

From: [Nansy Phleger](mailto:Nansy.Phleger)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: #SaveOlowalu Action Alert: Testimony to the LUC
Date: 11/17/2015 09:28 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

We, Dr. & Mrs. Charles F. Phleger, live at 322 Front St., Lahaina, and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore,

they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential effects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the effects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet

the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time, Dr. Charles (Rick) and Nansy Phleger,
322 Front St., Lahaina, Maui, 96761 - 808-662-8642

From: jan_farmer
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: #saveolowalu
Date: 11/17/2015 02:20 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Janna Farmer live in Kihei and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+

turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Janna Farmer
186 Mehani Circle
Kihei HI 96753

From: [John Fitzpatrick](#)
To: [State Land Use Commission, Members of the Land Use Commission](#)
Subject: 1,515 signers: #SaveOlowalu: Please Testify To The State Of Hawaii LUC, Reject The Olowalu EIS petition
Date: 11/17/2015 09:27 AM

Dear State Land Use Commission,

I started a petition to you titled [***#SaveOlowalu: Please Testify To The State Of Hawaii LUC, Reject The Olowalu EIS***](#). So far, the petition has 1,515 total signers.

You can post a response for us to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:
http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-102740-custom-64216-20251117-1WrnyM

The petition states:

"On November 18th we need to let the Hawaii State Land Use Commission know that we reject the plan for an Olowalu town development and we reject the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that Frampton and Ward are presenting in order to build their 1700-home city at Olowalu. This city will increase the West Maui population by over 4,000 residents and 3,000 more cars on an already overcrowded infrastructure. In addition, marine biologists from around the world have said it will likely kill our largest, oldest and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem that house 700+ year old coral colonies, endangered monk seals, sea turtles, fish, invertebrates and other endemic species. What's at stake? • Our ocean, reef eco-system (700 year old kupuna coral), limu and fish • Existing roads and traffic are already horrible; imagine 3,000 more cars • Our streams and aquifers • Over-crowded schools • Loss of prime agricultural land • Public access to the coastline • Traditional and customary use of Olowalu lands and waters Please sign the petition and, if possible, show up to testify on Wednesday, Nov. 18, 2015 at 9:30 AM. We only have seven days to get the word out. #saveolowalu facebook event to get instructions. <https://www.facebook.com/events/1517489378544315/>"

To download a PDF file of all your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1670229&target_type=custom&target_id=64216

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1670229&target_type=custom&target_id=64216&csv=1

Thank you.

--John Fitzpatrick

If you have any other questions, please email petitions@moveon.org.

The links to download the petition as a PDF and to respond to all of your constituents will remain available for the next 14 days.

This email was sent through MoveOn's petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

***[http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?
e=soBXfUW3mIZGI5GGesCKzSBsdWNAZGJIZHQuaGF3YWlpLmdvdg--
&petition_id=102740](http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=soBXfUW3mIZGI5GGesCKzSBsdWNAZGJIZHQuaGF3YWlpLmdvdg--&petition_id=102740)***

From: Peckj006@hawaii.rr.com
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: A10-786 Olowalu Town EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 07:11 AM

I have lived in Lahaina for some 30 years now and have witnessed the continued degradation of our Communities ocean front environment. This proposed development will only hasten the degradation of all we hold of vital importance ----- our way of life It will have heavy impact on our traffic, ocean activities, etc.

Please reject the EIS you have been provided by this developer.

Aloha, Jim Peck

From: [James Wisthoff](mailto:James.Wisthoff@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: A10-786 Olowalu Town, Final EIS Testimony
Date: 11/17/2015 09:08 AM

Members of the State Land Use Commission -

My name is Jay Wisthoff. My family and I have lived in Launiupoko for 12 years and on Maui for over 15 years. I have great concerns about the Olowalu Town development and the inadequacies of the developers EIS currently being reviewed by your commission. Here are my concerns.

1. I have seen traffic to the west side become more of an issue every year. There are many times when the traffic is stop and go from Maalea all the way to Lahaina with no visible accidents or obstructions. The bypass may relieve some of this congestion, but continuing to allow uncontrolled growth means our road systems will always be 10-20 years behind our needs.

