CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU PLANNING COMMISSION IN RE: NORTH SHORE-STATE SPECIAL USE PERMIT-2014/SUP-6(RY) KAWAILOA SOLAR FARM \_\_\_\_\_ PUBLIC HEARING Taken in the Mission Memorial Conference Room, 550 South King Street, Honolulu, Hawaii, commencing at 1:37 p.m. on April 1, 2015. BEFORE: SHIRLEY L. KEYS, RPR, CM, CSR 383 

1 APPEARANCES:

2 Commissioners: DEAN I. HAZAMA, Chair 3 KEN K. HAYASHIDA 4 5 KAIULANI SODARO 6 CORD D. ANDERSON DANIEL S.M. YOUNG 7 8 ARTHUR TOLENTINO 9 WILFRED A. CHANG 10 11 Counsel for City and County of Honolulu 12 Planning Commission: JENNIFER WAIHEE-POLK, ESQ. 13 14 For Applicant: RANDALL F. SAKUMOTO, ESQ. and 15 16 MARGUERITE S. NOZAKI, ESQ. 17 McCorriston Miller Mukai MacKinnon LLP 18 19 Five Waterfront Plaza 20 4th Floor 21 500 Ala Moana Boulevard Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 22 23 24

1 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Okay. Good 2 afternoon. Thank you for waiting. I will call the 3 meeting of the planning commission, April 1, 2015 to 4 order. On our agenda is public hearing, North 5 Shore-Special Use -- State Special Use Permit 2014-SUP-6, 6 Kawailoa Solar Farm. At this time I'd call the 7 department up for the report.

8 MS. ARAKAWA: Good afternoon, Chair 9 Hazama, Commissioners. My name is Bonnie Arakawa, I'm 10 with the Department of Planning and Permitting in the 11 planning division. The staff planner for this project, 12 Ray Young, unfortunately is not here today. He's on 13 family leave due to personal reasons, but I'm prepared to 14 present a project description to you and try my best to 15 answer any questions you may have.

16 The applicant is Kawailoa Solar, LLC, 17 and the project is a proposal to construct a 50 megawatt 18 solar energy facility on portions of two tax map parcels 19 totaling 382.2 acres. The petition area exceeds the 20 maximum area of 20 acres permitted by HRS Section 205, 21 and therefore requires a State Special Use Permit.

As you can see on the aerial map here, As you can see on the aerial map here, the project consists of eight sites, with sites one through seven containing the ground mounted photovoltaic or PV panels. Site 8 contains one of two proposed

1 substations, indicated by the yellow dot, and Site 9 is 2 the portion of the project located in the Land Study 3 Bureau lands rated Class A and B and includes underground 4 cables to transmit power to the existing makai 5 switchyard. The director of DPP, George Atta, has 6 determined that this 1.9 acre portion that proposes the 7 underground cables along Ashley Road does not constitute 8 a use requiring a Special Use Permit review and is thus 9 permitted from the petition -- it's not included in the 10 petition area. Therefore, the director's report and 11 recommendation is for the remaining eight sites totaling 12 the 382.2 acres. The panels are mounted about 4.5 feet 13 to 9.5 feet above existing grade. The PV system will 14 include other electrical equipment such as combiner 15 boxes, below surface collector lines, inverters, 16 transformers, weather monitoring stations and switch 17 gear.

A second electrical substation is 19 proposed within Site 1, as indicated by the yellow dot, 20 and both proposed substations will be operated by 21 Hawaiian Electric Company, also known as HECO. The 22 substation located in Site 8 is referred to as the mauka 23 substation, and the facility located in Site 1 is 24 referred to as the makai substations in the application. 25 The open switchrack designed substations would occupy an

1 area of approximately 150 feet by 180 feet and be 2 enclosed by an eight foot high perimeter fence.

3 Energy generated by the western portion 4 of the project will be transmitted to the electrical grid 5 via the existing makai substation at the makai end of 6 Site 9, which connects to the HECO Waialua-Kahuku 46 kV 7 subtransmission line. Energy from the eastern portion of 8 the project will be transmitted to the electrical grid 9 via an existing mauka switchyard located adjacent to Site 10 7, which was originally constructed for the existing wind 11 energy generation system. The mauka switchyard connects 12 to the HECO Waialua-Kuilima 46 kV subtransmission line. 13 Energy generated by the PV panels will be sold to HECO.

In addition, the applicant proposes to Is construct 20 foot wide internal gravel roadways and le perimeter fencing encompassing each of the eight sites If for security and for keeping predators from entering 18 these sites. Access to the project site is provided by 19 private road, which is Ashley Road.

20 With regard to HRS Section 205-4.5, 21 solar energy facility proposed on State Land Use Ag 22 District lands rated Class B or C are permitted to exceed 23 the maximum land of ten percent of the area or a parcel --24 of a parcel, excuse me, or 20 acres, whichever is less, 25 if granted a State Special Use Permit, provided that the

1 project is made subject to the following three 2 conditions, the first being that the area occupied by the 3 SEF is also made available for compatible ag activities 4 at a lease rate that is at least 50 percent below the 5 fair market rent for comparable properties.

6 The applicant intends to lease portions 7 of the property to local ranching business to pasture 8 sheep on the site to meet -- to provide meat for 9 consumption and to maintain overgrowth under the panels. 10 The lease would also provide the rancher with use of the 11 fencing and roadways and other infrastructure at the 12 site. Other than perimeter fencing, pasturing support 13 facilities would be provided by the local rancher. The 14 DPP recommends a condition that requires applicant to 15 have ag operations to be established or be actively 16 seeking to have such operations established within one 17 year of the start of its commercial power generation. 18 The second -- the second condition is

19 that proof of financial security to decommission the 20 facility is provided to the satisfaction of this county 21 planning commission prior to date of commencement of 22 commercial generation.

23 The applicant suggested the proof of 24 financial security for decommissioning efforts be in the 25 form of a letter of credit. Therefore, the DPP

1 recommends a condition that requires such an issued 2 letter of credit or similar mechanism prior to the 3 closing of the building permit for the SEF, which 4 security shall remain in place for the duration of the 5 project with evidence of the same provided to the DPP on 6 an annual basis. The applicant's preliminary description 7 of its plan for decommissioning is estimated at four 8 million dollars.

