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Executive Summary

Biological field surveys were conducted on an approximately 97-acre parcel of land identified as Tax Map Key (4) 3-8-003:001 located in Kapa’a, Island of Kaua’i. The owners are proposing to develop these lands as Phase II of the Kapa’a Highlands subdivision.

The primary purpose of the surveys was to determine if there are any botanical, avian and terrestrial mammalian species currently listed, or proposed for listing under either federal or State of Hawai’i endangered species statutes within or adjacent to the study area. The avian and mammalian surveys were conducted May 21, 2012, and the botanical survey was conducted on April 19 and May 7, 2012.

No species currently proposed or listed as threatened or endangered under either the federal or state of Hawaii endangered species statutes was documented during the course of the biological surveys conducted on the subject property in April and May, 2012.

There is no federally delineated Critical Habitat for any species present on or adjacent to the project area. Thus the development and operation of the proposed project will not result in impacts to federally designated Critical Habitat. There is no equivalent statute under State law.

Potential Impacts to Protected Species

Botanical
As all of the plant species recorded are either naturalized species or common indigenous species it is not expected that the development and operation of the proposed subdivision will result in deleterious impacts to any botanical species currently listed or proposed for listing under either federal or State of Hawai’i endangered species statutes.

Seabirds
The principal potential impact that construction and operation of the Kapa’a Highlands Phase II project poses to protected seabirds is the increased threat that birds will be downed after becoming disoriented by lights associated with the project during the nesting season. The two main ways that outdoor lighting could pose a threat to these nocturnally flying seabirds is if 1) during construction it is deemed expedient, or necessary to conduct nighttime construction activities, and 2) following build-out, the potential operation of streetlights and exterior safety and security lighting.

Hawaiian hoary bat
The principal potential impact that the development of the Kapa’a Highlands Phase II project poses to bats is during the clearing and grubbing phases of construction as vegetation is removed. The removal of vegetation within the project site may temporarily displace individual bats, which may use the vegetation as a roosting location. As bats use multiple roosts within their home territories, the potential disturbance resulting from the removal of the vegetation is likely to be minimal. During the pupping season, females carrying their pups may be less able to rapidly vacate a roost site as the vegetation is cleared. Additionally, adult female bats sometimes leave their pups in the roost tree while they forage. Very small pups may be unable to flee a tree that is being felled. Potential adverse effects from such disturbance can be avoided or minimized by not clearing woody vegetation taller than 4.6 meters (15-feet), between June 15 and September 15, the period in which bats are potentially at risk from vegetation clearing.
Introduction and Background

An avian and mammalian survey was conducted on an approximately 97-acre parcel of land identified as Tax Map Key (4) 3-8-003:001 located in Kapa‘a, Island of Kaua‘i (Figure 1). The owners are proposing to develop these lands as Phase II of the Kapa‘a Highlands subdivision.

This report describes the methods used and the results of the avian and terrestrial mammalian surveys conducted on the project site by this author and a summary of the results of the botanical surveys conducted on the site by Wood and Kirkpatrick (2012). Both surveys were conducted as part of the environmental disclosure process associated with the proposed project.

The primary purpose of the surveys was to determine if there are any botanical, avian and terrestrial mammalian species currently listed, or proposed for listing under either federal or State of Hawai‘i endangered species statutes within or adjacent to the study area. The federal and State of Hawai‘i listed species status follows species identified in the following referenced documents, (Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR) 1998; U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) 2005, 2012). The avian and mammalian surveys were conducted May 21, 2012, and the botanical survey was conducted on April 19 and May 7, 2012.

Hawaiian and scientific names are italicized in the text. A glossary of technical terms and acronyms used in the document, which may be unfamiliar to the reader, are included at the end of the narrative text.

General Site Description

The approximately 97 acre project site is bound to the north by Oloheha Road (SR 581) and Kapa‘a Middle School, to the east and south by the Kapa‘a Bypass Road and to the west by undeveloped land and a new solar power generating facility (Figure 1). The site is made up of gently rolling hills that attain a maximum elevation of ~ 45 meters above mean sea level in the northwestern corner, sloping makai in an east-southeast direction down to an elevation of approximately ~ 6 meters ASL at the intersection of Oloheha Road and the Kapa‘a Bypass Road.

The site has a long history of sugar cultivation, followed by use as cattle pasturage. The vegetation currently on the site is dominated almost to the exclusion of native species by Guinea grass (Panicum maximum), kao hoale (Leucania leucopetala), lantana (Lantana camara), with Java plum trees (Syzygium cumini), dotted across the landscape (Figure 2). The southwestern boundary of the site has fairly dense stands of hau (Hibiscus tiliaceus) along the boundary (Figure 3).

1 Wood, K.R., and M. Kirkpatrick. 2012. Botanical Survey Kapa‘a Highlands Phase II TMK (4) 3-8-003:001 Kaua‘i, Hawai‘i April-May 2012, is appended to this document as Appendix A.
Methods


Botanical Survey Methods

The botanical survey was conducted using a pedestrian (walking) transect methodology to cover the project area. Wood and Kirkpatrick’s methodologies are detailed in Appendix A.

Avian Survey Methods

A total of six avian point count stations were sited roughly equidistant from each other within the project site. Six-minute point counts were made at each of the count stations. Each station was counted once. Field observations were made with the aid of Leica 8 X 42 binoculars and by listening for vocalizations. Point counts were concentrated during the early morning hours, the peak of daily bird activity. Time not spent counting was used to search the remainder of the project site for species and habitats that were not detected during count sessions.

Mammalian Survey Methods

With the exception of the endangered Hawaiian hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus semotus*), or ‘ōpō‘opō as it is known locally, all terrestrial mammals currently found on the Island of Kaua‘i are alien species, and most are ubiquitous. The survey for terrestrial mammalian species was limited to visual and auditory detection, coupled with visual observation of scat, tracks, and other animal sign. No trapping program or heterodyne bat detection survey methods were used during the course of this survey. A running tally was kept of all terrestrial vertebrate mammalian species detected within the project area during time spent within the project site.
Results

Botanical Survey

A total of 44 species of vascular plants were identified from the survey area. Three of the species detected moa (Pilotom nudum), hau (Hibiscus tiliaeus) and ‘uhalu’a (Waltheria indica) are common indigenous species in the Islands. One species kukui (Aleurites moluccana) is a Polynesian introduction (Wood and Kirkpatrick, 2012).

Wood and Kirkpatrick did not detect any botanical species currently listed as endangered or threatened under either federal or State of Hawai’i endangered species statutes. For a detailed description of their findings please see Appendix A.

Avian Survey Results

A total of 193 individual birds of 17 species, representing 13 separate families, were recorded during station counts (Table 1). All 17 species recorded are alien to the Hawaiian Islands (Table 1).

Avian diversity and densities were in keeping with the location of the property and the habitat presently on the site. Four species, House Finch (Carpodacus mexicanus), Nutmeg Mannikin (Lonchura punctulata), Japanese White-eye (Zosterops japonicus) and Zebra Dove (Geopelia striata) accounted for slightly more than 45 percent of all birds recorded during station counts. The most commonly recorded species was House Finch, which accounted for 14 percent of the total number of individual birds recorded. An average of 32 individual birds was recorded per station count; a number that is about average for point counts in this area on the Island of Kaua‘i.

No avian species currently proposed or listed under either the State of Hawai‘i or federal endangered species statutes was detected during the course of this survey, nor would they be expected given the habitat currently present on the site.

Mammalian Survey Results

Four terrestrial mammalian species were detected while on the site. Numerous dogs (Canis f. familiaris) were heard barking from areas adjacent to the site. Tracks and scat of pig (Sus s. scrofa) were encountered within the site. Tracks, and scat of both horse (Equus c. caballus) and cow (Bos taurus), were also encountered within the site.

Table 1 – Avian Species Kapa‘a Highlands Phase II Point Counts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>RA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red Junglefowl</td>
<td>Gallus gallus</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pheasants &amp; Partridges</td>
<td>Phasianinae – Pheasants &amp; Partridges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELECANIFORMES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle Egret</td>
<td>Bubulcus ibis</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELIGRIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Bush-Warbler</td>
<td>Gella personata</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zosterops japonicus</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timaliidae</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Hwamei</td>
<td>Garrulax canorus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIGEONIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebra Dove</td>
<td>Geopelia striata</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Pigeon &amp; Doves</td>
<td>Columbidae - Pigeons &amp; Doves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Finch</td>
<td>Carpodacus mexicanus</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRINGILLIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Meadowlark</td>
<td>Sturnella neglecta</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackbirds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Cardinal</td>
<td>Campylorhynchus psaltria</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinals cardinals</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icteridae</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringilline &amp; Cushuline Finches &amp; Allies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Finch</td>
<td>Carpodacus mexicanus</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTRILDIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Avadavat</td>
<td>Amandava amandava</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutmeg Mannikin</td>
<td>Lonchura punctulata</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java Sparrow</td>
<td>Padda erytora</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key to Table 1

ST Status

A Alien – Introduced to the Hawaiian Islands by humans

RA Relative Abundance - Number of birds detected divided by the number of count stations (6)
Discussion

Botanical Resources

Only nine percent of the plant species (~41/44) detected on the subject property were either indigenous or early Polynesian introductions. This proportion is remarkably low for lowland areas on Kaua'i, and graphically illustrates the highly disturbed and depauperate nature of the native vegetation present on this site. Please see Appendix A for a more detailed discussion of the botanical resources present on the site.

Avian Resources

The findings of the avian survey are consistent with the location of the property, and the habitat present on the site. As previously stated all of the avian species detected during the course of this survey are alien to the Hawaiian Islands.

Although not detected during this survey, the endangered Hawaiian Petrel (Pterodroma sandwichensis), and the threatened endemic sub-species of the Newell’s Shearwater (Puffinus auricularis newelli) have been recorded over-flying the project site between April and the end of November each year (David, 1995; Morgan et al., 2003, 2004; David and Planning Solutions 2008). Additionally, the Save Our Shearwaters Program has recovered both species from the general project area on an annual basis over the past three decades (Morgan et al., 2003, 2004; David and Planning Solutions, 2008; Save our Shearwater Program, 2012).

The petrel is listed as endangered, and the shearwater as threatened under both Federal and State of Hawaii’s endangered species statutes. The primary cause of mortality in both Hawaiian Petrels and Newell’s Shearwaters is thought to be predation by alien mammalian species at the nesting colonies (USFWS 1983, Simons and Hodges 1998, Ainley et al., 2001). Collision with man-made structures is considered to be the second most significant cause of mortality of these seabird species in Hawaii. Nocturnally flying seabirds, especially fledglings on their way to sea in the summer and fall, can become disoriented by exterior lighting. When disoriented, seabirds can collide with manmade structures, and if they are not killed outright, the dazed or injured birds are easy targets of opportunity for feral mammals (Hadley 1961; Teller 1979; Sincok 1981; Reed et al., 1985; Teller et al., 1987; Cooper and Day, 1998; Podolsky et al. 1998; Ainley et al., 2001; Hue et al., 2001; Day et al. 2003). There are no nesting colonies nor appropriate nesting habitat for either of these listed seabird species within the current study site.

Following build out it is probable that cleared areas, especially those that are landscaped as lawns, and or parking lots will provide loafing habitat for Pacific Golden-FLOWER (Pluvialis fulva). The glover is an indigenous migratory shorebird species which nests in the high Arctic during the late spring and summer months, returning to Hawaii and the Tropical Pacific to spend the fall and winter months each year. They usually leave Hawaii for their trip back to the Arctic in late April or the very early part of May each year. This species is a common site around the state during the late fall and winter months.

Mammalian Resources

The findings of the mammalian survey are consistent with the location of the property and the habitat currently present on the site. We did not record Hawaiian hoary bats overflying the site. Hawaiian hoary bats are widely distributed in the lowland areas on the Island of Kaua’i, and have been documented in and around almost all areas that still have some dense vegetation (Tomich, 1986; USFWS 1998, David, 2012).

Although no rodents were detected during the course of this survey, it is virtually certain one or more of the four established alien muridae found on Kaua’i, roof rat (Rattus r. rattus), Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus), European house mouse (Mus musculus domesticus) and possibly Polynesian rats (Rattus exulans hawaiianus) use various resources found within the general project area. All of these introduced rodents are deleterious to native ecosystems and the native faunal species dependant on them.

Potential Impacts to Protected Species

Botanical

As all of the plant species recorded are either naturalized species or common indigenous species it is not expected that the development and operation of the proposed subdivision will result in deleterious impacts to any botanical species currently listed or proposed for listing under either Federal or State of Hawaii’s endangered species statutes.

Seabirds

The principal potential impact that construction and operation of the Kapa’a Highlands Phase II project poses to protected seabirds is the increased threat that birds will be drowned after becoming disoriented by lights associated with the project during the nesting season. The two main ways that outdoor lighting could pose a threat to these nocturnally flying seabirds is if 1) during construction it is deemed expedient, or necessary to conduct nighttime construction activities, and 2) following build-out, the potential operation of streetlights and exterior safety and security lighting.

Hawaiian hoary bat

The principal potential impact that the development of the Kapa’a Highlands Phase II project poses to bats is during the clearing and grubbing phases of construction as vegetation is removed. The removal of vegetation within the project site may temporarily displace individual bats, which may use the vegetation as a roosting location. As bats use multiple roosts within their home territories, the potential disturbance resulting from the removal of vegetation is likely to be minimal. During the pupping season, females carrying their pups may be less able to rapidly vacate a roost site as the vegetation is cleared. Additionally, adult female bats sometimes leave their pups in the roost tree while they forage. Very small pups may be unable to flee a tree that is being felled. Potential
adverse effects from such disturbance can be avoided or minimized by not clearing woody vegetation taller than 4.6 meters (15-feet), between June 15 and September 15, the period in which bats are potentially at risk from vegetation clearing.

**Critical Habitat**

There is no federally delineated Critical Habitat for any species present on or adjacent to the project area. Thus the development and operation of the proposed project will not result in impacts to federally designated Critical Habitat. There is no equivalent statute under State law.

**Recommendations**

- All exterior lights installed in conjunction with the proposed project should be shielded to reduce the potential for interaction of nocturnally flying seabirds with external lights and man-made structures (Reed et al, 1985; Tefer et al, 1987). Any lighting fixtures that meet the “Dark Skies” guidelines are appropriate.

- It is recommended that woody vegetation taller than 4.6 meters (15-feet), not be cleared between June 1 and September 15, the period in which bats are potentially at risk from vegetation clearing.

- It is recommended that, where appropriate and practicable, native plant species be used in landscaping efforts. Not only is this ecologically prudent, but also if the appropriate plants are used, it will also likely save maintenance and water costs over the long term.

**Glossary**

Alien – Introduced to Hawai‘i by humans
Commensal – Animals that share human food and lodgings, such as rats, mice cats and dogs.
Crepuscular – Twilight hours
Endangered – Listed and protected under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA) as an endangered species
Endemic – Native to the Hawaiian Islands and unique to Hawai‘i
Indigenous – Native to the Hawaiian Islands, but also found elsewhere naturally
mukui – Down-slope, towards the ocean
Muridae – Rodents, including rats, mice and voles, one of the most diverse families of mammals
Naturalized – A plant or animal that has become established in an area that it is not indigenous to
Nocturnal – Night-time, after dark
‘Ope‘ape‘a – Endemic endangered Hawaiian hoary bat (Lasiurus cinereus semotus)
Pelagic – An animal that spends its life at sea – in this case seabirds that only return to land to nest and rear their young
Phylogenetic – The evolutionary order that organisms are arranged by Ruderal – Disturbed, rocky, rubbishy areas, such as old agricultural fields and rock piles
Sign – Biological term referring to tracks, scat, rubbing, odor, marks, nests, and other signs created by animals by which their presence may be detected
Threatened – Listed and protected under the ESA as a threatened species

ASL – Above mean sea level
DLNR – Hawai‘i State Department of Land & Natural Resources
DOFAW – Division of Forestry and Wildlife
ESA – Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended
TMK – Tax Map Key
USFWS – United State Fish & Wildlife Service
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INTRODUCTION

Project Background
At the request of Three Stooges LLC., Exploration Associates Ltd. (EAL) conducted an archaeological assessment of a parcel of land (referred to hereafter as Kapa‘a Highlands Phase II) in Kapa‘a (in TMK 4-3-3:1) (Figures 1 & 2). The survey was performed to address any historic preservation or cultural impact issues that might affect the proposed development.

The proposed development, Kapa‘a Highlands Phase II, project involves the development of a residential subdivision on a 97 acre parcel. Approximately 69 acres will be subdivided into residential lots both single family and multi-family units. In addition the breakdown of Phase II will include: roads - 9.4 acres; church - 0.8 acres; general commercial - 0.4 acres; parks - 3.1 acres and open space - 14.3 acres.

Scope of Work
The purpose of this archaeological investigation is to address any archaeological and/or historical concerns. The proposed work includes a surface survey, subsurface testing, and a report detailing methods and any finds. This archaeological work meets the requirements of an inventory-level survey per the rules and regulations of (State Historic Preservation Division/Department of Land and Natural Resources) SHPD/DLNR. The level of work is sufficient to address site types, locations, and allow for future mitigation recommendations if appropriate. Any property over 50 years of age must be evaluated for historic significance on the National Register of Historic places, and include remnant pre-contact and historic period sites.

The scope of work includes:
- Historical research includes study of archival sources, historic maps, Land Commission Awards and previous archaeological reports to construct a history of land use and to determine if archaeological sites have been recorded on or near this property.
- Pedestrian survey of 100% of the subject parcel to identify any surface archaeological features and investigate and assess the potential for impact to such sites, and limited subsurface testing to identify any subsurface sensitive areas that may require further investigation or mitigation before the project proceeds.
- Preparation of a report which will include the results of the historical research and the fieldwork with an assessment of archaeological potential based on that research with recommendations for further archaeological work, if appropriate. It also will provide mitigation recommendations if there are archaeologically sensitive areas that require further consideration.
Figure 1. USGS Map Showing Project Area

Figure 2. Project location and surveyed area outlined in purple.

Figure 3. Project development map.
Methods

On January 3, 2012 and April 25, 2012 and November 11, 2012 a survey of the Kapa’a Highlands Phase II project area was conducted by Exploration Associates Ltd. by archaeologist Nancy McMahon, M.A. Survey transects oriented north-south were spaced 10 m. apart where possible through thick guinea grass. Field observations were recorded and photographs were taken of the project area, the surrounding area, and the backhoe trenches. Three test trenches were machine excavated to examine the soils and determine if any stratiography or buried cultural deposits was present. Soils were classified using a Munsell color chart, then photographed.

Historical research includes a review of previous archaeological studies on file at the State Historic Preservation Division of the Department of Land and Natural Resources; studies of documents at Hamilton Library UH Manoa, the Kapa’a and Lihue Public Libraries, the Kaua‘i Museum, the Kaua‘i Historical Society and from the study of maps at the Survey Office of the Department of Land and Natural Resources. Nineteenth-century Land Commission Award claim records were accessed via the Internet from the Mahele Database prepared by Waihona ‘Aina Corp.

Natural Setting/Project Area

The subject parcel is located north of Kapa’a town on former cane lands situated on a bluff adjacent to the coastal plain. It is bordered by Olohena Road to the north and the Kapa’a Bypass Road on the south and east. Kapaa Intermediate School is located on state land near the middle of the northern portion of the property. A Phase I parcel has an existing solar farm and equipment building.

The southern border of the project area is adjacent to the by-pass road within an elevation of approximately 55 feet above msl. The topography of the project area rises in elevation to the northern border approximately 130 feet above msl or an average increase of less than 5%. There are particular areas of the property with 20% slopes. The project area is currently fallow and is vegetated with Guinea Grass (Panicum maximum), Koa Haole (Leucaena leucocephala), and Java Plum (Syzygium cumini). The last cultivation of sugar cane on the project area was 15 years ago, but due to the poor soil, strong trade winds and the salt spray from the ocean, the viability of agricultural crops is limited. Solar farming, goat and cattle grazing are the current utilization of the property.

Foote et al (1972) described the soil in this area as Lihue-Puhi association, deep, nearly level to steep, well drained soils with fine texture and moderately fine texture subsoil. Permeability is moderately rapid, run-off is slow and erosion hazard is slight. The mean annual rainfall throughout the study area is about 22 inches per year. Average temperatures in the region range from the 60s to the low 90s, Fahrenheit. Temperature differences between day and night are about 15 degrees. The consistent direction of the tradewinds is from the northeast at between 10 and 15 miles per hour.
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

From Puna District to Kawaihau District

The ahupua`a of Kapa`a belongs in the ancient district of Puna, one of five ancient districts on Kaua`i (King 1935: 228). Puna was the second largest district on Kaua`i, behind Kona, and extended from Kipu, south of Lihue to Kama`oma`o, just north of Kealia. For taxation, educational and judicial reasons, new districts were created in the 1840s. The Puna District, with the same boundaries became the Lihu`e District, named for an important town in that district. In 1878, King Kalakau`a in securing a future name for the new district named Kawaihau, created the new district of Kawaihau. This new district encompassed the ahupua`a ranging from Olohena on the south to Kilauea on the north. Subsequent alterations to district boundaries in the 1920s left Kawaihau with Olohena as its southernmost boundary and Moloa`a as its northernmost boundary (King 1935:222).