2. The land in questions is zoned Agricultural and is among some of the best Ag land on the island. My property is also zoned Ag and is classified as E, the worst possible classification for Ag land. We have invested thousands of dollars in making agriculture work on our land and have a variety of over 40 fruit trees. The commission needs to consider the impact of taking such a huge tract of prime agricultural land and losing it forever to agriculture and turning it into a dense urban area.

3. I do not believe the EIS adequately or correctly addresses the impact of this development on the ocean reef, the beach parks, or the sea life. Monk seals regularly sun bath on the beach near the proposed development. Are they likely to lose that option when the area is so developed? The run off from the development will have to increase. The developer can say that all that will be controlled, but who is there in 10-20 years to control it when the lots are owned by hundreds on individuals using pesticides and fertilizers on their lawns?

4. Sewage treatment. Sewage needs to be treated. It is criminal that we allowed one of the most beautiful resort areas in the world end up smelling like a toilet. The smell of the sewage treatment facility at Kaanapali is embarrassing and appalling. The developers have said that they will look at efficient and odor free treatment facilities and they will continue to say that throughout this process. When it comes time to actually purchase the facility, who will be there to make sure they don't cut corners and allow Olowalu to smell like an unflushed toilet? In five to ten years I can see being stuck in traffic and smelling poop while driving past Olowalu.

The commission should reject the EIS in a first step to rejecting the request to rezone this land. The developer should develop the area as large ag lots with low density.

Thank you for your time.

Jay Wisthoff
138 Awaiku Street
Lahaina, HI 96761

jwisthoff@aol.com

808-269-1589

From: [Richard Roshon](mailto:Richard.Roshon@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: A10-786 Olowalu Town
Date: 11/17/2015 07:20 AM

My name is Richard Roshon, and resident on West Maui for the past 6 decades. I am I am in sincere opposition to A10-786 Olowalu Town development.

It just does not make sense. If you were to live on West Maui, or commute from the other side to West Maui - EVERY early morning work day, and late afternoons, traffic now comes to a stand-still both ways. Infrastructure is so far behind, this would be a disaster. At times coming back from Kahului to Lahaina traffic will stop at Olowalu, that's 8 miles from Lahaina.

We are years behind in a proposed hospital for the West Side.

For more than 4 decades I have kayaked the pristine reefs of Olowalu, as there are not to many pristine reefs left. In the mid 90's Maalaea and Honolua Bay were estimated to be around 85% healthy, they are now estimated to be around 12%.

Off shore run-off and I cannot imagine storms which will wash land into the sea. Cut off sunlight you eliminate oxygen in the seas. This is already happening when we have heavy rains.

This is to much for me to handle and I must close for now.

Me Ke Aloha Pumehana
With Warmest Aloha
Richard Roshon
www.hawaiiwhalesrus.com

From: [Donna Williams](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Fwd: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 09:03 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Sarah <aloha.sterling@gmail.com>
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
To: Kevin Williams <kevatga@gmail.com>, Kelly Burke <kellyburke11@gmail.com>, Donna Williams <mauimom13@gmail.com>, Shelly <sterlingsas@gmail.com>

If you guys are interested in opposing the new olowalu development...copy and fill in your name, where u live, and sign the bottom and send to luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov

Meeting is tomorrow at 9:30am

Hope everyone's having a great start to your week!

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sarah <skimofeet@gmail.com>
Date: November 17, 2015 at 8:37:14 AM HST
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: **OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS**

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,
I, Donna Williams live in Lahaina and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few. To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu.

