# **APPENDIX**

F

# PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE AND SOIL EROSION CONTROL STUDY

## PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE & SOIL EROSION CONTROL STUDY

FOR

# **PROPOSED NEW QUARRY SITE**

AT PULEHUNUI, WAILUKU, MAUI, HAWAII

TAX MAP KEY: (2) 3-8-04:01 (PORTION)

**PREPARED FOR:** 

HAWAIIAN CEMENT P. O. BOX 488 KAHULUI, HAWAII - 96732

PREPARED BY:

ENGINEERS, INC.

CIVIL ENGINEERING • LAND SURVEYING • CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT & INSPECTIONAL SERVICES

871 KOLU STREET, SUITE 201 WAILUKU, MAUI, HAWAII - 96793 JOB 05-065

**MARCH 2019** 

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. INTRODUCTION
- II. PURPOSE
- III. BASIS OF STUDY
- IV. EXISTING ONSITE SOIL
- V. ONSITE DRAINAGE
- VI. OFFSITE RUNOFF KOLALOA GULCH DRAINAGE BASIN
- VII. PROPOSED DRAINAGE FACILITIES AND GRADING
- VIII. FLOODING HAZARD
- IX. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
- X. CONCLUSION
- XI. REFERENCES
- XII. PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS
- XIII. FIGURES
  - FIGURE 1 LOCATION MAP
  - FIGURE 2 VICINITY MAP
  - FIGURE 3 PROPOSED QUARRY SITE

FIGURE 4 - SOIL MAP

FIGURE 5 - EXISTING ONSITE DRAINAGE PATTERN

FIGURE 6 - FLOOD MAP

FIGURE 7 - TYPICAL CUT SECTION

FIGURE 8 - KOLALOA & HAPAPA GULCH DRAINAGE MAP

FIGURE 8A - KOLALOA & HAPAPA GULCH DRAINAGE MAP

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>:

Hawaiian Cement plans to lease additional lands for quarrying purposes to replace its existing quarry sites which is anticipated to be completely mined out shortly.

The proposed quarry site (45.350 acres) is located about 2 miles east (mauka) of Mokulele Highway in Pulehunui, Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii. The site is part of Parcel 1 of Tax Map Key (2) 3-8-04. The land is presently a follow sugar cane field. The general location, vicinity and plat maps are shown on Figures 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

Quarrying is expected to be done incrementally at a maximum area of 15 acres in keeping with the requirements of Chapter 20.08 - Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control, of the Maui County Code. After mining is completed for each increment, the exposed areas will be backfilled (using topsoil that was removed and stockpiled) and the area stabilized.

The existing crusher and batching plants and related accessories at the present quarry site will be used in conjunction with the proposed mining operations at the proposed new quarry site.

#### II. <u>PURPOSE</u>:

The purpose of this preliminary study are as follows:

- A. to determine the effect of this project on drainage conditions;
- B. to determine the 100-year discharge and inundation limits of Kolaloa
   Gulch that traverse along the proposed quarry site; and

-1-

C. to determine the requirements for grading and Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control soil erosion during quarry operations.

#### III. BASIS OF STUDY:

The Drainage Study is based on the design criteria as set forth by the "Rules of the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities" in the County of Maui [1] hereinafter referred to as "Maui County Drainage Standards". Soil erosion control measures to be instituted during mining operations of the project will be in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 20.08 of the Maui County Code (MCC) and Construction BMPs for the County of Maui [6].

#### IV. EXISTING ONSITE SOIL:

The predominant type of soil at the site belongs to Waiakoa, Pulehu and Alae Series [2]. Waiakoa Series includes extremely stony silty clay loam (WID2) on 3 to 25 percent slopes. Pulehu Series include Silt Loam (PpB) on 3 to 7 percent slopes and Cobbly Clary Loam (PtA) on 0 to 3 percent slopes. Alae Series include Cobbly Sandy Loam (AcA) on 0 to 3 percent slopes. All these types of soils are characterized by moderate to rapid permeability, slow runoff and slight to no more than slight erosion hazard. See Figure 4 for Soils Map.

### V. ONSITE DRAINAGE:

#### A. Existing Conditions:

The proposed quarry site is presently fallow former sugar cane lands. This site has an average slope of about 3 percent. The ground elevation ranges from approximately 300 to 340 feet above mean sea level.

The proposed site lies to the north of Kolaloa Gulch. An existing drainageway lies to the north of the project site.

Runoff from the southern half of the proposed quarry site flows towards Kolaloa Gulch where it is blocked from directly entering the gulch by a dirt berm along the top bank of the gulch. The runoff flows along a dirt road to the Southwest corner of the new quarry area where it enters the gulch (Figure 5).

Runoff from the northern half of the site is directed to the northwest where it flows and ponds in a low area adjacent to the A.C. paved cane haul road.