Traditional and Legendary Accounts of Kapa`a

A more in depth study of the legends and mythology of Kapa`a can be found in the Cultural Impact Assessment for the Proposed Kapa`a Highlands Phase II [EAL 2012]. Just a few of some of the legends of the area are included in this report.

Palila and Ka`ea

High in the mauka region of Kapa`a in the Makaleha mountains at a place called Ka`ea, is reported to be the supernatural banana grove of the Kaua`i kupua or demigod Palila, grandson of Hina (Handy and Handy 1972:424). In a 1913 edition of the newspaper Ka`oko`a Joseph Akina describes Pahla's banana grove:

The stalk could hardly be surrounded by two men, and was about 35 feet high from the soil to the lowest petiole. The length of the cluster from stem to lowest end of the bunch of bananas was about 1 3/4 fathoms long (one anana and one muku). There were only two bananas on each about 4 inches around the middle. There were just two bananas, one on the east side and one on the west, each about a foot or more in length. The one on the east side was tartish, like a waiaw (Spanish guava) in taste and the one on the west was practically tasteless. The diameter of the end of the fruit stem of this banana seemed to be about 10 feet. This kind of banana plant and its fruit seemed almost supernatural... (Akina, 1913: 5).

Ka Lulu o Mo`ikeha

Kapa`a was the home of the legendary Mo`ikeha. Born at Waipi`o on the island of Hawai`i, Mo`ikeha sailed to Kahiki (Tahiti), the home of his grandfather Maweke, after a disastrous flood. On his return to Hawai`i, he settled at Kapa`a, Kaua`i. Kila, Mo`ikeha's favorite of three sons by the Kaui`i chiefess Ho`opikamanalani, was born at Kapa`a and was said to be the handsomest man on the island. It was Kila who was sent by his father back to Kahiki to slay his old enemies and retrieve a foster son, the high chief L`amaikahiki (Handy and Handy 1972:424. Beckwith 1970:352-358; Kalakaua 1888:130-135: Formander 1916, vol.4 pt.1:160). Mo`ikeha's love for Kapa`a is related in the `olelo no`eau: "Ka lulu o Mo`ikeha i ka laula o Kapa`a. "The calm of Moikeha in the breadth of Kapa`a" (Pukui, 1983: 157).

Ka Lulu o Mo`ikeha is described as being situated "near the landing and the school of Waimahana`ku" (Akina, 1913: 5).

The landing in Kapa`a was known as the Makee Landing and was probably constructed in the late 1870s, along with the Makee sugar mill. Today, in place of the old Makee Landing is part of a breakwater located on the north side of Mo`ikeha Canal near the present day Coral Reef Hotel, and approximately half-a-mile north of Waikaea Bridge.

Akina (1913) tells the story of how Mo`ikeha's son, Kila stocks the islands with the fish akule, kawakawa and `opelu. When Kila travels to Kahiki, he seeks out his grandfather Maweke and explains that he is the child of Mo`ikeha. When Maweke asks Kila if Mo`ikeha is enjoying himself, Kila answers with the following chant:

My father enjoys the billowing clouds over Pohaku-pili,
The sticky and delicious poi,
With the fish brought from Puna,
The broad-backed shrimp of Kapalua,
The dark-backed shrimp of Pohakuhapai,
The potent awa root of Maiaki`i,
The breadfruit laid in the embers at Makiako,
The large heavy taros of Keahapana
The crooked surf of Makalawa too
The bending hither and thither of the reed and rush blossoms,
The swaying of the kalukalu grasses of Puna
The large, plump, private parts of my mothers,
The sun that rises and sets,
He enjoys himself on Kaua`i,
All of Kaua`i is Mo`ikeha's. (Akina, 1913: 6)

Maweke was delighted and when the boy is questioned as to his purpose, Kila tells his grandfather he is seeking fish for his family. Maweke tells Kila to lead the fish back to his homeland. This is how Kila led the akule, Kawakawa and 'opelu to Hawai`i.

Paka`a and the wind gourd of La`amaomao (Kaahiali`

Kapa`a also figures prominently in the famous story of Paka`a, and the wind gourd of La`amaomao. Paka`a was the son of Kuanu`uanu, a high-ranking retainer of the Big Island ruling chief Keawenui`uni (the son and heir to the legendary
Chief, Umi), and La’amaomao, the most beautiful girl of Kapa’a and member of a family of high status kahuna. Kuanu’uanu left the island of Hawai‘i, traveled throughout the other islands and finally settled on Kaua‘i, at Kapa’a. It was there that he met and married La’amaomao, although he never revealed his background or high rank to her until the day a messenger arrived, calling Kuanu’uanu back to the court of Keawenua’uni.

Intent on seeking out his real father and making himself known to him, Paka’a prepared for the journey to the Big Island. His mother presented to him a tightly covered gourd containing the bones of her grandmother, also named La’amaomao, the goddess of the winds. With the gourd and chants taught to him by his mother, Paka’a could command the forces of all the winds in Hawai‘i. While this story continues on at length about Paka’a and his exploits on the Big Island and later on Mokū‘a‘i‘i, it will not be dwelt upon further here. It is important to note that several versions of this story do include the chants which give the traditional names of all of the winds at all the districts on all the islands, preserving them for this and future generations (Nakuina 1990; Rice 1923:69-89; Beckwith 1970:86-87; Thrum 1923:53-67; Fomander 1918-19 vol. 5 pt.1:78-128).

Frederick Wichman (1998:84) writes that Paka’a grew up on a headland named Keahiahi. Here, Paka’a learned to catch malolo, his favorite fish. After studying the ocean and devising his plan to fabricate a sail, Paka’a wove a sail in the shape of a crab claw and tried it out on his uncle’s canoe. One day, after going out to catch malolo, he challenged the other fishermen to race to shore. He convinced them to fill his canoe with fish suggesting it was the only way he could truly claim the prize if he won:

The fishermen began paddling toward shore. They watched as Paka’a paddled farther out to sea and began to fumble with a pole that had a mat tied to it. It looked so funny that they began to laugh, and soon they lost the rhythm of their own paddling. Suddenly Paka’a’s mast was up and the sail filled with wind. Paka’a turned toward shore and shot past the astonished fishermen, landing on the beach far ahead of them. That night, Paka’a, his mother, and his uncle had all the ma‘o‘o they could eat (Wichman 1998:85).

Kaweloisimaikiau

Paka’a is also mentioned in traditions concerning Kawelo (Kaweloismakiau), Ka‘ililauokeo (Mo‘ikeha’s daughter, or granddaughter, dependent on differing versions of the tale), the mo‘o Kalama‘u, and the origins of the hina‘i hinaelea or the fish trap used to catch the hinaelea fish, and the story of Lonoikamakahiki (Fornander 1917, vol.4 pt.2:318, vol.4 pt. 3:704-705; Rice 1923:106-108; Thrum 1923:123-135; Kamakau 1976:80).

Kalukalu grass of Kapa’a

"Kimoea kalukalu Kapa’a” or “Kapa’a is like the kalukalu mats” is a line from a chant recited by Lonoikamakahiki. Kalukalu is a sedge grass, apparently used for weaving mats (Fornander 1917, Vol. IV, Pt. 2, pp. 318-19). Pukui (1983: 187) associates the kalukalu with lovers in “ke kalukalu me (po o Kapa’a; the kalukalu of Kapa’a that sleeps with the lover”. According to Wichman (1998:84), “a kalukalu mat was laid on the ground under a tree, covered with a thick pile of grass, and a second mat was thrown over that for a comfortable bed”, thus the association with lovers. Kaua‘i was famous for this peculiar grass, and it probably grew around the marshlands of Kapa’a. It is thought to be an edible root, “somewhat like peanuts.” Perhaps it was a famine food source (Kapa’a Elementary School 1933:VI).

Heiau of Kapa’a

During their expeditions around Hawai‘i in the 1880’s, collecting stories from ka po‘e kahiko, Lahainaluna students stopped in Kapa’a and Kealia and gathered information regarding heiau of the region. Altogether, fourteen heiau were named in Kapa’a and Kealia, suggesting the two ahupua‘a were probably more politically significant in ancient times. Table 1 lists the names of the ten heiau identified in the ahupua‘a of Kapa’a, their location if known, their type, and associated chief and priest.

Table 1. Heiau of Kapa’a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Associated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maleihuna</td>
<td>Kapa’a (Maleihuna is the area of the present day Kapa’a School)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kaha, Kuukui‘a/Lukahakona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puso</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kaha, Kuukui‘a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pahu</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kaha, Lukahakona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumaa’ei</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kaha, Lukahakona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wāistemalama</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kaha, Lukahakona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napu‘upakākai</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kaha, Lukahakona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nōsamakali’i</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Ko‘olua</td>
<td>Heiau for birth of Kaua‘i Chiefs, like Helshooliku</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu‘ukōa</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Ulu type heiau</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puiku</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Ulu type heiau</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Una</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kaha, Lukahakona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mānui</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kaha, Lukahakona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuahahi</td>
<td>Kapa’a (govmt.) school stands on site now</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kuukui‘a/Lukahakona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makahaliru</td>
<td>Upland of Kawaihau</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kuukui‘a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaluolumokaihe</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Molehe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Mahele: Kapa'a Land Commission Awards

The Organic Acts of 1845 and 1846 initiated the process of the Mahele, the division of Hawaiian lands, which introduced private property into Hawaiian society. In 1849 the crown and the ali`i received their lands. The common people received their kuleana in 1850. It is through records for Land Commission Awards (LCAs) generated during the Mahele that specific documentation of traditional life in Kapa`a Ahupua`a comes to light. During the Mahele, Kapa`a was taken as Crown Lands (Office of the Commissioner of Public Lands of the Territory of Hawaii, 1929). The i`i of Paikahuwai and Ulukui in Kapa`a Ahupua`a were retained as Government Lands.

Table 2. Mahele Land Claims in Kapa`a Ahupua`a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCA Number</th>
<th>Ahupua`a</th>
<th>Claimant</th>
<th><code>Ili of the Ahupua</code>a</th>
<th>Village/Farm</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Number of `Åpana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3971</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Honolii</td>
<td>Kapani</td>
<td>Kupanhi Village</td>
<td>6 l (irrigated), house lot</td>
<td>2 (2 acres, 1 rood, 1 rod)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3554</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Keo</td>
<td>Kahanui</td>
<td>Puh Village</td>
<td>15 l (house lot)</td>
<td>2 (7 acres, 1 rood, 17 rods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3638</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Hululii</td>
<td>Maielelo</td>
<td>Kaloko Village</td>
<td>12-15 l (house lot)</td>
<td>2 (5 acres, 1 rood, 19 rods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8247</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Ehu</td>
<td>Moaiope/Noalepe</td>
<td>20 l (lot)</td>
<td>1 (3 rods)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8837</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Kamapa`a</td>
<td>Uluiki lab-Awakita Uluiki</td>
<td>3 l (lot), 2 l (house lot)</td>
<td>1 (2 acres, 2 rods, 27 rods)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8843</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Kaua</td>
<td>Aupo</td>
<td>Kaloko Village</td>
<td>6 (5) l and Aulo, house lot</td>
<td>2 (2.75 acres 3 rods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10564</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Okoa Daniel</td>
<td>Hikinui Farm</td>
<td>Fishpond, 10 l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The land claims during this period show that only five individuals were awarded land parcels in the relatively large ahupua`a of Kapa`a. The five awardees were Kaua (#08843), Kamapa`a (#08837), Mane Honolii (#03971) Hulili (#03638) and Ehu (#08247). All four had lo`i or irrigated kalo fields on the mauka side of the lowland swampy area, sometimes extending a short distance up into small, shallow gullies and valleys. Many of these lo`i or parcels name pali or hills as boundaries. Each LCA also had a separate house lot located on the makai side of the swamp, near the beach. Three of the land claims name ponds on their lands, including Pahi Pond (LCA #03554), and fishponds in Kupanii `Ili (LCA #03971) and Hahanui `Ili (LCA #01054). Loko Kihapai may be the same as the fishpond in the same land claim. The other two lo`i are associated with house lots, situated on the makai edge of the Kapa`a swamplands suggesting modification of the natural swamplands.

Early Historic Accounts of Kapaa (1830s-1900s)

Although most of the historic record documents for Kaua`i in this period revolve around missionary activities and the missions themselves, there was indication that the Kapaa area was being considered for new sugar cane experiments, similar to those occurring in Koloa. In 1835 Ladd and Company received a 50 year lease on land in Koloa from Kamehameha III and Kaua`i Governor Kaikio`ewa of Kaua`i. The terms of the lease allowed the new sugar company "the right of someone other than a chief to control land" and had profound effects on "traditional notions of land tenure dominated by the chiefly hierarchy" (Donohugh, 2001: 88). In 1837, a very similar lease with similar terms was granted to Wilama Ferani, a merchant and U.S. citizen based in Honolulu (Hawai`i State Archives, Interior Dept., Letters, Aug. 1837). The lease was granted by Kauikeaouli for the lands of Kapaa, Kealia and Waipouli for twenty years for the following purpose:

...for the cultivation of sugar cane and anything else that may grow on said land, with all of the right for some place to graze animals, and the forest land above to the top of the mountains and the people who are living on said lands, it is to them whether they stay or not, and if they stay, it shall be as follows: They may cultivate the land according to the instructions of Wilama Ferani and his heirs and those he may designate under him... (Hawai`i State Archives, Interior Dept., Letters, Aug. 1837).

Unlike Ladd & Company which eventually became the Koloa Sugar Company, there is no further reference to Wilama Ferani and his lease for lands in Kapaa, Kealia and Waipouli. In a brief search for information on Honolulu merchant, Wilama Ferani, nothing was found. It is thought that perhaps Wilama Ferani may be another name for William French, a well-known Honolulu merchant who is documented as having experimented with grinding sugar cane in Waiamea, Kaua`i at about the same time the 1837 lease for lands in Kapaa, Kealia and Waipouli was signed (Joesting 1984: 152).

In 1849, son of Waioli missionary, William P. Alexander, recorded a trip he took around Kaua`i. Although, he focuses on the larger mission settlements like Koloa and Hanalei, he does mention Kapa`a.

A few miles from Wailua, near Kapaa we passed the wreck of a schooner on the beach, which once belonged to Capt. Bernard. It was driven in a gale over the reef, and up on the beach, where it now lies. A few miles further we arrived at Kealia. We had some difficulty crossing the river at this place, owing to the restiveness of our horses. The country here near the shore was rather uninteresting, except the valley which always contained streams of water (Alexander 1991: 123).
In later years, the notorious Kapaa reef was to become the location of many shipwrecks once a landing was built there in the 1880s. 

The first large scale agricultural enterprise was begun in Kapaa in 1877 by the Makee Sugar Plantation and the Hui Kawaihau (Biske 1916: 6). Originally a choral society begun in Honolulu its membership consisted of many prominent names, both Hawaiian and haole. It was Kalakaua’s thought that the Hui members could join forces with Makee, who had previous sugar plantation experience on Maui, to establish a successful sugar corporation on the east side of Kauʻai. Captain Makee was given land in Kapaa to build a mill and he agreed to grind cane grown by Hui members. Kalakaua declared the land between Waika and Mokoaa, the Kawaihau District, a fifth district and for four years the Hui attempted to grow sugar cane at Kapaa, on the plateau lands above Kapaa town. After a fire destroyed almost half of the Hui’s second crop and after the untimely death of one of their principal advocates, Captain James Makee, the Hui began to disperse and property and leasehold rights passed on to Makee’s son-in-law and new Makee Plantation owner, Colonel Z.S. Spalding (Dole 1916: 14). 

As part of the infrastructure of the new plantation, a sugar mill was erected and the Makee Landing was built in Kapaa during the early years of operation of the Makee Sugar Plantation. Following Captain Makee’s death, Colonel Spalding took control of the plantation and in 1885 moved the mill to Kealia (Cook 1999: 51). The deteriorating stone smokestack and landing were still there well into the 1900s (Damon 1931:359). Condes’ and Best (1973:180) suggest that railroad construction for the Makee Plantation began just prior to the mid-1890s. There is one reference to a railroad line leading from the Kapaa landing to Kealia in 1891. During Queen Liliuokalani’s visit to Kauaʻi in the summer of 1891, the royal party was treated to music by a band, probably shipped in from O‘ahu. “The band came by ship to Kapaa and then by train to Keala” (Joesting 1984:252). This railroad line is depicted on a 1910 USGS map which shows the line heading south from Kealia Mill and splitting near the present Coral Reef Hotel, another line going to the old Kapaa Landing (Makee Landing) and another line heading mauka, crossing the present Mokuhea Canal, traveling southwest up Leluha Street and through what is now goat pasture, along a plateau into the mauka area behind Kapaa swamplands. This railroad line was part of a twenty mile network of plantation railroad with some popular track and included a portion of Kealia Valley and in the mauka regions of the plateau lands north of Kealia (Condes’ and Best 1973:180).

By the late 1800s hundreds of Portuguese and Japanese immigrants found work on Makee Plantation and the new influx of immigrants required more infrastructure (Cook 1999:51). In 1883, a lease for a school lot was signed between Makee Sugar Company and the Board of Education (Kapaa School 1983: 9). Stipulations in the Portuguese immigrant contracts with Makee Sugar Company stated that “children shall be properly instructed in the public schools” (Garden Island April 1, 1983). The original Kapaa School was constructed in 1883 on a rocky point adjacent to the Makee Sugar Company railroad. Traditionally, this point was known as Kaiahi (Kapaa School 1983: 10). In 1908, Kapaa School was moved to its present site directly mauka and up the hill at Malahehune.

Narrow wagon roads gave way to macadamized roads in the early part of the 20th century. One of these new roads was called the Kauaʻi Belt Road and parts of it are thought to have followed along the “Old Government Road” (Cook, 1999). In Kapaa, the present day Kuhio Highway likely follows the same route as the original Government Road and subsequent Kauaʻi Belt Road. In fact, the locations of the kuleana awards in Kapaa indicate that the majority of the house lots were situated along the Government Road. LCA 3243 names a “road” as one of its boundaries.

In the latter half of the 1800s, following Makee’s death, Chinese rice farmers began cultivating the lowlands of Kapaa with increasing success. Several Hawaiian kuleana owners leased or sold their parcels mauka of the swamp land to Chinese rice cultivators. Other Chinese rice cultivators appealed to the government for swampland, first leasing and later buying the land. The economic activity displaced the house lot kuleana on the mokai side of the marsh for increasing commercial and residential development (La 1985:148-161).
Severe floods in Kapaa in 1940 led to the dredging and construction of the Waikaea and Mokeha Canals sometime during that decade. (Hawaii Territorial Planning Board, 1940: 7). Although the Waikaea Canal, bordering the Kapaa Pineapple Cannery, had been proposed as early as 1923, nothing was constructed until after the floods (Bureau of Land Conveyances, Grant 8248). A Master Plan for Kapaa, published in 1940, asks the Territorial Legislature for funds to be set aside for the completion of a drainage canal and for filling makai and mauka of the canal (Hawaii Territorial Planning Board, 1940:7). In 1955, the local newspaper reported the dredging of coral from the reef fronting Kapaa Beach Park for the building of plantation roads (Garden Island Newspaper, September 21, 1955). This dredging was later blamed for accelerated erosion along Kapaa Beach (Garden Island Newspaper, October 30, 1963). Today, there are several seawalls along the Kapaa Beach Park to check erosion. Old time residents claim the sandy beach in Kapaa was once much more extensive than it is now (Bushnell et al. 2002).

In the 1930s after the incorporation of Makee Sugar Company into Lihue Plantation, Kealia Town was slowly abandoned. Many of the plantation workers bought property of their own and moved out of the plantation camps. The camps which bordered Kuhio Highway were disbanded in the 1980s. In the last part of the 20th century the Lihue Plantation began to phase out and Kapaa Town suffered after the closing of the Kapaa Cannery; however the growing tourist industry helped to ease the economic effects of the Cannery's closing.

---

**PREVIOUS ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH**

**Archaeological Studies and Sites in Kapa`a Ahupua`a**

The following table outlines the archaeological research (Table 3) and historic properties (Table 4) identified in Kapa`a Ahupua`a. These tables are followed by discussion of the research and historic properties. Table 3 provides a list of archaeological research conducted within Kapa`a Ahupua`a, including columns for source, location, nature of study, and findings. The locations of these archaeological studies are shown in Figure 4. Table 4 is a list of known historic properties within the ahupua`a and includes columns for state site numbers, site type, location and reference. The locations of identified sites within Kapa`a Ahupua`a are shown in Figure 5. All site numbers are numbered 50-30-08-SHIP site number. Here only the SHIP site number designation will be used.