9 The third condition is that the solar 10 energy facility be decommissioned at the owner's expense, 11 including removal of all equipment related to the SEF 12 within twelve months of the conclusion of operation or 13 useful life, and the restoration of the disturbed earth 14 to substantially the same physical condition as existed 15 prior to the development of the SEF.

16 The applicant anticipates that upon 17 receiving all land use and building permit approvals, the 18 construction, operation and decommissioning of the SEF 19 would be completed in 35 years. The DPP has incorporated 20 the above decommissioning conditions in its 21 recommendation.

The proposed project is not contrary to The objectives sought to be accomplished by the State Land Use Law. The proposal may be considered unusual but reasonable use of ag lands. Under current technology,

1 utility scale PV facilities require large amounts of 2 relatively gentle terrain, sited in close proximity to an 3 existing electrical grid. The site was formerly used for 4 pineapple cultivation and is currently in pasture use. 5 The applicant proposes to continue ag use of the site by 6 making available the area under the PV panels for sheep 7 pasturage and the applicant submitted a letter of intent 8 between the applicant and a local rancher to pasture 9 sheep on the petition area. The sheep will be beneficial 10 to the project for vegetation control and also provide a 11 food source in compliance with the intent of Act 55. 12 Therefore, the director of DPP recommends that Special 13 Use Permit Application file number 2014/SUP-6, as shown 14 on Exhibit A within the application be approved subject 15 to conditions noted in this report.

16 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Thank you. Any 17 questions for department at this time? Yes. I have one 18 question. Page 19 under item eight regarding the 19 notification of landlord -- or land owner or applicant, 20 would the change in ag component use be considered 21 something that triggers a requirement to come back to the 22 planning commission? In other words, the applicant 23 proposes to partner with a sheep farm, so say they change 24 their ag component plan and want to partner with a cattle 25 farmer or something, would the change in ag component use

1 trigger items 8B or change the use of the property?

2 MS. ARAKAWA: Well, as of this time we 3 really don't have a -- they're still working to develop 4 that arrangement with some rancher, and I guess once they 5 determine and inform us of what that arrangement is, if 6 that were to change, then the department would certainly 7 appreciate knowing that of any new arrangement. 8 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Would that 9 arrangement -- wouldn't that arrangement have to be made 10 prior to getting -- getting approval from LUC for this 11 project though as far as identifying what the specific ag 12 component would be? MS. ARAKAWA: I think in terms of this --13 14 I don't -- I'm sorry, I don't know if I know the answer 15 to that, but I would have to get back to you on that, 16 Chair. COMMISSIONER SODARO: On that note, 17 18 when is the -- so there -- there is reference in ag plan 19 when the ag plan needs to be done, because I think that 20 that's kind of one of the questions I had, is that 21 something that has to be done before it moves to the LUC? 22 MS. ARAKAWA: I think the way the 23 condition was written it said within -- it was triggered 24 by -- sorry, let me just get to the conditions here.

25 Within one year of the start of commercial power

1 generation.

2 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: I didn't ask when 3 the ag operations have to commence. 4 COMMISSIONER SODARO: Right. 5 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: My question is 6 regarding the applicant's plan for use, what that 7 specific ag component would be. I guess my question is 8 wouldn't that have to be identified specifically prior to 9 approval of the application by either us by the Land Use 10 Commission? 11 MS. ARAKAWA: Having read the 12 conditions that were written up, I don't see any 13 requirement that there was a -- for an ag plan per se. 14 COMMISSIONER SODARO: Yeah. Because --15 so one of my questions is without the ag plan, you know, 16 the Department of Agriculture has specific comments in 17 their aquatic resources, and they state in the BMPs --MS. ARAKAWA: Right. Right. 18 19 COMMISSIONER SODARO: -- about soil 20 erosion, and so I was wondering how those agency's 21 comments get incorporated without an ag plan, or the 22 timing of when the ag plan happens. 23 MS. ARAKAWA: I guess we could 24 incorporate, or the commission could incorporate a 25 condition that requires an ag plan.

1 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Well, I guess my 2 point being is how do you expect -- the problem is the 3 commission or even the LUC approve the application when 4 the statute requires an ag component, so basically I 5 don't think we can say okay, well, we're going to approve 6 this application without knowing what the specific ag 7 plans are going to be as far as the component, but back 8 to my first question I guess is if there is one and we 9 get to approve it, then would it change in that ag 10 component that triggered the requirement to come back to 11 the planning commission?

MS. ARAKAWA: We could insert a MS. ARAKAWA: We could insert a a condition to that effect, but right now it appears that we are giving the applicant one year from the start of commercial operations to -- to meet this condition one. And with regard to the aquatic resources concerns, it resources concerns, it seems that once that rancher or ag entity has been like identified, then they are to consult with or develop the BMPs for that operation and consult with DAR.

20 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Maybe you could 21 clarify the statement in use of the property to include 22 the ag component as well. So in other words, the use of 23 the property is the ag component for the agriculture 24 activity is considered use of the property. Any change 25 to that would trigger, I guess this requirement needs to

1 come forward. Okay. Do the commissioners have any 2 questions of the department at this time? Okay, thank 3 you. At this time I will call up the applicant and their 4 representatives.

5 MR. SAKUMOTO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 Aloha and good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is 7 Randall Sakumoto, and my colleague, Marguerite Nozaki is 8 with me. Also to my left is Wren Wescoatt, the 9 development director for the applicant. We actually -- I 10 know you have before you the application prepared by the 11 applicant's agent, CH2M Hill, who also submitted 12 supplemental materials for your information, that it 13 looks like you also have, and we have just a very brief 14 presentation that we wanted to do, which consisted of 15 just Mr. Wescoatt providing brief background on the 16 project, and also Crystal Kua of the applicant to provide 17 information on the community outreach efforts. COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Okay. Proceed. 18 19 MR. SAKUMOTO: Okay. Thank you. 20 MR. WESCOATT: Hi, good afternoon. I'm 21 Wren Wescoatt with SunEdison. The last time I was here I 22 was with First Wind, but we -- we got -- we got merged.