Runoff from the fallow sugar cane fields above the project area are also directed to Kolaloa Gulch by existing diversionary ditches. Hence, runoff from these areas is not anticipated to affect the proposed new quarry site.

#### B. Onsite Runoff:

The proposed new quarry site encompassing 45.35 acres of leased land, will be mined in increments. Areas not in active quarrying will remain as fallow sugar cane fields. Therefore, for hydrologic analysis, a typical area of 15 acres with an overland flow of 800 feet long will be considered.

The rational method was used to determine runoff rate and volume for a 10-year and 50-year storm intensity, respectively. It was estimated that a typical 15-acre area in active guarry operations will increase the

-3-

existing 10-year runoff rate by 15.2 c.f.s., from 13.3 c.f.s. to 28.5 c.f.s., while the increase of 50-year runoff volume is about 27,225 c.f. or 1,815 c.f. for each acre of grading area. The 50-year runoff volume increase will be the minimum volume to be retained onsite in order to attain a zero runoff increase during mining operations.

Drainage calculations are shown in the attached <u>Preliminary</u> Drainage Calculations.

#### VI. OFFSITE RUNOFF - KOLALOA GULCH DRAINAGE BASIN:

#### A. Drainage Basin:

The Kolaloa Gulch drainage basin (Figures 8 and 8A) is located on the northwesterly slope of Haleakala and extends from 300 feet elevation to the upper slopes at elevation 9,600 feet. It is about 75,400 feet long with an average slope of about 13 percent. The total drainage area including Hapapa Gulch watershed, is about 3,861 acres or 6.03 square miles.

Land uses varies throughout the drainage basin. The upper portion consist of poor range land and pasture land. The central portion consists of diversified agriculture and pasture lands. The lower portion consist of pasture lands and fallow cane fields in the vicinity of the quarry site.

Soils within the drainage basin are classified under hydrologic soil groups A, B and D as defined by U. S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Services [2 and 5]. Group A soils have low runoff potential; Group B soils have moderately low runoff potential; Group D soils have

-4-

high runoff potential. The predominant soils within the drainage basin are under hydrologic soil Group B.

B. Runoff Rate:

Kolaloa Gulch is anticipated to generate a 100-year, 24-hour storm flow of 2,480 c.f.s. This was determined by employing the NRCS (formerly SCS) Hydrograph Method. Calculations are given in the attached Preliminary Drainage Calculations.

C. Floodway Limits:

The inundation limits, were determined by using FEMA's <u>Guide for</u> <u>Obtaining and Developing Base (100-Year) Flood Elevations</u> [7]. Preliminary results show that the floodways will be confined within the stream banks. The average depth of flow is about 5.5 feet.

Cross-sections were taken along the existing stream. The approximate cross-sectional areas and the slopes were determined from an aerial topographic map of the site.

#### VII. PROPOSED DRAINAGE FACILITIES AND GRADING:

The proposed mining operations is anticipated to increase the storm runoff especially during active excavation when the ground is bare.

Increase in runoff volume (50-year, 1-hr. storm) due to mining operations will be retained onsite by means of retention ponds to be constructed at the downstream end of the grading area(s). In keeping with the requirements of the County Drainage Standards, the ponds will be sized to contain at least the 50 year, 1-hour runoff volume increase. Aside from keeping the runoff at prequarrying levels, the retention ponds will also have the effect of reducing or precluding the potential for sediment contained in the runoff from entering downstream properties and Kolaloa Gulch.

A typical cut section of the graded area is shown on Figure 7. Each incremental grading will be limited to 15 acres maximum.

When quarrying is completed on each increment, the exposed areas will be backfilled with two (2) feet of topsoil and replanted.

#### VIII. FLOODING HAZARD:

The proposed new quarry site is located within Zone X as plotted on Panel 1500030580F of the Flood Insurance Rate Map for the County of Maui. Zone X is designated as areas of minimal flooding. Refer to Figure 6.

Kolaloa Gulch runs adjacent to the proposed quarry site. The calculated stream flow, based on 100-year, 24-hour recurrence interval, is about 2,480 c.f.s. This flow is anticipated to be confined within the stream banks. There is no plan to disturb or alter the existing stream. Mining will be confined to areas outside of the stream. Under these conditions, the proposed quarry operations will not be affected.

#### IX. <u>BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES</u>:

Generally, the control of soil erosion and sediment will be in conformance with the applicable sections of the County of Maui Construction Best Management Practices [6].

-6-

The following are some of the measures to control soil erosion during quarrying operations.

- A. Construct temporary drainage swales or berms to direct storm runoff away from mining area to natural drainageway or ground or to retention basins.
   Diverting runoff away from graded areas will minimize erosion of the bare soil especially over the cut slopes.
- B. Construct drainage basin(s) at downstream end of mining areas. Grade in such manner that runoff from mining area will flow into the retention basin(s).
- C. Mine area incrementally to extent possible. Exposed area at any given time should not be larger than 15 acres.
- D. Areas where mining is completed should be stabilized or provided with top soil and replanted with suitable ground cover.