Table 3. Previous Archaeological Studies in coastal Kapa`a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Nature of Study</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bennett 1931</td>
<td>Island wide: identifies 2 sites: Site 110 Taro terraces and bowl and Site 111 A large simple dirt Hawaiian ditch</td>
<td>Archaeological Reconnaissance</td>
<td>Identifies 2 sites: Site 110 Taro terraces and bowl and Site 111 A large simple dirt Hawaiian ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handy and Handy 1972</td>
<td>Archipelago-wide</td>
<td>Native Planter study</td>
<td>Discusses &quot;highly developed irrigation system&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ching 1976</td>
<td>Just south of the Waikaea Drainage Canal</td>
<td>Archaeological Reconnaissance</td>
<td>No significant findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammatt 1981</td>
<td>Upland Kapaa</td>
<td>Archaeological Reconnaissance</td>
<td>No significant findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammatt 1986</td>
<td>Upper reaches of the Makaleha stream valley</td>
<td>Archaeological Reconnaissance</td>
<td>No significant findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammatt 1991</td>
<td>Along Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Subsurface Testing</td>
<td>Identifies two sub-surface cultural layer sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
<td>Around Kapaa Town</td>
<td>Cemeteries of Kauai</td>
<td>Identifies six cemeteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spear 1992</td>
<td>South side Waikaea Canal, mauka of Kuhio Highway. (TMK: 4-5-05:04, 09)</td>
<td>Monitoring Report</td>
<td>Designated subsurface Site 547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Nature of Study</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaffee, Burgett &amp; Spear 1994a</td>
<td>A house lot near the corner of Kukui and Ulu Streets in mauka Kapaa Town. [TMK: 4-5-29-10]</td>
<td>Archaeological Inventory Survey</td>
<td>No significant findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaffee, Burgett &amp; Spear 1994b</td>
<td>Mamane Street Kapaa Town. [TMK: 4-5-09:51]</td>
<td>Archaeological Inventory Survey</td>
<td>No significant findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammatt, Ida &amp; Chiogioji 1994</td>
<td>Proposed bypass routes mauka of Kapaa Town</td>
<td>Archaeological Assessment</td>
<td>No new field work, literature review only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammatt, Ida &amp; Folk 1994</td>
<td>South side Waikae Canal, mauka of Kuhi Highway [TMK: 4-5-05:09]</td>
<td>Archaeological Inventory Survey</td>
<td>Weak cultural layer designated Site 748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawachi 1994</td>
<td>Inia Street (Jasper) [TMK 4-5-08:33]</td>
<td>Burial Report</td>
<td>Designated Site 871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMahon 1994</td>
<td>&quot;behind the armory in Kapa`a near the god stones&quot; The location is uncertain, and at &quot;Buzz's near the Coconut Marketplace&quot;</td>
<td>Documents a report of two burials</td>
<td>16 sets of human remains. Site numbers unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creed, Hammatt, Ida, Masterson &amp; Winieski 1995</td>
<td>Kapa`a Sewer line project, Kuhi Highway, south and central Kapaa Town</td>
<td>Archaeological Monitoring Report</td>
<td>Documents cultural layer of Site - 1848 and (an enlarged) Site - 1849 &amp; recovery of thirty burials at Sites —867, -868, -871, and - 1894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jourdane 1995</td>
<td>1382-A Inia Street, mauka of Kuhi Highway, central Kapaa Town</td>
<td>Burial Report</td>
<td>Site 626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMahon 1996</td>
<td>South side Waikae Canal, mauka of Kuhi Highway [TMK: 4-5-05:08]</td>
<td>Archaeological Inventory Survey</td>
<td>No significant cultural material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammatt, Chiogioji, Ida &amp; Creed 1997</td>
<td>Test excavations focused inland of Kapaa Town</td>
<td>Archaeological Inventory Survey</td>
<td>Four test trenches were excavated inland of Kapaa Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwick and Hammatt 1999</td>
<td>Kapaa Seventh-Day Adventist Church at 1132 Kuhi Highway</td>
<td>Archaeological Monitoring and Burial Treatment Plan</td>
<td>Monitoring was indicated as this parcel lay within designated Site 1648.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4. Historic Properties in Kapa`a Ahupua`a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site #</th>
<th>Ahupua`a</th>
<th>Site Type/ Name (if any)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Site Constraints</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B001</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Historic Cemetery</td>
<td>South of bend of Kapa`a Stream, a kilometer mauka from Kuhio Hwy</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B002</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Historic Cemetery</td>
<td>Just mauka from Kuhio Highway, south of Kapa`a Stream</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B003</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Kapa`a Public Cemetery</td>
<td>South of Kanaele Road, one kilometer inland of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B004</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Historic Cemetery</td>
<td>North of Apopo Road, one kilometer inland of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B013</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Historic Cemetery</td>
<td>Just mauka from Kuhio Highway, north of the Waikaea Canal</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B014</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>All Saints Episcopal Church Cemetery</td>
<td>Just mauka from Kuhio Highway, south of the Waikaea Canal</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992; 1992:62-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Sub-surface features including a firepit and a possible house foundation</td>
<td>South of bend of Waikaea Canal, mauka of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Archaeological monitoring in the vicinity recommended</td>
<td>Spear 1992:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Burial</td>
<td>Inia Street, mauka of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Jourdane 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Minimal findings, a weak cultural layer (buried A-horizon)</td>
<td>South of the bend of the Waikaea Canal, mauka of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Considered no longer significant within project area</td>
<td>Hammatt et al. 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>788</td>
<td>Kapa`a/Kealia</td>
<td>Historic Road</td>
<td>Coastal Cane Haul Road near Kauwala Road turn off</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Perzinski et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site #</th>
<th>Ahupua`a</th>
<th>Site Type/ Name (if any)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Site Constraints</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>867</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>1 set of human remains</td>
<td>Kukui Street, just mauka of Kuhio Highway, Kapa`a Town</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Creed et al. 1995:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>868</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>1 set of human remains</td>
<td>Lehua Street mauka of Kuhio Highway, Kapa`a Town</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Creed et al. 1995:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>871</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>13 sets of human remains (Creed et al. 1995:53)</td>
<td>Inia Street, mauka of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Kawachi 1994; Creed et al. 1995:53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1848</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Cultural layer and sub-surface features</td>
<td>Along Kuhio Highway between Wana Road and the Waikaea Drainage Canal</td>
<td>Archaeological monitoring in the vicinity recommended</td>
<td>Hammatt 1991; Creed et al. 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1849</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Cultural layer and sub-surface features; Creed et al. 1995:53 expands boundaries to incl. burial sites -626, -867, -868, -871, and -1894</td>
<td>Along Kuhio Highway between Inia Street and Kauwila Street extending to the coast</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Hammatt 1991; Creed et al. 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>11 sets of human remains</td>
<td>Ulu Street, just north of Kuhio Highway, Kapaa Town</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Creed et al. 1995:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2075</td>
<td>Kapa`a/Kealia</td>
<td>Highway Bridge Foundation (old Kaua`i Belt Road)</td>
<td>Kuhio Highway at Kapa<code>a/Kaua</code>i Belt Road</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bushnell et al. 2002:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2076</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Petroglyph</td>
<td>Rocky coast below former cane haul road (Site -789)</td>
<td>Preservation</td>
<td>Bushnell et al. 2002:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2077</td>
<td>Kapa`a</td>
<td>Concrete steps (related to historic beach pavilion)</td>
<td>Near present Kapaa Beach Park Pavilion</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bushnell et al. 2002:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site #</td>
<td>Ahupua’a</td>
<td>Site Type/ Name (if any)</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Site Constraints</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2078</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Historic Railway Alignment (2 Railroad Bridges, &amp; RR Culvert Foundation)</td>
<td>Both railroad bridges span the Moikeha Canal; the RR culvert foundation is located north of the Kapaa Swimming Pool.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bushnell et al. 2002:55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The majority of study areas are located within urban Kapa’a along the shoreline and away from the mountain areas.

**Pattern of Archaeological Sites in Kapa’a**

The pattern of archaeological studies in Kapa’a’s Ahupua’a is somewhat skewed with a dozen projects in urban Kapaa Town and very little work along the coast (Figure 4). Major archaeological sites have been found in area include extensive cultural layers with burials and other cultural features underlying Kuhio Highway near All Saints Gym, and near the older part of Kapaa Town between Wailua Canal and Kapaa Beach Park, makai of Kuhio Highway (Hammatt 1991; Kawachi 1994; Creed et al. 1995; Jourdane 1995; Callis 2000). The mauka-makai extent of these
cultural layers has not been clearly defined. The five kuleana awarded during the Mahele are located adjacent to the present coastal highway. The areas directly mauka of Kapaa Town are marshy though much of it has been filled in recent decades for the ByPass Road and shopping centers and housing. These cultural deposits associated with pre-historic and early historic habitation are known to exist in a relatively narrow sand berm that makes up the physiogeography of Kapaa. The more mauka studies but still lower coastal areas, suggest they are located towards the mauka fringe of the sand berm, approaching more marshy conditions and have generally reported no significant or minimal findings (Spear 1992; Chaffee et al. 1994a & 1994b; Hammatt et al. 1994, 1997; McMahon 1996). Less than 1.5 km to the south of Waikaea Canal at the southern boundary of Waipouli adjacent to Uhakekawa Stream (Waipouli Stream) and the ocean is an extensive subsurface cultural deposit which is associated with a pre-contact fishing encampment located (Hammatt et al. 2000).

Anticipated sites based on historic and archaeological studies in mauka Kapaa are the remains of cane cultivation infrastructure such as ditches and pre-contact too historic period Native Hawaiian terracing for lo‘i cultivation with nearby habitation sites in the gulches, however the gulches lay outside the current project area.

RESULTS OF FIELD WORK

Pedestrian Survey

On January 3 and April 25, 2012 Exploration Associates Ltd. archaeologist Nancy McMahon, M.A. made field inspections on proposed Kapa‘a Highlands project area. Access was made via Oloheha Road (two gates). North-south oriented transects were utilized to 100% survey the project area. Because of known historic cane cultivation in this area of Kapaa, predicted sites might be historic plantation related infrastructure such as ditches, flumes, roads, temporary cane-haul railroad berms and reservoirs. None were observed during the survey. The shallow ravine the project area were surveyed and tested, however no pre-Contact or historic era terraces or habitation sites were revealed. The parcel contains no surface archaeological sites. The access road is related to access for construction of the buildings already present on the Phase I parcel.

Subsurface Testing

On November 11, 2012, three trenches were excavated with a backhoe with a 24 in. width bucket (Figure ). Trench 1 was excavated to a depth of 183 cm with a length of 10 meters. Trench 2 was excavated to a depth of 160 cm and a length of 3 m. Trench 3 was excavated to a depth of 260 cm and a length of 2.5 m. Each evinced the same soil composition. A description of the soils representing all three trenches is presented here.

A representative profile description evinced the same stratigraphy consisting in all three trenches, consisting of three soil layers with only a single clear boundary delineating the topsoil from the underlying soils. Soil differences could only be determined utilizing the Munsell Color Chart. The topsoil in each trench 5 YR 4/3 reddish brown organic. The other two layers are classified as 5 YR 5/6 yellowish red [20 cmbs] and 5 YR 4/6 yellowish red [20cmbs to base of excavation]. Characteristics are dry to very dry, crumbly, medium firm, clayey silt. It is pretty much cultivated soils. A local informant, Mr. Vasquez, who worked for the Lihue plantation most of his life. Informant stated the plantation chain and ball dragged this land several time over.

A geologic survey was undertaken on the adjacent Phase I parcel prior to the construction of a solar farm. Soils extracted and examined in test trenches revealed only agricultural soils. No buried cultural layers or plantation infrastructure was present.
Figure 9. Profile Test Trench 2 on the left and Trench 3 right.

Figure 10. Entrance off Olohena Road looking makai in the distance the Solar Farm part of Phase I

Figure 11. Access Road to Solar Farm with Cattle Grazing in the Distance.
Figure 12. Lower Elevation Outside Project Area from the access road.

Figure 13. View Across the Project Area, Facing Makai and Northeast.
RECOMMENDATIONS

As no archaeological sites are present, there are no historic preservation concerns for this project. We recommend no further historic preservation work. Though highly unlikely, if any human remains or other significant subsurface deposits are encountered during the course of development activities all work in the immediate area should stop and the State Historic Preservation Division promptly notified.
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APPENDIX A

Photos of the Area Surveyed
Figure 1. View of Project Area from the gate at the top of Olohena Road.

Figure 2. Solar Farm on Phase I Property view to north.

Figure 3. Cattle Grazing in the Project Area.
Figure 4. Fence in the left side of photo indicating property boundary.

Figure 5. Goats grazing in the project area.
APPENDIX B

State Historic Preservation Letter (June 2010) Requesting Survey

State Historic Preservation Letter (December 1999) Subdivision
"No Effect"
APPENDIX C

Report of Geotechnical Evaluation Kapaa Solar Field
INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results of our evaluation of the geotechnical feasibility of construction of a solar farm west of the town of Kauai, Kauai. We undertook the investigation will be used to supply electric power to the Kauai Island Utility Cooperative.

PURPOSE

This report is for the exclusive use of our client, Wagner Engineering Services, Inc. Its purpose is to satisfy the terms of the contract between our two firms. The report summarizes the findings, conclusions and recommendations which were generated by the evaluation. The intent of the report has been to present conclusions and recommendations of a geotechnical nature in such a way as to assist the owner and their design team in preparing plans and specifications for construction.

SCOPE

As outlined in our contract dated August 22, 2010, the following work elements were performed:

- Review of available geologic data and stereographic aerial photographs.
- Subsurface exploration using a ribbon-sited “Exhaustive” borehole.
- Laboratory testing of selected samples of soil collected during subsurface exploration.
- Preparation of a format report summarizing our findings, conclusions and recommendations.

LOCATION

The site is located slightly less than three miles west of the town of Kauai. It is accessed by an improved road exiting from Oiolana Road. The site is shown on Plate 1- Location Map.

REFERENCES

The following references were used in preparing our proposal, conducting our evaluation and preparing this report.
Our Job No. 2010-68-01

Our subsurface exploration indicates that the site is an erosion terrace formed by previous stream action. Subsequent erosion has produced a residual soil profile which grades from a fairly developed, moderately firm, surface soil to very stiff weathered rock at a depth of about six feet. In this area, as is the case on the majority of the eastern side of the island, the underlying rock is part of the Kokeo series of volcanic flow material.

TSUNAMIS

The Island of Kauai is susceptible to damage from tsunamis. Although there is a comparatively sophisticated early warning system in place world-wide, the ability of the system to predict the size of any particular event is limited. The general consensus is that tsunamis are certain to occur but their frequency is uncertain. Published data suggest that the site is not vulnerable to damage from tsunami run-up of the magnitude experienced in the Hawaiian Islands historically.

SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE WATER

Drainage on the property occurs as southerly sheet flow from the slope below Olomana Road toward the established stream. At the time of our exploration, drought conditions existed on the island and surface was non-existent.

We found no subsurface water to the depth of exploration. Because of the existing drought conditions, the near-surface soil was dry and brittle.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of our geotechnical evaluation, we can offer the following conclusions.

FEASIBILITY

In our opinion, it is geotechnically feasible to develop the site essentially as proposed provided the improvements are properly designed and constructed.

SITE PREPARATION

We have assumed that little or no grading, other than that required for the elevation of an access road and support facilities will be needed. Moreover, it is our understanding that the arrays will be supported by some form of pipe piles. As a result, it is likely that site preparation will be minimal.
EARTHQUAKES

The island of Kauai is in Uniform Building Code seismic zone 1, a designation indicative of a low level of seismic activity. Published data indicate that, during the period 1962 to 1980, for example, there were no recorded earthquakes with a Richter Magnitude greater than 2.9 with an epicenter on or near Kauai. Within the last two years, however, earthquakes in the Richter Magnitude 3 to 4 range have occurred offshore of Maui and Oahu as well as the 7.3 magnitude event of the Big Island and magma production from Kilauea has altered permeability. Of particular importance was the October 15, 2006, M=6.7 and the November 23, 2006, M=5.0 events off the Kona Coast. This may be indicative of shifts in the Pacific tectonic plate which could generate an increase in seismic activity for the near future. As part of our evaluation, we have provided below the numeric parameters necessary to perform the site characterization analysis required by the 1997 Uniform Building Code.

Soil Profile - Sf

- $\alpha = 0.075$
- $\gamma_c = 0.12$
- $e = 0.18$
- $N_s = 1.0$

In our opinion, it is likely that the site will experience low-level ground shaking due to seismic activity on or near the Big Island, but the magnitude and number of these events will not be greater than those in the historic record.

TSUNAMI

Tsunami run-up of historic proportions has been in the 10 to 40 foot range and, historically, has been concentrated on the north shore of the Island. Although the pre-historic “monster” tsunami is still a theoretical possibility, the design practice in coastal areas of the island has apparently been to consider the run-up of historic proportions. The height of the proposed wave field is well above the elevation of historic run-ups.

FOUNDATION DESIGN

The upper two feet of the surface soil in the area of the arrays is poorly consolidated and should not be relied upon for foundation support or uplift resistance. Below two feet, the soil is stiff and capable of generating more than 2500 pounds per square foot for bearing. Uplift resistance can be determined using the relationship $U = \frac{1}{\gamma}$ where $U$ is uplift load per foot and $\gamma$ is unit weight of the shaft and $L$ is shaft length below two feet. This assumes that the shaft consists of...
APPENDIX  
SUPPORTING DATA AND PROCEDURES  

SURFACE GEOLOGIC MAPPING  
A limited amount of surface geologic mapping was performed as part of our evaluation. This mapping was performed both physically and with the aid of topographic maps before and during the subsurface exploration. The results of this work were integrated with the subsurface exploration.

SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION  
Subsurface exploration at the site consisted of the excavation of three trenches using a water-cooled backhoe with a three-foot-wide bucket. The trenches were located to (a) aid in establishing a "picture" of probable subsurface conditions at the site, and (b) provide access to the subsurface for possible sampling of soil and rock. To this extent, both the geomorphology of the site and the type and location of proposed improvements have a bearing on the location of subsurface exploration points. Our estimate of the location of each backhoe trench is shown in Plate 2. Geotechnical Map. Graphic logs, using standard United States Geological Survey, United States Corps of Engineers and United States Bureau of Reclamation nomenclature, are included on Plate A-1-1 and 1-3. Log of Test Pit. Upon completion, all pits were backfilled, tamped, and wheel-rolled. The location of each test pit was marked with a stake and flagging.

LABORATORY TESTING  

Mineral Analysis. Field moisture content and in-place dry density was determined for each "undisturbed" sleeve sample obtained during excavation. The field moisture content was determined according to ASTM Test Method D2216-66 by weighing one-half of the moisture sample from each end of the sleeve. The in-place wet and dry density was determined by using the wet weights of the entire sleeve. At the same time the field moisture content and in-place dry density were determined, the soil material at each end of the sleeve was classified according to the Unified Soil Classification System and pocket percussion readings were taken in the cohesive samples. The results of the field moisture content and in-place dry density tests are presented on Plate A-1 and A-1-2, Log of Test Pit.

Index Tests. For purposes of this report, we have grouped grain-size distribution and Atterberg Limits under "index tests." The bulk sample was taken from test pit TP-1 at a depth of two to
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Moisture Content</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | 20 | 72 | 25 | MAR | Stiff at top but.

very stiff, at 6 ft, natural light brown and yellow grading to highly weathered Kalan volcanic rock at the top.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Moisture Content</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | 20 | 72 | 25 | MAR | Stiff at top but.

very stiff, at 6 ft, natural light brown and yellow grading to highly weathered Kalan volcanic rock at the top.
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INTRODUCTION

Scope of Work

The following scope of work was agreed upon to fulfill the requirements of a cultural impact assessment, as outlined by the Office of Environmental Quality Control guidelines:

1) Further background research with the goal of identifying traditional Hawaiian activities including gathering of plant, animal and other resources or agricultural pursuits as may be indicated in the historic record.

2) Examination of historical documents, Land Commission Awards, and historic maps, with the specific purpose of identifying gathering of plant, animal and other resources or agricultural pursuits as may be indicated in the historic record to develop a Cultural landscape background study.

3) A review of the existing archaeological information pertaining to the sites in the study area as they may allow us to reconstruct traditional land use activities and identify and describe the cultural resources, practices and beliefs associated with the parcel and identify present uses, if appropriate.

4) Conduct oral interviews with persons and agencies knowledgeable about the historic and traditional practices in the project area and region. This includes eight formal interviews and more informal interviews plus coordination with relevant community groups.

5) Preparation of a report on items 1-3 summarizing the information gathered related to traditional practices and land use. The report will assess the impact of the proposed action on the cultural practices and features identified.

Methods

1. Historic Research

Research was conducted to find historic maps at the Hawai‘i State Survey Office, the State Historic Preservation Division library and the Kaua‘i Historical Society. Historical research was conducted at the State Historic Preservation Division Library, the Hawai‘i State Archives and the Bishop Museum where information on historic land use and past cultural traditions was sought. The Bishop Museum also provided historic photographs for the report. An attempt to obtain more regional or local sources, historic documents were sought at the Kaua‘i Historical Society, Kaua‘i Museum and the Kapa‘a Public Library.

2. Archaeological Review

The library at the Department of Land and Natural Resources, State Historic Preservation Division were used to obtain information regarding previous archaeological and cultural studies in the Kapa‘a area. Previously identified archaeological sites are presented for each section separately and are discussed in the context of associated cultural traditions. A complete review of archaeological sites, including descriptions, ahupua‘a, settlement patterns and archaeological constraints is available in a separate archaeological assessment document (McMahon 2012).

3. Identification of Knowledgeable Informants

Hawaiian organizations, community members and cultural lineal descendants with lineal ties to the greater Kapa‘a area were contacted to: (1) identify potential knowledgeable individuals with cultural expertise and knowledge of the project area and surrounding vicinity, and (2) identify cultural concerns and potential impacts relative to the project. An effort was made to locate informants who either grew up in the project area or who, in the past, used the area for cultural purposes. These included lifetime residents of Kapa‘a Town, families with ties to the historic rice industries of Kapa‘a and former employees of Lihue Plantation who may have lived in one of the residential camps near the study area. Other potential user groups were residents in the Kapa‘a who have their roots in Kapa‘a, and continue to utilize the makai areas for cultural reasons. In addition, informal talk-story with community members familiar with the study area is ongoing.