There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential effects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the effects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't

originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time, Donna Williams

From: [Carole Eiserloh](mailto:Carole.Eiserloh)
To: LUC@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony for Final-EIS, REJECT the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 09:08 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

We, Lothar and Carole deAngelis Eiserloh live in Kihei and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition,

they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Lothar Eiserloh and Carole de Angelis Eiserloh
carolede@gmail.com 808-879-6056

From: [Kelcy Durbin](mailto:Kelcy.Durbin@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 03:16 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Kelcy Durbin live in Sandy, OR. I was born and raised in Lahaina. I oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development

project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Kelcy Durbin
39465 Proctor Boulevard
Sandy OR 97055

From: [Claes Nordenskiöld](mailto:claes@atlarge.se)
Reply To: claes@atlarge.se
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 07:25 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Claes Nordenskiöld live in Launiupoko and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list. In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the

last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,
Claes Nordenskiöld
Launiupoko
805-341-6262

From: [LOIS](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 07:34 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I live on Oahu and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare

species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Lois Crozer
Kailua Hawaii

From: [Jeep Dunning](mailto:Jeep.Dunning)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 07:56 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Jeanne Dunning, live in Kihei, Hawaii and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls,

and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Jeanne P Dunning

551 Halalai St

Kihei, Hawaii 96753

mauijeep@gmail.com

From: [Lisa Chappel](mailto:lillisa808@gmail.com)
Sent By: lillisa808@gmail.com
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 07:56 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Lisa Chappel live in Waikapu and work in Lahaina and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

--

Sent with much Aloha,

Lisa Chappel

Sales Manager

ALL ISLAND PRINTWORKS & DESIGN

808.298.4020

808 268-0390



From: [Lynn McFarlane](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 08:28 AM

[Print](#)

[Close](#)

Olowalu Project

From: Nextdoor Launiupoko (reply@rs.email.nextdoor.com)
Sent: Tue 11/17/15 5:04 PM
To: lynnrossgary@msn.com

 Doris Lang, Launiupoko

In case you want to sign this Testimony to LUC. The hearing is tomorrow 9:30am at the MACC. Copy, fill in the blanks and send it to luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I *Lynn McFarlane* live in *Lahaiea* and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires,...[Read more](#)

Nov 17 in General to Launiupoko

[View or reply](#) [Thank](#) [Private message](#)

You can also reply to this email or use Nextdoor for iPhone or Android

This message is intended for lynnrossgary@msn.com.
[Unsubscribe](#) or [adjust your email settings](#)

Nextdoor, 760 Market Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, CA 94102

From: [Sarah](mailto:luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 08:39 AM

Subject: OPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Sarah Williams, live in Launiupoko and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project. Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County. I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Sarah Williams

From: [Howard Hall](mailto:Howard.Hall@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 09:13 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

We, Pat and Howard Hall, live in Waimea (Kamuela) on the Big Island and OPPOSE the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 &2." This

is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

We ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Patricia Hall and Howard Hall
65-1285 Puu Opelu Road
Kamuela, HI 96743

From: wendy.pogni
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 09:50 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Wendy Pogni live in Launiupoko and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project. Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude

the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Wendy Pogni
808-870-7776

From: [Robert Applegate](mailto:luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 10:51 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Robert Applegate live in Launiupoko and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 &2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+

turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Robert Applegate
150 Lauawa Place
Lahaina, HI 96761

From: mariahchisom@gmail.com
To: [Olowalu](#)
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 04:43 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Mariah Chisom, live in upstate New York and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often

frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Mariah Chisom

3370 Adams Road

Penn Yan, NY 14527

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sarah Anderson](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 04:59 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Sarah Anderson, live in Kihei, HI and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef

area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Sarah Anderson
(360) 317-6017
sea6267@gmail.com

From: [Stephen Luksic](mailto:Stephen.Luksic)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 05:02 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

My name is Stephen Luksic, and I am writing on behalf of my family. We live in Kula. We oppose the proposed Olowalu Town development, because the EIS is inadequate and does not address the true degradation of our fragile ecosystem. This area should not be urbanized but should utilize the resources to grow food for our island. We really need to address our self sustainability

Please enforce the deals already made for affordable housing, for instance the deal made with Wailea 670, where is the affordable housing? I am sure there are many other projects that were approved or rezoned for affordable housing and nothing is done.

Do not approve another project unless you pull the approval of others that were not fulfilled.

Please reject the the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of humanity.

Stephen Luksic, Fusayo Luksic, Keolahou Luksic.