### X. <u>CONCLUSION</u>:

Based on this preliminary study, the following conclusion and recommendations are:

A. The proposed mining operation will slightly increase the existing runoff quantities, however it is not anticipated to have adverse drainage effects on Kolaloa Gulch and downstream properties. The retention pond(s) to be constructed at the lower reaches of each incremental grading will keep or lower pre-quarrying runoff levels. The retention basin will also have the effect of reducing the potential for sediment contained in the runoff from entering neighboring properties or Kolaloa Gulch. Further, after mining is completed in each increment, the area will be backfilled with two (2) feet of topsoil and be stabilized.

There will be no appreciable offsite runoff that will flow into the quarry area. Most of the offsite flows will be intercepted by several diversionary ditches, diverting the flow to either Kolaloa Gulch or to the drainageways that are running outside the quarry sites.

B. Kolaloa Gulch is anticipated to generate a 100-year storm flow of 2,480
 c.f.s. which was determined by the SCS Hydrograph method in conformance with the Guidelines of the Maui County Drainage Standards.
 Preliminary analysis of the stream channel capacity, using method established by FEMA [7], showed that the 100-year flow will be confined within the stream banks.

Quarrying will be performed outside of the gulch area; therefore, as long as the stream banks are not disturbed, the 100-year flood is not expected to affect the quarry operations.

### XI. <u>REFERENCES</u>:

- 1. <u>Rules for the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities in the County of Maui,</u> Title MC-15, Department of Public Works and Waste Management, County of Maui, Chapter 4.
- Soil Survey of Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai and Lanai, State of <u>Hawaii</u>, prepared by U. S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, August 1972.
- 3. Flood Insurance Rate Maps for the County of Maui, September 19, 2012.
- 4. <u>Rainfall-Frequency Atlas of the Hawaiian Islands</u>, Technical Paper No. 43, U. S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, 1962.

- 5. <u>Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Hawaii</u>, prepared by U. S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, March 1981.
- <u>Construction Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the County of Maui,</u> Dept. of Public Works and Waste Management, County of Maui, May 2001.
- 7. <u>Guide for Obtaining and Developing Base (100-Year) Flood Elevations</u>, prepared by Federal Emergency Management (FEMA), April, 1995.

#### PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

#### I. Onsite

- A. Reference: Rules for the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities in the County of Maui
- B. Methodology: Rational Method

Recurrence Interval: 10-year, 1-hour rainfall (runoff rate) 50-year, 1-hour rainfall (runoff volume)

Drainage Area: 15 acres

Time of Concentration, Tc: Determined from Plate 1

Runoff Coefficient, C:

Existing Condition: C = 0.30 (unimproved)

New Condition: C = 0.50 (bare soil)

- C. Runoff Rate
  - 1. Existing Condition:
    - I<sub>10</sub> = 2"
    - L = 800'
    - S = 3%

Tc = 26 min. (Plate 1)

- i = 2.95 (Plate 2)
- Q = CiA
  - = 0.30 x 2.95 x 15 = 13.3 c.f.s.
- 2. New Condition (During Quarrying):
  - I<sub>10</sub> = 2"
  - L = 800'
  - S = 2%

A-1

Tc = 16 min. (Plate 1)

i = 3.8 (Plate 2)

Q = CiA

 $= 0.50 \times 3.8 \times 15 = 28.5 \text{ c.f.s.}$ 

3. Increase of Runoff Rate During Active Quarry Operations for Each Incremental Area of 15 Acres:

Increase = 28.5 - 13.3

- D. Runoff Volume:
  - 1. Existing Conditions:

V = Rainfall x C x A

$$= \frac{2.5''}{12} \times 0.30 \times 15$$

2. New Condition (During Quarrying):

$$V = \frac{2.5''}{12} \times 0.50 \times 15$$

= 1.5625 ac.-ft.

3. Increase in Volume:

= 1.5625 - 0.9375

= 0.625 ac.-ft.

Increase/Acre = 
$$\frac{27,225}{15}$$

= 1,815 c.f.