The organizations consulted were the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD), The Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA), the Kaua‘i/‘Ni‘ihau Islands Burial Council, the Royal Order of Kamehameha, Kaumuali‘i Chapter, Kaua‘i County Council, Kaua‘i County Mayor, Kaua‘i Health Heritage Coastal Corridor Committee, Kaua‘i Historical Society, Kaua‘i Historic Preservation Commission.

4. Interviews

Interviews were conducted for this assessment. Once the participant was identified, she/he was contacted and interviewed. Excerpts from the interview are used throughout this report, wherever applicable.

5. Report

This study documents relevant information on traditions and practices from the historic record as well as from contemporary oral sources. The report includes cultural and historic documentation of Kapa‘a, a summary of archaeological studies, the results of
community consultation, and an assessment of traditional resources/traditional practices. The report is organized in such a way that reflects the effort of data and information gathering. This is the information used in the final assessment of Traditional Resources/Cultural Practices reported in the Conclusions Section IV and V.

KAPA‘A

The Kapa‘a Highlands Phase II is located in Kapa‘a, above the Kapa‘a Bypass Road and adjacent to Kapa‘a Middle School. The property is further identified by Kaua‘i Tax Map Key No. (4) 4-3-03:01. The total acreage of the area is 163.125. (Figure 1 and 2).

The project area lies in the traditional ahupua‘a of Kapa‘a belongs to the ancient district of Puna (now the district is more commonly called "Kawaihau"), one of five ancient districts on Kaua‘i (King 1935:228). Puna was the second largest district on Kaua‘i, behind Kona, and extended from Kipu south of Lihu‘e to Kamalomalo‘o, just north of Kealia. For taxation, educational and judicial reasons, new districts were created in the 1840’s. The Puna District, with the same boundaries became the Lihu‘e District, named for an important town in that district. In 1878, by the act of King Kalakaua in securing a future name for the new Hui Kawaihau, created the new district of Kawaihau. This new district encompassed the ahupua‘a ranging from Olohena on the south to Kilaeua on the north. Subsequent alterations to district boundaries in the 1920’s left Kawaihau with Olohena as its southernmost boundary and Moloa‘a as its northernmost boundary (King 1935:222).
Figure 1. Tax Map Showing the Project Area for Kapaa Highlands Phase II.

Figure 2. Aerial View of Project Area Looking North.
Natural Setting

The ahupua'a of Kapa'a, is located on the eastern side of the island of Kaua'i, in the old district or moku of Puna. Adjacent and to the north is the ahupua'a of Keālia, and to the south, Waipouli. Like other ahupua'a in Puna, Kapa'a is exposed to the northeast tradewinds and receives 40 to 50 inches of rain a year at the shore and considerably more precipitation inland. The area of the ahupua'a of Kapa'a, is approximately 6,394 acres (Gay 1872 R.M. 159, Commission of Boundaries Record, Kaua'i, vol.1, 1873:23; Commission of Boundaries Record, Kauai, vol. 1, 1872:109). Wichman (1998:84) notes the paradox that Kapa'a “is one of the largest ahupua’a of the Puna District [of Kaua’i] and the most bereft of legends.”

Alluvium, colluvium and terrigenous sediments resulting from the erosion of the primary island building events in Kaua'i history, the Waima Canyon Volcanic Series and the Koloa Volcanic Series, are the major sources of sediment for the formation of Kaua'i’s non-mountainous region, including Kapa’a (MacDonald and Abbott 1970:382-384). Kapa’a is located within the physiographic division known as the Līhu'e Plain (Armstrong 1973:30). During higher sea levels, terrigenous sediment accumulated further inland as streams released their sediment loads further inland from where the shoreline had encroached. Also, reefs grew with the rising sea level, and, as the sea receded, marine sediments were created and deposited on shore by the erosion of these reefs. Both of these processes were part of the formation of the Līhu'e Plain.

The soils of the project area reflect the original geologic sediments deposited and the erosional processes induced by climatic agents. Backshore of the sand berm in Kapa’a, are found sandy loams associated with the Mokuleia soil series (Foote et al. 1972:95). These soils consist of mostly recent alluvium deposited over coral sand and are typical of the eastern and northern coastal plains of Kaua’i. Behind Kapa’a Town and north of Moikeha Canal is found mixed fill. South of Moikeha Canal are Mokuleia clay loams, similar to the sandy loams fronting them. The soils found in the sand berm in Waipouli and Olohena are of the Lihue Series, which are characterized as well-drained soils derived from igneous material originating in Kapa’a’s uplands (Foote et al. 1972:82).

Historically, these ahupua’a contained two prominent landscape features, a coastal plain with sand dunes and a large marsh. An 1872 map (Figure 2) by James Gay delineating the boundaries of Kapa’a and adjacent lands shows that much of the makai region was a “swamp” that extended from Waipouli into Kapa’a. This “swamp” appears to be the most prominent natural feature of the seaward end of Waipouli and Kapa’a. The makai areas of the ahupua’a can be characterized as fairly flat. Kapa’a has an irregularly-shaped gulches and small valleys in the uplands, through which small tributary streams run, including the Kapahi, Makaleha, Moalepe and Konohiki Streams. While some of these streams combine with other tributaries in neighboring Keālia to form Kapa’a Stream, which empties into the ocean at the northern border of the ahupua’a, others flow directly into the marsh areas of Kapa’a and Waipouli (Handy and Handy 1972:394,423; Territorial Planning Board 1940:9).

Kapa’a Town areas is built on a sand berm with ocean on the makai side and marsh on the mauka side. The sand berm was probably slightly wider here than in other localities, but dry land was probably always at a premium.

Mo’olelo of Kapa’a

A brief overview of some of the better documented mythological and traditional accounts of Kapa’a is presented below and is followed by a brief summation of their import.

The Puna district of Kaua’i is well known for two legendary chiefs, Kawelo and Mō’ikeha. Kawelo is more closely associated with Wailua and Hanamā’ulu and Mō’ikeha is linked to Kapa’a. Mō’ikeha is understood to be the grandson of Maweke, one of the principal genealogical lines from which Hawaiians today trace their ancestry (Beckwith 1970:352). Sometime between the eleventh and twelfth centuries marks the arrival of Maweke to the Hawaiian Islands. Mō’ikeha succeeds his older brother Kumuonoua as ruling chief during the time of Mailikūkahi. Kapa’a is mentioned in traditions concerning Kawelo (Kawelooleimakua), the mo’o Kalamaunu’u and the origins of the hina’i hina’i fish, and the story of Lonoikamakahiki (Fornander 1917:IV:318, 704-705; Rice 1923: 106-108; Thrum 1923: 123-135; Kamakau 1976:80).

1. Mō’ikeha

Kapa’a was the final home of the legendary chief Mō’ikeha. Born at Waipi’o on the island of Hawai’i, Mō’ikeha sailed to Kahiki (Tahiti), the home of his grandfather, Maweke, after a disastrous flood. On his return to Hawai’i, he settled at Kapa’a, Kaua’i. Kīla, Mō’ikeha’s favorite of three sons by the Kaua’i chiefess Ho’oiopoikamalani, was born at Kapa’a and was considered the most handsome man on the island. It was Kīla who was sent by his father back to Kahiki to slay his old enemies and retrieve a foster son, the high chief La’amaikahiki (Handy and Handy 1972:424; Beckwith 1970:352-358; Kalākaua 1888:130-135; Fornander 1917:IV:160). Mō’ikeha’s love for Kapa’a is recalled in the ‘olelo no‘eau: Ka lulu o Mo’ikeha i ka laulū o Kapa’a “The calm of Mō’ikeha in the breadth of Kapa’a” (Pukui 1983: 137).
The place “Lulu-o-Mōʻikeha” is described as being situated “near the landing and the school of Waimahanalua” (Akina 1913: 5). The landing in Kapaʻa was known as the Makee Landing and was probably constructed in the late 1870s, along with the Makee sugar mill. Today, in place of the old Makee Landing is part of a breakwater located on the north side of Moikeha Canal, near the present day Coral Reef Hotel (Bushnell et al. 2002:7).

In the Hawaiian newspaper Kuko’a published at the turn of the century, Akina (1913: 6) also tells the story of how Mōʻikeha’s son, Kila stocks the Hawaiian Islands with the akule, kawakawa and ‘opelu fish. When Kila travels to Kahiki, he seeks out his grandfather Maweke and explains that he is the child of Mōʻikeha. When Maweke asks Kila if Mōʻikeha is enjoying himself, Kila answers with the following chant of Puna, Kauaʻi:

My father enjoys the billowing clouds over Pōhaku-pili,
The sticky and delicious poi,/With the fish brought from Puna,
The broad-backed shrimp of Kapalua,
The dark-backed shrimp of Pohakuhapai,
The potent awa root of Maiakii,
The breadfruit laid in the embers at Makialo
The large heavy taros of Keahapana,
The crooked surf of Makaiwa too
The binding hither and thither of the reed and rush blossoms,
The swaying of the kalukalu
Me na kalo pehi lina o Keahapana,
A i keke nalu au na lani o Makaiwa,
A i ka kalu kai aku kahi mai o ka pua aku me ka pua neki,
A i kau no a o ke kalukalu o Puna, grasses of Puna,
A i na mea nui nepunepe no a ku’u manu parts makuahine
Of Ho’ōpokamalanai and Hinau-u,
The sun that rises and sets,
He enjoys himself on Kaua‘i,
All of Kaua‘i is Mōʻikeha’s

Maweke was delighted and when the boy is questioned as to his purpose, Kila tells his great grandfather he is seeking fish for his family. Maweke tells Kila to lead the fish back to his homeland. This is how Kila led the akule, kawakawa and ‘opelu to Hawai‘i (Fornander 1917:IV:162-163).

In another legend of Kila, Mōʻikeha sends his son to Tahiti to slay his enemies. Upon reaching Tahiti, Kila meets his father’s aunt, Kanepohihi, in the form of a blind, supernatural rat. He introduces himself, sending his father Mōʻikeha’s greetings. Kanepohihi asks of Mōʻikeha, and Kila responds:

He is indulging in ease in Kaua‘i
Where the sun rises and sets again
Where the surf of Makaiwa curves and bends,
Where the sun comes up over Puna
The kalukalu of Kewa;
The stretched out waters of Wailua,
And the entrancing favors of my mother
Ho’ōpokamalanai
He will live and die in Kaua‘i
(Fornander 1916:IV:162-163)

2. Ka’iililauokekoa the Chiefess of Kapa’a and the Lute Kanikawi

Waipouli and Kapa’a are mentioned in the legend of Ka’iililauokekoa, a chiefess of Kapa’a and granddaughter or daughter of Mōʻikeha. Thomas Thrum (1907: 83-84) relates that:

[Ka’ililauokekoa’s] greatest desire was to play konane, a game somewhat resembling checkers, and to ride the curving surf of Makaiwa (ke’ek’e nalu o Makaiwa), a surf which breaks directly outside of Waipouli, Kapa’a. She passed the larger part of her time in this matter every day, and because of the continual kissing of her cheeks by the fine spray of the sea of Makaiwa, the bloom of her youth became attractive ‘as a torch on high,’ so unsurpassed was her personal charm.

In the Thrum (1923:123-135) version, Ka’ililauokekoa is seduced by the nose flute of Kauakahiali’i who is at the time residing in Wailua uka at a place called Pihanakalani. She travels up to Pihanakalani with her companion where she joins Kauakahiali’i as his wife. They are found by Mōʻikeha’s people and taken down to Kapa’a where Kauakahiali’i is imprisoned. A boy named Kalukaluokewa takes pity on Kauakahiali’i and sneaks
through the kalukalu grass and the ahuawa rushes to bring the prisoner food and water. Meanwhile, Ka`ililauokekoa tells her parents of her calling by Kanikawi to the home of Kahalelehua at Pihanakalani and her encounter with Kauakahiali`i.

3. Kalukalu grass of Kapa`a

“Kā`omoena kalukalu Kapa`a” or “Kapa`a is like the kalukalu mats” is a line from a chant recited by Lonoikamakahiki. Kalukalu is a sedge grass, apparently used for weaving mats (Fornander 1917:IV:318-319). Pukui (1983:187) associates the kalukalu with lovers in “ke kalukalu moe ipo o Kapa`a”; “the kalukalu of Kapa`a that sleeps with the lover.” According to Wichman (1998:84), “a kalukalu mat was laid on the ground under a tree, covered with a thick pile of grass, and a second mat was thrown over that for a comfortable bed,” thus the association with lovers. Kaua`i was famous for this peculiar grass, and it probably grew around the marshlands of Kapa`a. It is thought to be extinct now, but an old-time resident of the area recalled that it had edible roots, “somewhat like peanuts.” Perhaps it was a famine food source (Kapa`a Elementary School 1933: VI).

4. Pāka`a and the wind gourd of La`amaomao (Keahiahi)

Kapa`a also figures prominently in the famous story of Pāka`a and the wind gourd of La`amaomao. Pāka`a was the son of Kuanu`uanu, a high-ranking retainer of the Big Island ruling chief Keawenuia`umi (the son and heir to the legendary chief `Umi), and La`amaomao, the most beautiful woman of Kapa`a and member of a family of high status kalua`a. Kuanu`uanu left the island of Hawai`i, traveled throughout the other islands and finally settled on Kaua`i, at Kapa`a. It was there that he met and married La`amaomao, although he never revealed his background or high rank to her until the day a messenger arrived, calling Kuanu`uanu back to the court of Keawenuia`umi. By that time, La`amaomao was with child but Kuanu`uanu could not take her with him. He instructed her to name the child, if it turned out to be a boy, Pāka`a. Pāka`a was raised on the beach at Kapa`a by La`amaomao and her brother Ma`ilou, a bird snarer. He grew to be an intelligent young man and it is said he was the first to adapt the use of a sail to small fishing canoes. Although Pāka`a was told by his mother from a very young age that his father was Ma`ilou, he suspected otherwise. After constant questioning by Pāka`a, La`amaomao told her son the truth about Kuanu`uanu.

Intent on seeking out his real father, Pāka`a prepared for the journey to Hawai`i Island. His mother presented him with a tightly covered gourd containing the bones of her grandmother, also named La`amaomao, the goddess of the winds. With the gourd and chants taught to him by his mother, Pāka`a could command the forces of all the winds in Hawai`i. While this story continues on at length about Pāka`a and his exploits on Hawai`i and later on Moloka`i, it will not be dwelt upon further here. It is important to note that several versions of this story do include the chants which give the traditional names of all the winds at all the districts on all the islands, preserving them for this and future generations (Nakamura 1990; Rice 1923:69-89; Beckwith 1970:86-87; Thrum 1923:53-67; Fornander 1918:V:78-128).

Frederick Wichman (1998:84) writes that Pāka`a grew up on a headland named Keahiahi just south of Kapa`a River. Here, Pāka`a learned to catch mālolo, his favorite fish. After studying the ocean and devising his plan to fabricate a sail, Pāka`a wove a sail in the shape of a crab claw and tried it out on his uncle’s canoe. One day, after going out to catch mālolo, he challenged the other fishermen to race to shore. He convinced them to fill his canoe with fish suggesting it was the only way he could truly claim the prize if he won:

The fishermen began paddling toward shore. They watched as Pāka`a paddled farther out to sea and began to fumble with a pole that had a mat tied to it. It looked so funny that they began to laugh, and soon they lost the rhythm of their own paddling. Suddenly Pāka`a's mast was up and the sail filled with wind. Pāka`a turned toward shore and shot past the astonished fishermen, landing on the beach far ahead of them. That night, Pāka`a, his mother, and his uncle had all the mālolo they could eat [Wichman 1998:85].

5. Kaweloleimakua

Kapa`a is also mentioned in traditions concerning Kaweloleimakua (Keililauokekoa), Moikeha’s daughter, or granddaughter, dependent on differing versions of the tale), the ma`o or reptile Kalamainu`u and the origins of the hinalea or the fish trap used to catch the hinalea fish, and the story of Lonoikamakahiki (Fornander 1917, vol.4 pt.2:318, vol.4 pt.3:704-705; Rice 1923:106-108; Thrum 1923:123-135; Kamakau 1976:80).

6. Kanaka-Nunui-Moe-The Sleeping Giant

Frederick B. Wichman relates an account of Kaua`i’s Sleeping Giant:

A long time ago, there was a giant living in Kawaihau among the low hills behind Kapa`a town. He was so tall he could see above the coconut trees. If he sat very still, it was easy to mistake him for one of the hills. Anyone who did not know him was afraid of his great size, fearing
the damage he might cause. However the people of Kawaihau loved
him, for he was very friendly and went out of his way to be useful.

This giant was always careful where he stepped so that he would not
injure anyone and he never destroyed taro patches or houses with a
careless foot. When he wished to rest, he sat on one of the small hills
above Kapa’a. The villagers were glad when this happened for his
weight flattened the hilltop, making another plot of ground fit for cul-
tivation.

“He is very helpful,” the Kapa’a people said to astonished stranger
who came to their land. “He does many things for us quickly that oth-
erwise we could not do in many months.” Wherever this giant stepped
he left keep footprints and in these deep holes the people planted ba-
nana trees. The villagers threw leaves, taro peelings, and other vegetable
rubbish into these holes. When compost had been formed, they planted
banana sprouts. In this way, the people of Kapa’a always had ripe ba-
nanas to give to the giant, for banana was his favorite food.

The giant yawned very often, for he was always sleepy. The gust of wind
from his mouth often knocked down houses and blew the grass thatch
into the sea. The giant was always very apologetic whenever this hap-
pened and he quickly brought logs from the uplands to rebuild the
fallen houses and gathered pili for the thatching.

He found it difficult to stay awake more than a hundred years at a time.
When he could no longer fight against the drowsiness overpowering
him, he would sleep using a small hill for a pillow. Because of this, the
people called him Kanakanunui-moe, the sleeping giant.

When he slept, Nunui slept for hundreds of years while the winds blew
dirt over him and seeds were dropped there by the birds. The gently
showers sent by Kahale-lehua, goddess of the gentle rains, fed these seeds
and forest grew up over the giant. When Nunui awoke and stretched, the people of Kapa’a fled in great fear, for what they had thought to be a hill had
come alive.

One time, while Nunui was still awake, the high chief of Kawaihau wanted
to build a large heiau to honor one of his gods. This was to be no ordinary
heiau. The chief wanted water-polished rocks for the walls and hard koa
wood from Kokee for the framework of the god’s house.

So the chief told the Kawaihau people what he wanted them to do. They
must gather rocks from the golden brown waters of the Koke’e streams and
cut koa trees on the edges of Waimea canyon, and gather pili grass that grew
at Mana. “All this must be done in the turn of one moon,” he ordered.
The unhappy people left their chief and silently returned to their village. The
giant Nunui, stepping carefully among them, saw the long faces of the peo-
ple.

“What is wrong?” he asked.

The Kapa’a villagers told him what they must do within the impossibly
short time. “This cannot be done,” the people said in low, sad voices.
“How can we go to Kokee and bring back stones enough to build the walls
in that time? And cut down the koa trees and bring the logs here and build
the sacred house? And even if we do these things, who will cultivate our
fields?”

Nunui smiled gently. “Tend to your fields,” he said. “This work is nothing
for me, and I’ll gladly help you. Besides, it will give me something to do.”
The giant went to Kokee and scooped up smooth, round boulders from the
golden brown waters and brought them to Kapa’a. “Chief,” he called to
the astonished ruler, “show me where you wish to build this heiau.”
The amazed chief pointed out the place set aside for the temple. Nunui
placed the rocks to form a wall, fitting them so closely together that not
even a mouse could squeeze between the cracks. Within a week, he had
built a strong, thick, handsome wall around the sacred place.

Nunui returned to the edge of Waimea Canyon and cut down koa trees and
trimmed them into the shaped he needed. He carried these back and made
the framework of the house. He gathered pili grass form Wild and
wrapped the stems into bundles, tied these bundles to the framework, and
within half the time the chief had set, the heiau was finished.

Everyone was happy. The farmers had been able to keep up with their chores,
the chief had his heiau, and Nunui had something to do. There was even time
enough a celebration. The chief ordered all his people to gather bananas and to
pound sweet potatoes and taro into poi. Some people hurried to slaughter pigs
and dogs to be cooked in the imu, while other paddled out to sea to fill their
canoes with fish and sent their wives to gather seaweed and opihi from the reef.
At last, enough food for everyone was ready, and the chief, the villagers, and
Nunui sat down before the overflowing bowls and platters.

“Eat,” said the chief to Nunui. “After the work you have done, you must be
hungry.”
The giant ate all the food that had been put before him. When he was through, his stomach bulged and he was very sleepy. He chose a comfortable hill just a short distance above Kapa’a town. Nunui stretched a last time, lay down along the top of the hill, and soon was sound asleep.

As he slept through the years, the winds blew dirt over him and the birds brought seeds. Ka-hale-lehua, goddess of the gentle rains, sent showers to water the plants that now covered the giant.