23 We got acquired, so currently it's SunEdison. The 24 Kawailoa wind project is -- occupies -- is going to 25 occupy approximately 384 acres. It's a 50 megawatt 1 ground mounted solar farm similar to -- in function and 2 design to the project that we presented previously for 3 the Waiawa project with the one notable exception that 4 these panels will be installed on racks that track with 5 the sun east to west throughout the day so that they can 6 collect more solar energy throughout the day. Otherwise, 7 it's a 50 megawatt project, 50 megawatts AC, and it's 8 co-located with the existing Kawailoa Wind Farm on land 9 owned by Kamehameha Schools.

10 The panels will be installed on long 11 racks with approximately -- a width of approximately 12 eight to ten feet, and approximately eight to ten feet 13 between the rows. So there is -- there will be room in 14 between the rows where grass will grow, and that's the 15 purpose of the aforementioned sheep that we can discuss 16 further. The approximate operational life of the project 17 is -- is approximately 30 years, so we're seeking the SUP 18 to allow for a 35 year period to allow for construction, 19 operation and the decommissioning effort.

20 We are planning to begin construction 21 on or about January 2016, and construction should take 22 about ten months, including the panels and the 23 substations for the project, and then interconnection 24 lines to the HECO switch yards. Once it's operating, 25 it's fairly passive aside from the motors, the project 1 will generate very little noise, very little sound. The 2 solar farm, unlike the wind farm, is not staffed daily, 3 we don't have people there on site. There's no buildings 4 associated with the solar farm other than the small 5 structure that holds the control equipment and keeps them 6 out of the rain, but no occupied buildings and no 7 parking. We'll be generally using the roads that were 8 installed for the wind project, and then installing some 9 additional roads that go within the solar arrays, which 10 are the shaded purple areas on the map.

As mentioned previously, we'll have --11 12 we have a letter of intent with Kualoa Ranch that they 13 are planning to run sheep to manage the vegetation within 14 the pasture area. It's sort of a, you know, as I 15 explained before, it's a really positive symbiotic 16 relationship. Once the grass -- the panels, you imagine, 17 are at sort of a -- these sort of tables that will track 18 throughout the day, but when they're at full tilt, the --19 at say 45 degrees, the low edge will be between two and 20 three feet off the ground and the high edge will be 21 usually -- let's say six, seven feet off the ground on 22 the high side. As the grass grows up, and the grass out 23 there grows pretty quickly, the guinea grass will shade --24 end up shading the panels, and you lose electricity, so 25 one way or another, every solar farm has to maintain

1 vegetation at a very low level. And this is one of the 2 reasons we are very interested on the solar side for 3 having incurred sheep to help manage the vegetation.

4 There are two large utility scale solar 5 farms on Kauai that I visited. They're not managed by 6 our company, but by others, and they're owned by the 7 utility on Kauai. There's one where they are going to 8 have a -- they're going to have it manually -- have the 9 grass cut manually, and so right now the grass is about ---10 I don't know, two feet high, pretty soon it will be 11 shading the equipment, and there's another farm where 12 it's going to be managed by sheep. There's a fixed 13 pasture fence around the whole site, and then there's a 14 movable fence about three feet high, electrified fence 15 that's just moved within the site to create a paddock for 16 the sheep, so he has about 100 sheep on site that he's 17 moving -- the rancher at that site is moving place to 18 place every few days and move the herd so they can graze 19 the grass down, but not overgraze, and move them every 20 few days. So that's sort of the model that we're looking 21 to follow. It's done in other solar farms as well, and 22 that's what we're looking to pursue at the site.

23 Regarding the question about -- the 24 questions that came up from DLNR regarding the runoff 25 from the site, the BMPs to manage water during the

1 pasture operation, while this -- if you can imagine the 2 ranching operation probably isn't going to start -- can't 3 start until after the solar project's been installed, all 4 the fencing's been installed and the grasses are grown 5 back enough in places so that we can -- you know, it has 6 enough forage to -- for the sheep to come back on site, 7 so it's still pretty early in our discussions with Kualoa 8 Ranch. They're planning to come on, but we haven't 9 really worked out the details of exactly where the 10 facilities are going to go, where the water's going to go 11 and where the gates need to be, but that -- that 12 discussion will go on, you know, as we get further on in 13 construction of the project.

14 So it's still fairly early, but Kualoa 15 Ranch, our -- our plan is that we would expect whoever 16 the -- the lessee, or in this case the sublessee is going 17 to be, that they would work with a natural resource 18 conservation service to develop a soil and water 19 conservation plan for the property so that they -- their 20 ranching operation is -- has a plan for sustainable and 21 sort of -- a sort of approved plan for managing for water 22 and soil runoff from the site, so that's what we're 23 planning to do with Kualoa Ranch.

24 Other than that, our -- regarding the 25 discussion that -- the questions that came up just

1 recently, we are planning in our -- in both projects 2 where we have a special use permit, we are planning to 3 provide an annual reporting to the planning commission 4 and probably also copying the Land Use Commission where 5 we would advise -- give an update as to the status of the 6 project once per year, so we would be including 7 information on, you know, as it gets further along the 8 line what -- what is the -- who is the agriculture 9 operator and what -- what is the business that's going 10 on, so whether it's sheep or something else, and we can 11 provide information on an annual basis as to the 12 agriculture operations that are going on at the site, as 13 well as any other information that we can provide about 14 the project.

MR. SAKUMOTO: Mr. Chair, if I could If just add, there -- one of the photos that we submitted, I Think yesterday in that real thin supplemental packet, was taken from a car along the roadway, and the reason of for that was actually to depict the drainage that's actually in existence on the property. There was actually in existence on the property. There was actually the property to depict the down over the property to put the -- the wind farm, it was significantly minored and you can see it alongside the road, so I just wanted to make that clear, that there is drainage. I agree with Mr. Wescoatt that, you know, I think NRCS

1 approval of a soil and conservation plan would be
2 appropriate, but I -- I didn't want the commission to
3 think that there's no drainage on the facility right now,
4 on the property right now.