4. Minimum Runoff Volume to be Retained Onsite

= 1,815 c.f. For each acre of grading area

### II. Kolaloa Gulch

- A. Reference: Rules for the Design of Storm Drainage Facilities in the County of Maui
- B. Methodology: SCS Hydrograph Method
- C. Drainage Area: 3,861 Acres (Refer to Figure 9 & 9A)
- D. Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) and Curve Number (CN) (Maps 106, 107, 116 and 117) [2] (Tables 14, 25 and 26) [5]

Land Use	<u>HSG</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>CN</u>	CN x Acres
Range Land - Poor Condition	А	305	68	20,740
	В	99	79	7,821
	D	163	89	14,507
Range Land - Good Condition	В	2,878	61	175,558
Sugar Cane Field (Limited	А	93	65	6,045
Cover)	В	323	75	24,225
Total		3,861		248,896

 $CN = \frac{248,846}{3,861} = 64.5$ 

Use CN = 65

#### E. Runoff Rate:

1. Rainfall (P): 100-year, 24-hour rainfall

P = 10.0'' (average)

2. Time of Concentration, Tc:

Time of flow is based on velocities indicated on Table 4 [1]

Tc<sub>1</sub> (300 ft. elev. to 4,200 ft. elev.):

L = 54,100 ft.

A-4

S = 
$$\frac{4,200 - 280}{54,100}$$
 = 7.3%

V = 4.0 fps (use for Natural Channel Flow)

$$Tc_1 = \frac{54,110}{4.0} \times \frac{1}{60} = 225$$
 minutes

Tc<sub>2</sub> (4,200 ft. elev. to 9,600 ft. elev.)

L = 21,300 ft.

$$S = \frac{9,600 - 4,200}{21,300} = 25\%$$

V = 4.5 fps (use for Overland Flow)

$$Tc_2 = \frac{21,300}{4.5} \times \frac{1}{60} = 79$$
 minutes

Total Tc =  $Tc_1 + Tc_2$ 

= 225 + 79 = 304 minutes

3. Peak Discharge = 2,480 c.f.s.

(See attached Hydrologic Report)

F. Inundation Limits:

Approximate inundation limits were determined by computing the normal depth of the 100 year storm flow at few sections of the gulch using the programs developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) [7]. The average depth of flow is estimated at 5.5 feet.

GUIDE FOR THE DETERMINATION OF RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS FOR BUILT-UP ARE AS\*

WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS	EXTREME	нісн	MODERATE	LOW
INFILTRATION	NEGLIGIBLE 0.20	SLOW 0.14	MEDIUM 0.07	HIGH 0.0
RELIEF	STEEP (> 25%) 0.08	HILLY (15-25%) 0.06	ROLLING (5-15%) 0.03	FLAT (0-5%) 0.0
VEGETAL COVER	NONE 0.07	POOR (< 10%) 0.05	GOOD (10 - 50%) 0.03	HÌG H (50 – 90%) 00
DEVELOPMENT TYPE	INDUSTRIAL & BUSINESS 0.55	HOTEL – APAR TMENT 0.45	RESIDENTIAL 0.40	AGRICULTURAL 0.15

\*NOTE: The design coefficient "c" must result from a total of the values for all four watershed characteristics of the site.

OFFSITE EREA TARLE I

## Table 2

#### RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS

Type of Drainage Area	Runoff Coefficient C
Parks, cemeteries	0.25
Playgrounds	0.35
Railroad vard areas	0.40
Unimproved areas	0.30
Streets:	
Asphaltic	0.95
Concrete	0.95
Brick	0.85
Driveway and walks	0.85
Roofs	0.95
Lawns:	
Sandy soil, flat, 2%	0.10
Sandy soil, avg., 2-7%	0.15
Sandy soil, steep, 7%	0.20
Heavy soil, flat, 2%	0.17
Heavy soil, avg., 2-7%	0.22
Heavy soil, steep, 7%	0.35

#### MINIMUM RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS FOR BUILT-UP AREAS

Residential areas	C=0.55
Hotel, apartment areas	C=0.70
Business areas	C=0.80
Industrial areas	C=0.80

The type of soil, the type of open space and ground cover and the slope of the ground shall be considered in arriving at reasonable and acceptable runoff coefficients.

# Table 4

### APPROXIMATE AVERAGE VELOCITIES OF RUNOFF FOR CALCULATING TIME OF CONCENTRATION

TYPE OF FLOW	YELO(	CITY IN n percent	FPS FOR	SLOPES TED
OVERLAND FLOW:	0-3%	4-7%	8-11%	12-15%
Woodlands	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.5
Pastures	1.5	3.0	4.0	4.5
Cultivated	2.0	4.0	5.0	6.0
Pavements	5.0	12.0	15.0	18.0

#### OPEN CHANNEL FLOW:

Improved Channels	Determine	Velocity by	Manning's	Formula
Natural Channel*	1.0	3.0	5.0	,8.0
(not well defined)				

• These values vary with the channel size and other conditions so that the ones given are the averages of a wide range. Whereever possible, more accurate determinations should be made for particular conditions by Manning's formula. PAGE 18-02 SEELYE VOL-1

# DRAINAGE — RUNOFF — 2

## Q=Aci RATIONAL FORMULA (Logical approach).

Q = RUNOFF = Peak discharge of watershed in cubic feet per second (c.f.s.) due to maximum storm assumed. See Figs. Ato F, Pg. 18-01 (Usually 10-25 years). A = Aica of watershed in acres.