So Kanaka-nunui-moe sleeps and sleeps and has come to resemble a long hill with a lump at one end where his nose is and lumps at the other ends where his feet are. He no long looks like a living being, but one day, perhaps soon, his eyes will open, he’ll yawn and stretch his arms, and sit up. [Wichman 1985:13-16]

7. Lepeamoa

In the Legend of "Lepeamoa (The Chicken Girl of Palama)" (Thrum 1923:177) is a reference to a fantastic battle at Kapa’a between Lepeamoa’s brother, the hero Kaulilani and a supernatural kupua called Akuapehuale ("god of swollen billows"): Kaulilani struck him a heavy blow and the spear leaped again and again upon him, till he rolled into a mountain stream at a place called Kapa’a, out of which he crawled, almost drowned. Then he was driven along even to the image houses, where a fierce battle took place, in which the wooden images took part, many of them being torn to pieces by the teeth of Akuapehuale.

8. Palilla and Kar‘ea

High in the muku region of Kapa’a in the Makaleha mountains at a place called Kar‘ea, is reported to be the supernatural banana grove of the Kaua‘i kupua or demigod Palilla, grandson of Hina (Handy and Handy 1972:424). Joseph Akina for Kolo‘o ‘i’s Newspaper in 1913 describes Palilla’s banana grove:

The stalk could hardly be surrounded by two men, and was about 35 feet high from the soil to the lowest petiole. The length of the cluster from stem to lowest end of the bunch of bananas was about 1 3/4 fathoms long (one avana and one muku). There were only two bananas on each about 4 1/2 inches around the middle. There were just two bananas, one on the east side and one on the west, each about a foot or more in length. The one on the east side was tartish, like a waiawi (Spanish guava) in taste and the one on the west was practically tasteless. The diameter of the end of the fruit stem of this banana seemed to be about 11/2 feet. This kind of banana plant and its fruit seemed almost supernatural... (Akina, 1913:5).

9. Winds

The winds of Kapa’a and Waipouli are named in the mo‘olelo of Kuapaka’a and these include the kehau for Kapa’a, the ho‘o‘olu for Makaiwa and the inuwai for Waipouli (Fornander 1917:IV:96). A kumu‘ilina interviewed for the 50th anniversary book of Kapa’a School in 1933 (p. 28) identified the winds of Kapa’a:

...Some persons call the wind MAKANI LIHUE. That is, those who live here in Kapa’a, because the wind comes from Lihue. The wind we had on Jan. 30 was really, MAKANI LIHUE. The wind that comes from Hanalei is called MAKANI KIU which means, a very cold wind. The wind that comes from the northeast—(tradewind) is called MAKANI HOOLUA. This is the plant destroying wind...

Place Names and Wahi Pana of Kapa’a

Place names and wahi pana ("legendary place") (Pukui and Elbert 1986:377) are an integral part of Hawaiian culture. "In Hawaiian culture, if a particular spot is given a name, it is because an event occurred there which has meaning for the people of that time" (McGuire 2000:17). The wahi pana were then passed on through language and the oral tradition, thus preserving the unique significance of the place. Hawaiians named all sorts of objects and places, points of interest that may have gone unnoticed by persons of other cultural backgrounds.

Hawaiians named taro patches, rocks and trees that represented deities and ancestors, sites of houses and heiau (places of worship), canoe landings, fishing stations in the sea, resting places in the forests, and the tiniest spots where miraculous or interesting events are believed to have taken place. (Pukui et al. 1974:x)

The following is a list of place names for Kapa’a, mentioned in this report. This list should by no means be considered complete. Place names were gathered from traditional literature (mo‘olelo, chants), historical sources, maps and the Māhele records. Almost all of the ‘ili names were taken from Land Commision Award records. Sadly, none of these ‘ili names were documented on historic maps researched for this project, and their meanings and cultural associations appear to be lost and forgotten.

Place Names of Hawai‘i (Pukui et al. 1974) was used as the primary source for all place name translations. Where there were no known translations, a literal translation of the place name was sometimes made using the Hawaiian Dictionary (Pukui and Elbert 1986). The intent of the author is merely to present the available information and let the reader come to his/her own conclusions.
An attempt was made to include the proper diacritical marks for all known and generally accepted translations of place names. Making incorrect assumptions about the pronunciation and where to place the diacritical marks in a name can entirely change the meaning of a name, (e.g. pū‘ā‘ā: “scattered; to flee in disorder and fright”; pua‘a: “pig, pork”). Therefore, in cases where the pronunciation of a name was uncertain, diacritical marks were not used and no attempt was made to translate the name. In some cases, cultural relationships were made based on the literal translation of the root word.

One of the beauties of the Hawaiian language is the dualism in names and the double meanings—the literal meaning and the kaona or hidden meaning. It should be remembered that the true significance of a place name lies only with the people who use them and know their history.

The following abbreviations are used throughout the Place Names section for ease and efficiency. (Refer to the References section for complete citations.)

LCA=Land Commission Award
PE=Hawaiian Dictionary by Pukui and Elbert, 1986
PEM=Place Names of Hawai`i by Pukui, Elbert and Mookini, 1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apopo</td>
<td>Land division, possibly 'ili in Kapa‘a, pali, literally “tomorrow”?</td>
<td>LCA #10907/#8843 (Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awawaiako</td>
<td>The name of a land division, possibly an 'ili in Kapa‘a in which lo‘i were cultivated meaning - long valley, gulch, ravine.</td>
<td>LCA #8843/#8837, (Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hānahui /Kahanui</td>
<td>The name of an 'ili in Kapa‘a where lo‘i were claimed pali, stream, Lobelia plant?</td>
<td>LCA #10554/#3554/#3555 (Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koa</td>
<td>Pal, literally “Friend”?</td>
<td>LCA#3638:1 (Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hōopii</td>
<td>Waalale, literally “To cause to rise”?</td>
<td>[Soehren, 2002:265]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kūmula</td>
<td>Pu‘u, literally “jasper stone”?</td>
<td>LCA #8247 (Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahana</td>
<td>The name of a land, possibly an 'ili in Kapa‘a where uncultivated lo‘i were claimed literally, “cutting”</td>
<td>LCA #3971. (PEM: 63), (Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalahua</td>
<td>Name of area encompassing Kūhiahi Point. “Kalahua: White sea foam, especially as washed up on a beach; kalihua: Station of the cross (Catholic): procession of the cross”</td>
<td>PEM:116;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KalioKalo ko</td>
<td>The name of a village or house lot in Kapa‘a Ahupua‘a Kauhale, luala, literally, “the pond”, “liquor”</td>
<td>LCA#8638, #8843, (Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalo</td>
<td>Name of swamp in back of Kapa‘a and Waipouli</td>
<td>(Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamalu</td>
<td>Pu‘u</td>
<td>(Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamali‘i</td>
<td>Ridge, literally “Children”</td>
<td>(Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapa‘a</td>
<td>Ahupua‘a name, literally “solid” or “the closing”</td>
<td>(Wichman, 1988:84 and Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapaihi</td>
<td>Valley, stream, literally “the Knoll”</td>
<td>(Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapikule</td>
<td>Lo‘i, literally “the Kick”</td>
<td>LCA #8837, (Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauloko</td>
<td>Kauhale</td>
<td>LCA #3638, (Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahau</td>
<td>Name of wind of Kapa‘a</td>
<td>(Fornander, 1918:V:96, 97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keiwa</td>
<td>Ridge, boundary point, literally “The earth”</td>
<td>(Soehren, 2002:265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuahiahi/Kaahiahi</td>
<td>Name of rocky headland at north end of Kapa‘a Ahupua‘a;</td>
<td>(Kupehau, 1998:85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kupa‘i</td>
<td>Name of a pond in Puna district</td>
<td>[PEM: 211:216]; [Wichman 1998: 85]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following abbreviations are used throughout the Place Names section for ease and efficiency. (Refer to the References section for complete citations.)

LCA=Land Commission Award
PE=Hawaiian Dictionary by Pukui and Elbert, 1986
PEM=Place Names of Hawai`i by Pukui, Elbert and Mookini, 1974
### Summary of the Mythological and Traditional accounts of Kapa’a

A survey of traditional mythological literature shows Kapa’a prominently associated with some of the most famous legendary and historical figures including Maui, Kawelo, Mo’ikeha, Maweke, Palila, Paka’a and Kanaka Nunui Moe. What few specific references there are suggest that high status habitation was focused near the coast with less intensive utilization of the uplands which were regarded as wild places. The most notable feature of the traditional accounts are the references to grasses and sedges (Kalukalu grass and Ahuawa rushes) which undoubtedly reflects in part the natural marsh lands near the coast but may also reflect transformation of the landscape through a denudation of trees by the activities of a relatively dense population harvesting slow growing trees for firewood and construction materials over many centuries.
Very few recorded observations exist for this period in Kapa’a’s history. George Vancouver (1798:2:221-223) examined the east coast of the island from his ship in 1793 and stated that it was the “most fertile and pleasant district of the island...” However, he did not anchor nor go ashore there due to inhospitable ocean conditions.

Kiaimakani stands out as a particularly interesting Hawaiian chief in the early post-contact history of Waipouli. In 1824, the brig, “Pride of Hawaii,” owned by Liholiho (Kamehameha II), ran aground in Hanalei Bay. Hiram Bingham (1847:221-222) recorded the efforts of a great crowd of Hawaiians to pull the vessel to shore for salvage:

“Kiaimakani passed up and down through the different ranks, and from place to place, repeatedly sung out with prolonged notes, and trumpet tongue... ‘be quiet - shut up the voice.’ To which the people responded...’say nothing,’ as a continuance of the prohibition to which they were ready to assent when they should come to the tug. Between the trumpet notes, the old chieftain, with the natural tones and inflections, instructed them to grasp the ropes firmly, rise together at the signal, and leaning inland, to look and draw straight forward, without looking backwards toward the vessel. They being thus marshalled and instructed, remained quiet for some minutes, upon their hams.

The salvage efforts ultimately failed and the brig was lost. Bingham’s account vividly suggests the force of personality of the chief and further betokens an authority and stature that may have been founded upon the traditional prestige of his domain, Waipouli.

Kiaimakani appears in Samuel Kamakau’s account (1961:267) of the 1824 rebellion of the chiefs of Kaua’i upon the death of Kaumuali’i. Kalanimoku, representative of Kamehameha II, had called a council of the Kaua’i chiefs at Waimea during which he announced

“The lands shall continue as they now stand. Our son, Kahala-i’a, shall be ruler over you.” A blind chief of Waipouli in Puna, named Ki’ai-makani, said, “That is not right; the land should be put together and re-divided because we have a new rule,” but Ka-lani-moku would not consent to this.

After some Kaua’i chiefs, including Kiaimakani, rebelled against the imposed decrees:

On August 8 [1824] the battle of Wahiawa was fought close to Hanapepe. The Hawaiians were at Hanapepe, the Kauai forces at Wahiawa, where a fort had been hastily erected and a single cannon (named Hume-hume) mounted as a feeble attempt to hold back the enemy...Large numbers of Kauai soldiers had gathered on the battleground, but they were unarmed save with wooden spears, digging sticks, and javelins...No one was killed on the field, but as they took to flight they were pursued and slain. So Kiaimakani, Na-ke’u, and their followers met death [Kamakau 1961: 268].

Kamakau’s singling out of Kiaimakani for special mention reinforces the impression that the chief and his ali`i a may have shared a traditional prestige.

In 1840, Peale and Rich, with Charles Wilkes’ United States Exploring Expedition, traversed the coastline there on horseback heading north from Wailua:

The country on the way is of the same character as that already seen. They passed the small villages of Kuapuu, Kealia, Anehola, Mowaa, and Kuaharaki, situated at the mouths of the mountain streams, which were closed with similar sand-bars to those already described. These bars afforded places to cross at, though requiring great precaution when on horseback. The streams above the bars were in most cases deep, wide, and navigable a few miles for canoes. Besides the sugarcane, taro, &c., some good fields of rice were seen. The country may be called open; it is covered
with grass forming excellent pasture-grounds, and abounds in plover and turnstones, scattered in small flocks [Wilkes 1845:69].

James Jarves (1844:157), who tracked much of the same route as Peale and Rich, noted "nothing of particular interest is met with on the road, until arriving at Anahola."

The Mahele Period

The Organic Acts of 1845 and 1846 initiated the process of the Mahele, which introduced private property into Hawaiian society. It is through information garnered from records for Land Commission Awards (LCAs) generated during the Mahele that specific documentation of traditional life in Kapa’a come to light.

Table 2. Mahele Land Claims and Land Use of Kapa’a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCA Number</th>
<th>Ahupua’a</th>
<th>Claimant</th>
<th>ʻIli of the Ahupua’a</th>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Number of ʻApana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3243 (See 3971)</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Honolii, Ioane</td>
<td>Kahana, Kupanii Village</td>
<td>6 lo‘i (uncult), house lot</td>
<td>2 (2 acres, 1 rood, 1 ord)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3554</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Keo</td>
<td>Kahaniu Puh Village</td>
<td>15 lo‘i, house lot</td>
<td>2 (7 acres, 1 rood, 17 rods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3638</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Hulii</td>
<td>Maeloe Ke-Kolo Village</td>
<td>12-15 lo‘i, house lot</td>
<td>2 (5 acres, 1 rood, 19 rods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8247</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Ehu</td>
<td>Moalepe / Noalepe</td>
<td>20 lo‘i,</td>
<td>1 (3 roods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8837</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Kamapaa</td>
<td>Uluku lalo Awamaloa Uluku</td>
<td>3 lo‘i, 2 lo‘i, house lot</td>
<td>1 (2 acres, 2 roods, 27 rods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8843</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Kaua</td>
<td>Apopo Kolo Village</td>
<td>6 (5) lo‘i and kula, house lot</td>
<td>2 (2.75 acres, 3 rods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10564</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Oloia, Daniel</td>
<td>Hikinui farm</td>
<td>fishpond, 10 lo‘i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Documents relating to Land Commission Awards (kuleana) during this period show, surprisingly, that only six individuals were awarded kuleana parcels in the relatively large ahupua’a of Kapa’a. Five of the six received multiple parcels and show characteristic similarities. They are Keo (LCA #3554, 3599), Kaua (#8843), Kamapaa (#8837), Ioane Honolii (#3971), and Hulii (#3638) (See Table 1). All five had lo‘i on the mauka side of the lowland swamp area, sometimes extending a short distance up into small, shallow gulches and valleys. Each also had a separate house lot located on the makai side of the swamp, adjacent to the beach.

Interestingly, the residential "village" of Kapa’a did not exist as a single entity, but was a series of small settlements or compounds that stretched along the shoreline of the ahupua’a and included (south to north) Kupanii (Makahaikupanii), Ka-lolo (Kaulolo), Puhii, and Ulukiu. The sixth individual, Ehu (#8247), was the only person to be awarded a single parcel in the upland area of Kapa’a at Moalepe valley, approximately five miles from the shore. In 1848, when Ehu made his claim, he was the only one living there. A few years later, according to Honolii’s testimony to support Ehu’s claim, "There are no houses and no people now living on the land. Ehu found himself lonely there, all his neighbors having either died or left the land. Ehu now lives in Wailua.” Ehu may have been the last person to live at and cultivate in the traditional way the far mauka region of Kapa’a.

A check of the Foreign Testimony (F.T) for Kuleana Claims to Quiet Land Titles in the Hawaiian Islands (1848-50) reveals the names of three ʻauwai in Kapa’a. Cross-referencing this information with various maps gives a general indication of their location: Makahaikupanii, along the southern border near the shore and the settlement in Waipouli; Makea, near the current Kapa’a Public Library on the mauka side of Kūhiō Highway; and Kapa’a, probably along the current Kanaeae Road.

There were no kuleana claims found within the project area.

The Late 1800s

In 1849, a son of Wa‘i‘oli missionaries, William P. Alexander, recorded a trip he took around Kaua‘i. Although, he focuses on the larger mission settlements like Koloa and Hanalei, he does mention Kapa’a:

A few miles from Wailua, near Kapa’a, we passed the wreck of a schooner on the beach, which once belonged to Capt. Bernard. It was driven in a gale over
the reef, and up on the beach, where it now lies. A few miles further we ar-
ived at Kealia. We had some difficulty crossing the river at this place, owing
to the restiveness of our horses. The country here near the shore was rather un-
inviting, except the valley which always contained streams of water (Alexander,

In later years, the notorious Kapa’a reef was to become the location of many shipwrecks
particularly once a landing was built there in the 1880s.

Although most of the historic record documents for Kaua‘i in this period revolve around
missionary activities and the missions themselves, there was indication that the
Kapa’a area was being considered for new sugar cane experiments similar to
those occurring in Koloa. In a historic move, Ladd and Company received a 50 year
lease on land in Koloa from Kamehameha III and Kaua‘i Governor Kaikio‘ewa of
Kaua‘i. The terms of the lease allowed the new sugar company “the right of someone
other than a chief to control land” and had profound effects on “traditional notions
of land tenure dominated by the chiefly hierarchy” (Donohugh, 2001: 88). In 1837, a very
similar lease with similar terms was granted to Wilama Ferani, a merchant and U.S.
citizen based in Honolulu (Hawai‘i State Archives, Interior Dept., Letters, Aug. 1837).
The lease was granted by Kauikeaouli or Kamehameha III for the lands of Kapa’a,
Kealia and Waipouli for twenty years for the following purpose:

...for the cultivation of sugar cane and anything else that may grow on
said land, with all of the right for some place to graze animals, and the
forest land above to the top of the mountains and the people who are
living on said lands, it is to them whether they stay or not, and if they
stay, it shall be as follows: They may cultivate the land according to the
instructions of Wilama Ferani and his heirs and those he may designate
under him... (Hawai‘i State Archives, Interior Dept., Letters, Aug. 1837).

Unlike Ladd & Company which eventually became the Koloa Sugar
Company, there is no further reference to Wilama Ferani and his lease for lands in
Kapa’a, Kealia and Waipouli. In a brief search for information on Honolulu merchant,
Wilama Ferani, nothing was found. It is thought that perhaps Wilama Ferani may be
another name for William French, a well known Honolulu merchant who is doc-
umented as having experimented with grinding sugar cane in Waimea, Kaua‘i at about
the same time the 1837 lease for lands in Kapa’a, Kealia and Waipouli was signed
(Joesting, 1984: 152).

The sugar industry came to the Kapa’a region in 1877 with the establishment of the
Makee Sugar Company and subsequent construction of a mill near the north end of the
present town. Cane was cultivated mainly in the upland areas on former kula lands. The
first crop was planted by the Hui Kawaihau, a group composed of associates of King
David Kalākaua. The king threw much of his political and economic power behind the
project to ensure its success (Dole 1929:8-15). The Hui Kawaihau was originally a choral
society begun in Honolulu whose membership consisted of many prominent names,
both Hawaiian and lāieic. It was Kalakaua’s thought that the Hui members could join
forces with Makee, who had previous sugar plantation experience on Maui, to establish a
successful sugar corporation on the east side of Kaua‘i. Captain Makee was given land in
Kapa’a to build a mill and he agreed to grind cane grown by Hui members. Kalakaua de-
clared the land between Waialua and Moloa‘a, the Kauaiwahu District, a fifth district and for
four years the Hui attempted to grow sugar cane at Kapahi, on the plateau lands above Ka-
pa’a. After a fire destroyed almost one half of the Hui’s second crop of cane and the un-
timely death of one of their principal advocates, Captain James Makee, the Hui began to
disperse and property and leasehold rights passed on to Makee’s son-in-law and new Makee
Plantation owner, Colonel Z. S. Spalding (Dole, 1916: 14). As part of the infrastructure of the
new plantation, a sugar mill was erected and the Makee Landing was built in Kapa’a during
the early years of the Makee Sugar Plantation. Following Captain Makee’s death, Colonel
Spalding took control of the Plantation and in 1885 moved the mill to Kealia (Cook, 1999:
51). The deteriorating stone smokestack and landing were still there well into the 1900s
(Damon, 1931:359).

A train line went inland from Kapa’a Town from the coast along the present Lehua
Street alignment heading south behind Kapa’a Town. This railroad line skirts the rice
lands behind Kapa’a Town. Another branch ran between Hauaala and Hundley Roads
and the branch from behind Kapa’a Town joined the Hauaala/Hundley railroad
alignment where the proposed corridors for this project join the present Kūhiō Highway.
The train line continued north to the Kealia (Kapa’a) River. Chinese rice farmers had begun
to cultivate the lowlands of Kapa’a with increasing success about this same time. Several Hawaiian
ku‘ula owners leased or sold outright their parcels miu‘aka of the swampland to rice cultivators. Concurrently, the economic activity as a result of the rice and sugar
cultivation sparked interest in the house lot ku‘ula on the maki side of the marsh for
increasing commercial and residential development (Lai 1985:148-161). This land was
drained and used for cane in the early 20th century before more recent urbanization of
the area.

Narrow wagon roads gave way to macadamized roads in the early part of the 20th
century. This new road was called the Kaua‘i Belt Road and parts of it are thought to have
followed the “Old Government Road” (Cook, 1999). In Kapa’a, the present day Kuhio
Highway probably follows the same route as the original Government Road and subsequent
Kaua‘i Belt Road. The location of the ku‘ula awards in Kapa’a indicates that the majority of
the house lots were situated along the Government Road. LCA 3243 names a “road” as
one of its boundaries.
20th Century History of Kapa’a

In the early 1900s, government lands were auctioned off as town lots in Kapa’a Town to help with the burgeoning plantation population. Many of these lots were purchased by Portuguese and Japanese laborers who had fulfilled their contract duties with Makee Plantation. One kama‘āina interviewed for a previous project in Kapa’a mentioned that in the 1930s and 1940s, the area north of Mo‘ikeha Canal in Kapa’a was mostly settled by Portuguese families (W. Kaneakua in Bushnell et al. 2002:28). The Japanese were also very prominent in the 1920s and 1930s, largely replacing the Chinese merchants of the turn of the century in the Kapa’a business sector.