5 The -- the other thing I wanted to 6 mention, it dawned on me, was Miss Arakawa did a very 7 good presentation of the background, but I did want to 8 clarify this for the record, that the property actually 9 is not in pasture use right now, it's basically fallow, 10 so one of the things we're hoping is that with the 11 approval of this permit, that this land can be, you know, 12 put to active agricultural use once again. So that's it. 13 Do you want to introduce --

MS. NOZAKI: Sure. Good afternoon, MS. NOZAKI: Sure. Good afternoon, Chair Hazama and Commissioners. I'd like to call up Crystal Kua to give some brief testimony. Crystal Kua is --Nas been with First Wind, now SunEdison, LLC for just over a year, and she is the director of external affairs, who's -- who oversees governmental relations, media relations and outreach community -- community outreach efforts.

22 MS. KUA: Aloha, Chair Hazama and 23 members of the commission. Thank you for the opportunity 24 to testify. Basically the -- my testimony is about the 25 community outreach that we've done for this and other --

1 our other solar projects, but in essence, we began 2 community outreach back in October 2013, we have reached 3 out to individuals, small groups, large groups and also 4 large conference in other community events. The -- the 5 categories that we've reached out to folks are government 6 officials, community leaders, business leaders, 7 agricultural groups and environmental groups. Some of 8 those, particularly the North Shore, some of the more 9 important stakeholders are the -- reached out to both 10 individually and as a group the members of the North 11 Shore Neighborhood Board, the Sunset Beach Community 12 Association and the Waialua Community Association.

We did present twice to the North Shore We did present twice to the North Shore Neighborhood Board, one in January of 2014, it was a Squick outline because of the -- the -- the board had a full agenda, but we wanted to make sure that members of the community did know that we were working on this project, so we did a quick -- an outline of -- of our plans for solar, including the Kawailoa site. Then in January 2015, this year,

21 January 27, we did a full ten minute presentation and 22 then took questions from the -- the community. We not 23 only answered questions, we also accepted feedback on --24 on, for example, one of the things that that community 25 did talk to us about was the concern about visual 1 impacts. So what we did was we got some feedback from -2 from them about, you know, where we should focus some of
3 our work on -- on view ammenities, and we did things like
4 talk to different residents who have a view of our site,
5 went to their homes and brought them along to the site.
6 And also, we are in the process of bringing the members
7 of the North Shore Neighborhood Board and some other
8 community leaders to show them the solar site, to show
9 them where the site is in relation to the nearby
10 residents, but our outreach does not end. We -- we -- I
11 think we have a track record of continuing to reach out
12 to the community and also to -- to get feedback and
13 questions as projects are ongoing, and even after they're
14 completed.

15 MR. SAKUMOTO: That's it.

16 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Any questions for 17 applicant at this time?

18 COMMISSIONER SODARO: Actually, thank 19 you, Miss Kua. You touched on it as it relates to scenic 20 impact regarding the view, so you mentioned that you have 21 toured individual residences. I would be interested in 22 maybe for consideration for the commission before it goes 23 to LUC that an actual view impact study be done. We 24 appreciate the photos on the site, but obviously the 25 windmills are visible. But I'm happy to hear you're

1 reaching out to people on an individual basis, but could 2 you speak to the potential or give a study about 3 Kamehameha Highway or commercial lines coming into 4 Wahiawa in the past, right?

5 MS. KUA: Maybe -- maybe Mr. Wescoatt 6 can elaborate, but we -- we have done simulations from 7 different vantage points, and one of the things that we 8 wanted to learn from the community was if there are other 9 areas that we should be focusing our simulations on, so 10 we did get a lot of good feedback from them, we did 11 things like, you know, we sent a photographer out to surf 12 sites, we sent people out to -- photographers out to the 13 parks just so we could get an idea from different vantage 14 points across the -- across the North Shore.

15 We also went to residents in Pupukea, 16 who have the potential for visual impact, went to 17 people's homes, talked with them, we sent a photographer 18 up there so they could shoot pictures for simulations 19 from those vantage points, and -- and then we're still in 20 the middle of -- of getting those back, but we got a lot 21 of really good feedback from the residents and some of 22 the community leaders on some of the areas we should be 23 focusing on for those -- for those simulations.

24 MR. WESCOATT: We have -- so we've done 25 about -- probably done about 30 or 40 different what is

1 it going to look like from here, what is it going to look
2 like from here, and modeled where the solar farm's going
3 to be laid out and where can you see it from, and if you
4 think of -- so based on those, we've also done sort of a -5 sort of a -- like a view plane study, just using the
6 elevation of the ground, and you know, from how far away
7 can you see it.

8 So basically it -- in general, it looks 9 like you can -- the project is going to be visible from --10 from points along the Pupukea Ridge where you're actually 11 up higher than the farm, and then along Kamehameha 12 Highway, because there is a bluff between the highway and 13 the project, it's very difficult to see, you -- you 14 cannot -- it's difficult to get to a place where you can 15 actually view the panels. The panels are only let's say 16 average of six feet off the ground, and so unlike the 17 wind turbines, which are -- so in most cases near the 18 project it's -- it's very difficult to be anywhere where 19 you can see the project. However, once you get to -- I 20 think the first location where the panels were visible 21 was at Haleiwa Harbor, where if you were looking at the 22 right vantage you can see the panels in the distance from 23 Haleiwa Harbor, and again at Waialua, Mokuleia, so we 24 modeled some of those locations.

25

So as you move out from the project

1 past Haleiwa town, you can see the project in the 2 distance, and I think mainly the concerns were people 3 wanted to know where can we see it from, what's it going 4 to look like. And so we've gotten a lot of follow up, we 5 had a photographer, like Crystal said, take pictures from 6 the water, Waimea, Haleiwa, Chun's, various locations, 7 surf sites, and in all of those cases the panels were out 8 of view because of the bluff, the land form is between 9 the panels and wherever you would be on -- on your 10 surfboard, so --

MR. SAKUMOTO: Commissioner, if I could 12 point you to attachment nine of the application, there's 13 a visual simulation that contains I think the photos that 14 Mr. Wescoatt was referring to. So this is actually very 15 helpful because you can get a sense of the scale of the 16 property and roughly how far away you need to be just to 17 be able to see it.