C = Coefficient of runoff, Table B below (Measure of losses due to infiltration, etc.). i = Intensity of rainfall in inches per hour based on Concentration time. See Pg. 18-01. Concentration time = time required for rainfalling at most remote point to reach discharge point. Concentration time may include Overland flow time, Fig. H, Pg. 18-01, and Channel flow time, Pg. 18-05, 18-06, 18-69 and 18-71.

## TABLE A-COMPUTATION FORM FOR RATIONAL FORMULA.

LOCA	TIC	N	A	4		FLO	1E )W - 1	OF MIN.				DES	31G	N		P	RO	FIL	E	
STREET	FROM	то	INCRE- MENT	TOTAL	c	to Inlet	IN CHAN NEL	TIME OF CONC	L *	Q c. <del>f.</del> 3.	CHAN- NEL OR PIPE SIZE	SLOPE ft. perft.	n	CAPA- CITY FULL C.f.s.	V ft. per Sec.	LENGTH ft.	FALL ft.	OTHER LOSSES Ft.	INV. ELEV. UPPER END	INV. ELEV. LOWER END
FIRST ST.	A	В	1.8	1.8	.44	16.5	0.3	16.5	3.8	3.0	15"	.008	.015	4.6	3.9	60	0.48	0	82.00	81.52
MAIN RD.	В	С	1.9	3.7	,50		2.5	16.8	3.7	6.8	D-2	.011	.030	12.0	2.8	420	4.62	0	81.52	76.90
11 11	С	D	2.0	5.7	.50		1.8.	193	3.5	10.0	21"	.007	.015	11.1	4.5	480	3.36	2.20	74.70	70.34

\*Note that the sequence of design as in example, Fig. J, Pg. 18-01 involves trial assumptions in determining i.

+ Fall in monhole.

TABLE	B-VALUES OF C = R	UNOFF ZAINFALL	VAL	JE Posed	VALU OTH AUTH	E BY ER DRITY	
	SURFACES		MIN.	MAX.	MIN.	MAX.	
ROOFS,	slag to metal.		0.90	1.00	0.70	0.95	
	Concrete or Asphalt.		0.90	1.00	0.95	1.00	ÌÌ
PAVEMENTS	Bituminous Macadam, open and close	ed type.	0.70	0.90	0.70	0.90	3
	Grovel, from Clean and loose to clayey an	d compact.	0.25	0.70	0.15	0.30	Ĩ
R.R. YARDS	j		0.10	0.30	0.10	0.30	Ō
	SAND, from uniform grain size, no fines,	Bare	0.15	0.50	0.01	0.55	à
	to well graded, some clay or silt.	Light Vegetation	0.10	0.40	0.01	0.55	4
	5 4 5	Dense Vegetation	0.05	0.30	0.01	0.55	4
	LOAM. from sandy or gravelly to ->>	Bare	0.20	0.60			
	clayey.	light Vegetation	0.10	0.45			
EARTH	5-3.	Dense Vegetation	0.05	0.35			
SURFACES	GRAVEL, from clean gravel and gravel	Bare	0.25	0.65			
	sond mixtures, no silt or clay to high	Light Vegetation	0.15	0.50			
	clay or silt content.	Dense Vegetation	0.10	0.40			
	CLAY. from course sandy or silty to	Bare	0.30	0.75	0.10	0.70	4
	oure colloidal claus.	Light Vegetotion	0.20	0.60	0.10	0.70	4
	<i>p</i> =: 0 00:101201 0:= <u>j</u> =:	Dense Vegetation	0.15	0.50	0.10	0.70	6
	City, business areas.		0.60	0.75	0.60	0.95	6
	City, dense residential areas, vary as to soin	and vegetation.	0.50	0.65	0.30	0.60	G
COMPOSITE	Suburban residential areas, "	12	0.35	0.55	0.25	0.40	C
AREAS	Rurol Districts, "	11	0.10	0.25	0.10	0.25	0
	Parks, Golf Courses, etc., "	lt	0.10	0.35	0.05	0.25	$\mathbb{C}$

NOTE: Values of "C" for earth surfaces are further varied by degree of saturation, compaction, surface irregularity and slope, by character of subsoil, and by presence of frost or glazed snow or ice.

1) Bryant & Kuichling, Report, Back Bay Sewerage District, Boston, 1909.

@ Metcalf and Eddy, American Sewerage Practice, 1928. Mª Graw-Hill.

3 Used by City of Boston, reported by Metcalf & Eddy.

(a) Used by City of Detroit, reported by Metcolf & Eddy.