Though most of the large plantation camps were located in neighboring Keālia, there were a few in Kapa’a. Many people consulted had clear memories of the plantation camps in Kapa’a: a fairly large camp located just behind Kapa’a Town and three smaller camps located in the hills above Kapa’a. The large camp, Pueo Camp (Figure 6), was located adjacent to the intersection where the current Kapa’a Bypass Road turns off of Ololehena Road (Interview w/ A. Paik, 5/14/03 in Bushnell et. al. 2004). One Kapa’a resident who grew up in Pueo Camp remembers the camp being quite large with between 75 and 100 people, mostly single Filipino and Chinese men with some Japanese families and a few Hawaiian and Portuguese families. Pueo Camp is thought to be a fairly early Makee Plantation Sugar Camp built strategically adjacent to the railroad tracks which accessed the sugar fields in the upland areas of Kapa’a. Though no one consulted knew the date Pueo Camp was established, the oldest of our informants, Mrs. Alice Paik, born in 1912, knew the camp was there before she was born (Interview w/ A. Paik, 5/14/03 in Bushnell et. al. 2004). Pueo camp was destroyed sometime in the 1950s. The other three camps located in the hills adjacent to or just off of Ololehena Road were considerably smaller than Pueo Camp. These consisted of Stable Camp, 35 Camp and 18 Camp (See Figure 6). Two other camps in the Kapa’a/Waipouli area were also mentioned. Aguilar camp was a residential camp for employees of the pineapple industry, and Mundon Camp was thought to be a residential camp for Lihue Plantation workers (Interview w/ G. Hiyane, 5/14/03 in Bushnell et. al. 2004).

Pineapple became the next largest commercial enterprise in the region. In 1913, Hawaiian Canneries opened in Kapa’a at the site now occupied by Pono Kai Resort (Cook 1999:56; Figure 6). The Kapa’a Cannery provided employment for many Kapa’a residents and many of the informants for this project mentioned having worked in the cannery during some time of their lives. By 1960, 3400 acres were in pineapple and there were 250 full time employees and 1000 seasonal employees for the Kapa’a Cannery. However, in 1962, Hawaiian Canneries went out of business due to competition from third world countries.

The Ahukini Terminal & Railway Company was formed in 1920 to establish a railroad to connect Anahola, Keālia and Kapa’a to Ahukini Landing at Hanamā’ulu and to “provide relatively cheap freight rates for the carriage of plantation sugar to a terminal outlet” (Condé and Best 1973:185). This company was responsible for extending the Makee Sugar Company railroad line from the Makee Landing (formerly located near the present day Coral Reef Hotel) to the Ahukini Landing at Hanamā’ulu Bay. This railroad line traversed near much of the study area (Figures 4 & 5) and was in use from 1921, through the take-over by Lihue Plantation Company in 1934 and until Lihue Plantation converted from railroad transport to trucking in the late 1950s.

Lihue Plantation was the last plantation in Hawai’i to convert from railroad transport to trucking (Condé and Best 1973: 167). In 1955, reports came out on the dredging for coral proposed for the reef fronting Kapa’a Beach Park (Garden Island Newspaper, September 21, 1955). This coral was to be used for building plantation roads. The dredging was later blamed for accelerated erosion along Kapa’a Beach (Garden Island Newspaper, October 30, 1963). Today, there are several sea walls along the Kapa’a Beach Park to check erosion. Old time residents claim the sandy beach in Kapa’a was once much more extensive than it is now. “By 1957 the company was salvaging a part of their plantation road, which was being supplanted by roads laid out for the most part on or close to the old rail bed” (Condé and Best 1973: 167). By 1959, the plantation had completely converted over to trucking.

Severe floods in Kapa’a in 1940 led to the dredging and construction of the Waikaea and Moikeha Canals sometime in the 1940s (Territorial Planning Board 1940:7). Although the Waikaea Canal, bordering the Kapa’a Pineapple Cannery, had been proposed as early as 1923, nothing was constructed until after the floods (Bureau of Land Conveyances, Grant 8248). A Master Plan for Kapa’a, published in 1940, asks the Territorial Legislature for funds to be set aside for the completion of a drainage canal and for filling maka‘i and maka‘iku of the canal (Territorial Planning Board 1940:7). In 1955, reports came out on the dredging for coral proposed for the reef fronting Kapa’a Beach Park (Garden Island Newspaper, September 21, 1955). The coral was to be used for building plantation roads. This dredging was later blamed for accelerated erosion along Kapa’a Beach (Garden Island Newspaper, October 30, 1963).

Today, there are several sea walls along the Kapa’a Beach Park to check erosion. Old time residents claim the sandy beach in Kapa’a was once much more extensive than it is now (Bushnell et al. 2002).

Many of the plantation workers bought property of their own and moved out of plantation camps. The plantation camps which bordered Kahio Highway were disbanded in the 1980s. The Lihue Plantation began to phase out in the last part of the 20th century. Kapa’a Town suffered after the closing of the Kapa’a Cannery, however the growing tourist industry helped to ease the economic affects of the Cannery’s closing.
Figure 4. Aerial View of Kapa'a, Kaua'i, looking west, circa 1933 (Bishop Museum Archives)

Figure 5. Hawai‘i Territory Survey Map (1914) of Kapa‘a Section Showing Roads, Railroads and Camps
Previous Archaeological and Cultural Studies of Kapa’a

During their expeditions around Hawai’i in the 1880s collecting stories from ka pō’e kahiko, Lahainaluna students stopped in Kapa’a and Kealia and gathered information regarding heiau of the region (Bishop Museum Archives (HEN I:214)). Fourteen heiau were named, suggesting that these two ahupua’a were probably more socially/politically/religiously significant in ancient times and a testament to the substantial population of these ahupua’a.

Unfortunately, the locations for most heiau were given as Kapa’a/Kealia, indicating that the exact location of the heiau was not identified. Of the fourteen heiau, five are definitely located in Kapa’a. These include the locations of wahi pana or sacred places, Maliehuna (in the area of the present day Kapa’a School), Pueo, Kuahiahi ((also spelled Kaahiahi and Keahiahi) the site of the first Government School in Kapa’a—aacent to the Kuhio Highway near the northern boundary of Kapa’a Ahupua’a), Makanalimu (in upland of Kawaihau) and Kaluluomoikeha. Kaluluomolkeha is thought to be the general area near the Mo’ikeha Canal and the present day Coral Reef Hotel.

There are no known remains of these heiau today. The exact locations of these heiau are unknown.

Table 3. Heiau of Kapa’a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Associated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maliehuna</td>
<td>Kapa’a (Maliehuna is the area of the present day Kapa’a School)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kiha, Kaumuali‘i/ Lukanahona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueo</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kiha, Kaumuali‘i/ Lukanahona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pahua</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kiha, Lukanahona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaahiahi</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kiha, Lukanahona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waihuluwai</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kiha, Lukanahona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napu’uparani</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kiha, Lukanahona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noahamakai‘i</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>“heiau for birth of Kaua’i’s Chiefs, like Hokohokoku”</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu‘ukoa</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>“unu type heiau”</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohaua</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>“unu type heiau”</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ula</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kiha, Lukanahona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maio</td>
<td>Kapa’a/Kealia</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Kiha, Lukanahona</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Historic Properties in Kapa’a Ahupua’a (see Figure 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site #</th>
<th>Ahupua’a</th>
<th>Site Type/ Name (if any)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Site Constraints</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B001</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Historic Cemetery</td>
<td>South of bend of Kapa’a Stream, a kilometer mauka from Kuhio Hwy</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B002</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Historic Cemetery</td>
<td>Just mauka from Kuhio Highway, south of Kapa’a Stream</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B003</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Kapa’a Public Cemetery</td>
<td>South of Kanaele Road, one kilometer inland of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B004</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Historic Cemetery</td>
<td>North of Aipopo Road, one kilometer inland of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B013</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Historic Cemetery</td>
<td>Just mauka from Kuhio Highway, north of the Waikaa Canal</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B014</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>All Saints Episcopal Church Cemetery</td>
<td>Just mauka from Kuhio Highway, south of the Waikaa Canal</td>
<td>Appears to be a discrete historic cemetery</td>
<td>Kikuchi and Remoaldo 1992:62-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Sub-surface features including a firepit and a possible house foundation</td>
<td>South of bend of Waikaa Canal, mauka of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Archaeological monitoring in the vicinity is recommended</td>
<td>Spear 1992:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site # 50-30-08</td>
<td>Ahupua’a</td>
<td>Site Type/ Name (if any)</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Site Constraints</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Burial</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Jourdane 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Minimal findings, a weak cultural layer (buried A-horizon)</td>
<td>South of the bend of the Waikaea Canal, mauka of Kuhio Highway</td>
<td>Considered no longer significant within project area</td>
<td>Hammatt et al. 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>793</td>
<td>Kapa’a/ Kealia</td>
<td>Historic Road</td>
<td>Coastal Cane Haul Road near Kawaihau Road turn off</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peotmski et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>867</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>1 set of human remains</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Creed et al. 1995:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>868</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>1 set of human remains</td>
<td>Lihua Street mauka of Kuhio Highway, Kapa’a Town</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Creed et al. 1995:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>871</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>13 sets of human remains</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Kawachi 1994; Creed et al. 1995:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1848</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Cultural layer and sub-surface features</td>
<td>Along Kuhio Highway between Wana Road and the Waikaea Drainage Canal</td>
<td>Archaeological monitoring in the vicinity is recommended</td>
<td>Hammatt 1991; Creed et al. 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1849</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Cultural layer and sub-surface features</td>
<td>Along Kuhio Highway between Inia Street and Kuwila Street extending to the coast</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Hammatt 1991; Creed et al. 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>11 sets of human remains</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Consultation and monitoring in vicinity indicated</td>
<td>Creed et al. 1995:50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site # 50-30-08</th>
<th>Ahupua’a</th>
<th>Site Type/ Name (if any)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Site Constraints</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2075</td>
<td>Kapa’a/ Ka iwa</td>
<td>Highway Bridge Foundation (old Kaua’i Belt Road)</td>
<td>Kuhiö Highway at Kapa’a/ Kalua River</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bushnell et al. 2002:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2076</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Petroglyph</td>
<td>Rocky coast below former cane haul road (Site -789)</td>
<td>Preservation</td>
<td>Bushnell et al. 2002:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2077</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Concrete steps (related to historic beach pavilion)</td>
<td>Near present Kapa’a Beach Park Pavilion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bushnell et al. 2002:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2078</td>
<td>Kapa’a</td>
<td>Historic Railway Alignment (2 Railroad Bridges, &amp; RR Culvert Foundation)</td>
<td>Both railroad bridges span the Moikeha Canal; the RR culvert foundation is located north of the Kapa’a Swimming Pool.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bushnell et al. 2002:55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In summary, the archaeological research of the Kapa’a area has been somewhat skewed to development which has mostly occurred along the coast. Early 20th century archaeological studies attested to the existence of upland terraces, however subsequent studies in the 1980s found no record of upland sites. Although there is little in the way of surface archaeology of Kapa’a that has been able to withstand the test of time (with the exception of Kukui Heiau), archaeological studies have illustrated the vast potential for intact subsurface cultural layers. These cultural deposits extend throughout modern day Kapa’a Town, on the shorelines between the Waipouli Town Center and the Coconut Plantation Resort and along the coast in Olohena makai of the old Coconut Plantation Cinema. These cultural deposits suggest a long occupation of the area over many centuries beginning by the late 15th or early 16th centuries.
RESULTS OF COMMUNITY
CONSULTATION

As partial fulfillment of the Scope of Work, consultation with organizations and the community was conducted to identify knowledgeable kūpuna and participants to be interviewed, as well as others who could inform on the history of the subject area and previous land use. The organizations consulted were the State Historic Preservation Division, the Department of Land and Natural Resources (DLNR), the Office of Hawaiian Affairs, the Kaua‘i/Ni‘ihau Islands Burial Council, the Kaua‘i Historical Society, and the Kaua‘i Historic Preservation Review Committee (KHPRC).

A substantial effort was made to locate knowledgeable informants for the area of Kapa‘a. An attempt was made to contact as many individuals as possible. These led us to the 5 knowledgeable parties that were interviewed for this project. A cultural impact assessment conducted for the Kapa‘a-Kealia Bike and Pedestrian Path included a narrow corridor from the Waikaea Drainage Canal to Hōmaikawa‘a, a small inlet beyond Kealia (Bushnell et al. 2002). In addition cultural impact assessment was also conducted for the Kapa‘a Relief Route (Bushnell et. al. 2004). Only one cultural impact assessment has been conducted for the uplands of Kapa‘a for the proposed Water Reservoir Mauka Locale in Kapa‘a, Kaua‘i Island (Mitchell et. al. 2004). These CIA and historic research of the project area, community consultation and informant interviews were combined to provide an assessment of cultural traditions, both past and present.

Traditions were also collected in connection to the streams, canals and marsh areas where ‘ōpae and ‘o‘opi were once found in abundance. Fishing for ‘o‘amu in Kapa‘a’s canals continues to be a lively family tradition during the summers.

Consultation Process

Through the consultation process, five individuals were identified as potential informants. Three had written letters of their knowledge of the area (Stanley Vasques, Willie Sanchez, and Albert Fukushima) [see Appendix]. Two others informants gave a verbal interviews. One of these the Martin family spoke about the use of the lands for pasture when the Plantation ceased using the land for cane. The other informant was from the East Kauai Soil and Water District (Les Milines) and had no knowledge any plantation ditches that were still intact within the project area. The old maps he had, showed the ditch system around Twin Reservoir which is located directly across Oloheha Road from this property but the maps stop before this project area. This indicates that there were no permanent plantation ditch lines on this parcel.

Mr. Fukushima drew a map of his recollection of the land uses of the project area, which matched some of the historic maps for Kapa‘a.

No Native Hawaiian informants came forward to discuss any traditional gather associated with this project area. The Office of Hawaiian Affairs gave a list of possible individuals with extensive knowledge of traditional cultural practices and resources but none knew of any for this project area.
Traditional Cultural Practices of Kapa’a

Burials

The coastline in Kapa’a once contained extensive sand dunes that were documented in travels throughout the nineteenth century (Knudsen 1991; Alexander 1991). Most of the sand dunes were modified or destroyed at the onset of the twentieth century. This was due to the extensive use of the coastal areas for ranching, settlement, and new transportation routes like trains and roads. Archaeological studies in the Kapa’a area demonstrate the widespread prehistoric use of sand as a medium for burials. Burials have been identified along the coast and extending well mauka of the coastline into present day Kapa’a Town. Cultural deposits found associated with burials in the Kapa’a area shed light on the Hawaiian tradition of burying members of the ‘ohana in the kalaiwi, or birth land.

For Hawaiians, “man’s immortality was manifest in his bones...Even the bones of the living became symbols of the link between man’s progenitors and his own eventual immortality” (Pukui et al. 1972:106). Thus, the discovery of iwi (bones) is a very sensitive issue for the Hawaiian community requiring much mediation and protocol.

No burials are believed to be present within the project area and none are known in the vicinity.

Marshlands of Kapa’a

The areas inland of Kapa’a and Waipouli Towns were formerly the marshlands of Kapa’a. During the 20th century, portions of the marshlands of Kapa’a and Waipouli were filled, drained and designated as marginal agricultural lands. Traditionally, however, these marshlands were once much more significant. Westerners may call them “swamps,” but Hawaiians who grew up in the Kapa’a and Waipouli area knew they were fishponds (Bushnell et. al. 2004). Many kama’aina recall fishing for freshwater shrimp and
gobies, the 'ōpae and ʻōpū. For the Kaneakua brothers, their childhood memories of 'ōpae are tied to the old Chinese vendors who once traversed the neighborhood selling the shrimps.

I can remember Chinese, they used to catch shrimp, fresh water shrimp in big five gallon can. They put it in there, both side and they have their stick across, walking through the little village that we were over there and used to come out and say, "‘Opae, ‘Opae" and families who want buy the ‘Opae and they used to dig it out in a big a scoop, bowl, and was so much you know. Yeah, those were the days. Our streams used to be loaded with shrimp (Interview with J. & W. Kaneakua 8/1/02 in Bushnell et al. 2002).

One informant said that his experience catching ‘ōpae centered on the irrigation ditches that drained the marshlands behind Kapa‘a. “My first lessons in swimming were in the drain ditches the sugar people created to dry out their cane lands. Also in the ditches were the ‘ōpae or river shrimp. I caught ‘ōpae and cooked them with soy sauce in recycled oil sardine cans.” (Interview with G. Hiyane, 5/14/03 in Bushnell et. al. 2004). One individual who grew up in Pueo Camp adjacent to the marsh recalls frequenting the irrigation ditches in Waipouli for ʻōpae, ʻōpū, and pantat (catfish) that were then sold to the old Chinese men in the camp for 10¢ (Personal communication with G. Mukai, 8/5/03 in Bushnell et. al. 2004).

Mr. Sokei who grew up in a rice growing family in Kapa‘a shared some memories of his home in the 1930s that may reflect the landscape a hundred years prior. Mr. Sokei remembers the family home located on high ground above the marsh. “Back then, the land was natural, full of mounds. Rice was cultivated in fields all the way to the hills. The water level in the marsh would go up and down with the tide and when there was lots of water, one could find ʻōpū, ʻōpae, catfish, frogs and mud turtles for eating” (Personal communication with T. Sokei, 7/28/03 in Bushnell et. al. 2004). Likewise, the kulanu awards of the 1840s and 1850s present a picture of homes scattered on the edges of the marsh and on islands of high ground within the marsh. Numerous ‘auwai were constructed to irrigate lo‘i kalo. Hau bush was shaped into fences to separate kulanu or physical features and fishponds were built to stock fish. For Hawaiians living the marsh was an extremely productive area constituting the basis of their existence.

The notion that the marshlands were quite significant traditionally is also evident in the Hawaiian place names, particularly the wahi pana (storied places) associated with the Kapa‘a/Waipouli marsh. Mākahā-o-Kupānihi was a pond, a “deep pool set aside for ali‘i to bathe in” located at the border of Kapa‘a and Waipouli Ahupua‘a presumably within the marsh (Lahainaluna Students Compositions, No. 15). It was here that Kaumuali‘i’s half-brother Keawe was shot to death forever defiling the waters of Kupānihi. Another wahi pana in this district was Kewā. The proverb ‘he kula kulu o Kewā’ refers to a certain type of grass, kalalalu (used in making a very soft gauze or kapa) found growing in the marshlands of Kapa‘a and Waipouli (Fornander 1916:IV:162).

Gathering for Plant Resources

Hawaiians utilized upland resources for a multitude of purposes. Forest resources were gathered, for not only the basic needs of food and clothing, but for tools, weapons, canoe building, house construction, dyes, adornments, hula, medical and religious purposes. The present project area is dominated by alien vegetation (albezia, ginger, California grass) although some traditional cultigens (banana, bamboo, kid and historically introduced food plants (papaya) are present as well. Within the project area itself no specific documentation was found regarding gathering of plants during traditional Hawaiian times. During this assessment there were no ongoing practices related to traditional gathering of plant resources identified in the present project area. None of the individuals contacted for this assessment identified any native plant gathering practices within the project area.

Historic Properties

No historic properties were identified within the project area or in the vicinity. The density of identified historic properties is far greater near the coast of Kapa‘a Ahupua‘a. For a listing of the historic properties of Kapa‘a, Kaua‘i, see Table 4.

Trails

Based on nineteenth and twentieth century maps the primary transportation routes mauka/makai correlated closely to the existing major roadways. During this assessment there were no trail systems identified in the proposed project area.

Planation Ditch System or ‘Auwai

Based on the archaeological assessment (McMahon, 2012), field checks, documentation from land records, plantations records and maps, and informant information, no remnants of these historic properties exist. Several pieces presumed to be rem-
nant of the metal flumes (transportable irrigation) were found. It is also thought that
the existing roads on the property might be filled.

Figure 10. Remnant Road and Cattle Grazing in the Project Area.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A cultural impact assessment was conducted for the proposed Kapa’a Highlands
Phase II. Historic research of the project area was carried out to identify any cultural re-
sources or traditional cultural practices associated with the area encompassing the pro-
posed Kapa’a Highlands Phase II. In addition, community consultation was conducted. An
attempt was made to contact parties regarding cultural knowledge, land use history, cul-
tural sites and traditional Hawaiian or other cultural practices in the vicinity of the pro-
ject area. Five individuals came forward as knowledgeable informants. In addition to
the informants, other community members shared valuable information regarding tradi-
tional land use, attitudes and practices associated with the project area.

The marshlands of Kapa’a were once a significant resource prior to Western contact.
The fringes of the marsh were utilized for lo‘i kalo, and other resources including the gather-
ing of kalukalu, a type of grass utilized for kapa. Places in the marshes also served as fish-
ponds. Vestiges of the cultural significance of the marshlands are retained in the mo‘olelo
and ‘olelo no‘eau particular to this area. With the establishment of the sugar plantations in the
late nineteenth century, the marshlands were significantly altered. Marsh areas were
drained and filled to create more dryland for commercial agriculture and pasture land.
Several individuals consulted and interviewed grew up fishing for ōpae and ʻōpū in the
irrigation ditches which once drained the swamps. They expressed sadness at the changing
of the landscape and the passing of their childhood traditions with the final draining and
filling of the swamps. No further concerns regarding the marshlands were expressed
other than the presumed low potential of possibly encountering habitation deposits and
burials related to former LCA parcels.