18MR. WESCOATT: And some of the19 simulations in the water that I'm referring to are still20 being put together, so they're not all in that packet.21COMMISSIONER SODARO: What attachment?22MR. SAKUMOTO: Attachment number nine.23MS. NOZAKI: To the application.24MR. SAKUMOTO: Yes, to the application,25 the original SUP application. Can you pull that out and

1 circulate it?

2 MS. NOZAKI: If the commission would 3 like, we can circulate a couple of copies, because it 4 wasn't attached to the exhibit list, but to the original 5 application.

6 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Commissioners, 7 any questions of applicant at this time? Any other 8 questions? Okay. I'm going to ask -- ask some 9 questions, it's going to be a little unusual, but 10 regarding your agricultural component, this -- this 11 project is logistically as far as how the sites are set 12 up, it's a lot different than your other project. This 13 site seems to have several different separate penned off 14 areas instead of just one big area. However, the whole 15 amount of acreage is significant as far as the total map 16 size. So based on your prior experience with other 17 farmers from other islands, how many -- how many animals 18 are going to be farmed for this whole project as far as a 19 head count?

20 MR. WESCOATT: Yeah. No, that's a --21 that's a great question. We've talked about that with 22 several of the ranchers that we've met with, and the 23 answer is they're not sure. And the reason why is 24 because the -- normally let's say the normal metric is 25 one acre of grass will support two sheep, for instance.

1 So you would, you know, we have 384 acres, you could 2 presume there would be over 700 sheep.

3 However, because the solar modules are 4 going to be providing shade, creating shade, there's not 5 going to be as much grass growing on the -- underneath 6 the panels, so we're going to need to -- the ranchers 7 have said that they really need to understand what the 8 shading is going -- what impact it's going to have on the 9 grass growth, going to grow slower, it's not going to 10 grow, you know, continuous, and so they have said -- I've 11 asked could we at least say at least one to 200, and they 12 said one to 200, no problem, hopefully more. So one to 13 200 is the best estimate, but they want to be able to 14 manage the herd so that it is not too small to be able to 15 keep all the grass down, but not too large in which they 16 would have too many animals and there would be 17 overgrazing. So I think the -- you know, about one to 18 200 is the number we've talked about so far. It's going 19 to need some refinement as we get into the operation and 20 they understand how the grass growth is impacted by the 21 panels.

22 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: So is the plan to 23 move the herds between the sites or to permanently leave 24 the herds at specific sites? 25

MR. WESCOATT: Okay. The plan is to

1 move the herds between the sites.

2	COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: So there is a
3	path where they're going to be able to do that?
4	MR. WESCOATT: Correct. Correct.
5	COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Between
6	MR. WESCOATT: So where the where
7	there's spaces between the purple shaded areas, there's
8	existing roads, and Kamehameha Schools as the land owner
9	also wants to be able to traverse the easily traverse
10	the property from one side to the other, so they want
11	those roads maintained. So rather than create gates and
12	gradings where they have to cross, we try to fence the
13	paddocks so that we could allow free access along the
14	roadways, which is why the site is broken up, as you
15	mentioned.

We would have -- the sheep would move --We would have -- the sheep would move --The herd would move from site to site, spend a few days is in each site moving with temporary fencing until that y site is grazed and then move to another site. Each rancher probably is going to have to modify their size -herd size and their methodology to keep the vegetation 22 down at the whole site.

23 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: So as far as farm 24 structures for sheep, I don't know, what -- what do they 25 need as far as -- just leave them out in the open and

1 they go on their merry way or --

2 MR. WESCOATT: Yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: -- or you have to 4 provide covering for them and the rain bothers them or --5 MR. WESCOATT: No, the rain doesn't 6 seem to bother them, but these guys would have the most 7 covered lanai of any sheep farm that you can imagine. 8 They can walk under the panels easily and get out of the 9 rain if they wanted to. But there will be -- there's no 10 additional facilities that need to be created other than 11 fencing and loading areas, loading ramps, sheep on and 12 off. And they'll be moving -- there will be gates 13 installed facing the roadways so they can be moved across 14 from one paddock to another. 15 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: All right. 16 MS. NOZAKI: Chair Hazama, if I may 17 supplement Mr. Wescoatt's testimony, I just want to 18 provide some clarification as far as the site map, which 19 was included as an exhibit to the director's report. And 20 Mr. Wescoatt, please correct me if I'm wrong, but 21 although the site map shows nine different sites, eight 22 of which are petition areas in purple, each of those 23 sites are not separated and won't be separately penned 24 off, so there -- it's -- it may have roads going in

25 between those portions, but they're not going to be

1 individually penned off like that.

2 Also, if -- if it gives you some sort 3 of context as to the number of sheep that may be grazing 4 on the operation or project site, the Kauai project, the 5 solar farm, sheep grazing project has 20 to 30 sheep per 6 several acres. It could be also as big as 350 sheep per 7 100 acres, so the estimate that Mr. Wescoatt gave you as 8 far as one to 200 sheep is -- is pretty conservative, and 9 the number of actual sheep would depend somewhat on the 10 arrays -- the way they're arranged. 11 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: So fence line 12 then does not go around each site. Where are the 13 perimeter fence lines located? MR. WESCOATT: The -- so -- sorry. To --14 15 to correct Ms. Nozaki, there will be fencing around most 16 of the areas shown, but there may be some additional 17 fixed fencing other than what's shown, so those areas 18 might be broken up a little bit further just to 19 facilitate a roadway where you don't have to have gates 20 all the way along. So there may be some additional 21 fencing in addition to that. It's not reflective of the 22 final fence design. 23 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: But the herd's 24 basically penned in? 25 MR. WESCOATT: Correct. Correct.