From: [Keawe](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 05:52 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Tay K. Soares Jr, live on Maui and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They

completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Name

Tay Keaweluahi Soares Jr.

10 Meakoho Place

Kula, Hi

96790

From: tia_medeiros
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 05:58 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Tia Medeiros live in Kahului, Maui and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development

project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Tia Medeiros
Kahului, Maui

From: [Maggie Adams](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 06:26 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I [Maggie Adams](#) live in [Carlsbad, CA](#) and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Maggie Adams
760-845-8077

From: [Moana Wietecha](mailto:Moana.Wietecha@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 07:13 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

My name is Moana Wietecha I'm born and raised on Maui, currently living in Waihe'e and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Moana Wietecha

(808)283-8784

From: [Pamela Rehm](mailto:Luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: [luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov](mailto:Luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 07:16 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Pamela Rehm live in Seattle Washington and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential effects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the effects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the

equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Name
Contact Information

Pamela Rehm

objuanknobe@gmail.com

From: [RC Gladwell](mailto:RC.Gladwell)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 02:56 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Robert Gladwell live in lake Arrowhead and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 &2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+

turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Robert Gladwell

PO Box 62, Lake Arrowhead, CA 92352-0062
909.337.3516
909.844.8728 - mobile
rcgladwell.com

From: [JoAnne Applegate](mailto:JoAnne.Applegate@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town
Date: 11/17/2015 10:39 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, JoAnne Applegate live in Launiupoko and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

JoAnne Applegate
Contact Information

From: [Jan Culbertson](#)
Reply To: [Jan Culbertson](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPOSED TO OLOWALU DEVELOPMENT
Date: 11/17/2015 09:14 AM

Subject: OPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Jan Culbertson live in Kihei and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Jan Culbertson
jan.culbertson@yahoo.com
808 419 6941

From: [James Hernandez](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: OPPOSED- A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 07:04 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I James Hernandez live in Kihei and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential effects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian

Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

James Hernandez

Kihei, Maui, Hawaii

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail
Get the new AOL app: mail.mobile.aol.com

From: [Bette Belanger](mailto:luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Olowalu
Date: 11/17/2015 08:46 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Bette Belanger live in Kihei and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often

frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Bette Belanger
2495 S Kihei Rd, Kihei, HI 96753

From: [Doris Lang](#)
Reply To: [Doris Lang](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Olowalu Project
Date: 11/17/2015 06:58 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Doris Lang _____ live in Launiupoko _____ and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project. Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 &2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed

to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Name

Doris Lang

661-9185

doelang@yahoo.com

From: [Susan Casper](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Olowalu Proposed Development
Date: 11/17/2015 07:52 AM

We, Susan & Robert Casper, live in Launiupoko, Maui, & we oppose the development of Olowalu. The EIS is inadequate at best. The project increases density, pollution, traffic & ignores Hawaiian culture. Susan & Robert Casper

Sent from my iPad

From: freshislandart@gmail.com
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Olowalu
Date: 11/17/2015 01:23 AM

Land use? This is and was agricultural land. Ag is Ag use, please be sustainable and designate this for food, not estates, a tool shed and food. Hawaii is sustainability not state re allocations.

From: [Amy McHugh](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Opposed A10-786 Olowalu Town-testimony of the final-EIS, reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 06:14 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Amy Mc Hugh, live in Wailuku and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef

area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Amy McHugh

140 Eulu Place

Wailuku, HI 96793

Napilibay@hotmail.com

From: [Patricia Hoskin](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Opposed A10-786 Olowalu town -Testimony of the Final EIS-Reject
Date: 11/17/2015 07:45 AM
Attachments: [Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission-Olowalu.docx](#)

aloha please see attached
Mahalo,
Patricia Hoskin

From: kekoa.lansford
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Opposed to Olowalu development
Date: 11/17/2015 10:30 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I kekoa Lansford live in Lahaina and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, also totally disregards Act 195 in which the USA clearly agrees that the Kingdom of Hawaii was overthrown by America illegally. There by making all accounts of ownership via state of Hawaii illegal, null and void.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential effects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They

completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

kekoa lansford
8088667587

From: [Jenae Kruck](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Opposed-A10-786 Olowalu town-testimony of the final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 09:34 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Jenae Kruck live in Lahaina HI and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often

frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Jenae Kruck

Jkrucklhs@yahoo.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Susan Varsames](mailto:luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Cc: [Kristen Varsames](#); [Sharon Pease](#)
Subject: Opposing the Olowalu Development: DO NOT URBANIZE and destroy the most important reef on the planet
Date: 11/17/2015 07:10 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I am Susan Varsames and I live in Lahaina. I oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future

generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Susan Varsames

Holistic Learning Center of Maui, LLC
Lahaina, HI 96761
www.HolisticLC.com

From: [Marilyn Jansen Lopes](mailto:marilyn.jansen.lopes@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Please Protect Olowalu
Date: 11/17/2015 06:49 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Marilyn Jansen Lopes live in Makawao and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives

1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the effects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

~ Marilyn

Marilyn Jansen Lopes
PO BOX 278
Makawao, HI.
96768
<http://mauicountryfarmtours.com>
808 283-9131
@mauifarmtours

Maui Country Farm Tours
661 242-1744

From: keepnitcleanmaui
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Please reject the flawed e.i.s. for olowalu
Date: 11/17/2015 09:05 AM

Aloha land use commission ,

My name is Frank caprioni I'm 28 and I'm a resident and home owner in kapa iki village in olowalu and have been a resident here since I was 11. Olowalu is a very sacred and special place to me as is for a lot of people on this island and even in hawaii. There are many things that make Olowalu special unique and fragile. After the daunting test of reviewing the e.i.s. I was able to see how it fails to mention a some of the key parts of Olowalu. 1 being the fragile mother reef system that estimated to be @ 700 years old that's responsible for spawning yearly and sending babies to not only create new reefs on maui but also the outer islands. In this time of a lot of change and put reefs being majorly impacted its important that we protect and preserve this last of its kind reef system that has already been showing signs of stress. Also west maui land has the audacity to say that the devolpment of the scale there proposing will actually benefit the reef and have so no proff to show that it has been down anywhere also west maui land has been fined for dust violations in the past and is also getting cited for another one just recently . if west maui land can't even take care of the dust now and protect the reefs how are we to believe that they will do this in the future? Also in the e.i.s. they state the nene bird which are federally protected fly over Olowalu but don't nest here which is a total lie. The dlNr wildlife and forestry has been keeping records of nene meeting in Olowalu since 2012 and also the pig farmers in the valley have been keeping records for longer so for them to blatantly disregard that easy to get knowledge makes me so under again about the creditablity of this developers . dlNr makes a monthly trip up to Olowalu to keep records on the birds and not once did anyone from west maui land try to contact dlNr to see what's happening with the nene they just assumed in there nest interest. My 3rd point I would like to bring up is the proposed change of zoning to be hotel by the ocean in olowalu point where a lot of local families from not only olowalu but all over including tourist to swim and enjoy the ocean and olowalu beauty. This proposed hotel would not only majorly encroach on our local families being able to enjoy there weekends but would also have major effect on the adjacent reef which has pretty much died in the last couple years since they have cleared the land and put up 2 houses only 2 house already made that much of an effect image what this whole development would do. Were talking major potentially irreverable damage to such a fragile eco system that would affect not only Olowalu but the rest of maui and the outer islands coral supply . there's a reason why local families and tourist alike come to play in olowalu and when these changes happen there woulf be,no reason for people to come to a dead reef no fish algae blooms and then the potential of fecal water from the waste water treatment plant infecting the water . I pray that you the land use commission can do your due diligence and see that this e.i.s. is completely flawed and fails to mention and address key point that would forever change Olowalu, and ask west maui land to come up with an alternate plan that can be worked throughou community planning . Mahalo for your time !