This report documents the use of the ‘auwai or plantation ditches for irrigation and wa-
ter use by the residents up until the 1960s. The ‘auwai were also utilized for a variety of ac-
tivities beyond their primary irrigation purpose. The bulk of the ‘auwai have been lost
through modern pasturage, disuse and adjacent road improvements.

In general the community emphasized the importance of communicating with
the ‘ohana of Kapa’a regarding changes to the land. This includes asking permission of
the ‘ohana, including ‘uhane (immortal spirits) for opening up the land to proposed new
uses. It was stressed that this and other protocols are necessary to “open the path” for change, thus avoiding accidents and potential obstacles of a cultural nature.

In summary, there are no known traditional resources or cultural practices associated with the Kapaa Highlands Project Area.
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Wilkes, Charles

I worked for Exploration Associates Ltd. from 1965 - 1984 in various roles. I worked in Kapa'a in approximately 80 acres of which 96 acres was a portion of the land. I, my father and sisters, also worked in the field in the 1930s - 1950s. I worked in Kapa'a in 1980 as a bulldozer driver moving earth to a crane operator. We built homes for the Poor Program. The stonework in this field was done by hand. We never had the use of heavy equipment.

As you have mentioned, I have never seen any historical or cultural items on this property. I sold the property in 1990. The property is now down to a house, garage and driveway.

December 26, 2012

Willie Sanchez

Wills Sanchez
Gray Allen

The sugar cane land that you asked me about was first used by the Malie Sugar Company which was later replaced by AHE. After AHE closed the Kauai Sugar Company took over the land and farmed it.

I don't know when the land where you are asking in Sugar Camp, I believe it was in the early 1920s that the cane culture practice changed from the large to better yields to produce sugar.

For my part, the company that I worked for was called Hawaiian Sugar Planters Inc, which we did the propagation of new varieties in all the years that I worked for the types of replacement there.

Looking back for the 44 years that I worked for our company here there has been a number of years where we worked in these fields that covered the islands. We knew, Queens when Sugar cane was planted.

I'm happy with the number of years that I worked in these fields, wherever, I had never come across any trees or large grounds in the fields that I know of. But it is one of the most likely to be beyond my time.

Sincerely,
Albert Fukushima
3-9-10
January 4, 2012

Vladimir P. Devens, Chairperson
Land Use Commission
Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism
State of Hawai‘i
P.O. Box 2359
Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96804-2359

Subject: Petition to amend the Land Use Boundary of certain lands situated at Kapa‘a, Island of Kaua‘i, State of Hawai‘i, consisting of 97 acres from the Agriculture and Rural District, to the Urban District, Tax Map Key No. (4) 4-3-003:001, Kapa‘a Highlands, Three Stooges, LLC.

Dear Chairperson Devens:

This letter is to inform you of the County of Kaua‘i, Department of Public Work’s (County) general support of the Kapa‘a Highlands petition to amend 97 acres in Kapa‘a to the Urban District. The proposed amendment is for the development of 231 affordable housing units. The County is generally supportive of petitions that will provide affordable housing units in a manner consistent with the Kaua‘i County General Plan.

We have met with the Petitioners, Three Stooges LLC, and we will work with them to ensure that any impacts from the project are analyzed and effectively mitigated.

Please call me at (808) 241-4996 if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Larry Dill, P.E.
County Engineer

An Equal Opportunity Employer
I worked for Limea Plantation from 1963 - 1984 in various jobs. I worked in Kapa‘a 20, approximately 80 - 100 acres, of which TMK #4 4-3-05-1 is a portion of this field. My father and uncles, also worked in these fields in the 1930s - 1970s. I worked in Kapa‘a 20 every other year as a bulldozer driver, pushing cane as a crane operator and with the Plow department. Plowing this field at no time did we ever see or heard of anyone finding any human remains or gravesites in this field. If you have questions or require additional information, please call me at 651-5778.

Stanley Vasquez
1737 Ho‘ina Rd
Kapa‘a HI 96746

December 30, 2011

To Whom It May Concern,

I Willie Sanchez was the first person to work in the area of TMK #4 4-3-03-1 after Amfac Sugar sold the property. I started mowing the property in about 1999. The agricultural water system was abandoned and the interior ditches have become almost flat from non-use. I have never seen any historical or cultural items on this property. I mowed the property for about 5 years. The property is now overgrown with guinea grass and hale koa.

Willie Sanchez
October 28, 2011

Mr. Greg Allen
Kapaa Highlands Phase II Project
Harbor Mall

Dear Mr. Allen:

This is a letter of support for the relocation of the County of Kauai, Kapaa Swimming Pool to the subdivision area of the Kapaa Highlands Phase II Project.

The project will provide an opportunity to have a recreational facility in an area away from the tsunami inundation zone due to the current location. The relocation of the Kapaa Swimming Pool will provide accessibility to swimming and recreational activities for the general population in the Kapaa area.

The proposed new location of the pool will be in close proximity to Kapaa Middle School for students, teachers and staff usage for educational purposes such as physical education, sports events, and water safety training.

The Kapaa Complex Area supports your effort to relocate the pool. It will serve as an added resource to reach our educational and healthy Hawaii initiatives for our students in the Kapaa complex school area.

We look forward to reviewing your environmental studies to better understand the full impact of your proposed Kapaa Highlands Phase II Project.

Please feel free to call me at 274-3502 should you have any questions.

Aloha,

William N. Arakaki
Kauai Complex Area Superintendent

cc: Mayor Bernard P. Carvalho, Jr.

October 17, 2011

Commissioner Normand R. Lezy, Chairperson
Land Use Commission
Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism
State of Hawaii
PO Box 2359
Honolulu, HI 96802-2359

RE: Petition to amend the Land Use Boundary of certain lands situated at Kapaa, Island of Kauai, State of Hawaii, consisting of 97 acres from the Agriculture and Rural District to the Urban District, Tax Map Key No. (4)4-3-03:001, Kapaa Highlands, Three Stooges, LLC

Dear Commissioner Lezy,

Thank you for the opportunity for the Kauai Path, Inc. Board of Directors to offer our support for Kapaa's Highlands subdivision.

This proposed development as described to us by the developer appears to be consistent with smart, responsible growth. The planned development has the potential to serve the best interests of our communities. Preliminary plans we have been shown integrate community parks, green spaces, residential housing, and commercial areas. These elements promote a healthy and livable community.

Accordingly, it is our recommendation that if the Commission approves the applicants' request to change the property's zoning, such an approval should include conditions requiring the project to incorporate active transportation facilities. We recommend that these accommodations be designed with all users in mind. Such facilities should be described in a well-conceived circulation plan with provisions for safe bicycling and access to public transportation serving riders and pedestrians of all ages and abilities in compliance with Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") guidelines. The final plan...
Dear Land Use Commission,

This letter is to show my support for the Kapa'a Highlands subdivision. It has been many years since the Kapa'a Ahupua'a has had a new planned subdivision. Planned communities are superior to random growth because they integrate community parks, green spaces, commercial areas and housing in an organized, smart layout that benefits everyone. The Kapa'a Highlands subdivision will be a planned community. It promises a healthy and livable community that will provide some work force housing which is sorely needed. I ask that you give the Three Stooges LLC and Kapa'a Highlands your approval.

Thank you,

David Vickers

Island Trust

Sally Jo Manco, President Board of Directors
Kauai Path Inc.

Tommy A. Noyes
Member of Board of Directors
Kauai Path Inc.
October 14, 2011

Land Use Commission
Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism
State of Hawai‘i
P.O. Box 2359
Honolulu Hawai‘i 96804-2359

Re: Petition to amend the Land Use Boundary of certain lands situated at Kapaa, Island of Kaua‘i, State of Hawai‘i, consisting of 97 acres from the Agriculture and Rural District to the Urban District, Tax Map Key No. (4)4-3-03:001. Kapaa Highlands, Three Stooges, LLC.

Dear Land Use Commissioners,

I am writing in support of the Kapaa Highlands project. As a person who has a degree in Urban Planning I believe this project has many of the features of a true smart growth community and will be a welcomed and vital addition to the Kapaa community. The project is close to town center making it a walkable community. It is also near a public transportation site. The plans are also asking for some limited mixed use within the community which could provide shopping and jobs within walking distance for residents. The project will allow the middle school in to effect expand into the park area and provide them with use of a pool (a needed addition since the nearby Kapaa pool is in need of repair.

The community will have a good density with single family homes duplexes and apartments. The plan is to make this community affordable for the average person which is most needed. The so called “gap housing” is often neglected on Kauai. They are incorporating parks and green space and the community is across the street from the Kapaa Park which will be a great addition for the kids of the community. The community will be walkable and bike able and they are looking to extend a spoke of the eastside bike path to the community. All streets are planned to be complete and therefore safe for all modes of transportation. The developers will be dedicating the bypass road to the state which is necessary for the development of Kapaa. The current circle at the bypass is a very safe feature for pedestrians and bicycles.

There are so many good and thoughtful smart growth considerations in this community that it should be approved and built. In addition this is one of the best uses for this land that is so near to central Kapaa.

Thank you for your consideration of this project, which I feel should be approved overwhelming.

Sincerely,

Neil J Clendeninn, MD, PhD, MS-arch
PO Box 1005, Hanalei, HI 96714
cybermad@msn.com
808-294-0660
To Whom It May Concern

October 6, 2011

owners' application would be a significant step towards proper planning for the area and the communities' benefit.

I am available to respond to any questions you may have as to any of the foregoing and appreciate your attention to these matters.

Sincerely,

KURT BOSSHARD
President, Kapas Solar LLC
Trustee, Kurt Booshard Trust

Normand R. Lezy, Chairperson
Land Use Commission
State of Hawaii
Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism
P.O. Box 2359
Honolulu, Hawaii 96804-2359

October 5, 2011

Dear Chairperson Lezy:

RE: Petition To Amend The Land Use Boundary Of Certain Lands Situated At Kapa'a, Island of Kauai, State of Hawaii, Consisting Of 97 Acres From The Agriculture And Rural District To The Urban District, Tax Map Key No. (44)3-083:001. Kapa'a Highlands, Three Stooges, LLC.

Thank you for the opportunity to offer my support in my individual capacity as a member of the Kauai County Council for the amendment of 97 acres in the Urban State Land Use District.

I have been assured that the Petitioners, Three Stooges, LLC, continues to work directly with various County departments in order to follow all County ordinance requirements.

The proposed amendment is expected to provide 231 affordable housing units, both single family and multi-family types of structures.

The Petitioners are dedicated to creating a multi-use development that serves the best interest of its surrounding community and is consistent with smart, responsible growth.

Thank you for allowing me this opportunity to provide my support as an individual member of the Kauai County Council.
October 3, 2011

Patrick J. Childs:
Suite 104
4385 Kukui Grove Street
Lihue, Hawaii 96766

Dear Mr. Childs:

Subject: Kapaa Highlands Phase II, Petition for District Boundary Amendment, TMK: 4-4-02-01 por. Kauai

Am in receipt of your letter requesting the Office of Environmental Quality Control (OEQC) to confirm through your office that there is no specific requirement for an EA at this time.

Based on the information presented in your letter, it appears that this action involves an application before the Land Use Commission requesting the redistricting of 97 acres of agricultural land into urban. In this case, the determination as to whether or not this application triggers HRS Chapter 343 would be made by the LLUC. It is my understanding that changing the land use designation as described does not by itself trigger HRS Chapter 343, however depending on the extent and nature of the planned development, a permitting agency may determine otherwise. Furthermore, depending on the developments ultimate impact on adjacent State highways, the Department of Transportation should also be consulted. I would be pleased to meet and discuss this project or any issues of concern or questions that you might have, should you believe that would be helpful.

NOTE: The OEQC is not authorized to determine or enforce compliance with HRS Chapter 343, nor does it have legal authority to approve or disapprove exemptions, EA’s or EIS documents. The OEQC policy on such requests is to consult and offer general guidance based on our understanding of HRS Chapter 343 and past practice with regard to its implementation, but to refrain from issuing specific opinions on specific projects, except that the OEQC may make a recommendation as to the acceptability of a final statement upon request. Not only does the OEQC not have the legal authority to direct compliance or make determinations, the office also lacks the resources to effectively analyze specific projects, conduct site visits and in general conduct the due diligence needed to properly evaluate a project’s impacts and potential Chapter 343 compliance issues. The responsibility for such analysis and determinations rests solely on the permitting and approving or accepting agency.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Gary Hooser, Director
Office of Environmental Quality Control
August 22, 2011

Mr. Gregg Allen
161 Waialua Road
Kapaa, HI 96746

Dear Mr. Allen:

Subject: Water Master Plan for the Kapa’ a Highlands Project on TMK: 4-3-03:001

At the Department of Water, Water Board July 28th 2011 meeting, via Managers Report 12-10, in response to your letters of April 22, 2011 and May 11, 2011, accepted the proposed exchange of source for storage on a dollar for dollar basis. This acceptance is based on your commitment to proceed with zoning changes in your development to match the county zoning. That zoning change requires affordable housing in certain portions of your proposed development.

This acceptance is based on building permits and County water meter service not being issued if the source and storage requirements have not been completed as of the date of requested building permit approval. We ask that you submit a proposed draft of an agreement to memorialize this action. We would expect that this agreement runs with the land.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Gregg Fujikawa at (808) 245-5416.

Sincerely,

David R. Craddock, P.E.
Manager and Chief Engineer

4378 Poi Lake St., P.O. Box 1706, Lihue, HI 96766 Phone: 808-245-5400
Engineering and Field: 808-245-5412 Operations: 808-245-5402, Administration: 808-245-8628
4 March 2011

Agor Architecture, LLC
424 Ewa Road, Suite 206A
Honolulu, HI 96815

Re: Kapa'a Highlands Phase II
Petition for District Boundary Amendment
TMK: 4-4-03: 01 Per.

Dear Mr. Agor:

Mahalo for providing information to us on your proposed project. In reviewing your Draft EA and Petition, we note that you propose to apply to the State Land Use Commission to redesignate approximately 97 acres of land, from the Agriculture State Land Use District to the Urban State Land Use District. The proposed area is located adjacent to, and south and east of the existing Kapa'a Middle School.

The area is located in the Agriculture Zoning District. From a map and text review of the 2000 General Plan, we note that the area was redesignated from Agricultural to Urban Center and Residential Community. It appears that the 97 acres is located totally within the General Plan Urban Center area. Because of the conceptual nature of your submittal, we cannot locate the exact area of your project on our General Plan Map. However, General Plan designations do allow for some flexibility in interpretation and we may be able to make minor adjustments. Be aware that the Land Use Commission will require a metes and bounds description of the area you propose to redesignate.

Therefore, because your proposed project appears to be in conformance with the General Plan of the County of Kauai, and HRS Ch. 343 is not triggered by any requirements of the Planning Department. We have no comments to offer on the particulars of your project at this time.

An Equal Opportunity Employer
March 1, 2011

Mr. Greg Allen
101 Waihau Road
Kapaa, Hawaii 96746

Dear Greg,

Allow me to extend my deepest Mahalo and congratulations for the recent dedication of the Kapaa solar project. This is such a huge accomplishment and will benefit Kauai for many years to come. I know you played a significant role in its development and hope that you know we are very grateful for your efforts.

I’m also hopeful your plans for additional housing for Kapaa will move forward expeditiously, as this project could also provide many benefits such as affordable housing and community facility assets—especially for the nearby schools.

Best wishes, Greg, for continued success and Mahalo again for your contribution to Kauai’s renewable energy future!

Sincerely,

Bernard P. Carvalho, Jr.
Mayor

---

December 9, 2010

Vladimir P. Devena, Chairperson
Land Use Commission
Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism
State of Hawaii,
P.O. Box 2359
Honolulu, Hawaii 96804-2359

Re: Petition to amend the Land Use Boundary of certain lands situated in Kapaa Island of Kauai, State of Hawaii, consisting of 97 acres from the Agriculture and Rural District to the Urban District, Tax Map Key No. (4) 4-3-03: 001. Kapaa Highlands, Three Stooges, LLC.

Dear Chairperson Devena:

Thank you for the opportunity to offer my support for the amendment of 97 acres in the Urban State Land Use District.

The Petitioners, Three Stooges, LLC, have continued to work directly with various County departments to ensure this development project follows all County ordinance requirements and mitigates any adverse impacts during construction.

The proposed amendment will provide 231 affordable housing units in a manner consistent with the County of Kauai’s General Plan. The development will provide single and multi-family housing as well as various public facilities to support the close proximity to Kapaa Middle School and the urban areas of Kapaa town.

Furthermore, Petitioners are dedicated to creating a multi-use development that serves the best interest of its surrounding community. This is consistent with the smart, responsible growth that I envision for the island of Kauai, to create communities where families can live, work and play.
November 3, 2010

Vladimir P. Devens, Chairperson
Land Use Commission
Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism
State of Hawaii
P.O. Box 2359
Honolulu, Hawaii 96804-2359

Dear Chairperson Devens:

Subject: Petition to amend the Land Use Boundary of certain lands situated at Kapaa, Island of Kauai, State of Hawaii, consisting of 97 acres from the Agriculture and Rural District, to the Urban District, Tax Map Key No. (4) 4-3-03: 001
Kapaa Highlands
Three Stooges, LLC

We are writing in general support of the Kapaa Highlands petition to amend 97 acres in Kapaa to the Urban District. The proposed amendment is for the development of 231 affordable housing units. The Department of Transportation is generally supportive of petitions that will provide affordable housing units in a manner consistent with the Kauai County General Plan.

We have met with the Petitioners, Three Stooges LLC, and we will work with them to ensure that any traffic impacts from the project are analyzed and effectively mitigated.

If you have any questions, please call me at 241-3006.

Sincerely,

RAYMOND J. MC CORMICK, P.E.
District Engineer

cc: Ron Agor
Thank you for the opportunity to provide a current determinative letter on the property with TMK #4-4-3-003-001. According to our records, there has not been an Archaeological Inventory Survey (AIS) of this property. In addition, Archaeological Inventory Surveys of nearby properties (TMK #4-4-3-003-004 and TMK #4-4-3-003-005) recorded multiple historic properties (SHPO Log No. 2008-1916). However, aerial photos indicate that this property was previously cultivated with sugarcane, which may have destructive implications for pre and post-contact Native Hawaiian sites within the project area. However, current aerial photos indicate the presence of potentially historic irrigation features as well as terrain that may not have been subject to intensive cultivation.

The historic preservation requirements for any proposed action within this project area would vary depending on the extent of the action’s impact on the parcel. If the action were to take place on previously cultivated land, the only historic preservation requirement would be to document the irrigation features. However, if the action takes place in a part of the property that was not cultivated on, an Archaeological Inventory of that area may be required. It would be highly beneficial for all proposed actions to have an Archaeological Inventory Survey for the entire property in order to document the presence or absence of historic sites in this parcel.

Please call Mike Vitocek at (808) 692-8024 if you have any questions or concerns regarding this letter.

Aloha,

Nancy McMahon, Deputy SHPO/State Archaeologist and Historic Preservation Manager

---

March 2, 2010

Mr. Greg Allen
161 Waihau Road
Kapa'a, HI 96746

SUBJECT: In the Matter of the Petition of KAPA’A HIGHLANDS, THREE STOOGES, LLC, to Amend the Land Use District Boundary of Certain Lands Situated at Kapa’A, Island of Kaua’i, State of Hawaii, Consisting of 97 Acres from the Agriculture and Rural District, To the Urban District, TAX MAP KEY NO. (4) 4-3-93:01 (gov.)

Dear Mr. Allen,

Thank you for the opportunity to review your preliminary Petition for District Boundary Amendment of 97 acres into the Urban State Land Use District. We are aware that a portion of TMK (4) 4-3-93:01 has been designated Urban Center by the Kaua’i County General Plan since the 1980’s. The property is contiguous to and in close proximity to the coastal urban areas of Kapa’a Town, yet sits at a higher elevation and abuts the Kapa’a Middle School. The Petitioner proposes to develop single and multi-family housing as well as public facilities on the rezoned land. For the foregoing reasons, we support the petition to amend the land into the Urban District.

Please be advised that under current law, at the time of zoning amendment, the project area will be subject to Ordinance No. 860, the Housing Policy for the County of Kaua’i. The Ordinance requires the development of workforce housing targeted to Kaua’i residents earning from 80% to 140% of the Kaua’i Median Household Income. Ordinance No. 860 requires the fee-simple sale of workforce housing equivalent to thirty (30%) of the market units, which may be reduced to a minimum of fifteen percent (15%) through the use of incentives. We anticipate that the subject project will comply with all County ordinance requirements, and that our comments will be sought in the Land Use Commission’s formal petition review.