1 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: They're not free 2 roaming? MR. WESCOATT: Exactly. Yeah. And --3 4 and that will also -- so they'll be within that fence 5 within a temporary fence, so they'll be in a smaller area 6 than shown on -- on there. 7 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Okay. Thanks. 8 COMMISSIONER HAYASHIDA: What do they 9 do with lambs when they're -- when they give birth? 10 MR. WESCOATT: They generally stay with 11 the herd. And they -- they -- once they're raised to a 12 certain size, the rancher will -- once they're marketable 13 size, the rancher may take them off and may do some 14 finishing in another area or make sure that they're very 15 well fed before they head on onto the next phase of their 16 lamb existence. The farmer on Kauai that I was 17 mentioning earlier sells his lamb to Merriman's 18 restaurants, and so he has a good -- they get their solar 19 energy and lamb burgers at the restaurant. 20 COMMISSIONER YOUNG: Mr. Wescoatt, you 21 mentioned that the City would be informed if there were a 22 change besides sheep. What is the alternative? 23 MR. WESCOATT: There have been some 24 other possibilities that have been discussed for

25 agriculture operations, including possible -- on this

1 type of site, which include bees, we have a lot of 2 interest from beekeepers in the area, and aquaculture is 3 another possibility. There -- there's small pond 4 aquaculture that might also be compatible with this type 5 of site. We have for the -- for the reasons of grass 6 management, I think we definitely favor sheep because 7 that's a -- you know, both sides really benefit from 8 that, so we're really hoping we can find a partnership 9 where the sheep operation is feasible.

10 COMMISSIONER YOUNG: But if it weren't 11 for sheep, bees and sheep aren't the same, right? So 12 would there be any other animals that you could use for 13 grazing?

MR. WESCOATT: There is also MR. WESCOATT: There is also apparently -- I've heard of a small -- like what they call a low lying cattle, which is a very low profile type rof cattle, which is another -- potentially could be another possibility. We could also do -- in terms of grazing, there are other animals that, you know, potentially could do, you know -- I don't know, pheasant or some other type of bird, but they wouldn't provide the grazing benefit, so we'd really -- we're really hoping to a find a -- a compatible use would be grazing.

24 COMMISSIONER YOUNG: Are there
25 different permits for different animals?

1 MR. WESCOATT: Not that I'm aware. 2 And -- and my understanding is the special use permit --3 that the -- the agricultural operation itself would not 4 require, you know, doesn't require the -- the special use 5 permit, but the -- and so I'm not really familiar, I've 6 sort of been focusing on permitting for the -- for the 7 energy project, I'm not really familiar with the permits 8 for livestock.

9 COMMISSIONER HAYASHIDA: Goats are 10 destructive to the --

11 MR. WESCOATT: Goats, they're jumpers 12 and climbers, so I think I could envision them on our 13 solar panels, it would not be a good fit, probably. 14 MR. SAKUMOTO: Commissioner Young, if I 15 could just add to Mr. Wescoatt's response, I think if we 16 had livestock on the property, because this is ag one 17 zoning, I think we can put that on the property just as a 18 permitted use, in other words, you know, without any 19 zoning permit, just building permits that we would need 20 to fence it in. So it's, you know, it is in an 21 agricultural area, and it's zoned for ag, so I think --22 yeah, we wouldn't need any other type of permits just to 23 have livestock grazing on the property. That's my 24 understanding.

25

COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Any other

1 questions, Commissioners, at this time? No? Okay.

2 Thank you very much.

3 MR. WESCOATT: Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Okay. At this 5 time, Commissioners, I'll open up public testimony on 6 this matter. Is there anyone wishing to testify before 7 the commission at this time? Okay. Anybody? Okay. 8 Seeing none, Commissioners, I'll have a motion to close 9 public testimony. 10 COMMISSIONER TOLENTINO: So moved. 11 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: So moved and 12 seconded? COMMISSIONER YOUNG: Seconded. 13 14 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Seconded. Any 15 objections to closing public testimony? Any abstentions? 16 Okay, public testimony is closed. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I'd like to 18 make a motion to move to executive session. 19 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: So moved, and 20 seconded? COMMISSIONER SODARO: By myself. 21 22 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Any objections? 23 Any abstentions? Okay, at this time the planning 24 commission will move into executive session to consult 25 corporation counsel on the roles, responsibilities and

1 the duties of the planning commission. I ask that the 2 room be emptied at this time.

3 (Break from 2:22 p.m. to 2:44 p.m.)
4 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Okay, thank you
5 for your patience, I call the meeting back in order. At
6 this time we'll call applicant and department up. Okay,
7 Commissioners, any questions of applicant or department
8 at this time?

9 COMMISSIONER SODARO: If the department 10 could -- you may have touched on it, but could you go 11 back to your report and just review the IAL component of 12 this application?

MS. ARAKAWA: What specifically are you 14 looking for?

15 COMMISSIONER SODARO: I -- I wanted to 16 verify if all the sites were in the IAL. But the 17 language says and surrounding lands, so I'm -- we're just 18 trying to have clarity. All 300 and -- or let me look at 19 the -- also, all 382 acres in the application are in the 20 IAL.

21 MS. ARAKAWA: Okay. I'm sorry, but I
22 don't -- I don't have the docket with me.
23 COMMISSIONER SODARO: Okay.
24 MS. ARAKAWA: So I wouldn't be able to
25 identify which sites fall into the IAL.

1 COMMISSIONER SODARO: Does the agent of 2 the applicant know? 3 MR. SAKUMOTO: No, I'm sorry, I don't 4 know the answer to that. 5 COMMISSIONER SODARO: Okay. 6 MR. SAKUMOTO: The petition was filed 7 by another -- the landowner, Kamehameha Schools, so -- as 8 opposed to we're -- we're the lessee of a portion of 9 their land. I know the IAL covered a significant portion 10 of the property. 11 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Referring to the 12 IAL Land Use, 382 acres applies specifically to this 13 project. 14 COMMISSIONER SODARO: Right. So I'm 15 trying to understand are these 382 acres in that IAL 16 designation? That's -- that's what I'm asking. 17 MR. WESCOATT: My understanding is that 18 the entire project is within the IAL. 19 COMMISSIONER SODARO: Okay. Okay. 20 Thanks. COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Any other 21 22 questions at this time? I'm going to enter that this 23 particular project is on IAL land and that is 24 significant, to me anyway, it's a significant -- held at 25 a higher level as far as the ag lands since the State

1 approved the designation of this land. Of concern to me 2 is that we do have comments from the Department of 3 Agriculture with regards to the concerns regarding use, 4 agriculture use on this IAL land, and the lack I think of 5 the agricultural plan at this time during the application 6 submission. Taking into consideration the type of land 7 it is and the designation does raise some concerns for us 8 as far as submitting the recommendation in a package 9 forwarded to the LUC.

