (50 PPT) concentrate would amount to an average of 3.27 MGD at the project’s full development. Disposal will be at the project’s WWTP site and utilize the wells also used for excess R-1 disposal. Mixing the hypersaline concentrate with the excess R-1 will assist in ensuring that the less dense R-1 does not rise up into the overlying basalt lens.
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