5 L.C. Urguhart, Civil Engineering Handbook, 1940. Mª Graw-Hill.

•	H	Hydrologic soil group					
Land use	description	A	В	С	D		
Cultivated land							
without conservation trea	itment	72	81	88	91		
with conservation treatme	ent	62	71	78	81		
Pasture or range land							
poor condition		68	79	86	89		
good condition		39	61	74	80		
Meadow							
good condition		30	58	71	78		
Wood or Forest land				:			
thin stand, poor cover, n	o mulch	45	66	77	83		
good cover <sup>2</sup>		25	55	70	77		
Open Spaces, lawns, parks, good condition	golf courses, cemeteries, etc	2.					
grass cover on 75% or	more of the area	39	61	74	80		
fair condition		1					
grass cover on 50% to	75% of the area	<b>49</b> ).	- 69	79	84		
Commercial and business a	reas (85% impervious)	89	92	94	95		
Industrial districts (72% im	pervious).	81	88	91	93		
Residential <sup>3</sup>							
Average lot size	Average % Impervious <sup>4</sup>						
1/2 acre or less	65	77	85	90	92		
¼ acre	38	61	75	83	87		
1/3 acre	30	57	72	81	86		
½ acre	25	54	70	80	85		
1 acre	20	51	68	79	84		
Paved parking lots, roofs, o	driveways' etc.	95	95	95	95		
Streets and roads							
paved with curbs and sto	rm sewers	95	95	95	95		
gravel		76	85	89	91		
dirt		72	82	87	89		

TABLE 25. Runoff curve numbers for selected agricultural, suburban, and urban land use

í

For a more detailed description of agricultural land use curve numbers refer to National Engineering Handbook, Section 4, Hydrology, Chapter 9, Aug. 1972.
 Good cover is protected from grazing and litter and brush cover soil.
 Curve numbers are computed assuming the runoff from the house and driveway is directed towards the article article and brush cover sold.

towards the street with a minimum of roof water directed to lawns where additional infiltration could occur.

4. The remaining pervious areas (lawn) are considered to be in good pasture condition for these curve numbers.

#### TABLE 26. Runoff curve numbers for sugarcane in Hawaii

	Hydrologic Soil Grou				
Cover and Treatment	А	В	С	D	
Limited cover, straight row	67	78	85	89	
Partial cover, straight row	49	69	79	84	
Complete cover, straight row	39	61	74	80	
Limited cover, contoured	65	75	82	86	
Partial cover, contoured	25	59	75	83	
Complete cover, contoured	6	35	70	79	

#### Notes:

Limited cover: Cane newly planted, or ratooned cane with a limited root system; canopy over less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  the field area.

Partial cover: Cane in the transition period between limited cover and complete cover; canopy over  $\frac{1}{2}$  to nearly the entire field area.

*Complete cover:* Cane from the stage of growth when full canopy is provided to the stage at harvest.

Straight-row planting is up and down hill or cross-slope on slopes greater than 2 percent. Contoured planting is the usual contouring or cross-slope planting on slopes less than 2 percent.

#### TABLE 27. Runoff curve numbers for pineapple in Hawaii

	Hydrologic Soil Group							
Cover and Treatment	A	В	С	D				
Partial cover, cross-sloped	67	78	85	89				
Complete cover, cross-sloped	49	69	79	84				
Partial cover, cross-sloped & terraced	65	75	82	86				
Complete cover, cross-sloped & terraced	39	61	74	80				
Partial cover, contoured & terraced	62	71	78	81				
Complete cover, contoured & terraced	25	59	75	83				

NOTES:

Partial cover: Stage of growth between time when crop is newly planted until initial closing in. Complete cover: Stage of growth when crop is completely closed in, including ratoon crops.

#### HYDROLOGIC REPORT

Kolaloa/Hapapa Gulches 100-Yr.,24-Hr..... Discharge....

Hyd. No. 1

Hydrograph type Storm frequency	=	S.C.S. RUNOFF 100 yr	Peak discharge Time interval	= 2480.18 cfs = 5 min
Basin area	=	3861 ac	Basin curve No.	= 65
Ave basin slope	=	13 %	Hydraulic len	= 75400 ft
Basin lag	=	182.4 min	Time of concen	=304.00 min
Total precip.	=	10.00 in	Distribution	= S.C.S. I