10 There are some concerns as well 11 regarding the requirement, and the statute regarding IAL 12 is not necessarily clear at all times regarding the 13 agricultural component use concurrently with the use of 14 the property for a non ag use. I understand what the law 15 does provide, however, some of the provisions in Act 55 16 as well as 205 leave some interpretation as far as which 17 way to guide the applicant, so those are my concerns. 18 Commissioner, do you have a motion?

19 COMMISSIONER SODARO: I'd like to offer 20 a motion to continue with the request of the applicant to 21 submit on the agricultural site plan, I guess maybe 22 detailing what you shared with us in a narrative. The 23 motion is to continue with a request for the applicant to 24 submit an agricultural site plan.

25

COMMISSIONER TOLENTINO: So moved.

1 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Moved and 2 seconded. Commissioners, we're in discussion. As far as 3 putting together one or development of one, where's the 4 applicant sit in the process?

5 MR. SAKUMOTO: Chair Hozama, I think 6 the -- the answer to that is -- Mr. Wescoatt touched on 7 it, the ability of the sheep rancher to put together a 8 plan. We can ask them to do that. It would be based on 9 a number of assumptions obviously that they would have to 10 make, because as he mentioned, you know, the actual 11 implementation is going to depend upon how the -- the 12 grass actually reacts to the solar panels above it before 13 they can commit to a certain amount of sheep on the 14 property.

But if that type of plan is acceptable, But if that type of plan is acceptable, If you know, I think it's a reasonable request. I just have request of the commission, if we could find our way and onto your agenda sooner rather than later on the continued hearing. We are trying to meet a schedule of actually being able to turn on the -- or activate the solar energy facility by January 1 of 2017. So to do that, you know, we need to get an approval from this commission, and as you know, then get an approval from the Land Use Commission, and then we'll have ten months for construction, which is going to put us well into, you

1 know, 2016 to try and rush and get it done and activated 2 by 2017. So we are kind of racing against that clock, so 3 that's -- that's the request I have if the commission is 4 inclined to actually continue this, that we try to be 5 placed on an agenda as soon as possible.

6 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Well, if we can 7 try and make a commitment, however, a lot of that would 8 rely upon your ability to come up with a plan. I guess 9 to be fair to the applicant to explain the commission's 10 dilemma as far as our concern, being IAL land, like I 11 said, it's held at a higher standard, so obviously there 12 must be -- there must be an agricultural component to 13 this project. We don't hold the applicant at fault, 14 because you didn't write Act 55, you didn't write 205, 15 and there are areas, like I said, that aren't clear as 16 far as clarity in regards to IAL land specifically.

So we're just trying to make sure that, So we're just trying to make sure that, Is like I said, when we get your plan, it may not be -- it may not be perfect, it may not be able to address issues that will probably come up during construction, things that, but we need to see something in order to forward this on to the LUC to ensure them that there is a agricultural component and what that component is in address it on. Is that clear as far as the commission's point of view or --

1 MR. WESCOATT: I guess I would -- this 2 is Wren from SunEdison, I would -- we're still really at 3 the early stage. Clearly, we're -- we're the solar 4 operator, so we're not the sheep rancher. We've been in 5 discussion with several ranchers, but we're at -- that is 6 at the very early stage. We have not selected -- well, 7 we have a letter of intent, we haven't selected the final 8 lessee. They haven't developed an exact -- they haven't 9 developed an exact plan for the site because it's still a 10 few years out before they would begin operation. So we 11 are, you know, we're at the very early stages of this. 12 It would be difficult to give a lot of detail, which I'm 13 sure you'd want to see in an agricultural plan. I think 14 we're at the -- a very early stage.

15 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: I guess maybe 16 this train of thought. If hypothetically your leasing --17 or your leasing plans fall through, would you be able to 18 locate another sheep farmer that could do the same thing? 19 In which case I guess we're asking your plan to not be 20 specific necessarily to a specific farmer, but what your 21 overall plan concept is as far as what your agricultural 22 component is going to be for this property.

23 MR. SAKUMOTO: Chair Hozama, if I could 24 offer this one suggestion for the commission to consider, 25 I think that, you know, based on the timing of events,

1 what -- what might also work is that the commission 2 impose a condition on the applicant to produce that ag 3 plan under a certain timetable, and obviously we don't do 4 that, you can call us back, you have the power to revoke 5 the permit later. But what it would allow us to do is, 6 you know, keep the process moving forward and stay within 7 the timetable. If we don't produce the plan that's 8 acceptable, you can always bring us back before this 9 commission to answer for, you know, where we are at that 10 time.

It think the meaningful ag plan, one It that you may be looking for, can probably be produced a once we get closer to the actual operation of the It project, but I guess if we're to do one now, it would be, you know, based on a number of factors that we'd be speculating on at this point. That's my only thought. COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: I guess -- I guess -- don't you think the LUC would ask -- they can stop you, too. They are actually the planner, and you know, you have to come back to two parties instead of just one so --

22 MR. WESCOATT: It could be a condition 23 of the -- one of the conditions of the permit that's made 24 at this level, and then the LUC could approve as well. 25 We could submit a plan, having more time would give us a

1 chance to submit, you know, a more -- I think a plan
2 that's more reflective of what would actually be built in
3 the field.

4 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Why don't we do 5 this? I will -- we can schedule a relatively quick date 6 back to the commission, and we will request that you 7 submit a preliminary ag plan to us. Then a condition 8 will be going forward that you'll have a final ag survey 9 at a certain time in the process. Yes?