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE Smartphone

From: [Caitlin Brady](mailto:luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Please save Olowalu
Date: 11/17/2015 07:19 AM

Dear Land Use Commission,

I, Caitlin Brady, live in Lahaina and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often

frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Caitlin Brady

Caityb02@yahoo.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Stephanie Swift](#)
Reply To: [Stephanie Swift](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Reject Olowalu town development
Date: 11/17/2015 08:13 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Stephanie Swift until recently lived in Lahaina, Hawaii and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat. In addition, the Olowalu coastline is one of the last refuges for locals and tourists alike who want a peaceful space away from the wall of hotels on the rest of the island. The sewage treatment facility is my greatest concern. The county of Maui has already demonstrated that it is incapable of treating sewage in a way that is compatible with marine life and human safety. The ongoing water quality problems at Kaanapali is the most obvious example. I am concerned that Olowalu will no longer be a safe place to swim and that the coral reef in Olowalu will face the same problems that the Kaanapali reef has: nutrient loaded water will cause the algae to grow and the reef to be smothered with algae. Rising ocean temperatures and acidification are already challenging the health of coral reefs and this development will possibly be the nail in the coffin for Olowalu.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept that the developers are using to "hawaiianize" this project is completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system. It is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a project that is opposite Hawaiian values.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential effects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says “There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2.” This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the cleaning stations that are often frequented by endangered sea turtles. Lastly, they don’t include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will push this be “healthiest reef on Maui” to its demise.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn’t originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to show the citizens of Maui and the people who love it that you have your priorities straight. Take this opportunity to do the right thing and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem. Please don’t let Olowalu turn into another Kaanapali, Wailea or Waikiki. It’s time to do something different and better for everyone including the species that can’t speak for themselves.

Mahalo for your consideration

Stephanie Swift, 64750 Saros Lane, Bend, Oregon

From: flyngfillie@gmail.com
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Reply
Date: 11/17/2015 08:12 AM

Dave & Sandy Kelso are residents of Launiupoko we cannot be at this meeting due to doctors apt. We do however oppose this project , A10-786 Olowalu Town

Sincerely ,

Dave & Sandy Kelso

Sent from Windows Mail

From: [Tiana Lenta](mailto:Tiana.Lenta)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: SAVE OLOWALU
Date: 11/17/2015 09:00 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Tiana live in Napili, Maui and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential effects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the effects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the

equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

TIANA LENTA
808-280-0959

From: jeffrey.miller48@comcast.net
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Save Maui
Date: 11/17/2015 02:29 AM

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Jeffrey Miller live in Pendleton, IN and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no

endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2.” This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don’t include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn’t originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Name

Contact Information

Jeffrey Miller
8911 Surrey Drive
Pendleton, IN 46064
765-778-2787
jeffrey.miller48@comcast.net

From: GinaLawless1969
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 07:36 AM

Aloha Kakou,

Mahalo you for your kokua and showing your support for a true ahupua'a at Olowalu that protects the culture and natural resources of the area. Please keep sharing this petition with your friends. We are close to our goal of 2000 people standing up for Olowalu by tomorrow night.

http://petitions.moveon.org/sign/saveolowalu-please-testify?mailing_id=31951&source=s.icn.em.cr&r_by=14548516

It's critical that we have a strong presence on Wednesday, but equally important is online testimony. Can you take a moment to send emailed testimony to the land use commission? You can copy and paste this sample testimony into an email and replace the blanks with your name and contact information.

Please email testimony to the Land Use Commission: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov

If you are too busy to write your own testimony, please copy and paste the following Subject Line and Testimony into the email and change the blanks to your name and contact information.

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I Gina Lawless live in Lahaina, Maui and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential effects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the effects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the

developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Name Gina Lawless
Contact Information 69glawless@gmail.com

Together we are making a difference. Please share this with all of your friends and family.

http://petitions.moveon.org/sign/saveolowalu-please-testify?mailing_id=31951&source=s.icn.em.cr&r_by=14548516

This message was sent to Gina Lawless by John Fitzpatrick through MoveOn's public petition website. MoveOn Civic Action does not endorse the contents of this message. To unsubscribe or report this email as inappropriate, click here: <http://petitions.moveon.org/unsub.html?i=31951-14548516-wzrPFz>