#### HYDROGRAPH DISCHARGE TABLE

TIME	OUTFLOW	TIME	OUTFLOW	TIME-	OUTFLOW	TIME-	-OUTFLOW
(hrs	cfs)	(hrs	cfs)	(hrs	cfs)	(hrs	cfS)
6.08	0.66	6.17	0.89	6.25	1.17	6.33	1.50
6.42	1.90	6.50	2.37	6.58	2.92	6.67	3.55
6.75	4.28	6.83	5.12	6.92	6.07	7.00	7.15
7.08	8.35	7.17	9.70	7.25	11.21	7.33	12.86
7.42	14.69	7.50	16.69	7.58	18.87	7.67	21.24
7.75	23.82	7.83	26.60	7.92	29.60	8.00	32.82
8.08	36.29	8.17	40.03	8.25	44.07	8.33	48.44
8.42	53.19	8.50	58.33	8.58	63.89	8.67	69.91
8.75	76.43	8.83	83.47	8.92	91.08	9.00	99.30
9.08	108.18	9.17	117.79	9.25	128.21	9.33	139.50
9.42	151.76	9.50	165.06	9.58	179.90	9.67	197.22
9.75	218.10	9.83	246.44	9.92	285.27	10.00	331.03
10.08	379.19	10.17	429.57	10.25	481.98	10.33	536.17
10.42	591.91	10.50	648.96	10.58	707.16	10.67	766.46
10.75	826.77	10.83	888.04	10.92	950.19	11.00	1013.16
11.08	1076.88	11.17	1141.29	11.25	1206.32	11.33	1271.89
11.42	1337.94	11.50	1404.38	11.58	1471.14	11.67	1538.12
11.75	1605.26	11.83	1672.45	11.92	1739.61	12.00	1806.64
12.08	1873.42	12.17	1939.82	12.25	2005.68	12.33	2070.87
12.42	2135.21	12.50	2198.54	12.58	2260.05	12.67	2318.19
12.75	2371.18	12.83	2412.78	12.92	2438.06	13.00	2452.72
13.08	2463.96	13.17	2472.00	13.25	2477.16	13.33	2479.77
13.42	2480.18	13.50	2478.73	13.58	2475.65	13.67	2471.00
13.75	2464.86	13.83	2457.31	13.92	2448.42	14.00	2438.27
14.08	2426.90	14.17	2414.37	14.25	2400.68	14.33	2385.88
14.42	2369.99	14.50	2353.06	14.58	2335.10	14.67	2316.17
14.75	2296.29	14.83	2275.49	14.92	2253.82	15.00	2231.30
15.08	2207.98	15.17	2183.87	15.25	2158.99	15.33	2133.36
15.42	2107.02	15.50	2079.98	15.58	2052.26	15.67	2023.89

1

### HYDROGRAPH DISCHARGE TABLE Cont'd

TIME	OUTFLOW	TIME-	OUTFLOW	TIME-	OUTFLOW	TIME-	OUTFLOW
(hrs	cfs)	(hrs	cfs)	(hrs	cfs)	(hrs	cfS)
15.75	1994.89	15.83	1965.28	15.92	1935.10	16.00	1904.35
16.08	1873.08	16.17	1841.32	16.25	1809.10	16.33	1776.47
16.42	1743.47	16.50	1710.13	16.58	1676.50	16.67	1642.63
16.75	1608.55	16.83	1574.31	16.92	1539.97	17.00	1505.56
17.08	1471.14	17.17	1436 75	17.25	1402.45	17.33	1368.29
17.42	1334.32	17.50	1300 60	17.58	1267.44	17.67	1235.41
17.75	1205 18	17 83	1179 08	17 92	1158 95	18 00	1142 66
18 08	1127 49	18 17	1113 38	18 25	1100 18	18 33	1087 77
18 42	1076 03	18 50	1064 91	10.25	1054 03	18 67	1043 66
10.75	1033 67	10.00	1024 02	10.00	1014 71	19 00	1005 67
10.75	1033.07	10.03	1024.03	10.92		10 22	972 05
10 10	990.09	19.17	900.37	19.25	960.09	19.33	972.05
19.44	904.23	19.50	950.03	19.58	949.23	19.67	942.03
19.75	935.00	19.83	928.15	19.92	921.46	20.00	914.92
20.08	908.52	20.17	902.26	20.25	896.13	20.33	890.12
20.42	884.23	20.50	878.45	20.58	872.77	20.67	867.19
20.75	861.71	20.83	856.31	20.92	850.99	21.00	845.74
21.08	840.55	21.17	835.43	21.25	830.36	21.33	825.34
21.42	820.35	21.50	815.40	21.58	810.47	21.67	805.56
21.75	800.67	21.83	795.78	21.92	790.88	22.00	785.98
22.08	781.06	22.17	776.14	22.25	771.20	22.33	766.24
22.42	761.28	22.50	756.30	22.58	751.32	22.67	746.32
22.75	741.31	22.83	736.29	22.92	731.26	23.00	726.22
23.08	721.17	23.17	716.10	23.25	711.03	23.33	705.95
23.42	700.86	23.50	695.75	23.58	690.64	23.67	685.52
23.75	680.39	23.83	675.25	23.92	670.10	24.00	664.94
24.08	659.52	24.17	653.85	24.25	647.93	24.33	641.76
24.42	635.34	24.50	628.68	24.58	621.78	24.67	614.64
24.75	607.27	24.83	599.68	24.92	591.85	25.00	583.81
25.08	575.54	25.17	567.06	25.25	558.37	25.33	549.47
25.42	540.36	25.50	531.05	25.58	521.54	25.67	511.84
25.75	501.94	25.83	491.85	25.92	481.58	26.00	471.12
26.08	460.48	26.17	449.67	26.25	438.69	26.33	427.53
26.42	416.21	26.50	404.73	26.58	393.08	26.67	381.28
26.75	369.33	26.83	357.22	26.92	344.97	27.00	332.58
27.08	320.45	27.17	308.57	27.25	296.96	27.33	285.59
27.42	274.48	27.50	263 62	27.58	253.01	27.67	242.65
27 75	232 53	27 83	202.66	27.92	213 03	28 00	203 64
28 08	194 48	28 17	185 57	28 25	176 89	28 33	168.44
20.00	160 23	28 50	152.27	20.25	144 49	28.67	136 95
20.42	129 65	20.00	100 56	20.00	115 70	29.07	109 05
20.75	102 63	20.03	96 /1	20.92	90 41	29.22	84 62
29.00	79 05	29.17	72 67	29.25	68 51	29.55	63 55
27.42	FQ 70	22.20	10.01	22.20	10.51	29.07	15 71
29.13	11 74	22.03	24.43	22.22	42.01	20.00	30 00 40./T
30.00	41.74 07 70	30.1/	ול.וכ רד גר	30.43	34,37 31 03	30.33	10 27
30.42	16 00	30.30	24.// 14 E0	30.30	41,73 19 90	21 00	10 10
20.12	TO.00	20.03	T# . DU	50.92	12.30	3T.00	TO'42