Sincerely,

EUGENE K. JIMENEZ
Housing Director

cc: Ron Agor, AIA; Imali Atu, Planning
January 5, 2010

To:       Randy A.K. Pitzi, Chairperson
          Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism
          State of Hawai‘i
          P.O. Box 2359
          Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96804-2359

Subject:   Petition to amend the Land Use District boundary of certain lands situated at
          Kapa‘a, Island of Kaua‘i, State of Hawai‘i, consisting of 97 acres from the
          Agriculture and Rural District, to the Urban District. Tax Map Key no. (4)4-3-
          03-01, Kapa‘a Highlands, Three Stones LLC

Aloha Chair Pitzi,

We are writing in general support of Three Stooges LLC’s petition to amend 97 acres in
Kapa‘a to the Urban district. The proposed amendment is in conformance with the
County of Kaua‘i’s General Plan and will provide 231 units of affordable housing. Affordable
housing remains an acute need on Kaua‘i, even with a falling real estate market and as such the County is
generally supportive of any petition that proposes additional affordable housing, particularly when contiguous to
developed urban areas, infrastructure and consistent with our General Plan.

Sincerely,

IAN K. COSTA
Planning Director, County of Kaua‘i

CC: Ron Agot
sewer system, and to evaluate whether improvements to the existing County sewer system will be needed to serve the development. In the event the project will be developed in phases, please indicate the approximate schedule for phasing of the project, to allow the County to identify impacts from the project on the County's wastewater system, including the flow projections for the Wailua WWTP.

5. Prior to start of any sewer system construction, plans need to be submitted for our review for compliance with sewer design standards.

6. Depending on the extent of necessary improvements to the County's wastewater system, applications for sewer service by others, and project phasing and build-out flow to the Wailua WWTP, there may need to be improvements at the WWTP prior to the County having adequate capacity for the full build-out of the project.

Should you have questions, please contact Valentino Reyes at (808) 241-4083.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD TYSCHUPP
Chief, Wastewater Management Division

CONCUR:

DONALD W. HONMOTO
County Engineer

cc: Engineering Division
Planning Department

GAellen

From: Tadani, Curtis [ctadani@kiuc.coop]
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2007 9:44 AM
To: gaellen@harbormail.net
Cc: Pascual, Ferdinand
Subject: Kapaa Highlands

Hi Greg,

I got your message and the plans that you brought in were already approved and signed off by us on June 27, 2006 so as far as we're concerned, it should be okay. But if you need to do anything different that will affect the electrical plans, then you should revise them and resubmit them to us for further review. Let me know if anything happens after your Planning Commission meeting next week that will affect the design of the subdivision and more so the electrical portion.

Thanks,

Curt K. Tadani
Eastside Distribution Planner
Kauai Island Utility Cooperative
Ph: 246-4356
Fax: 246-4332
Email: ctadani@kiuc.coop
May 29, 2007

Max Graham, Jr.
Belles Graham
President & Wilson
4334 Rice Street, Suite 202
Lihue, Kauai HI 96766

SUBJECT: TMK 4-3-003-001
Kapa'a and Waiapea, Kauai

In response to your letter dated April 16, 2007, concerning the Kapa'a and Waiapea property Tax Map Key (TMK) 4-3-003-001, the subject property is approximately 163.125 acres in size. The State Land Use Commission (SLUC) designated the entire 163.125 acres of the subject property as Agriculture. The County General Plan designates approximately 97.654 acres Urban Center, 33.687 acres Open, and 31.784 acres Agriculture. The Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance has the property zoned approximately 127.365 acres Agriculture (x) and 35.860 acres Open (O).

Please understand that the contents of this letter reflect the regulations and/or requirements that are currently in effect and being administered by this Department. These regulations are subject to change. Additionally, we recommend that you also check with other governmental agencies which may administer regulations and requirements that relate to development on this property and/or the proposed use.

Attached is a copy of a map showing the SLUC District boundaries on the subject property.

Should you have any questions, please contact Ka'ana Hall of my staff at 241-6697.

Sincerely,

Director of Planning

An Equal Opportunity Employer

December 14, 1999

Mr. Greg Kamm
P.O. Box 1200
Koloa, HI 96756

Dear Mr. Kamm:

SUBJECT: Chapter 68-42, Historic Preservation Review --
Makawao Permit Application 5-99-48 (Slag) Family Trust
and Hillside Corp. Center, LLC
TMK: 4-3-03: 01 por
Kauai, Kauai County

Thank you for submitting the 1973 air photo of the above subject parcel. We agree that the site has been extensively altered by cane cultivation and filling. Therefore, we now believe that this project will have "no effect" on significant historic sites.

If you have any questions, please call Nancy McMahon at 742-7033.

Aloha,

DON HUBBARD, Administrator
State Historic Preservation Division

CM/24-16-0}

4: D. Crowell, Planning Department County of Kauai
Hello Peter,

I appreciate the opportunity to review the education section of the DEA for Kapaa Highlands.

1. Publication of our worksheet
   We didn't have a problem with responding to your specific request for a hypothetical impact worksheet, but the sheet itself was marked for discussion purposes only. We have a real problem with the publication of an impact fee work sheet for an impact fee district that doesn't exist, with no current plans to propose one. The sheet doesn't serve any real purpose for Kapaa Highlands and could be grossly misinterpreted if it was applied to other proposed projects.

2. There's no impact district
   Your narrative never states in plain language that there is no school impact fee district in Kapaa, or anywhere else on the island. The conclusion seems to be buried: we will not be asking the Kapaa Highlands project for any contributions or fees at this point in time. We do not have any current plans to propose an impact district in Kapaa. However, it is possible that a future impact district may cover Kapaa. In that event, Kapaa Highlands may be required to pay impact fees, based on the fee schedule established for the district.

3. Capacity figure
   We would prefer that the capacity figures you use be labeled "Classroom Utilization Report 2007-2008" and "CUR 07-08". The annual Classroom Utilization Report was not strictly an inventory of classroom space, it relied on other data such as faculty and staff counts.

   We don't have a problem with your estimated student count, but would like the Student Generation Rate to be identified as an estimated Kapaa-area-only SGR.

   We don't have a problem with your general assessment that there is sufficient capacity in the Kapaa schools at this point in time to accommodate the students who will reside in the Highlands project.

Please get back to me if you have questions.

Heidi Meeker - heidi.meeker@notes.k12.hi.us
Planning Section
Department of Education/Facilities Development Branch
Kalani High School TB1B
4660 Kalanianaole Highway
Honolulu, 96821
Ph.808-377-8301
Mr. Brian M. Hennessy
HONUA ENGINEERING, INC.
Ching Young Center, Suite C7
P.O. Box 851
Hauula, Hawai‘i 96714

Subject: Kaua‘i Farm Lots, being the Subdivision of Parcel 1 into Lots 1 to 6 inclusive and
designating Envelopes “AU-1”, “AU-2”, “D-1”, and “W-1”, being a portion of Grant
5226 to Rufus P. Spalding at Kapa‘a, Kaua‘i, Hawai‘i.
(S-2014-02, HG Kauai Joint Ventures LLC)

Dear Mr. Hennessy,

This letter memorializes the action taken by the Kaua‘i Planning Commission effective JUNE 10,
2014 concerning TENTATIVE APPROVAL of the above subject application. Final subdivision
map approval, per your consent, is subject to the following conditions:

1. Requirements of the Planning Department:

   a. An updated preliminary title report for the existing lot shall be submitted to the Planning
      Department for review.

   b. All existing and proposed easements shall be identified in the deed descriptions of affected
      lots and shown on the final subdivision map. Draft copies of the deed descriptions shall be
      submitted to the Planning Department for review and approval.

   c. Pursuant to section 9-3-8(b) of the Subdivision Ordinance, Kaua‘i County Code (1987), the
      applicant shall submit to the Planning Department an electronic record (digitized format) of the
      final subdivision map(s) on disk for record keeping purposes prior to final subdivision approval.
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d. The following fees shall be paid to the County of Kaua'i:

1) Park Dedication fee: $900.00

2) Environmental Impact Assessment fee: $1,250.00

e. A future road widening reserve shall be established along the frontage of Oloheana Road and Kapaa By-Pass Road which shall be subject to the specifications of the Public Works Department for a major street. There shall be no new structures permitted within the reserve, and any new structures should be setback from the reserve. The reserve along with its restriction shall be incorporated into the deed descriptions of the affected lots, draft copies of which shall be submitted to the Planning Department for review and approval.

f. Prior to final subdivision approval, the subdivider shall delineate the Class "B" classified lands on the final subdivision map. In addition and pursuant to Act 195, Session Laws of Hawaii, 1976, the applicant shall enter into an agreement with the County to incorporate agricultural restrictions into the instruments of conveyance for those lots which contain the Class "A" and/or "B" soils.

g. The Applicant is advised that uses on the newly-created lots shall be limited to those listed as permissible uses within the "A" Agricultural District in the State Land Use Commission Rules and Regulations. Dwelling on the lot shall mean a single-family dwelling located on and used in connection with a farm where agriculture activity provides income to the family occupying the dwelling. These restrictions shall be included in the covenants for the proposed lots, draft copies of which shall be submitted to the Planning Department for review and approval.

h. Prior to final subdivision approval, the Applicant shall submit to the Planning Department a density breakdown for each lot which will be subject to review and approval by the Department. These restrictions shall be included in the covenants and deed descriptions of the proposed lots, draft copies of which shall be submitted to the Planning Department for review and approval. The Planning Department reserves the right to impose additional conditions relating to this matter while in the process of resolving this condition.

i. The pole sections of the double flag lots shall be designated as common accessibility easements in favor of each other and shall be incorporated into the deed descriptions of the affected lots (Lot 4 and Lot 5), draft copies of which shall be submitted to the Planning Department for review and approval.

j. The subdivider shall resolve with the Planning and Public Works Departments the following:

1) Participation in the upgrading of the intersection of ‘Oloheana Road, Ka’uipual and Kahehulan Roads by providing necessary lands to accommodate the future improvements.

2) Limiting vehicular access points onto ‘Oloheana Road.

3) Establishing a road reserve within the project area in order to accommodate future construction of interior roadway system that would allow a connection for ‘Oloheana Road to the Kapaa By-Pass Road and relieve traffic off ‘Oloheana Road.

The Planning Department reserves the right to impose additional conditions relating to this matter while in the process of resolving this condition.

k. The Applicant shall prepare and obtain construction plan approvals for necessary road, water, drainage, electrical and telephone utilities and facilities, and either construct the same or post a surety bond for completion.

l. In order to ensure that the subdivision and development of property complies with the land use requirements contained in Chapter 205 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS"), the following matter shall be resolved prior to final subdivision approval:

1) The Applicant shall provide the following documents to the Subdivision Committee of the Planning Commission ("Subdivision Committee") for its review and approval:

   (A) An Agriculture Master Plan shall describe the proposed agricultural uses of the property, the marketing and business plans associated with such activities, and the manner in which the agricultural and related uses on the property will comply with HRS Chapter 205.

   (B) A map of the property showing the proposed location of Agricultural Activities: Building Areas; and Agricultural Easements.

   (C) A Declaration of Conditions, Covenants and Restrictions ("Restrictive Covenants") which will be recorded in the Bureau of Conveyances of the State of Hawaii ("Bureau"), which will encumber and run with the property, and which will provide and require: that the owners of the lots in the subdivision ("Subdivision Lots") shall comply with the Agricultural Plan and the provisions of HRS Chapter 205; that the owners of all of the Subdivision Lots shall be members in an association ("Association") which will have the power and duty to enforce the Restrictive Covenants; that the Association shall file periodic reports (as determined by the Planning Department) with the Planning Department verifying compliance with the Agricultural Master Plan; and that the Agricultural Master Plan shall be amended without prior approval of the Planning Department.

   (D) An Agricultural Subdivision Agreement which will be recorded in the Bureau, run with and encumber the property, and which will provide and require that each Subdivision Lot owner shall indemnify, defend and hold the County harmless from any claims arising out of the failure of the Subdivision Lot owner to comply with the Agricultural Master Plan and/or HRS Chapter 205; and that in the
event of a Subdivision Lot owner’s noncompliance with the Agricultural Master Plan and/or HRS Chapter 205 as determined by the Planning Department, the County and the State of Hawaii shall have the right to refuse to grant any permits or approvals for uses or development on any Subdivision Lot affected by such noncompliance unless and until the noncompliance is cured, as determined by the Planning Department.

m. The subdivider shall resolve with the Planning Department the provision of public access within the subdivision. The applicant shall propose an access plan for the review and approval of the Planning and Public Works Department. Additionally, due to the farming activities, the subdivider shall work with the Planning Department on establishing a public access control system.

Proper documents shall be prepared and ready for execution prior to final subdivision approval. The Planning Department reserves the right to impose additional conditions relating to this matter while in the process of resolving this condition.

2. Requirements of the Department of Public Works:

DRAINAGE

a. The subject subdivision abuts a natural unimproved drainage way on the West for which a detailed flood study has not been incorporated with the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). Several natural drainage valleys or drainage swales traverse through and along the property. The natural drainage water courses will collect and concentrate storm flows through the site. A drainage study and provisions need to be established to prevent structures from being built in flood prone areas and to preserve the function and capacity of the natural water courses.

The subdivision and subsequent development of residences and other impermeable surfacing will increase storm water flowage. A drainage study needs to be made to evaluate the impacts of the increased storm runoff. Measures to keep flow rates to predevelopment conditions is required.

b. Flood studies need to include the existing bridge at the Kapua By Pass Road whether the bridge is adequate to convey storm flows without overtopping the Kapua By Pass Road.

c. The flood zoning, FIRM panel no. 204F and the date of the FIRM November 26, 2010 needs to be labeled on the final maps as well as the designated flood prone areas with base flood elevations. The applicant and future owners shall be advised that construction of new structures in flood prone areas presents flood risks and associated flood problems.

ROAD

d. The street name labeling for Olohe Road needs to be amended to “Malu Road.” The Kapua By Pass Road traverses through the proposed Lot 6. We recommend that the Kapua By Pass Road be named to facilitate house addressing assignment.

e. The subject subdivision abuts the Olohe Road on the North. Olohe Road has a right of way width of 40 feet and an average pavement width of 20 feet. The pavement width is adequate for two way passenger vehicular type traffic. The right of way width is inadequate for a major collector street classification (40 feet right of way). We recommend a road reserve be established along Olohe Road or lands be dedicated to the County as well as improvements to Olohe Road to facilitate Safe Route to School and Complete Streets facilities.

f. Access along Olohe Road must be restricted. Access for Lots 1, 2, and 3 shall be restricted to the pole section for Lots 1 and 2. Easement “AU-1” for roadway and utility purposes shall be restricted to the pole section for Lots 4 and 5. Comments should be solicited from the State Department of Transportation whether access would be allowed for Lot 6 from the Kapua By Pass Road.

OTHERS

g. Complete Streets and Safe Route to School design principles need to be incorporated with the subdivision improvements to Olohe Road. Complete Streets and Safe Route to School features include interconnected sustainable transportation networks providing opportunities for all modes of travel and from neighborhood destination points for users of all ages and abilities. Comments should be solicited from the County’s Transportation Planner.

3. Requirements of the Department of Water:

a. The subdivider shall pay the Department of Water, a Facilities Reserve Charge of $23,000 (5 lots at $4,600 per lot). The subdivider shall pay any rate increase and/or applicable charges in effect at the time of receipt.

b. The subdivider shall prepare and get Department of Water’s approval on construction drawings for necessary water system facilities and either construct said facilities or post a performance bond for construction. These facilities shall include:

1) Additional source facilities. The Applicant may wait until others (including the Department of Water) to construct additional source for this area.

2) The domestic service connection.

c. Locate and show all existing water meter(s) with appropriate water meter number on the tentative subdivision map for the Department of Water’s review and approval. Also identify the proposed subdivision lot that the existing water meter will be assigned to. The DOW comments may change depending on the approved tentative map.
4. Requirements of the State Health Department:
   a. The existing individual wastewater system can continue to serve the existing building. However, wastewater generated from any additional dwelling units and other buildings shall be disposed of in wastewater systems that meet the wastewater rules in effect at the time of building permit application.
   b. Noise will be generated when construction occurs after Lots 1 through 6 are subdivided, shall not exceed the applicable maximum permissible sound levels as stated in Title 11, Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR), Chapter 11-46, entitled "Community Noise Control" unless a noise permit is obtained from the State Department of Health (DOH).
   c. Temporary fugitive dust emissions could be emitted when/f if construction activities occur after Lots 1 through 6 are subdivided. At that time, in accordance with Title 11, HAR, Chapter 11-60.1, entitled "Air Pollution Control", effective measures for air pollution control shall be provided to minimize or prevent any fugitive dust emissions caused by the construction work from impacting the surrounding areas. This includes the off-site roadways used to enter/exit the project. The control measures include but are not limited to the use of water wagons, sprinkler systems, dust fences, etc.
   d. In accordance with Title 11, HAR, Chapter 11-58.1, entitled "Solid Waste Management Control", the construction waste that is generated when the subdivided lots are developed shall be either recycled or disposed of at a solid waste disposal facility that complies with the DOH. The open burning of any of these wastes on or off-site is prohibited.
   e. Any project and its potential impacts to State waters must meet the following criteria:
      1) Anti-degradation policy (HAR, Section 11-54-1.1), which requires that the existing uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses of the receiving State water be maintained and protected.
      2) Designated uses (HAR, Section 11-54-3), as determined by the classification of the receiving State waters.
      3) Water quality criteria (HAR, Sections 11-54-4 through 11-54-8).
   f. Please call the Army Corps of Engineers at (808) 438-9258 to see if this project requires a Department of the Army (DA) permit. Permits may be required for work performed in, over, and under navigable waters of the United States. Projects requiring a DA permit also require a Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) from our office.
   g. You are required to obtain a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for discharges of wastewater, including storm water runoff, into State surface waters (HAR, Chapter 11-55). For the following types of discharges into Class A or Class 2 State waters, you may apply for NPDES general permit coverage by submitting a Notice of Intent (NOI) form:
      1) Storm water associated with industrial activities, as defined in Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 122.26(b)(14)(i) and 122.26(b)(14)(ii), and 122.26(b)(14)(xi),
      2) Storm water associated with construction activities, including clearing, grading, and excavation, that result in the disturbance of equal to or greater than one (1) acre of total land area. The total land area includes a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules under a larger common plan of development or sale. An NPDES permit is required before the start of the construction activities.
      3) Treated effluent from leaking underground storage tank remedial activities.
      4) Once through cooling water less than one (1) million gallons per day.
      5) Hydro-testing water.
      6) Construction dewatering effluent.
      7) Treated effluent from petroleum bulk stations and terminals.
      8) Treated effluent from well drilling activities.
      9) Treated effluent from recycled water distribution systems.
      10) Storm water from a small municipal separate storm sewer system.
      11) Circulation water from decorative ponds or tanks.
   h. You must submit a separate NOI form for each type of discharge at least 30 days prior to the start of the discharge activity, except when applying for coverage for discharges of storm water associated with construction activity. For this type of discharge, the NOI must be submitted 30 days before the start of construction activities.
   i. For types of wastewater not listed in Item 8 above or wastewater discharging into Class 1 or Class AA waters, you must obtain an NPDES individual permit. An application for an NPDES individual permit must be submitted at least 180 days before the commencement of the discharge.
   j. You must also submit a copy of the NOI or NPDES permit application to the State Department of Land and Natural Resources, State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD), or
demonstrate to the satisfaction of the CWB that SHPD has or is in the process of evaluating your project. Please submit a copy of your request for review by SHPD or SHPD’s determination letter for the project along with your NOI or NPDES permit application, as applicable.

k. Please note that all discharges related to the project construction or operation activities, whether or not NPDES permit coverage and/or Section 401 WQC are required, must comply with the State’s Water Quality Standards. Noncompliance with the water quality requirements contained in HAR, Chapter 11-54 and/or permitting requirements, specified in HAR Chapter 11-55 may be subject to penalties of $25,000 per day per violation.

5. Requirements of the Housing Agency:

a. Chapter 7A of the Kauai’s County Code, 1987, as amended, is applicable to the proposed subdivision, pursuant to Section 7A-1.4(c)(1).

Prior to final subdivision approval, the Applicant shall resolve the workforce housing assessment and shall execute a Workforce Housing Agreement with the Kauai County Housing Agency, as to the method of meeting the workforce housing requirement pursuant to Chapter 7A. The executed agreement shall be recorded on the deed of the project properties concurrent with final subdivision approval.

The Kauai’s County Housing Agency reserves the right to change this determination if the petition or application changes from the above, or if the project incorporates or becomes part of a larger residential or resort project, such that provisions of Kauai’s County Code, Section 7A-1.4 become applicable.

6. Requirements of the Department of Wastewater:

a. The proposed subdivision is near the County’s sewer service area. If sewer service is needed, the Applicant shall apply for County sewer service and shall be responsible for design and construction of all infrastructure necessary to connect to the County sewer and shall be responsible for payment of all applicable fees.

7. Requirements of the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD):

a. Pursuant to Hawaii Administrative Rules §13-284 we request an archaeological inventory survey be conducted by a qualified archaeologist in order to adequately determine the potential impacts of this subdivision on both surface and subsurface historic properties. We look forward to the opportunity to review the archaeological report prior to commencing further on the subdivision application. We recommend the final subdivision approval be deferred until the archaeological inventory survey report has been completed and appropriate mitigation measures/plan are in place.

Sincerely Yours,

MICHAEL A. DAHLJ
Clerk, Kauai Planning Commission

cc: COH Public Works Dept.
    COH Water Dept.
    COH Real Property - Assessment Div.
    State Dept. of Health
    State Historic Preservation Dept.
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