Want to make a donation? MoveOn is entirely funded by our 8 million members—no corporate contributions, no big checks from CEOs. And our tiny staff ensures that small contributions go a long way. [Chip in here.](#)

From: [Lisa Marquis](#)
Reply To: [Lisa Marquis](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 09:14 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Lisa Marquis live in Lahaina of Maui and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime. Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls,

and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Lisa

From: bradedward@aol.com
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 10:22 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

My name is Brad Edwards and I live in Kihei. I oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Brad Edwards
Hoomua Drive
Kihei, Hawaii 96753
(808) 250-7929

From: [Marilyn Cajudoy-Domingo](mailto:marilyn@dbedt.hawaii.gov)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS
Date: 11/17/2015 05:33 AM

Aloha Kakou,

Mahalo you for your kokua and showing your support for a true ahupua'a at Olowalu that protects the culture and natural resources of the area. Please keep sharing this petition with your friends. We are close to our goal of 2000 people standing up for Olowalu by tomorrow night.

http://petitions.moveon.org/sign/saveolowalu-please-testify?mailing_id=31951&source=s.icn.em.cr&r_by=14543392

It's critical that we have a strong presence on Wednesday, but equally important is online testimony. Can you take a moment to send emailed testimony to the land use commission? You can copy and paste this sample testimony into an email and replace the blanks with your name and contact information.

Please email testimony to the Land Use Commission: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov

If you are too busy to write your own testimony, please copy and paste the following Subject Line and Testimony into the email and change the blanks to your name and contact information.

Subject: OPPOSED - A10-786 Olowalu Town - Testimony of the Final-EIS, Reject the EIS

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Marilyn Cajudoy-Domingo, live in Lahaina and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project.

Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be

affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leach field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

Marilyn Cajudoy-Domingo

From: [Sierra Knight](#)
Reply To: [Sierra Knight](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Testimony
Date: 11/17/2015 10:55 AM

Aloha Members of the Land Use Commission,

I, Sierra Knight live in Makawao and oppose the proposed Olowalu town development because the Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate and fails to address the community's concerns about the preservation of Native Hawaiian cultural practices, traffic, fires, tsunamis and the degradation of vital terrestrial and marine habitat, just to name a few.

To Native Hawaiians, the ahupua'a system represents the revitalization of a life cycle from Mauka to Makai (the land to the sea). The priority of an ahupua'a is to maintain and foster its resources in order to sustainably support the community. In an ahupua'a system, people did not dominate the natural ecosystem, but instead they were a natural part of it. Hawaiians would never urbanize prime agricultural land for short term profit. The use of the concept ahupua'a as a development model is out of date, false, and completely opposite of the true value an ahupua'a system affords. Therefore, to use the ahupua'a system as a development model to urbanize Olowalu Mauka to Makai is a romanticized idea of the ahupua'a system in order to promote a non-Hawaiian project. Traffic to Lahaina is already unbearable and there are tens of thousands of homes yet to be built in other West Maui locations; the area is in a tsunami inundation zone, there are fire threats nearly every year, and a majority of the homes will not be affordable to the average local families here on Maui. This area will likely end up following in Wailea's footsteps and become a series of vacation homes rather than affordable housing for local families seeking relief from absurd rental prices.

The EIS does not mention the impact on surfers that utilize Olowalu. There is no mention of where public parking will be placed near existing surfing locations and how recreational beach users will be able to access the coastline. Furthermore, they are proposing to build a waste water treatment plant across the road from a popular surf break. This EIS fails to consider the effects this has on the culture of surfing at this area and the potential affects a sewage spill may have on the nearshore environment. Furthermore, they are planning to have a 4.5 acre leach field and there is no mention of the potential for nutrient rich water to percolate through the porous lava rock and add excessive nutrients to the coral reef that cannot afford this type of stress. Here on the West Side of Maui we find the injection wells killing coral reefs several miles away. Will the leech field do the same?