10 COMMISSIONER TOLENTINO: Chair, we only 11 set you up on a timeline, and you know, speaking of the 12 ag plan, you know, if you -- if you consulted 13 13 different farmers, you would have 13 different plans, but 14 I think what we're also looking for, more importantly, is 15 the commitment of the applicant for the continuous use of --16 of the ag parameters, and if you could incorporate that 17 in the next report, I think that would move you a little 18 bit further.

19 MR. SAKUMOTO: If I may add to -- the 20 plan is, as you probably know, that the City has an 21 agricultural dedication process where we can file a 22 petition with the City where we commit to dedicating the 23 land to agricultural use for -- I think it's periods of 24 one year, five years and ten years. And that's available 25 to, you know, landowners that have agricultural lands,

1 and I think the -- the applicant is committed to doing 2 that once they are in a position to do ag use. But I 3 guess the point I wanted to make was that even the City's 4 ag dedication process, they'll give you a break on the 5 tax assessed value of your land as long as you're using 6 it for agriculture purposes, but the law also recognizes 7 the reality that there will be times when, for example, 8 one rancher will fail and the landowner's looking for 9 another one, and they find one, but there's a gap in 10 time. So it's not 100 percent continuous, but as long as 11 it's generally continuous and then during the gaps the 12 owner is actively seeking another active agricultural use 13 that I think the City has recognized the reality of 14 agriculture in Hawaii, that you know, there isn't 100 15 percent, but you can always get as close to that as -- as 16 you can possibly do. So yeah, that -- I guess what I'm 17 just saying is that we can make that commitment, and I 18 think to -- if it's not being actively used at that 19 moment, that we're actively seeking another user. 20 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: How long is your 21 lease? What is your lease period? 22 MR. WESCOATT: It is -- it follows the 23 power purchase agreement with HECO, so currently it's 27

24 year -- if the PPA is 27 years, the lease is 27 years. 25 It could go longer if the project continues.

1 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: So does applicant 2 have any objections to coming back to the commission on 3 the 15th of this month to submit a preliminary 4 agricultural plan? 5 MR. SAKUMOTO: No, we have no 6 objections to that. 7 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Department, do 8 you have any comments? 9 COMMISSIONER HAYASHIDA: Chair, I have 10 a comment. 11 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER HAYASHIDA: So I mean I --12 13 I appreciate sheep on the -- as a preliminary plan, but I 14 would like you to also be able to have a backup plan that 15 would address another ag use, whether -- of those three, 16 which is beekeeping, aquaponics or whatever it was, at 17 least have a backup plan that shows that you have made 18 provisions that another use can come in as you -- that 19 would be my request. 20 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Okay. Any other 21 comments or questions? Department, do you have any? 22 MS. ARAKAWA: So just to clarify, in 23 our recommendation to planning commission, we have this 24 condition, condition number one, which requires the 25 applicant to have such operations established within a

1 year, or actively seeking to have this operation 2 established, and we also require an annual report to that 3 effect where they need to report back to us on their 4 progress, so this ag plan would be on top of that, I 5 guess is what you're asking for, some kind of commit ---6 written commitment.

7 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: The ag plan is 8 more from the applicant side as far as what their plan is 9 on what the ag component plan is going to be for this 10 project in detailing, you know, more specifics as far as 11 what they've got to do, who -- who their potential 12 partners are or not, things of that nature. We will wait 13 to review the preliminary plan, I think, before we move 14 onto the other conditions as far as the director's 15 report, because it does mention in the director's report 16 that it requires a plan. It's kind of -- we have a 17 question with that, too, as far as what -- it doesn't say 18 when or what the plan entails, it just says the director 19 wants a plan. So we're trying to figure out the plan 20 before construction, before permits are issued or --21 because it's not specific in the report as far as what --22 the director basically says I want a plan, too, but he 23 doesn't say what the plan should entail and when the plan 24 should be submitted. So, okay.

25

MS. ARAKAWA: And I think that goes

1 back to the Chapter 205 language in there that basically
2 just says that, and again, ILSI, right, this is just that
3 the applicant shall actively seek, or make the lands
4 available, not necessarily have it in ag production.

5 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: I'll go with your 6 read. The department and the DLNR's response to the 7 application, they mention or -- that they would like to 8 see specifics as far as what the ag component entails. 9 Of course, they're only comments. They are the 10 agricultural department that leads the State, so we would 11 like to kind of somewhat be able to address or include in 12 that -- in our package to the LUC something that 13 addresses those concerns as well. Yes, ma'am.

MS. SOKUGAWA: Just a point of Is information. I recognize the difficulty the planning commission has, which is balancing a vague Chapter 205, but the State's clear policy to adopt alternative resources for energy, and that any delays in the delivery of alternative energy, you know, we need to weigh very carefully, because we do want to be more self sustaining in our energy. So there are two balancing public policy suses that you're grappling with. But I just want a point of simple information, so if you've closed the public hearing, does that mean that they're required to render a decision within 30 days of the close?

1 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: That's correct. 2 MS. SOKUGAWA: According to the rules? 3 So I just want everybody to be aware of the time issue. 4 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: If we close the 5 public hearing, we have 30 days to render a D&R on this 6 application, so having a meeting on the 15th will meet 7 that requirement. Okay. Any other questions for the 8 department, or department, do you have any other 9 comments? 10 MS. ARAKAWA: No. Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Okay. All right. 12 Commissioners, I have a motion on the floor to continue 13 the hearing until April 15 at 1:30 here. Any objections? 14 Any abstentions? Okay, we will continue this meeting 15 until April 15. I thank the applicant and the 16 department. At this time, Commissioners, we have no 17 other items on the agenda. Do we have a motion to 18 adjourn? 19 COMMISSIONER CHANG: So moved. COMMISSIONER YOUNG: Seconded. 20 COMMISSIONER HAZAMA: Moved and 21 22 seconded. Any objections? Any abstentions? This 23 meeting is adjourned. Thank you very much. (Meeting adjourned at 3:04 p.m.) 24 25

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, SHIRLEY L. KEYS, Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter of the State of Hawaii, do hereby certify that
5	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
6	stenographic notes of the testimony taken by me in the
7	above-captioned matter.
8	
9	
10	SHIRLEY L. KEYS, CSR #383
11	
12	Dated: April 7, 2015
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

**→**