HYDROGRAPH DISCHARGE TABLE Cont'd

TIMEOUTFLOW		TIMEOUTFLOW		TIMEOUTFLOW		TIMEOUTFLOW	
(hrs	cfs)	(hrs	cfs)	(hrs	cfs)	(hrs	cfS)
31.08	8.66	31.17	7.06	31.25	5.62	31.33	4.36
31.42	3.25	31.50	2.31	31.58	1.54	31.67	0.92











**Natural Resources Conservation Service** 

USDA

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION		
Area of Interest (AOI)		Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped		
Area of Inte	rest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:24,000.		
Soils	ñ	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.		
Soil Map U	nit Polygons	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca		
🛹 Soil Map U	nit Lines	Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of		
Soil Map U	nit Points	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de		
Special Point Featur	es Water Fo		scale.		
Blowout		Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map		
Borrow Pit	Transpo	rtation	measurements.		
💥 🛛 Clay Spot		Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service		
Closed Dep	ression 📈	Interstate Highways	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)		
Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me		
Gravelly Sp	ot 🥪	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distort		
🔇 Landfill	~	Local Roads	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more		
👗 🛛 Lava Flow	Backgro	und	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.		
Marsh or sv	vamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified of of the version date(s) listed below.		
Mine or Qu	arry		Soil Survey Area: Island of Maui, Hawaii		
Miscellaned	ous Water		Survey Area Data: Version 16, Sep 11, 2018		
Perennial V	/ater		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scale		
V Rock Outcr	ор				
+ Saline Spot			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Dec 31, 2009 14, 2017		
Sandy Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines		
Severely Er	oded Spot		compiled and digitized probably differs from the backgroun		
Sinkhole			imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.		
Slide or Slip	)				
Sodic Spot					

## Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
AaB	Alae sandy loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes	10.9	1.7%
AcA	Alae cobbly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	63.2	9.6%
EaA	Ewa silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	6.2	0.9%
EcA	Ewa cobbly silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	1.8	0.3%
РрА	Pulehu silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	18.4	2.8%
РрВ	Pulehu silt loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes	29.0	4.4%
PrB	Pulehu cobbly silt loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes	39.6	6.0%
PsA	Pulehu clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes , MLRA 163	89.6	13.6%
PtA	Pulehu cobbly clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	64.3	9.7%
WeB	Waiakoa silty clay loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes	17.9	2.7%
WgB	Waiakoa very stony silty clay loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes	164.8	24.9%
WhB	Waiakoa extremely stony silty clay loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes, MLRA 157	97.7	14.8%
WID2	Waiakoa extremely stony silty clay loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes, eroded, MLRA 157	57.3	8.7%
Totals for Area of Interest		660.7	100.0%





If this map has been identified as 'PRELIMINARY', please note that it is being provided for informational purposes and is not to be used for flood insurance rating. Contact your county floodplain manager for flood zone determina-tions to be used for compliance with local floodplain management regulations.

purchase apply, but coverage is available in participating commu-**FIGURE 6** 

nities



CIVIL æ STRUCTURAL

ENGINEERS

LAND

SURVEYORS

**FIGURE 7** 