The EIS fails to address endangered species that frequent the area. In the Final EIS Volume 1-Part 2 page 22 section b. the proposed EIS says "There are no endangered or threatened species or their habitat in the Master Plan for alternatives 1 & 2." This is contrary to local knowledge of the area where we find endangered Nene goose nesting areas in the path of what will be the new highway. In addition, they choose to exclude the Endangered Hawaiian Monk Seals that frequent the reef area and utilize the beach as a resting area. What happens to these resting areas when 4000 more people are utilizing the beach and coral reef ecosystems? They completely exclude the endangered sea turtle cleaning stations that are often frequented by 20+ turtles. Lastly, they don't include the effects this development project has on rare species of corals that are being proposed to be placed on the endangered species list.

In addition to endangered coral species, this development threatens the very existence of the last well-developed coral reef on the island. You find 700+ year old kupuna coral colonies that seed adjacent reefs. With the exception of the paid Marine Research Consultants Inc. who claim the retention basin, 1700 homes, 3,000 cars, waste water treatment plant, 4.5 acre leach field, additional fertilizers and pesticides will actually benefit the largest, oldest, and extremely priceless coral reef ecosystem; coral reef experts from across the globe have told us that this development will exacerbate the affects global climate change and ocean acidification are causing. They say that this development will drive this priceless coral reef down the slippery slope to slime.

Lastly, when the 1,350 community members and GPAC originally met to discuss this project, they proposed 500 affordable housing units, 500 under market housing units, and 500 market priced units. Since these original meetings, they have added 300-350,000 square ft. of commercial space that is the equivalent to 3 Maui Malls, and substantially reduced the number of affordable housing units and the promise of affordable housing still remains vague in this proposal. They have also added a 60 room hotel on the point. This is an area that families take their keiki and kupuna to swim in protected waters of Olowalu. Much of this wasn't originally proposed, yet the developers act as if everyone wanted this project.

Because of the multitude of reasons, this plan is terrible; it is time to hold additional meetings with the community to talk about the proposed project and suitable win-win situations that can be reached with the citizens of Maui County.

I ask you to stand with us and reject the Olowalu town development and the EIS for the sake of future generations and a healthy thriving coral reef ecosystem.

Mahalo for your time,

L Sierra Knight

From: [Jen Mather](mailto:Jen.Mather)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Testimony: Olowalu Town Final EIS
Date: 11/16/2015 10:18 PM

Aloha Land Use Commission,

First, mahalo for allowing the residents of Maui to present our thoughts on the final EIS for the proposed Olowalu Town project. It is with a humble heart I protest the insufficiency of this environmental impact study. I believe there needs to be greater understanding of the implications of such a large scale development so near to this vital coastline and coral reef. The initial build will lay waste to the reef and the surfing beach, leaving it mired in development run off much the same as we see at Honokahua/DT Flemings. And the waste treatment plant so close to the ocean is just an accident waiting to happen. We recently saw 5000 gallons of raw sewage in Ka'anapali from the Lahaina Pump Station which is situated further away from the proposed treatment plant in Olowalu. Traffic is another concern. Where is the infrastructure for the increase in cars going to and from Lahaina? The proposed relocation of the highway only moves the physical road but doesn't take into account how many more people will be using that road. There are so many flaws in the assessment of how this will affect the fragile Olowalu environment both on land and in the ocean. I believe the EIS should be rejected and the project be reviewed for plausibility.

Again, mahalo for your time and consideration. I hope the commission comes to the same conclusion that many residents of Maui have agreed upon: Olowalu Town will damage the environment.

Aloha 'Aina,
Jennifer Mather
10 Heather Lane #215
Lahaina, HI 96761

From: mauigain@gmail.com
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: Written Testimony re Olowalu Town FEIS A10-786
Date: 11/16/2015 08:15 PM
Attachments: [Document1.docx](#)

I hereby submit the attached written testimony regarding Olowalu Town's FEIS, A10-786, set for hearing November 18, 2015.

Mark Hyde

From: [The Foam Co](#)
To: luc@dbedt.hawaii.gov
Subject: olowalu
Date: 11/17/2015 10:30 AM
Attachments: [olowalu letter.docx](#)

Please see attached letter, mahalo.